

From: juliede@microsoft.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:14:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julie Devine
4033 29th Ave. W.
Seattle, WA 98199

From: nancyp22@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:09:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nancy Pare
10738 Glen Acres Dr. S
Seattle, WA 98168

From: tunakp@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:09:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Chelsea Peacock
600 W. Cora Avenue
Spokane, WA 99216

From: jpinheidelberg@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:09:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joseph Nelson
2814 Pine
Everett, WA 98201-3864

From: gjblkwd@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:09:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Blackwood
406 Wood Street #301
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: ranmel@televar.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:08:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Melissa McCool
753 Hexon RD
Selah, WA 98942

From: nicolevela@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:07:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nicole Vela
1535 California Ave. SW
Seattle, WA 98116

From: sacred.sage@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:07:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carol Rolf
679 1/2 N. Maple
Colville, WA 99114

From: anowotny@live.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:07:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amy Nowotny
21127 Bulson Road
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

From: nsconrad@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:06:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Norm Conrad
919 N 97thSt
Seattle, WA 98103

From: patriciablarrison@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:06:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pat Larson
P.O. 2151
Olympia, WA 98507

From: dulce1021@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:14:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Justin Sweet
4132 3rd Ave NW Apt 3
Seattle, WA 98107

From: jean106@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:05:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jean Conley
19814 SE 266 Court
Covington, WA 98042

From: jerrbearsden@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:04:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
jerry brines
11415 e. maxwell
spokane valley, WA 99206

From: teritravis@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:04:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Teri Travis
9736 Sand Pt Wy NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: donamac@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:04:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan. This really needs to happen. Soon!

Sincerely,
Dona McAdam
119 Crockett Street
Seattle, WA 98109

From: shuteyetrain915@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:04:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alexander Hosea
637 N. Trafton Apt. "C"
Tacoma, WA 98403

From: crowworc@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:04:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brent Williamson
2502 Nw 92nd Street
Seattle, WA 98117

From: corvette_98595@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:04:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rex Bell
PO Box 908
Westport, WA 98595

From: snwolfrum@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:03:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Monty Wolfrum
2220 Grovept
Seven Bays, WA 99122

From: kplitt@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:01:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Plitt
1410 Sea Cliff Dr NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332

From: bettenelson@clearwire.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:01:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bette Nelson
1219 SW 126th St - #1
Burien, WA 98146

From: joel_mulder@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:13:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joel Mulder
1114 8th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119

From: sashar_anderson@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:01:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sasha Anderson
3530 Wallingford
Seattle, WA 98103

From: cassy_abc_123@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:01:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Casey Baker
3005 E 29th Street
Vancouver, WA 98661

From: LindaRAmar@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:01:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Amar
8381 W Shelton Matlock Rd
Shelton, WA 98584

From: loriandmitch@peoplepc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:01:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lori Degidio
107 Huckleberry Drive
Chehalis, WA 98532

From: powderdeb@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:58:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Deborah Williams
3914 NE Belvoir Pl
Seattle, WA 98105

From: ulc@seanet.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:58:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steven Weigner
6522 43rd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118

From: jayjay_p3@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:58:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jayson Luu
10455 62nd AVE S.
Seattle, WA 98178-2340

From: sulien_1@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:58:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so again. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

I live in Ocean Shores and visit the Olympic National Park as often as I can. I would love to be able to hear wolf song as I walk the trails there.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Yovonne Autrey-Schell
360 Duck Lake Dr NE
Ocean Shores, WA 98569

From: nkilgore1078@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:58:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nancy Kilgore
3101 Brentwood Dr SE
Lacey, WA 98503

From: patrickl@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:13:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patrick Lovell
12244 Military Rd So
Seattle, WA 98168-2427

From: jerry.liebermann@alum.mit.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:55:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jerry Liebermann
1214 16th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112

From: terrynaut@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:55:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Terry Volkirch
5413 Village Park Drive SE
Apt 2835
Bellevue, WA 98006

From: mslynntucker@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:55:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lynn Tucker
1538 S Dawson St
Seattle, WA 98108

From: kcrane@kimsrane.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:54:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
kimberly crane
2801 Bickford Ave
Ste 103-140
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: paulsenja@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:54:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julia Paulsen
8237 Ravenna Ave Ne
Seattle, WA 98115

From: agrace.98038@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:54:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amanda Grace
12214-B NE 147th Pl
Kirkland, WA 98034

From: tmmcguire@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:53:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tim McGuire
4444 44th Ave. SW #106
Seattle, WA 98116

From: StanleyJonesUmberger@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:53:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I acknowledge the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington. I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. Alternative 3 is better than the others, but must have an increased target number of breeding pairs.

I am totally opposed to any wolf "management" plan that favors "livestock" or hunters. I would eliminate the WDFW as it is just a subsidy for flesh mongers and blood sports.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we must continue to do so. We must not be responsible for any wild species to go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is ludicrously low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Stanley Jones-Umberger
37425 SE 39th Street
Washougal, WA 98671-9792

From: catherineinthesky@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:53:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Catherine Fairfield
15306 25th Dr SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012

From: dave.luxem@zones.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:52:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Luxem
1903 SW Hillcrest Rd
Seattle, WA 98166

From: hayallen@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:12:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
allen haydon
pob 839
tekoa, WA 99033

From: DChewning_4@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:50:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Debbie Chewning
129 N. 183rd St.
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: jvalade@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:50:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Clodagh Valade
4892 Maple Cove Road
Langley, WA 98260

From: dp4hawks@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:49:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dale Pressnall
27610 Military Rd S
Auburn, WA 98001

From: virginianeal@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:48:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Virginia Neal
PO Box 290
Wishram, WA 98673

From: rmasher2@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:48:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ron Asher
2513 13th Ave W #2
Seattle, WA 98119

From: ruesma@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:48:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Martin Rues
1730 N Northlake Way
Suite 314
Seattle, WA 98103

From: sagetrekker@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:48:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nan St.Michael
3411 NW 122nd St
Vancouver, WA 98685

From: tchinnick@davisgrimmpayne.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:47:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have coexisted in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Therese Chinnick
14838 206th Ave Se
Renton, WA 98059

From: heisforer@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:47:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Birkeland
PO Box 9306
Seattle, WA 98109

From: lepchane@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:12:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Anna Meyer
7832 N.E. 144th St
Bothell, WA 98011

From: lauracraig@fairpoint.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:45:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laura Craig
21840 Piessner Road SE
Yelm, WA 98597

From: lhallst@rei.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:45:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Luke Hallstone
13426 97th Ave E
203
Puyallup, WA 98373

From: shelley@dahlgren.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:45:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shelley Dahlgren
4449 242nd Avenue SE
Issaquah, WA 98029

From: kwilliams@naturalfactors.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:45:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Williams
9801 - 1st Ave SE
Everett, WA 98208

From: mail@danaknutson.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:44:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dana Knutson
3727 58th Avenue SW
Seattle, WA 98116

From: storm@spamcop.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:43:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Storm Rise
15620 Ne 113th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052

From: stephen@materaphoto.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:43:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
stephen matera
336 NE 56th St.
Seattle, WA 98105

From: erickad01@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:42:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ericka Camp
4557 45th Ave SW #205
Seattle, WA 98116

From: kjweeks@embarqmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:42:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
ken weeks
4 Luftfeld Rd.
Iyle, WA 98635-9460

From: monet7936@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:42:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diana Smith
1521 NE 100th
Seattle, WA 98125

From: godwits@wavecable.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:12:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Howard Armstrong
10833 Halloran Rd.
Bow, WA 98232

From: one2onestars@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:42:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mare Wahosi
246 Bremerton Blvd West
Bremerton, WA 98312

From: toni5158@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:41:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Toni Franklin
280 N Bay Ln
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

From: murphyl@wsu.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:41:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lesley Murphy
260 NW golden hills Dr. #40
Pullman, WA 99163

From: meditategreen@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Anne Peebles
PO Box 518
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: ladylane99@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathy Seabrook
1906 C St
vancouver, WA 98663-3330

From: elves4me@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michele Wright
1321 N 28th Street
Renton, WA 98056

From: michael.caufield@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michael Caufield
3717 SW Henderson St
Seattle, WA 98126

From: betzart.betsy@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:11:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Betsy Dahlstrom
26404 S E 160th Street
Issaquah, WA 98027

From: whitmires@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:10:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Don Whitmire
80 Parkwood Blvd.
Sequim, WA 98382

From: cbhoppy@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:18:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Blair Hopkins
936 W Metaline Ave
Kennewick, WA 99336-3469

From: docmeagher@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:11:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michael Meagher
10541 Whitman Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133

From: kcbseb@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:11:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I was born here 75 years ago and count it one of the major blessings that I have grown up and lived in such a beautiful part of the world and that I have had many experiences with native animals, wolves included, in the wild. I believe it is absolutely possible and imperative that we all learn to live together in peace -- whatever our species!

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery

area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sonia Baker
2631 46th Ave. SW
Seattle, WA 98116-2418

From: janetrhodes1@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:10:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch as well as to not let individuals suffer by being destroyed or by ruining family groups by harming members. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Rhodes
13913 SE 275th St
Kent, WA 98042

From: bmiller@serv.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:06:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Miller
6057 Ann Arbor Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: sleustis@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:05:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sara Eustis
1414 South Director Street
Seattle, WA 98108

From: pab6662000@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:04:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patrick Blakely
5617 Elizabeth Ave SE
Auburn, WA 98092-3867

From: rhmusic_netsuke@interisland.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:04:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
richard hieronymus
167 Kilsburrow Road
friday Harbor, WA 98250-8866

From: tskager72@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:04:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Theresa Skager
5322 #B North Highland
Ruston, WA 98407

From: jncrlnwmn@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:02:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
jean newman
1707 NE 75th Street
Seattle, WA 98115-4439

From: pegartista@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:01:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marguerite Winkel
2012 W 3rd
Spokane, WA 99201

From: catrae1960@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:18:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
cathy rosenbaum
12015 marine drive unit #60
tulalip, WA 98271

From: sylvie_eckhardt@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:01:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sylwia Eckhardt
43011 SE 174th St
North Bend, WA 98045

From: shark_cat76@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:01:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Catherine Herre
2015B NW 59th St.
Seattle, WA 98107

From: janetway@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:59:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Wolves belong back in our Washington ecosystem. They are part of the natural order and having them in our National Parks makes sense.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Way
940 NE 147th Street
Shoreline, WA 98155

From: NANCYDAHLBERG@EARTHLINK.NET
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:56:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

A great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Nancy Dahlberg
1757 NW 59th Street #301
Seattle, WA 98107

From: mvpax@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:56:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julie Geer
19689 7th Ave Ne #101
Poulsbo, WA 98370

From: ericfosburgh@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:55:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Eric Fosburgh
1415 E Republican St #203
Seattle, WA 98112

From: rondasnider@eml.cc
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:55:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ronda Snider
13805 Easy Street Kp N
Gig Harbor, WA 98329-5131

From: purrrpaws@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:53:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Beth Patterson
2124 W 1st Ave
#402
Spokane, WA 99201

From: scenicboys@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:53:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dustin Garet
2572 Gilman Drive W
#512
Seattle, WA 98119

From: kashmirdream@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:53:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ingrid Erickson
444 E. Alder DR.
Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284

