

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: **Kendall Creek Hatchery Chinook Rearing Facility Project**
2. Name of applicant: **Sara Kuhn**

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: **600 Capitol Way N, Olympia WA 98501**

Contact: Sara Kuhn (360-819-3886)

4. Date checklist prepared: **9/14/2022**

5. Agency requesting checklist: **WDFW**

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Construct fall of 2023 if all permits obtained in time.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No, this project proposes to install new round ponds and a Partial Recirculating Aquaculture System (PRAS) to improve hatchery function.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

A wetland delineation was performed on May 12, 2022 and again on June 27, 2022 to capture a larger area and delineate the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) of the adjacent North Fork Nooksack River.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

There are no other proposals at the hatchery at this time.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Project will need county permits (substantial shoreline development, floodplain, grading, and building permits). WDFW is in communication with Whatcom County.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This project proposes to install a new PRAS system with 9 round ponds (20 ft. in diameter), an aeration tower, UV filter, and drum filter. The supply will come from existing aeration towers and discharge to the existing Pollution Abatement (PA) pond, and existing asphalt pond discharge. The project is also tying wells 1 and 2 into the supply line from well 3 to aeration tower 2 to increase oxygen in water from wells 1 and 2 and expand the possible uses for this water. An equipment building (86 ft. long by 42 ft. wide) will be constructed to house the new drum filter and recirculation pump vault. All of these new structures will be constructed within the footprint of an existing adult pond at the hatchery that is currently not in use.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

This project is located at the Kendall Creek Hatchery at 710 Hatchery Rd, Deming, WA 98244. Whatcom County, Section 3, Township 39 N, Range 5 E. See attached plans for a project vicinity map.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

1. **Earth** [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): **Flat**, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The site is very nearly flat with the steepest slopes being at the banks of Kendall Creek and the North Fork Nooksack River outside of the project area.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

The project will be constructed entirely on existing impervious surface in the footprint of an asphalt adult pond. However the soil types below this pond are Pilchuck loamy fine sand (Map Unit 119) and Winston silt loam (Map Unit 186). This proposal won't result in the removal of any soils of agricultural significance.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

866.2 CY of material will be removed and 4,691.3 CY of fill will be added (soil backfill will be locally sourced by the contractor once selected). The total disturbed area will be 23,029.9 SF.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No, with the exception of grading required for pipeline placement to connect this new area to the water supply all work will occur within the footprint of an existing adult pond. Erosion control Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be implemented during construction to ensure that the work does not result in erosion.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The project will not result in a change in impervious surfaces at the site.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Silt fencing will be installed around the project area to ensure that no additional erosion will result from project activities.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

General equipment emissions will occur.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

None proposed or needed

3. Water [\[help\]](#)

- a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes, Kendall Creek and the North Fork Nooksack River are both adjacent to the project area. Both are perennial fish-bearing streams and support salmonid species. An intermittent fish-bearing stream (labeled "Stream 01") was identified during wetland delineations along with two Category II riverine wetlands adjacent to Kendall Creek (Wetland A and Wetland B).

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No work will occur below the OHWM of Kendall Creek or the North Fork Nooksack River, nor will it occur within the boundaries of Wetlands A and B. All new structures will be placed within an existing asphalt pond and will be beyond 200' away from Wetland A and Kendall Creek. However, part of this project area is within 200' of Wetland B and the North Fork Nooksack River (the closest point of new construction being 134' from the Nooksack River's OHWM).

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No fill or dredge material will be placed in or removed from surface waters or wetlands.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No, this proposal will not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Yes, the entire project area is within Zone A (the 100-year floodplain) per the FEMA firmette 53073C0770E, eff. 1/18/2019

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No, this project will not involve the discharge of waste materials into surface waters.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No, groundwater will not be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste material will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Once the PRAS system is installed, water will continue to be transported to the PA pond.

Asphalt will be removed from the adult pond before the new structures are constructed to facilitate drainage from the site.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
Organic material could continue to be conveyed to the PA pond once filtered through the PRAS system.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The project will install a new connection to the wells onsite to connect the PRAS

system. This system is designed to treat and recirculate the water before it heads to the PA pond onsite.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

The proposed project will not increase the amount of runoff as there is no increase in the footprint of impervious surfaces. The alterations to the drainage patterns at the hatchery are intended to treat and recirculate the water to allow for greater capacity at the hatchery.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

X deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

X evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

X shrubs

X grass

pasture

crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

X wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

The only vegetation that will be disturbed is a grassed area that will need to be excavated to place a new pipeline. The disturbed area will be re-grassed once the pipeline is placed.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) tool lists the following species as potentially occurring within the project vicinity: Gray Wolf (*Canis lupus*), American Wolverine (*Gulo gulo luscus*), Marbled Murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*), and Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*). Additionally, the NOAA Protected Resources App lists the presence of Chinook Salmon (Puget Sound ESU) (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and Steelhead Trout (Puget Sound DPS) (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) within Kendall Creek and the North Fork Nooksack River.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Reed Canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*)

5. **Animals** [\[help\]](#)

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) tool lists the following species as potentially occurring within the project vicinity: Gray Wolf (*Canis lupus*), American Wolverine (*Gulo gulo luscus*), Marbled Murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Dolly Varden (*Salvelinus malma*), and Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*). Additionally, the NOAA Protected Resources App lists the presence of Chinook Salmon (Puget Sound ESU) (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and Steelhead Trout (Puget Sound DPS) (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) within Kendall Creek and the North Fork Nooksack River.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Kendall Creek and the North Fork Nooksack River are migration routes for several salmonid species, including the Chinook Salmon, Steelhead Trout, Cutthroat Trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki*), Pink Salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*), Coho Salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), Chum Salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*), and Bull Trout. The North Fork Nooksack River is also likely a migration route for several species of birds.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

The PRAS system is designed to improve water quality conditions at the hatchery to increase the health and number of fish being reared onsite.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None

6. **Energy and Natural Resources** [\[help\]](#)

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The machinery that will be used in the construction will require the use of diesel fuel to complete the work. Once the work is completed, the PRAS system will involve the installation of a drum filter and UV reactor that will be run with electricity.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
If so, generally describe.