From: comfixxifmoc@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:17:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
johni prinz
567 Point Brown Ave SW
Ocean Shores, WA 98569

From: jollysuebaker@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:52:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jolly Baker
13009 230 Ave. SE
Issaquah, WA 98027

From: viszlasrgreat@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:51:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Margaret Hartley
3512 Hahn Place So
Seattle, WA 98144-7324

From: dwatts36@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:50:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Watts
25016 So. Highway 525
Greenbank, WA 98253

From: budhansen@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:47:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jens Hansen
30 Lake Louise Drive
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: amaki@nwlink.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:45:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Andrea Maki
12239 10th Ave South
Seattle, WA 98168

From: bethmitchum@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:45:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Beth Mitchum
PO BOX 1634
Silverdale, WA 98383

From: tklempner@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:45:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Todd Klempner
319 N. 64th Street
Seattle, WA 98103

From: animekid328@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:42:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dustin Ramsey
1019 E 68th St
Tacoma, WA 98404

From: Madeleinesosin@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:42:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Madeleine Sosin
9666 50th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136

From: uzunerselim@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:40:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Selim Uzuner
Box 750
Carnation, WA 98014

From: kaliel@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:16:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Bechtholt
5290 Banner Rd SE
Port Orchard, WA 98367

From: brutherford@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:46:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bruce Rutherford
2012 Waverly Pl. N. #1
Seattle, WA 98109

From: BPJurgens@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:40:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Barbara Jurgens
13005 NE 71st Street
Kirkland, WA 98033

From: wassean@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:40:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sean Smith
15825 SE 258th
Covington, WA 98042

From: lrakocy@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:38:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs. I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lisa Humphreys
108 2nd Avenue South
Kirkland, WA 98033

From: c.d.artsmiths@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:38:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Caroline Sumpter
26814 3rd ave ne
Arlington, WA 98223

From: cmekee2@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:38:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Crystal Ekhart
4119 Michigan Dr.
Silverdale, WA 98315

From: vivajus@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:37:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Also, having seen a wolf several years ago while I was hiking

the Boulder River trail was exciting. I would hope other people feel the same way.

Sincerely,
Vivian Van den Biesen
7514 - 208th St. S.W.
#D202
Edmonds, WA 98026

From: kimberly@mariposanaturescapes.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:33:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Leeper
6522 - 43rd Ave. S
Seattle, WA 98118

From: shannon@circlegreen.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:32:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shannon Svensson
3717 Fobes Rd
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: patriciam@clearwire.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:32:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patricia Rodgers
8121 NE 141st Street
Bothell, WA 98011

From: nandic@interisland.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:15:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nancy Hieronymus
167 Kilsburrow Rd.
Friday Harbor, WA, WA 98250-8866

From: jeleavitt@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:32:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
jane leavitt
518 26th Ave. S
Seattle, WA 98144

From: bare_facts@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:30:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ted Noon
2024 W Mallon Ave
Spokane, WA 99201

From: ladylilei@charliebeck.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:30:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Charmaine Slaven
10624 4th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146

From: jfg2020@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:30:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeni Gray
PO Box 14
Hoodsport, WA 98548

From: aliciapower@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:29:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alicia Power
8531 12th Avenue NW
Seattle, WA 98117

From: bradford.n.goodwin@boeing.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:28:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bradford Goodwin
25325 SE 216th Street
Maple Valley, WA 98038

From: gaylev@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:27:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dorothy Vyskocil
17059 Peterson Rd
Burlington, WA 98233

From: aussipug@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:26:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lisa Vandermay
16203 se 175th pl
Renton, WA 98058

From: mggstone@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:26:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Maggie Stone
6108 NE 284th Street
Battle Ground, WA 98604

From: lmk1@cornell.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:26:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laura Kozuh
9714 6th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117

From: lawiley@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:15:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lucinda Wiley
7146 St Heles Place - Box 5120
Glacier, WA 98244

From: majel7274@cedarcomm.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:25:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
M Alan Lish
17729-25th Ave NE
Marysville, WA 98271

From: m.g.lawty@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:25:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Muriel Lawty
1122 E Pike #672
Seattle, WA 98122

From: richard.jauch@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:24:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Richard Jauch
1139 s. new morning street
"caamano island," , WA 98282

From: indyls@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:23:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Swan
P O Box 224
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: pshafransky@be.wednet.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:23:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Paula Shafransky
22461 Prairie Road
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284

From: dabennie@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:23:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but would like to see an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest before and we can continue to do so again. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. After reviewing existing research, it suggests that a goal three or more times as large would be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and I would like to see the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dave Bennie
1900 NE 158th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98684

From: jacks8981@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:22:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

As a veteran teacher, a parent, and a grandparent who cares deeply about the quality of the world we will leave to our children and our grandchildren, I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan. We must do as Aldo Leopold suggested
and think like a mountain.

<<http://www.eco-action.org/dt/thinking.html>>

Sincerely,

Jack Stansfield

16314 62nd Avenue NW

Stanwood, WA 98292-8981

From: galaxytonight@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:22:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeanie Kiersky
11618 26th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98168

From: qfcbryan@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:21:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bryan Trent
12718 162nd Avenue Kp N
Gig Harbor, WA 98329

From: dhyan@muckleshoot.nsn.us
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:20:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dhyan Story
5929 35th Way Se
Auburn, WA 98092

From: marvinke@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:15:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marvin Kelly
2924 Clearwater Road
Forks, WA 98331

From: enlitened@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:20:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Angela Smith
126 SW 148th St.
Seattle, WA 98166-1984

From: catlight45@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:20:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Wolfe
28701 Sixth Place South #201
Des Moines, WA 98198-8274

From: rogge@olympus.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:18:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Rogge
696 Woodland Drive
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: Elyette_w@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:17:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Elyette Weinstein
5000 Orvas Court SE
Olympia, WA 98501-4765

From: sfarnor@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:16:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shane Farnor
4237 S. Bozeman St.
Unit D
Seattle, WA 98118

From: velamich@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:16:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michelle Vela
4707 36th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98126

From: rmiller147@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:16:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ross Miller
10022 NE 23rd Street
Bellevue, WA 98004

From: uberuberuberuber@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:15:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kitty Jones
20044 bagley dr. n
apt. y #104
shoreline, WA 98133

From: milliemagner@clearwire.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:15:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mildred Wagner
4228 28th Place West
Seattle, WA 98199

From: mytzi@q.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:41:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mytzi Rudolph
4500 Nicholson rd apt h4
Vancouver, WA 98661

From: kags49er@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:27:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathryn McGibben
7106 NE 44th Circle #A
Vancouver, WA 98661

From: msmango57@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:26:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Chris Suksdorf
31109 O Place
Ocean Park, WA 98640

From: Jobhihai@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:19:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joseph Neumann
1212 NE Ravenna Blvd
Seattle, WA 98105

From: MAGICMELT@GMAIL.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:18:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Melanie Thornton
619 Sunset Avenue
Wenatchee, WA 98801

From: patt@olyphen.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:15:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

The Olympic Peninsula is missing only this mammal. It is essential for a healthy environment to return wolves.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patt Doyle
PO Box 2522
Forks, WA 98331

From: wjsilva@post.harvard.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:04:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Will Silva
7315 17th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117

From: rong3@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:02:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ron Quigley
2902 Firwood Loop SE
Apt A
Olympia, WA 98501

From: ccryder98@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:58:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Terri Bedell-Ferguson
1607 E. Wellesley Ave.
Spokane, WA 99207

From: dbcoale@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:57:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane B Coale
7742 19th Ave. N.W.
Seattle, WA 98117

From: denktwswanson@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:54:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mrs. K. T. Swanson
1055 NE Creston Lane
Pullman, WA 99163-3806

From: anapurna@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:41:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Annapoorne Colangelo
7651 Scatchet Head Road
Clinton, WA 98236

From: jumpingjoan@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:52:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
joan olsen
221 13th ave east
seattle, WA 98102

From: mgstok@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:52:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Stoknes
168 Wood Ave. SW
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

From: LBurris597@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:52:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laurence Burris
23405 50th Ave W
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043-5305

From: ladiabla333@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:52:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michelle Pavcovich
11351 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125

From: dragonfly6160@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:52:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Craig Zimmerman
p.o. box 819
Buckley, WA 98321

From: korkyp_00@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:50:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ethel Perkins
12609 12 ave. so.
Seattle, WA 98168

From: chrissill@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:46:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Chris Sill
15121 84th PL NE
Kenmore, WA 98028

From: dolpheric@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:45:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Eric Dolph
2707 Brackett ave.
Yakima, WA 98902

From: llandersson4@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:42:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Andersson
2424 79th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039

From: alohacad@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:42:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Chris Dunn
PO Box 784
Morton, WA 98356

From: mtkovacs@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:37:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michael Kovacs
3714 S 189th Pl
Seatac, WA 98188

From: uu4choice@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:40:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carol Campbell
4604 Bellview St. W
University Place, WA 98466-1014

From: CRedchestnut@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:36:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Cara Chestnut
17527 149th Ave SE
Renton, WA 98058

From: kioyte_2000@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:34:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kilee O'Connor
5322 191st St SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036

From: cdisovinsky@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:32:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
T. Sharpe
P.O. Box 993
Snoqualmie, WA 98065

From: flyboy101st@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:32:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
sEAN gUFFEY
15402 n pioneer road
prosser, WA 99301

From: paytonplace1225@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:32:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Fay Payton
5902 298th Ave Ne
Carnation, WA 98014

From: ward_peterson@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:28:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ward Peterson
11515 NE 49 St.
KK-102
Vancouver, WA 98682

From: doughertysusan@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:25:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
susan dougherty
10126 126th ave se
Renton, WA 98056

From: boosiocam@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:20:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
meredith cable
12321 hwy 99
#140
everett, WA 98204

From: redbear55@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:20:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
deb moran
PO Box 14
Suquamish, WA 98392

From: pleazzer2dp@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:35:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Darrell Plouffe
3220 Broadway #3
Everett, WA 98201

From: susanhigbee@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:20:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Higbee
410 S 5th St. #D
Selah, WA 98942

From: blbard@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:19:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

It is SO EXCITING to have these wonderful animals back in WA

State. It will be interesting to follow their success, and to see what the impact is on the human populations.

Sincerely,
Brenda Bard
10922 NE 66th Place
Kirkland, WA 98033

From: shannon.fouts@alaskaair.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:19:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shannon Fouts
S Wright Ave
Tacoma, WA 98418

From: PJASKAR@TACOMA.K12.WA.US
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:16:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peg Jaskar
17313 22nd Avenue E
Tacoma, WA 98445

From: drodgers50@clearwire.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:16:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Rodgers
8121 NE 141st. Street
Bothell, WA 98011

From: orcabison@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:15:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Turns East
5643 Whitehorn Way
Blaine, WA 98230

From: sunny@sunnywalter.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:14:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sunny Walter
12525 206th Pl SE
Issaquah, WA 98027

From: ude@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:13:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Wayne Ude
4249 Nuthatch Way
Clinton, WA 98236

From: myhreclimber@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:11:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Paul Myhre
11114 Merry Canyon Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826

From: mzpamela@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:10:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pamela Snyman
P.O. Box 1294
Okanogan, WA 98840

From: tsayre@wamail.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:35:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tom Sayre
5020 30th Street NE
Tacoma, WA 98422

From: jteach@webtv.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:09:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jean Teach
3708 E. Evergreen Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98661

From: martincat@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:09:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
melodie martin
2339 11th Avenue E
Seattle, WA 98102

From: bluecamaslily@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:07:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

My wife and I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). We appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and we appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. As I stated in the public meeting in Mount Vernon, we support Alternative 3, but with a substantially increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. We have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering our comments; we look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Gladstone
P.O. Box 803
Snohomish, WA 98291

From: burdins@cox.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:05:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
J Burdin
17059 Peterson Rd
Burlington, WA 98233

From: jwlove@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:03:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

From: dmcclure@colfax.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:03:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
James McClure
108 W James St
Colfax, WA 99111

From: miltwend2@q.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:01:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Milton Clark
12523 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133

From: dnagyfy@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:54:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Desi Nagyfy
1120 E Westmoreland Road
Deer Park, WA 99006

From: pla695@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:51:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Allen Matherly
4568 Resrvation Road
Springdale, WA 99173

From: june_macarthur@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:51:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
June MacArthur
1045 Hillandale Dr. E.
Port Orchard, WA 98366

From: jerryjking@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:33:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jerry C. King
3925 E. 17th Avenue
Spokane, WA 99223-5220

From: edward@kidem.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:51:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Edward Mills
264 WL Sammamish NE
Bellevue, WA 98008

From: jwthorpe@sbcglobal.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:50:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Thorpe
17614 Rouse Rd., KPS
Longbranch, WA 98351

From: bilhulbert@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:48:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bill Hulbert
880 Division Street NW
Olympia, WA 98502

From: dm_webb@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:47:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dean Webb
4522 36th Avenue West
Seattle, WA 98199

From: riddlegame@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:44:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Riddle
502 S. 5th Ave.
Othello, WA 99344

From: gato122@earthlink.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:39:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Francesca Fuller
29821 131st Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070

From: dshau2@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:33:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Shaughnessy
1528 22nd ST NE
Auburn, WA 98002

From: carol_stowers@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:30:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carol Stowers
123 214th Ave NE
Sammamish, WA 98074

From: lajohnson70@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:28:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
lawrence johnson
245 tacoma ave so. #305
tacoma, WA 98402

From: zil@u.washington.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:28:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Liz Campbell
605 n 64th street
seattle, WA 98203

From: StaryLos@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:32:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steve Shipe
2124 N 59th St
SEATTLE, WA 98103

From: shirleykvam@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:25:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shirley Kvam
1017 NW 80th Street
seattle, WA 98117

From: fkarlson@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:22:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
fred karlson
5779 Vista
Ferndale, WA 98248

From: jws38stu@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:22:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Sanborn
4008 Northwest Ave. #109
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: tune.tom@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:20:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tom Melancon
3126 A Franklin Avenue E.
Seattle, WA 98102

From: tigereyes@mailhaven.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:20:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lisa Heinkel
3190 McClellan St
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: wildeyes7@wavecable.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:32:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Regina Smithrud
9308 Kimberly Pl NW
Bremerton, WA 98311

From: jowarm@olympen.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:27:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

Recognizing the balance that wolves provide in the mix of wild creatures is critical to the health of all populations. People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
JO YOUNT
717 25th Street

Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: bkalanit@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:16:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kalanit Barson
150 4th St #1-1
Langley, WA 98260

From: MHawk78443@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:39:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marsha Hawk
PO Box 512
Ellensburg, WA 98926

From: jshhl@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:38:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington.

Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) If the wolves are introduced in Olympic National Park they will find their way to the coastal areas. Certainly Mt St Helens should be a primary area.
- 3) Education of the citizens about the re-establishment of the wolves and their role in controlling coyotes and weakened deer and elk needs to be done for more acceptance.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jerry Broadbent

PO Box 146
Bucoda, WA 98530

From: ericks2726@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:30:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dee Renee Ericks
622 S. Francis St.
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: scottycares@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:28:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carol Scott
901 E MCLEOD RD
BELLINGHAM, WA 98226

From: alycia.staats@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:25:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alycia Staats
7344 39th ave ne
seattle, WA 98115

From: susannah@bfmiller.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:19:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susannah Miller
4719 164th PL NE
Redmond, WA 98052

From: DeeDee10x10@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:18:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Loran
1910 180th Ave. KPN
Lakebay, WA 98349

From: PrincessSara182@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:15:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sara Brugger
12700 NE 7th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98685

From: rdemartin@w-link.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:06:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Renee DeMartin
4408 Delridge Way SW #312
Seattle, WA 98106

From: panther5141@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:59:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Maria Ehrhardt
PO Box 28
Custer, WA 98240

From: agoodman@seanet.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:14:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alice Goodman
13713 16th Avenue SW
Burien, WA 98166

From: greens@nas.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:57:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Elaine Green
4420 Harrison St.
Bellingham, WA 978229

From: msf1032@tscnet.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:53:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
AnneGay Fawcett
181 Doncee Dr NW
Bremerton, WA 98311

From: gemma@3rascals.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:50:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gemma Holt
403 31st Avenue S
Seattle, WA 98144

From: klwells51@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:39:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Karen Wells
17604 SE 20th Way
Vancouver, WA 98683

From: jc1569@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:38:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jovon Crain
6507 East N St.
Tacoma, WA 98404

From: BetsyP@cablespeed.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:37:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Betsy Pendergast
530 Roosevelt Street
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: sundberg@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:36:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Sundberg
830 Gleason Lane
Langley, WA 98260

From: vancdanbanks@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:34:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Wesley Banks
P.O. Box 73
Vancouver, WA 98666

From: peggy.page@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:31:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peggy Page
24324 Miller Rd
Stanwood, WA 98292

From: kerbyhyland@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:31:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kerby Hyland
23518 145th St Ct E
BUCKLEY, WA 98321

From: dustyhaze@olypen.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:07:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Hayes Wasilewski
764 Hunt Rd.
Port Angeles, WA 98363

From: keithvsg@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:30:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Keith Stracchino
10508 East Cimmaron Drive
Spokane, WA 99206

From: ljfquilts@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:24:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laurie Fleming
2307 S. Southeast Blvd. #3
Spokane, WA 99203

From: allie@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:18:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alice Goss
3885 Campbell Rd
Clinton, WA 98236

From: mickconlan@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:17:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mike Conlan
6421 139th Place NE, #52
Redmond, WA 98052

From: rosshow@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:17:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
harry howell
62 cosmos ln
port angeles, WA 98362

From: dhustonseattle@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:16:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Huston
4102 41st Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116

From: nanruss@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:16:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nancy Kerwin
22403 53rd Ave. S.E.
Bothell, WA 98021

From: collik@pobox.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:14:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lyle %pa_first_name% Karen Collins
200 N 70th Ave #4
Yakima, WA 98908-1308

From: whistlejacket@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:12:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lisa Carlson
1202 NE 70th Street
Seattle, WA 98115

From: jane@hctc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:12:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jane Frantz
PO Box 748
Hoodsport, WA 98548

From: sapphirehealingheart@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:05:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Zatrine
17331 N.E. 195th St.
Woodinville, WA 98072

From: birdandcat@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:10:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I am pleased to hear that the Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife is working with the Wolf Working Group on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you again for your work to re-establish a wolf population in Washington State and for considering my comments. I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Bird
3310 221st Ave SE
Sammamish, WA 98075

From: tedklump@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:10:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ted Klump
8616 Silver Star
Vancouver, WA 98664-2539

From: lawinds@nas.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:08:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laura Winds
3560 Constitution Rd
Lummi Island, WA 98262

From: burrski@methownet.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:02:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Please consider this information in addition to the comment form I sent in by snail mail, which also favors alternative 3.

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.

2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Eric Burr
585 Lost River Road
Mazama, WA 98833

From: lowetrimble@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:02:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Victoria Trimble-Lowe
15665 SE 43rd St.
Bellevue, WA 98006

From: dwengel10@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:00:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Donald Engel
530 Terry St
Sunnyside, WA 98944

From: mwbike@ecologyfund.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:59:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mark Watson
14108 SE 198th
Renton, WA 98058

From: hatrat@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:59:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Arthur Hathaway
816 Chestnut Street
Cheney, WA 99004-1267

From: llehner@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:57:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lora Lehner
1259 Marlin Dr SE
Port Orchard, WA 98366

From: padma1lotus@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:48:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ann Pinoges
3702 78th ave ct w
#v302
University Place, WA 98466

From: feline@brazildg.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:53:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following serious concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is way too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
marla katz
1029 Summit Ave. E. #17
seattle, WA 98102

From: reikiricki@g.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:42:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ricki Walsh
1602 E. 15th Ave.
Spokane, WA 99203

From: reedjarvis@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:42:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

As a former NPS Regional Chief Ranger for the Pacific Northwest, I would like to submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.

2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas. When I was Asst. Supt. of Olympic National Park, we commissioned a study for wolf reintroduction and found that it was feasible.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Reed Jarvis
100 Timber Ridge Way NW, #3212
Issaquah, WA 98027

From: liviajohn@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:40:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Christina Johnson
135 Cherry Lane SE
Olympia, WA 98501

From: ashleys237@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:39:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ashley Stover
1219 NE 100th St
Seattle, WA 98125

From: secrets@clearwire.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:30:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin Street
Clarkston, WA 99403

From: bluestwo2@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:29:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ramona Menish
316 Sudden Valley
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: genezoe@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:26:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Zoe Lambacher
424 E Sixth St
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: billhanley@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:25:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bill Hanley
13720 NE 42nd Ave
Vancouver, WA 98686

From: helenmeecker@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:16:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Helen Meeker
11325 SW 220th ST
Vashon Island, WA 98070

From: xmas_carole@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:14:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carole Henry
6109 Seabeck Holly Road NW
Seabeck, WA 98380

From: tallman_scott@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:50:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Scott Tallman
620 N 34th Street Apt. 524
Seattle, WA 98103

From: hikeguru@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:13:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brenda Lewis
539B Antoine Creek Road
Chelan, WA 98816

From: skoklrd@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:10:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Dittmar
PO Box 61
Shelton, WA 98584

From: KBOYD10062@AOL.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:06:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kelvin Boyd
8702 109th Street Court SW
Lakewood, WA 98498

From: shesawinna@wavecable.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:03:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Margie Jensen
825-196th St. Nw
Arlington, WA 98223

From: sbjelland@netzero.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:02:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shanan Bjelland
24102 OK Hwy E
Graham, WA 98338

From: tarney50@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:01:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tracey Arney
1872 Brookmonte DR SE
Puyallup, WA 98372

From: dustinocoileain@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:01:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

p.s. tell them "thank you."