No

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

No specific energy conservation features are included in this proposal; however, the PRAS system will improve the efficiency and quality of the water use onsite.

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?
If so, describe.

Fuel spills or vehicle/machinery leaks are possible. The risk of a spill or leak is not likely and spill kits are available at the project site if a spill should occur. Fueling of vehicles and machinery is completed upland and away from the water body. No work will occur below the ordinary high water mark of either Kendall Creek or the North Fork Nooksack River.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
None is known.
- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.
There are no known underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located in the project area.
- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.
None are anticipated.
- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
None should be required.
- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
Fueling of vehicles and machinery is completed upland and away from the water body to prevent any source of fuel from entering surface waters. A spill kit will be available on site in the event of an accidental spill.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

There are no noises in the surrounding area that would affect this project.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Construction noise will occur during daytime hours. No significant long-term noise is associated with this project.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

No measures are proposed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The site is used as a state-run fish hatchery for the rearing of salmonids. This proposal will not affect current land use on nearby or adjacent properties.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

No.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

1. Hatchery Building

2. Freezer Building

3. Garage

4. Staff Residence

5. Pollution Abatement (PA) Pond

6. Rearing Pond

7. 3 Asphalt Ponds (2 in use)

8. 4 Raceways

9. Adult Holding Pond

10. Old Hatchery Building (structure from original 1899 hatchery, no longer in use)

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No structures will be demolished; however, one of the asphalt ponds will be the site of the proposed structures. The asphalt will be removed from this pond to better facilitate drainage.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Rural Forestry

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Forestry/Mining/Fishing

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Conservancy

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

The North Fork Nooksack River is designated as a shoreline of statewide significance. Kendall Creek and the two wetlands identified onsite are also designated critical areas.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The hatchery has been in operation since 1950 and the proposed project does not substantially change the use. The majority of the project will occur within the existing footprint with the exception being the placement of new pipelines with disturbed area being revegetated upon project completion. The proposed project is compatible with existing and project land use of the site.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

There are no impacts associated with agricultural or forest lands associated with the proposed project.

9. Housing [\[help\]](#)

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A

10. Aesthetics [\[help\]](#)

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The equipment building that will house the PRAS system will be approximately 20 feet tall and the exterior material will be gauge painted steel siding and roofing.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed as this is a smaller structure than the hatchery building and similar existing structures onsite.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. Light and Glare [\[help\]](#)

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

The project will not result in light or glare.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

The project will not result in a safety hazard or interfere with views caused by light or glare.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

No offsite sources of light or glare will affect this proposal.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

No measures are proposed.

12. Recreation [\[help\]](#)

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The North Fork Nooksack River provides recreational boating and fishing opportunities.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No, this project will not displace existing recreational uses.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

No measures are proposed for impacts to recreation because no impacts are anticipated as a result of the project.

13. Historic and cultural preservation [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

Yes, there is at least one structure from the original Kendall Creek Hatchery, known then as the Nooksack Hatchery, constructed in 1899. One structure would be the 40' x 100' Hatchery Building that still stands today. This hatchery was closed in 1930 due to

water supply issues but reopened in 1950 after Kendall Creek's water supply was determined to be adequate. This structure will not be impacted by project activities.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

There are two archaeological sites, consisting of one pre-contact lithic isolate and one cemetery, and three historic properties are located within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area. Neither of the archaeological sites have been evaluated for their eligibility to the National Register of Historic Places while the historic properties have all been determined as not eligible. Additionally, there have been three cultural surveys conducted within a 1.0 mile radius of the project area (Baldwin 2014; Harrison Macrae 2019; Stutte 2002) and one cultural survey located within the hatchery grounds (Van Galder 2013). The cultural survey located within the hatchery grounds did not include the exact ground disturbance areas of this project.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources include completing a site files and records search. Databases and maps, including the Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD), Bureau of Land Management General Land Office (GLO) Cadastral Survey maps, GLO Records, historic aerial maps, and soil maps were all examined for cultural resources within the project area. We also consulted with several parties including DAHP, Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Lummi Nation, Marietta Band of the Nooksack Tribe, Samish Indian Nation, Sauk-Suiattle Tribe, Snoqualmie Indian Tribe, Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, and the Upper Skagit Tribe.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

The asphalt pond that will be demolished was constructed ca. 1980 so this structure is not a historic property. WDFW recommended an archaeological monitor be on site during all ground disturbing activities and an Inadvertent Discovery Plan. DAHP concurred with this recommendation. Consultation is underway with the aforementioned consulting parties.

14. Transportation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

This work will not affect any public streets or highways.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

No, the site is not served by public transit. The closest transit stop is Kendall Road at Mt Baker Highway 1.7 miles north on the Whatcom Transit Authority's Route 72X.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

The project will not create or eliminate any parking spaces.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The project will not require new improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle, or state transportation facilities.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No, the project will not use water, rail, or air transportation.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The completed project is not anticipated to generate any additional vehicular trips per day once completed.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

The proposal will not impact the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

No measures are proposed as no impacts to transportation are anticipated from the proposed project.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The proposed project will not result in an increased need for public services.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

No measures are proposed because direct impacts to public services are not anticipated.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, **water**, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

The project will not alter the current utilities in use at the site.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Name of signee Sara Kuhn

Position and Agency/Organization Environmental Planner/WDFW

Date Submitted: 9/20/2022