Sincerely,
Dustin Collings
4111-11th Avenue NE
#208
Seattle, WA 98105-6305

From: jadpinecone@wavecable.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:01:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeff Davis
2781 Maple Tree Lane
Camano Island, WA 98282

From: unclerick@q.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:59:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Milissa Kelly
9811 63rd Avenue S
Seattle, WA 98118

From: keliki11@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:58:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kelly Falkner
1322 NLafayette Ave
Bremerton, WA 98312

From: dianealida@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:50:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Sullivan
1231 SW Kalama Loop
Oak Harbor, WA 98277

From: AdeSFaria@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:55:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Adriana Faria
17747 93rd Ave E
Puyallup, WA 98375

From: latinyid31@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:52:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Sheppard
PO Box 20611
Seattle, WA 98102

From: coolingplace@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:49:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Dickinson
1109 5th Ave. N #33
Seattle, WA 98109

From: susanjk@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:41:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Kirchoff
3237 29th Ave. W
Seattle, WA 98199

From: bradcecile@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, January 03, 2010 3:50:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brad Aldrich
12101 N. Korey Ln.
Spokane, WA 99218

From: jeanienovick@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:30:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeanie Novick
2709 196th St Se
Mill Creek, WA 98012

From: meshaiman@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:30:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marsha Shaiman
824 29th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

From: nhales1@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:32:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Normandie Hales
6511 Sierra Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

From: jwwhidbey@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:34:14 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John %pa_first_name% Ann Wright
1670A Swantown Road
Oak Harbor, WA 98277

From: whitebear2171@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:34:14 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

May you walk in beauty White-Bear Apache Elder

Sincerely,
White Bear
POB 69533
Seattle, WA 98168/9533

From: drjna@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:37:14 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julia "Allen, PhD, DVM"
3618 39th Ave West
Seattle, WA 98199

From: apbendix@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:39:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pamela Bendix
7161 NE Hidden Cove Rd
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

From: eleanordowson@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:43:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Dowson
2007 Millpointe Drive SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012

From: froghollow@sisna.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:45:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Eugene Kiver
4210 Tyler Way
Anacortes, WA 98221

From: jillhein@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:49:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jill Hein
195 Harrington Road
Coupeville, WA 98239

From: glennthureson@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 30, 2009 6:46:05 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Glenn Thureson
17534 SE 135th Street
Renton, WA 98059

From: rmoore@eds.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:50:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rita Moore
6 Fern Hollow
Mercer Island, WA 98040

From: cascadden@cablespeed.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:55:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Cascadden
263 Four Corners rd
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: wolfchick61@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:56:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Henthorn
2802 N Locust
Spokane, WA 99206

From: aym73@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:59:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ai Mccarthy
13526 NE 70th St.
Redmond, WA 98052

From: aelliott3@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:01:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ann Elliott
164 Lotzgesell Rd.
Sequim, WA 98382

From: girl_paddler@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:03:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julie Collins
3043 NW 65th St
Seattle, WA 98117

From: alpinepainting@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:06:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michael O'Brien
18214 W Spring Lake Dr SE
Renton, WA 98058-0604

From: liliawood@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:07:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lilia Wood
2917 147th PL SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012

From: trish.merrill@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:11:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
patricia merrill
2512 9th W
Seattle, WA 98119

From: jaceiversen@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:11:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jace Iversen
194 Hart Rd.
Port Angeles, WA 98363

From: dklyle@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 29, 2009 9:57:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
k lyle
pobox 1982
gig harbor, WA 98335

From: corderoa@teleport.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:11:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ann Cordero
2814 Lilac Street
Longview, WA 98632

From: roxygirl1622@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:14:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amber Hanstead
5826 Pacific Rim Way #28
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: OITWL@YAHOO.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:18:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan. I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I do not support Alternative 3.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is NOT too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population. Why are the national park conservatory trying to increase the number of wolves? Is it for their own monetary gain? Probably or power.

2) The NCPA thinks the Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

On what basis should they be considered? I want more than just Just because wolves are not there right now, they will be without mans help. They are enlarging their territory on their own. They will be there in their own time.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Also, the NCPA has my address messed up. It is:

Ed Wade 24211 S Cheney-Spangle Rd Cheney, WA 99004

Sincerely,
Ed Wade
24211 Cheney Spangle Road
Cheney, WA 99004

From: lbirish@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:22:24 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lura Iriah
POB 578
Lakebay, WA 98349

From: dfleming41@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:26:24 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Doug Fleming
2000 Fraser Street #103
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: giannatorres@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:28:24 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gianna Torres
626 Ave. I
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: blakbay@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:44:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Cara Schwartz
2320 w crockett
Seattle, WA 98199

From: elliottsgirl68@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:47:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I greatly appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

We as a state need to make this work to the best of our ability, to show our neighbors, Idaho, Montana & Wyoming that we CAN co-exist with wolves. Their solution is to slaughter them,

negating all the hard work it took to reintroduce them after having been brought to the edge of existence by man. Lets be the shining example of co-existence!!

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Frances Gloor
410 Grand Fir Drive
Enumclaw, WA 98022

From: unclewolf@olyden.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:48:34 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf.

I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward the plan's further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Andrew Gach
3431 Mill Creek Ct.

Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: Evgonehikin@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:52:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Drews
710 E Creekside Drive
Belfair, WA 98528

From: ginnyandgerry@q.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, January 06, 2010 8:54:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Virginia Haver
4003 49th SW
Seattle, WA 98116

From: cand47@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:56:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Candice Cassato
6417 54th ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502

From: aikenss@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:58:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sonja Aikens
1020 Waverly
Walla Walla, WA 99362

From: wbquail@nventure.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:04:28 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Karen Glennan-West
6447 S. Mullen St.
Tacoma, WA 98409

From: rebehrendt@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:05:26 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Behrendt
3403 Field Ave
Anacortes, WA 98221

From: gm052699@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:15:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gloria Anderson
5341 S. 140th st
Seattle, WA 98168

From: squash99@prodigy.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:15:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Quentin Kreuter
4507 Hillcrest Drive
Apartment I (i)
Yakima, WA 98901

From: jillzapski@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:17:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jill Czaplicki
18939 NE 20th Court
Redmond, WA 98052-6066

From: anitaandeliot@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Implement Alternative 3: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 7:27:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Anita Das
9522 49th Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98115

From: luddite@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: COMMENT upon Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:38:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for reading this, and thank you for entering the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) into the record.

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
kirk francis
4750 goldsmith road
langley, WA 98260

From: jpl@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Concerned Citizen Writing to YOU Re: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:07:15 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for taking the time to read this. I have taken time from my busy day as a physician to write this, because the return of higher predators like wolves to our Washington State ecosystem is very important to me and to my family and friends. They don't have the time to write, so I will write for them. We are presently boycotting Montana, Idaho and Oregon because they shoot wolves. This has limited where we spend our skiing vacation dollars.

Please do the right thing. We need MORE wolves and breeding pairs. We need to protect them until they get established. Ultimately it will result in a healthier ecosystem, plus I won't have to end up boycotting my own state's economy, which would be awkward.

Sincerely, Dr. Jeffrey Paul LaGasse M.D.
Emergency Medicine/Trauma Specialist

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.

2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Paul LaGasse M.D.
PO Box 637
Freeland, WA 98249

From: annie.brule@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Cons. & Mgmt. Plan: Please increase target numbers
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:14:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Annie Brule
5221 SW Pt. Robinson Rd.
Vashon, WA 98070

From: james0132@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:25:09 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peggy Ranson
911 Chuckanut Shore Rd.
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: mmart62@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:30:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Matt Martorella
14445 127th Lane Ne #S-13
Kirkland, WA 98034

From: rayakama@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:23:09 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
R A Larson
109 South 27th Street
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

From: joanuzelak@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:01:23 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
joan uzelak
221 13th ave east
seattle, WA 98102

From: jeriene@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:56:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeriene Walberg
3857 Williams Ave. W.
Seattle, WA 98199

From: nathanalthauser@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:54:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nathan Althausen
7506 Kittiwake Dr. SE
Olympia, WA 98513

From: harpercasper2004@peoplepc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:53:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shirley Harper
410 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501

From: jeff.blair@northwestschool.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:52:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeff Blair
10533 2nd Avenue NW
Seattle, WA 98177

From: baalim@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:49:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Billie Barnett
3924 Benson Street
Gig Harbor, WA 98332

From: gktuss@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:44:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gemma Tussy
22847 n.e. 26th st
sammamish, WA 98074

From: elight5@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:43:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
elizabeth archodominion
13315 se 57th st
bellevue, WA 98006

From: leftymanu@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:39:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
keith cowan
3709 s.w. trenton st.
seattle, WA 98126

From: jeffrey.c.bower@boeing.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:33:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bower
522 19th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

From: bmurphy71@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:16:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brenna Murphy
3720 Home Road, #301
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: kimgroom@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:32:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kim Groom
306 williams blvd nw
Orting, WA 98360

From: bearnecessitys@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:11:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

Wolves are a natural balancer to over-abundant populations of all sizes of herbivores. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kerry Logan
3091 Stemilt Creek Rd
Wenatchee, WA 98801

From: vspadt@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:06:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Valerie Spadt
5319 Alder Glen Ct. SE
Olympia, WA 98513

From: dawn.elam@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:05:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dawn Elam
9811 ne 69th st
vancouver, WA 98662

From: sarahconyers@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:04:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sj Conyers
329 N 102nd Street
Seattle, WA 98133

From: earrame@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:58:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
jason earrame
19231 marble st.SW
rochester, WA 98579

From: myjunglefriends@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:55:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Troy George
1400 Harding Rd.
Aberdeen, WA 98520

From: mweick@charter.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:53:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mark Weick
5724 Campbell Road
Peshastin, WA 98847

From: pjoyner@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:46:18 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028

From: RocNoggin@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:36:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brian Larson
6553 25th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117

From: vaeb@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:15:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
valerie bernard
3032 nw 69th Street
seattle, WA 98117

From: lin.jim@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:24:15 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Spellman
2218 54th St. Ct. NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

From: hdsolomon@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:19:15 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I support Alternative 3.

Respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Harlan Solomon
6509 Sierra Drive SE
Olympia, WA 98503

From: alcapone0255@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:04:15 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
alfred villarreal
402 17 ave. ct. sw.
puyallup, WA 98371

From: thedowoppers@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 5:46:12 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patricia Miller
8705 E. Upriver Dr
Spokane, WA 99212

From: delyicious@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 5:46:12 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Del E. Domke
16142 N.E. 15th. Street
Bellevue, WA 98008-2711

From: rachet26@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 5:26:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
ann Johnson
3418 10th St., N.W.
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-7805

From: manniemanx@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:42:10 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michelle Be Roth
15701 58th Pl W
Edmonds, WA 98026

From: roymunroe@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:39:10 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Roy Munroe
127 NW Decatur
Olympia, WA 98502

From: dj@djbradleyphotography.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:20:10 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
DJ Bradley
12236 10TH Ave NW
SEATTLE, WA 98177

From: simba82047@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:26:08 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lawrence
19 E 32nd Avenue
Spokane, WA 99203

From: cosby2716@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:12:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Glen %pa_first_name% Greta Cosby
2716 W. Lacrosse Ave.
Spokane, WA 99205

From: hcabal@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:26:06 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. Washington would be an amazing example of a solid management plan! It would be an honor for the state! But I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Heather Cabal
1823 18th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

From: crowwoman@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:37:22 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Anne Baker
2616 neals In
vancouver, WA 98661

From: lizziekay41@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:31:22 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kaylouise Cook
14352 37th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125

From: btweetybird128@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:31:22 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Barbara Case
230 sw 200th st
seattle, WA 98166

From: kokomikeis@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:11:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amber Yates
138 W Chestnut St
Walla Walla, WA 99362

From: spencerselander@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:05:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Spencer Selander
P.O. Box 363
341 Pioneer Av
Castle Rock, WA 98611-0363

From: jfriel64@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:04:22 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
James Friel
6029 35th Ave. N.E.
Seattle, WA 98115

From: dgabella@nextstagedance.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:04:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dominique Gabella
7330 56 Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: changovato@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:33:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ramsey Sierra
2897 nw 99th st
Seattle, WA 9817

From: robthom2001@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:14:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Thomson
20037 NE 116th St
Redmond, WA 98053

From: phyllis2001@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:12:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Rose-Thomas
2110 N ALDER ST
TACOMA, WA 98406

From: speedyorca@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:08:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
William Bumgardner
4740 40th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105

From: dianstevejahn@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:58:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steve Jahn
4501 Fidalgo Bay Road #901
Anacortes, WA 98221

From: k.omorrison@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:47:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kevin O'Morrison
10120 240th Pl. SW
Edmonds, WA 98020

From: dragon4646@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:37:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ardith Arrington
505 Boylston Ave E #402
Seattle, WA 98102

From: tassercat@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:25:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
L. Beth Schlansky
508 NW 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98107

From: kendrawb@namshub.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:21:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kendra Williams
3736 SW Webster Street
Seattle, WA 98126

From: charadfly@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:17:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Charlene Mclaughlin
1404 Kope Rd.
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: Feliciadurand@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:14:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Felicia Durand
2511 26th ave ne
Olympia, WA 98506

From: rvon_tobel@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:12:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert von Tobel
2038 139th Place SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-4036

From: aw95@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:55:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
A.E. White
2330 - 43rd ave east
Seattle, WA 98112

From: casesensitive911@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:10:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
nadine jardine
12240 410th street court east
n/a
Eatonville, WA 98328

From: kimmykat65@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:51:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Schulz
3005 E 29th Street
Vancouver, WA 98661

From: ravenna47@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:44:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
marian Bauman
917 NE 73rd St
Seattle, WA 98115

From: sbiggio@telcomplus.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:41:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

They are an important part of the ecosystem.

In recent years there has been an over-abundance of deer, moose, caribou, and bear, and other species, that are now forced to forage on the lands that we encroached upon, (I have seen them walking the streets of Bellingham, WA for the first time in over 30 years), that would have kept the natural checks in balance. The re-introduction of a hunter species, would not only thin the herds, but would take out the sick and lame that would suffer if left without any natural selection.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to

maintain a viable population.

2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steven Biggio
2100 Alabama St
#V-1
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: asevigne@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:41:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
A Stone
340 water st
Seattle, WA 98026

From: lisaddvm@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:41:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lisa Donaldson
567 Whitecap Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: beltek@gorge.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:40:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peter %pa_first_name% Mary Alice Belov
718 E. Collins Dr.
Goldendale, WA 98620

From: rybo24@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:30:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ryan Bollman
12722 SE 312th St APT 9A
Auburn, WA 98092

From: wa.native1@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:30:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population. Maybe we can save some Alaska wolves from ariel hunts by transplanting to the Olympic National Park.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Larry O'Neil
321 NW 51st ST
Seattle, WA 98107

From: wendmartin@cablerocket.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:24:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Wendy Martin
7844 West Shore Drive
Anacortes, WA 98221

From: randykilmer@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:24:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Wolves are part of restoring a healthy ecosystem which we need.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Randy Kilmer
4224 NE 110th St.
Seattle, WA 98125

From: cheez.goddess@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:10:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Keira Berges
12012 40th ave ne
Seattle, WA 98125

From: annmclean@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:23:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ann McLean
7424 60th Place Ne
Marysville, WA 98270

From: thomlaz@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:21:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
thom laz
2321 fairview ave. e. #5
Seattle, WA 98102

From: mpchessin@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:19:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
m chessin
4115 greenwood
seattle, WA 98103

From: lmagie@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:16:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lynne Magie
21817 7th Pl W
Bothell, WA 98021

From: lahtdah1@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:16:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
vicki Ijewski
13000 admiralty way
d203
everett, WA 98204

From: leslie.shankman@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:07:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Leslie Shankman
2811 Sylvan Street
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: rmoller55@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:06:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Richard Moller
12601 8th Ave W
F-305
Everett, WA 98204-1834

From: shanghaied_birds@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:52:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Richard Bird
5118 Nettot Court NW
Olympia, WA 98502

From: cathc44@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:21:25 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Oh, Dear God, please help those who can affect a change in our policies toward our Brothers and Sisters in the wildlife kingdom proceed in a loving, enlightened and compassionate manner. The unconscionable and unnecessary slaughter of our wolves in Idaho and Wyoming speaks poorly of human kind and its stewardship of this planet.

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery

area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Catherine Campbell
P.O. Box 435
Twisp, WA 98856

From: etribec@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:21:22 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
mary eastman
12209 Ashworth Ave
Seattle, WA 98133

From: flopsey1@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:52:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Louise Gallion
17438 Bothell Way NE C-105
Bothell, WA 98011

From: kjboy27@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:33:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Karen Boyette
4509 Interlake Av., N., #189
Seattle, WA 98103

From: macolil@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:19:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Please bring the wolves back; there are too many deer around here.

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bruce Mclendon
220 E. Philura Ln.
Shelton, WA 98584

From: autumnkdial@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 11:06:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
AK Dial
2140 9th Ave. W #3
Seattle, WA 98119

From: kgoschen@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:43:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
K Goschen
401 Pond Lane
Sequim, WA 98382

From: camidohrn@mindspring.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:37:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Camille Dohrn
600 35th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

From: olsenjo@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:26:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joanne Olsen
335 NW 50th St.
seattle, WA 98107

From: alex@tufnell.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:19:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Tufnell
24226 26th Ave SE
Bothell, WA 98021

From: gwuttken@wavecable.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:24:24 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sally Wuttken
685 Little Loop Dr.
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: david_richard@nocharge.zzn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:17:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Richard
1009 W. Blaine
Seattle, WA 98119

From: gnakamoto@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 10:02:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Greg Nakamoto
1814 McGilvra Blvd E
Seattle, WA 98112

From: wendy_lee@peoplepc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:44:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Wendy Hernandez
57588 State Route 20 #33
Rockport, WA 98283

From: paperboats@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:32:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joan Poor
1002 10th Ave. N.
Edmonds, WA 98020

From: nancy-little@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 9:22:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nancy Little
PO Box 204
Ocean Shores, WA 98569

From: bobtobin75@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:39:12 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Tobin
22421 30th Ave So.#9
Des Moines, WA 98198

From: pricemara@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:30:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mara Price
10509 76th Dr NE
Marysville, WA 98270

From: tondak@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:27:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tonda Kiffin
7329 23rd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: dv8@whidbeyisland.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:25:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeff Weeks
3570 E Harbor Road
Langley, WA 98260

From: MCROSCHE@HOTMAIL.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:25:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Miles Roscher
3827 186th Place SE
Bothell, WA 98012

From: barbara@dobsis.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:59:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Barbara L Wood
1926 SE Richmond Lane
Port Orchard, WA 98367

From: WAApple1231@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:22:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
S. Wells
21904 8th Pl W
Bothell, WA 98021

From: black_panther111111@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 8:03:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

i am so happy that you are giving the wolves a chance!!! thanks.

Sincerely,
janice marshall
P.O. Box 5441
lacey, WA 98509

From: gillespi@hctc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:13:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
James Gillespie
220 Chinook Dr
POBox 1665
Hoodsport, WA 98548-1665

From: ccryder52@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:03:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Cecil Ferguson
1607 E. Wellesley Ave
Spokane, WA 99207

From: valariematinjussi@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 6:02:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Valarie Matinjussi
11220 SE 204th ST
KENT, WA 98031-1665

From: hm_arnold@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 5:04:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Heidi %pa_first_name% Erik Arnold
29814 SE 392nd St.
Enumclaw, WA 98022

From: vermin1070@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 4:55:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Keith Houser
4223 163rd Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006

From: cougarcreek7@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:25:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sammy Low
709 W Wiser Lake Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248

From: gandalf6@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:19:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kent Baker
4609 190th Street NE
Arlington, WA 98223

From: bkangley@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:11:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Blair Kangley
2531 W Dravus St
Seattle, WA 98199

From: deborahcordes@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:46:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Deborah Cordes
24103 SE 45th Place
Issaquah, WA 98029

From: dickandpat3@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:10:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Adina Parsley
709 W Wiser Lake Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248

From: ekayea@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 3:03:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Wolves are an important part of the ecosystem and they should be conserved as much as any other animal, more so because they are an important predator. Thank you for considering my comments and

I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Erika Jones
3161 Taylor Road
Oak Harbor, WA 98277

From: paul.seabrook@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
paul seabrook
1906 C street
Vancouver, WA 98663

From: joeg1985@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joseph Gaugler
1920 First Ave Apt 305
Seattle, WA 98101

From: LJWAUGH1@VERIZON.NET
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Waugh
7606 171st Avenue SE
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: xstitch@whidbey.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carol Gentz
919 Woodside Lane
Langley, WA 98260

From: almower@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amy Mower
PO Box 2004
Maple Falls, WA 98266

From: smorgan1964@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Morgan
1681 Peaceful Valley Drive
Maple Falls, WA 98266

From: sara.king@pobox.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:40:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sara King
6647 Montevista Drive SE
Auburn, WA 98092

From: tallphin@kvnews.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:38:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Toby Allphin
206 South Anderson
Ellensburg, WA 98926

From: jim_jeanne@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:27:09 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
James Seibert
1301 67th St SE, #10A
Auburn, WA 98092

From: cbarton@herrerainc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:38:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Chase Barton
133 N 50th St
Seattle, WA 98103

From: karwaki@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:38:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Please consider my comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). This document has been developed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. It intends to re-establish a naturally viable wolf population in Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners.

I support Alternative 3.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. My concerns with the current plan are:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Thomas Karwaki
4209 S Hudson St
Seattle, WA 98118

From: easywriter@hughes.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:38:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Margie Borchers
PO Box 2004
Battle Ground, WA 98604

From: marie.heroncove@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:38:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marie Marrs
111 Heron Cove Road
Port Angeles, WA 98363

From: jules0342@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:38:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julia Burwell
31 Crescent Key
Bellevue, WA 98006

From: jerms1162@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:37:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
james roth
3852 Williams Avenue West
seattle, WA 98199

From: jacquelineyv@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:37:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Vreeland
3301 College St. S.E APT A-4
Lacey, WA 98503

From: mcarlton@psgastro.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:37:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michele Carlton
14323 64th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026

From: quilljo@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:37:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jo Nowakowski
9535 Dayton Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103

From: saturnslady@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:37:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carrie Gavin
14515 Fremont Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: ytwolf@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:14:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Biale
7711 Greenridge St. SW
Olympia, WA 98512

From: amwillia@travelers.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:36:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Anne Williamson
3114 W. 7th Ave.
Spokane, WA 99224

From: dougalcom@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:36:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Doug Balcom
1730 NE 92nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

From: celloevans@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:36:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Evans
632 NW 75th St.
Seattle, WA 98117

From: moondawgs@mac.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:36:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robin Lindsey
1925 42nd Avenue SW
Seattle, WA 98116

From: kristinathorpe@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:35:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kristina Thorpe
PO Box 3284
Redmond, WA 98073

From: motheroceania@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:35:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nichole Rip
905 SE 136th Ave #J12
Vancouver, WA 98683

From: kari.olson@wecu.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:35:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kari Olson
5650 Deer Creek Dr
Ferndale, WA 98248

From: LINDAC@RECIVIL.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:35:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
LINDA CAIN
1991 WILLEYS LAKE ROAD
CUSTER, WA 98240

From: carello.m@ghc.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:34:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marianne Carello
6200 Sand Point Way NE
Apt. #401
Seattle, WA 98115

From: johan.booth@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, November 30, 2009 2:34:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Booth
218 3rd Street
Cheney, WA 99004

From: cbr600f10@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:10:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Seth Burke
1115 S. Cedar St
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: dariusmitchell@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:19:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
DARIUS MITCHELL
2727 w manor pl
SEATTLE, WA 98199

From: lisa468@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:11:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
lisa reynolds
6123 79th st e
puyallup, WA 98371

From: glynrrich@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:30:54 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Richard Glynn
1903 N. Callow Ave.
Bremerton, WA 98312-2909

From: safe_drvr@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:52:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steve Wilson
PO Box 352
Richland, WA 99352

From: Itsweeney@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:48:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Leslie Sweeney
PO Box 31734
Bellingham, WA 98228

From: manycolors@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:44:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sandy Lynch
6425 Clover Blossom Lane NE
Bremerton, WA 98311

From: rblument@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:41:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd Street
Seattle, WA 98115

From: cello5521@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:28:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Glen Carroll
6455 S. 127th Pl.
Seattle, WA 98178-3634

From: tim_bombar@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:27:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Timothy Bombar
2605 236th Place NE
Sammamish, WA 98074

From: vickijoyner@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:24:48 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
victoria Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028

From: ricktill@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:13:45 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I strongly support wolf reintroduction. I recently read *Wolf Totem*, a story about the destruction of the Mongolian grasslands by the Chinese communist party. A key component of the cultural revolution was extirpating the old ways. This included campaigns to destroy the wolf population, which the nomadic herders recognized as the keystone species in the ecosystem. Don't be a commie, support restoration of wolf populations. And read *Wolf Totem* when you get the chance.

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to

maintain a viable population.

2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Richard Till
2515 SE 51st, #15
Portland, OR 97206

From: opal_1978@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:05:44 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Christy Cornelsen
735 W. 1st St.
#17
Cheney, WA 99004

From: andrea@accoutrements.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:54:44 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Andrea Greenwold
5803 Cameron Rd
Freeland, WA 98249

From: aecarstensen@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:25:54 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amber Thrasher
po box 431
Port Hadlock, WA 98339

From: marylouf63@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:51:42 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mary Lou Francis
19332 133rd Place, S.E.
Renton, WA 98058

From: clarke.marcia@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:47:42 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Marcia Clarke
19151 130th Ave. N.E.
Bothell, WA 98011-3105

From: JOHNTRAUBA@COMCAST.NET
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:43:30 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Trauba
8039 26th Avenue SE
Lacey, WA 98503

From: lazymoose2001@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:38:30 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Summer Kozisek
10210 215th Ave. E.
Bonney Lake, WA 98391

From: frogdog13@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:37:30 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ardeth L. Weed
520 Hemlock Way, #4
Edmonds, WA 98020

From: dyanalake@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:34:31 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
diane crummett
p.o. box 1047
soap lake, WA 98851

From: neorenfield@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:20:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Galen Davis
9114 8th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: jettahurst@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:19:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jetta Hurst
34037 50th Ave S
Auburn, WA 98001

From: waterspout20@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:15:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Leuck
2800 SW Nevada St
Seattle, WA 98126

From: tkbears@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:15:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tim Kadrmas
2541 BlackBird Valley PL
Maple Falls, WA 98266-7088

From: PAMHARRIS810@YAHOO.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:21:54 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pamela Harris
3404 S 176th Street
Seatac, WA 98188

From: critters1@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:12:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Zandra Saez
1805 E. 34th Ave.
Spokane, WA 99203

From: mimmason@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:08:28 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Miriam Mason
7608 NE 144th Avenue
Vancouver, WA 98682

From: slparshall@basicisp.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:07:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan, and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sharon Parshall
PO Box 593

Fall City, WA 98024

From: sdeling2@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 11:04:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sonya Deling
36634 26th Court S
Federal Way, WA 98003

From: drbilly@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:57:30 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bill Kildall
"533 E. Vashon Ave,"
"Port Angeles," , WA 98362

From: audreebee@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:53:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Audrey Meade
3938 S Ferdinand St
Seattle, WA 98118

From: mkidd@wwest.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:40:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mark Kidd
816 A St
south Bend, WA 98586

From: rockhopper1934@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:39:28 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lynn Graham
358 S Garden Street
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: slcoyote@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:31:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
steven coyote
492 newport wy. n.w.
issaquah, WA 98027

From: gstebbing@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:30:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

IN FAVOR OF SUCCEEDING GENERATIONS

Sincerely,
Gayle Stebbings
2812 Summer St
Bellingham, WA 98225

From: benimoore@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:21:54 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Benita Moore
1941 Lake Whatcom Blvd. B-3
PMB#217
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: ionosphere@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:28:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Douglas Stangler
713 North 138th Street
Seattle, WA 98133-7424

From: thomlufkin@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:28:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Thom Lufkin
212 21st Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501

From: petertumpy@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:25:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peter Smith
14021 232 ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98027

From: cmcw@nwlinc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:21:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
corinne mcwilliams
6325 ne lessard rd
Camas, WA 98607

From: bstucki@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:19:27 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Stucki
517 Carlyon Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3412

From: mi.glo@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:08:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gloria Skouge
326 NW 182nd Street
Shoreline, WA 98177

From: srhodes@twire.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:01:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Rhodes
4326 SW Southern St
Seattle, WA 98136

From: cwnovel@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:59:50 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janalee Roy
4828 Slayden Rd NE
Tacoma, WA 98422

From: sjbusher2@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:54:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
SHARMAYNE BUSER
9515 NE 80TH AVE
VANCOUVER, WA 98662-1881

From: fzrung@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 12:52:51 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
LaVerne Zehrung
90 E Wysteria Ct.
Shelton, WA 98584

From: m_r_blish@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:30:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Melissa Gallagher
9144 162nd PI NE
Redmond, WA 98052 7573

From: alixine@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 3:06:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alixine Sasonoff
3701 SW 3 Tree Point Lane
Burien, WA 98166

From: emseedub@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 2:33:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
michael wasserman
7439 corliss ave n
seattle, WA 98103

From: chanes@g.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 12:54:24 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
john seeburger
3919 n. 34th st.
tacoma, WA 98407

From: Roubadan@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 12:20:23 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Roubal
853 NW 77th St
Seattle, WA 98117

From: saehild@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:42:24 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joanne Kingsbury
7016 87th St NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332

From: jvmartin@seanet.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:41:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Wolves are essential, and amazing in the wild. They will be a huge wonderful addition to their environment.

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jane Martin
13713 - 16th Avenue SW
Burien, WA 98166

From: kerrykovarik@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:29:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kerry Kovarik
341 N. 102nd. St.
Seattle, WA 98133

From: georganns@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 10:20:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Georgann Falotico
6598 NE Cedar St.
Suquamish, WA 98392

From: agolladay@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:58:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Arlene Golladay
1225 Bay Lp SW
Olympia, WA 98512

From: marianraw@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:54:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
marian rawson
401 n 17th st #112
Mt vernon, WA 98273

From: aquamoonmaiden@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:27:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jessica Tellez
2307 Cooper Crest St NW
Olympia, WA 98502

From: jerryandleah@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:48:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Leah Anderson
16812 SE Auburn Black Diamond Rd
Auburn, WA 98092

From: bghilgenberg@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:47:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Hilgenberg
358 Heather Road
Everett, WA 98203

From: spamdepo@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:33:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Melissa Eriksen
6515 19th Ave
Seattle, WA 98117

From: brianisland@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:22:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brian Levin
1800 S. East Camano Drive
Camano Island, WA 98282-7638

From: mtnheather@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:21:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Heather Parker
16030 SE 322nd ST
Auburn, WA 98092

From: sandee.palmquist@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 9:18:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sande Palmquist
18027 NE 109th Ct.
Redmond, WA 98052

From: azulejo75@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:46:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sheree Bala
6319 189th Place SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036

From: SNAPPYSKR@AOL.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:33:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sandy Roy
4413 Thorp Road
Moxee, WA 98936

From: aik456@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 8:30:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Angela Kerr
351 Vuemont PL NE #304
Renton, WA 98056

From: j_evans58@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:57:32 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joe Evans
2611 Morris Ave S
Renton, WA 98055

From: jeffbranch1930@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:07:09 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jeff Branch
15910 19th Ave. SW
Burien, WA 98166-2710

From: dsnow3@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 7:52:29 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Donna Snow
1250 Devon Loop N.E.
olympia, WA 98506

From: mariamagana@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:58:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Maria Magana
1290 Hillcrest Dr
Burlington, WA 98233

From: secondstorey@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:56:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robin Storey
21468 President Point Road NE
Kingston, WA 98346

From: janet.barrett3@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:47:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Barrett
2614 Willowbrook
Richland, WA 99352

From: yeah104@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:36:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Phillip Collins
2332 N 128th st.
Seattle, WA 98133-7850

From: jag4848@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:35:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joyce Grajczyk
12026 SE 216th St
Kent, WA 98031

From: BARRETTMW@MSN.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:29:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Ward
10330 Wallingford Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133

From: dawnsun-62@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 6:13:23 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Karol Rawlings
5314 W Sunset Hwy Sp # 19
Spokane, WA 99224

From: dierdra.zeus@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 5:56:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diana Field
214 W. Park Ave.
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: jesswatanabe@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 5:45:23 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jess Watanabe
23427 NE 10th PL
SAMMAMISH, WA 98074

From: peglac@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:51:08 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peggy LaCombe
18804 126th St SE
Snohomish, WA 98290

From: madmike3715@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 5:21:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mike Dorcy
221 Orcas Place SE
Renton, WA 98059

From: dougmillermusic@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:55:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Doug Miller
2410A SW Holden St
Seattle, WA 98106

From: david@casey.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:45:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Casey
4233 Thackeray PL NE
Seattle, WA 98105

From: l.else@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:41:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Carol Else
9702 Veterans Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 99498

From: beanosaurusrex@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:31:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amanda Penn
14734 32nd Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155

From: anndspooner@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 4:01:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ann Duvall Spooner
668 D St
Blaine, WA 98230-5102

From: sallynmnmac@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:54:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sally Mackey
2127 SW 162nd St.
Burien,, WA 98166

From: rangoonlily@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:53:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pamela Reckers
1426 Ridge Drive
Camano Island, WA 98282

From: classicalsculptor@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:27:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Kay
P.O. Box 451
Vashon, WA 98070

From: janine.vansanden@seattle.gov
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:20:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janine Vansanden
10317-32nd Ave. Ne
Seattle, WA 98125

From: cammi24@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:08:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Wilson
2103 Harrison NW, #2711
Olympia, WA 98502

From: amy.mike@wavecable.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:18:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michael Cowser
5039 Country Club Way SE
Port Orchard, WA 98367

From: luvawolf@animail.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 3:15:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,

D W

312 2nd Ave W #412

seattle, WA 98119

From: gc_lockwood@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:57:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
George Lockwood
1223 Kendra Lane
Burlington, WA 98233

From: mmauch@tgmpmp.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:55:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michael Mauch
8405 113th Street East
Puyallup, WA 98373

From: pengler@nwlink.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:50:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pam Engler
7022 - 21st Avenue NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: nursekitty83@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:43:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robyn Cleaves
PO Box 65366
University Place, WA 98464

From: audrey2000@peoplepc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:33:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Audrey Ledgerwood
6429 Meyers St
W.Richland, WA 99353

From: macke496@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:23:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kim Mcdonald
4723 126th St. Ne
Marysville, WA 98271

From: kguilb@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:21:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathy Gilbert
14919 91st Place NE
Bothell, WA 98011

From: peacewishers@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:18:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Aileen Taylor
620 W. 7th Ave #208
Spokane, WA 99204

From: kiddlogic@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 6:43:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joe Anderson
box 44
Lummi Island, WA 98262

From: chitch1020@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:18:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kristen Callison
1705 Harris Court
Wenatchee, WA 98801

From: pattipaz.z@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:13:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patty Zeitin
12233 AshworthAve. N. #40
Seattle, WA 98133

From: joshuagore21@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:04:00 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joshua Gore
2231 NE Bridgecreek Ave #79
Vancouver, WA 98664

From: wrl007@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:02:00 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bill Laestadius
6228 84th ave se
Mercer Island, WA 98040

From: kromforhlander155@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:53:55 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathy Dawson
9009 West Mail Dr.
#2408
Everett, WA 98208

From: suzga@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:44:56 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Suz Garcia
PO Box 6522
Bellevue, WA 98008

From: metromary@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 1:31:54 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mary Busam
1633 Eagle Ridge Dr S A-3
Renton, WA 98055

From: alexis.kaplan@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 5:34:07 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alexis Traynor-Kaplan
PO BOX 667
North Bend, WA 98045

From: postrand@hotmai.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 5:30:06 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Randall Post
212 9th. ave. N.
Algona, WA 98001-4323

From: tone432@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 4:59:06 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tone Butler
811 SE Totten Shores Dr
Shelton, WA 98584

From: north.sea@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:46:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Connie Northern
17413 Woodcrest Dr NE
Bothell, WA 98011

From: garrisop2001@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 10:07:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patricia Garrison
111 Peavine Rd
Ellensburg, WA 98926

From: PatETuc@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 10:18:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patricia Tucker-Dolan
13525 181st Ave SE
Renton, WA 98059

From: kathyslipher@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 10:34:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathy Slipher
10 Harborview Dr Unit 7
Port Townsend, WA 98368

From: wpwin@genext.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 10:42:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
William Winstanley
4018 Squilchuck Rd.
Wenatchee, WA 98801

From: born2hike@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 11:09:19 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
JERRY WHEELER
15723 1ST AVE S
seattle, WA 98148-1294

From: guard52@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 11:29:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mary Guard
453 Rockledge Rd
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

From: pamelaraegalloway@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 11:36:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pamela Galloway
920 E. 16th Ave.
Spokane, WA 99203

From: SEWSHARON@COMCAST.NET
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 12:08:30 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sharon Aukland
9718 SW 268th St.
Vashon, WA 98070

From: jllorenz@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 1:41:38 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jodi Lorenz
2997 Crosby Blvd
Tumwater, WA 98512

From: kritip@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 1:44:41 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Christy Papadakis
1235 96th Ave. SE
Bellevue, WA 98004

From: ca92782@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:53:12 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Christina Devine
10107 E 8th Avenue, #40
Spokane Valley, WA 99206

From: ak_lmk@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 2:03:42 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
lisa kent
5958 PIONEER DR.
Cashmere, WA 98815

From: scott_brown55@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 2:39:07 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I am so excited to finally have the opportunity to seriously discuss the topic of healthy populations of wild wolves in Washington state! Healthy populations of native top predators are absolutely essential in natural ecosystems, which we have a critical responsibility to preserve. The human species and domestic food animals are in no existential danger on this planet, rather, we exist in extremely high and rapidly growing numbers. Unfortunately many other species are extinct or in danger of becoming extinct, and there are very few remaining healthy natural ecosystems, so this is a top priority issue.

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests

that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.

2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Scott Brown
3218 NW Market St
Seattle, WA 98107

From: antbk2p2@olypen.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 3:00:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Beverly Brown
830 W 15th St
Port Angeles, WA 98363

From: janiskru@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 3:48:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janis Krug
2812 NE 62nd Street
Seattle, WA 98115

From: atkinshamer@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 3:59:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Hamer
18012 25th Ave. N.E.
lake forest park, WA 98155

From: lookatthatsheila@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 4:05:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brittney Hewitte
3812 296th St. Ct. E.
Graham, WA 98338

From: janenosal@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 4:08:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
jane nosal
9539 57th ave west
mukilteo, WA 98275

From: kmwonthebeach@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 4:16:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Karen Wible
4210 ne 130th Circle
VANCOUVER, WA 98686

From: dana.lough@va.gov
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 4:22:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dana Lough
4114 13th Ave.S.
Seattle, WA 98108

From: iskrova@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 4:46:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tamela Roberson
5627 Colby Ave
Everett, WA 98203

From: isquawk@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:00:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Frank
3065 Monterey Drive
Malaga, WA 98828

From: margaret@turingmachine.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 5:01:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Margaret Thomas
720 Clark St.
Walla Walla, WA 99362

From: linkerwan@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 5:24:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Virgene Link
P.O. Box 543
Anacortes, WA 98221

From: mrain07@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 5:24:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
M Rain
po box 51
vancouver, WA 98666

From: callico132000@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 5:49:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Alicia Zamudio
1215 N 45th St #323
Seattle, WA 98103

From: evinrude131@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 6:01:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Farley Bartelmes
12810 Holiday Drive
Kirkland, WA 98034

From: Jeans4U@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 6:04:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jean Jensen
12609 252nd St. East
Graham, WA 98338

From: pwright333@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 6:12:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patti Wright
2 Bracken Place
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: mwalsh1240@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 6:19:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Maria Walsh
23315 Cedar Way M203
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

From: mjahn3333@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 6:39:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Margaret Jahn
4101 Mill Ave
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: mcloner@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:02:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Matthew Cloner
P.O. Box 58623
Tukwila, WA 98138-1623

From: judith@rockisland.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:09:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
judith carter
PO Box 513
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

From: smithfieldfarm@gorge.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:27:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sherry Smith
55 Morgan Ct
Centerville, WA 98613

From: nkerick@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:30:15 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Neil and Karen Erickson
4703 W. Emerson Street
Seattle, WA 98199

From: duncag@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:44:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Glen Duncan
6529 Latona Avenue NE
Seattle, WA 98115

From: lward@olypen.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 7:49:16 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Louetta Ward
4383 Old Olympic Highway
Sequim, WA 98382

From: dragonflymother@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:12:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jackie Branagan
PO Box 444
408 2nd St
Sumas, WA 98295

From: byhall@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:18:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Byron Hall
3957 112th Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98004

From: darcykevinj@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 8:28:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kevin Darcy
2611 Loomis Trail Rd.
Custer, WA 98240

From: suewolfe@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 10:11:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Wolfe
2208 116th Street SE
Everett, WA 98208

From: gloriasferra@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 6:51:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gloria Sferra
4521 S. Bond St.
Seattle, WA 98118

From: geneophotos@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 8:19:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
gene groom
306 williams blvd nw
orting, WA 98360

From: sirod7@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:09:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
William Gerhart
12108 E 21
Spokane, WA 99206

From: michelle_simpkins@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 9:11:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Michelle Simpkins
4005 North 19th St
Tacoma, WA 98406

From: lcbraniff@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 10:20:29 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
larry braniff
1703 cherie ln
mt. vernon, WA 98274

From: shcall@charter.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 10:43:31 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sherry Call
580 Charbonneau Drive
Richland, WA 99352

From: erinlavery@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 11:41:35 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Erin Lavery
9901 31st Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98126

From: vanderpoolss@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 1:31:39 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sharon Vander Pool
18613 S Tapps Drive E
Lake Tapps, WA 98391

From: zloy720@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 2:28:07 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Holly Bowers
1900 Stevens Drive Apt. 401
Richland, WA 99354

From: tjb20@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 5:31:23 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Boyhan
8655 Trapline Rd
Everson, WA 98247

From: piperroad@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 6:58:24 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
William Lench
14108 E Piper Rd
Spokane, WA 99217

From: amberjoymd@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 6:59:24 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amber Joy
1005 Terrace St # 1105
n/a
Seattle, WA 98104

From: borgeselizabeth@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 7:27:24 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Borges
P O Box 754
Redmond, WA 98073

From: chskilak88@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:38:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Charles H Sarin
2908 Moore St
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: susanloyland@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 9:16:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Susan Loyland
219 Semanski St.
Enumclaw, WA 98022

From: krpiana@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 9:19:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Katherine Reed
9230 61st Ave W
Mukilteo, WA 98275

From: lafsea@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 03, 2009 11:51:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laura Finkelstein
PO Box 19312
Seattle, WA 98109

From: wolfstar77@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 6:39:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Fabiola Vasquez
401 NE 40th Apt 301
Seattle, WA 98105

From: ashybash@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 9:01:11 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ashley Eastham
616 4th Ave W #301
Seattle, WA 98119

From: autumnaac@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 9:35:12 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Autumn Chamberlin
13613 S. E. 19th Street
Vancouver, WA 98683

From: ykushi@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 11:24:14 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Yogen Kushi
101 Perry Street, #3A
New York, NY 10014

From: ROBMONAW@FIDALGO.NET
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 11:37:16 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Wilson
208 N Gardner Rd
Burlington, WA 98233

From: dragfotos@wabroadband.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 12:26:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Pat Griffith
381 Fortune Road
Naches, WA 98937

From: wallesz@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:44:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Barbara Wallesz
4915 Samish Way
Bellingham, WA 98229

From: lledgerwood@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:45:13 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lynn Ledgerwood
2605 Otis St Se
Olympia, WA 98501

From: tamararogers@q.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 02, 2009 9:56:15 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tamara Rogers
12435 129th Ave.
Puyallup, WA 98374

From: mangum2@washington.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, December 07, 2009 11:10:56 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sarah Mangum
1416 North Anderson Street
Tacoma, WA 98405

From: captkurt1@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 12:31:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kurt Arensmeyer
2000 Squilchuck Rd.
Wenatchee, WA 98801

From: Shovelheadgrizz@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 9:35:08 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bob Stoddard
604 E Liberty Ave
Spokane, WA 99207

From: tomordenise@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 9:06:06 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Denise Schafte
6212 Alki Rd.
Vancouver, WA 98663

From: nicolewhitney@palomapottery.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 6:25:10 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nicole Whitney
4165 Salt Spring Dr
Ferndale, WA 98248

From: ensn@lycos.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:49:24 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I live on the Olympic Peninsula and believe this area is one of the most appropriate for the re-establishment of viable wolf populations in Washington State. Therefore, I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

Thank you for the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on the draft plan. It is clear that a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement.

I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Hal Enerson
PO Box 1375
Port Angeles, WA 98362

From: nathan_de_valley@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:37:44 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nathaniel Chriest
909 S College Ave
College Place, WA 99324

From: eldonball@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:35:46 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Eldon Ball
3200 NE 140th St., #11
Seattle, WA 98125

From: keyna@kvalley.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:35:30 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Keyna Bugner
504 1/2 N. Poplar
Ellensburg, WA 98926

From: laurie.gogic@verizon.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:35:23 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Laurie Gogic
11322 NE 129th St.
Kirkland, WA 98034

From: leslie_geller@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 4:18:20 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Leslie Geller
15102 SE 43rd Street
Bellevue, WA 98006

From: juliew@fourthcornernurseries.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, December 07, 2009 11:10:49 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Julie Whitacre
659 E Laurel Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: allanslipher@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2009 11:02:15 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
allan slipher
10 harborview dr
unit 10
port townsend, WA 98368

From: pjbrown@myway.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2009 9:44:14 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Peggy Brown
25913 163rd Avenue SE
Covington, WA 98042

From: jessandsteph1@juno.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2009 8:49:12 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
jesse kikng
po box 821653
vancouver, WA 98682

From: cmfornia@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 05, 2009 7:58:18 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Charles Fornia
3327 Rucker Ave Apt 107
Everett, WA 98201

From: grannyhosa@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 10:57:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lois Fenstermaker
1115 NW Market St. # 211
Seattle, WA 98107

From: steve12698@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 9:42:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steve Lovelace
PO Box 245
Wilkeson, WA 98396

From: lisahiam@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 9:27:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Lisa Hiam
7515 242nd Ave E
Buckley, WA 98321

From: rightwith@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 8:22:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
seth anderson
po box 1558
westport, WA 98595

From: blacksquare@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 7:06:41 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.
- 3) Use a plan that involves personnel experienced in wolf transport for the safety and well-being of wolves and people.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to

reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Stu Winnie
6431 South Clement
Tacoma, WA 98409

From: micahtdubs@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 5:20:35 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

"Please let the wolves roam, They to were given this home."

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Micah Wolfe
524 Federal Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102

From: DBERNER598@COMCAST.NET
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 10:01:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dave Berner
3946 SW Kenyon Street
Seattle, WA 98136

From: smith20056@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 4:48:31 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
animal lover
federal way
kent, WA 98042

From: kathleenek@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 4:37:27 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Kemper
6429 108th Avenue NE
Kirkland, WA 98033

From: igomberoff@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 4:18:24 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ismael Ami Gomberoff
P.o.box 262
Lynden, WA 98264

From: dragfotos@peoplepc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 3:59:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Russ Griffith
381 Fortune Rd.
Naches, WA 98937-9782

From: danny83@q.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 04, 2009 1:00:21 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dan Schneider
814 NE 84th Street
Seattle, WA 98115

From: hmcmnp1000@centurytel.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 8:28:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Hugh McMillan
1604 Von Geldern Cove Road KPN
Lakebay, WA 98349

From: mfieldsmurals@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 7:59:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Mary Fields
1819 E. Prospect
Seattle, WA 98112

From: jjayl@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 7:59:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joseph Aylward
820 N. Sweetzer
Los Angeles, CA 90069

From: john1819@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 7:56:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
John Aylward
1819 E. Prospect
Seattle, WA 98112

From: dream2know@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 6:16:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

This email comes respectfully as a submission for the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and please implement Alternate 3.

Sincerely,
Kim Pendergrass
12216 10th Ave S
SEATTLE, WA 98168

From: dragonsrest2@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 06, 2009 5:25:08 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Donna Greathouse Neel
42910 SE 173Rd St
North Bend, WA 98045

From: fjbneil@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 8:05:17 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Fred Neil
4976 Waschke Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: earthtone@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, December 07, 2009 6:59:59 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Shannon Markley
P.O. Box 31382
Seattle, WA 98103

From: pooch.pal.929@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, December 07, 2009 6:32:23 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janice Vocke
P.O. Box 1664
Shelton, WA 98584

From: dodgerwa@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2009 8:28:49 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Steven Wright
1605 5th St NE
Auburn, WA 98002

From: larkin_9987@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2009 7:42:46 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Gerlach
23318 29th Avenue West
Brier, WA 98036

From: kaijajones@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2009 4:18:01 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
kaija jones
12249 Fremont Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133

From: GRGRSTRO@AOL.COM
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 7:12:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Gay Stroberger
906 143rd Street S
Tacoma, WA 98444

From: eyerightawse@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 5:41:09 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Kyle Harbaugh
14808 66th AVE CT E
Puyallup, WA 98375

From: sheri.parker@aecom.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 1:33:49 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sheri Dotson Parker
13514 Cedar Court East
Bonney Lake, WA 98391

From: grcctutor@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 1:19:52 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Douglas Hill
30658 Green Rver Rd SE
Auburn, WA 98092

From: bgsbooks@nwinfo.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, December 07, 2009 9:12:23 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Barbara Searles
8046 29th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117

From: radu626@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 17, 2009 4:17:42 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
human being
federal way
kent, WA 98042

From: pat.berezki@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2009 8:23:35 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Patricia Berezki
17003 SE 5th St.
Vancouver, WA 98684-8406

From: progressivebum@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2009 3:30:39 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Frank Schmeisser
4778 Edward Dr
Deming, WA 98244

From: z6zmusic@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2009 1:58:21 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Fuoad Shashani
25905 29th Ave. south #A-301
Kent, WA 98032

From: dpederse@u.washington.edu
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 11, 2009 3:53:24 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Dean Pedersen
6011 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103

From: loberlober@peoplepc.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 11, 2009 12:33:53 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Larry Lober
5090 Zander Drive
Bellingham, WA 98226

From: bstratton@tds.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 11, 2009 12:06:20 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tracey Stratton
PO BOX 661
La Center, WA 98629

From: jotatray@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 11, 2009 11:18:34 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Jotasha Traylor
675 SE Forest Way Apt. C-621
Pullman, WA 99163

From: billblanck1@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Friday, December 11, 2009 10:28:04 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Bill Blanck
7408 N. Wiscomb St.
Spokane, WA 99208

From: stonelovemasonry@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 10:45:53 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Schiesser
8311 8th Ave. Northwest
Seattle, WA 98117

From: thorndebbie@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 9:51:42 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
debbie thorn
710 18th ave west
kirkland, WA 98033

From: valerierae26@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Monday, December 14, 2009 9:50:45 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Valerie Malinosky
2810 NE 53rd Street
Vancouver, WA 98663

From: kellycharger@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 9:41:42 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Maria Scherer
4616 S Thistle St
Seattle, WA 98118

From: Schultzbk@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 9:37:33 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Betsy Schultz
12319 234th Ave. Ext. E.
Buckley, WA 98321

From: sfetter@earthlink.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 9:10:26 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sharon Fetter
PO Box 521
Puyallup, WA 98371

From: dwilliams3880@aol.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 9:02:18 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

We respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). We appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and further appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. We support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. However, we have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Diane Williams
3880 Stikes Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503-8207

From: cjbaw@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 8:45:00 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Cheri Carlson
20505 64th Dr NE
Arlington, WA 98223

From: ruslag1@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 8:03:04 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf.

I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.

The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rose Lagerberg
13715 Wallingford N.
Seattle, WA 98133/7245

From: janetlolson@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 7:38:55 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Olson
6431 South Clement
Tacoma, WA 98409

From: rolandjbo@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 7:22:55 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Boykin
3060 NE McWilliams Road, #16
Bremerton, WA 98311

From: funkendub@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 7:10:52 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Brian Clark
455 NW Cleveland
Pullman, WA 99163

From: wkloefkorn@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 5:54:58 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Walter Kloefkorn
Box 181
Loon Lake, WA 99148

From: jwarrenhealthysolutions@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2009 7:17:56 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
janette warren
13307 NE 136th Pl
Kirkland, WA 98034

From: rbcferrell9@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 5:40:40 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Ferrell
16405 SE 24th Street
Bellevue, WA 98008

From: shulerh60@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 5:33:40 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Heidi Shuler
4708 NW Harney St
Vancouver, WA 98663

From: eviegb@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 5:11:19 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Gelegonya-Babare
6442 View Ridge dr.
Tacoma, WA 98407

From: daniel@danielbeltra.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 4:16:45 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Daniel Beltra
6900 37th Avenue SW
Seattle, WA 98126

From: sandyvalencour@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 3:55:28 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
sandy Valencour
31628 122nd ave se
auburn, WA 98092

From: bikerskibum@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 3:47:13 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
William Liggett
34023 Tanwax Ct. E. #7
Eatonville, WA 98328

From: akclague@comcast.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 3:18:45 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Amanda Clague
10224 34th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146

From: panniwojo@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 2:05:10 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Ruth Wojtowicz
4710 South Orcas St
Seattle, WA 98118

From: kalle@nvinet.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 1:53:36 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Charles Hartik
PO Box 461
Tonasket, WA 98855

From: tjs_rebirth07@yahoo.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 1:51:36 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Tom Reidy
9708 10th Pl. SW.
#202
Seattle, WA 98106

From: bctm@fidalgo.net
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2009 5:38:14 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Timothy Manns
1220 South 11th St.
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

From: mrdouble_d@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 1:44:17 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
David Durkee
1416 LINDSAY LOOP 203
MOUNT VERNON, WA 98274

From: sconicole@gmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 1:19:35 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Nikki Scott
17546 Stone Avenue N
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: bailtown@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Thursday, December 10, 2009 12:23:34 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Chris Bailey
17535 32nd Avenue N.E.
Seattle, WA 98155

From: joanie.schiess@zoo.org
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2009 8:50:55 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Joan Schiess
5808 McKinley Pl N
Seattle, WA 98103

From: alpentalic2@msn.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Sunday, December 13, 2009 12:22:34 AM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
laura tautz-hair
13422 156 ave se
renton, WA 98059

From: salto30@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2009 8:43:25 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Sandy Alto
19012 90th Pl. N.E.
Bothell, WA 98011

From: loudin@rockisland.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\);](#)
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2009 8:11:11 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

We respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). We appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and we appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. We support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. We have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering our comments and we look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
Frank and Janet Loudin
P. O. Box 1017
Eastsound, WA 98245

From: georgejg@hotmail.com
To: [SEPADesk2 \(DFW\)](#);
Subject: Final Draft Wolf Conservation & Management Plan and DEIS
Date: Saturday, December 12, 2009 2:18:34 PM

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
SEPA Desk
600 Capitol Way N
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

I respectfully submit the following comments on the Final Draft Wolf Conservation and Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I appreciate the effort contributed by the Wolf Working Group and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife on a plan meant to re-establish a naturally producing and viable wolf population in the state of Washington and to minimize impacts to livestock owners in a way that will not impact the recovery of the wolf. Obviously a great deal of time and thought has been put into the plan and I appreciate the opportunity to submit comments toward its further refinement. I support Alternative 3, but with an increased target number of breeding pairs.

People and wolves have lived together in the Northwest for thousands of years and we can continue to do so. We share a responsibility to not let any wild species go extinct on our watch. I have the following concerns with the current plan:

- 1) Target numbers for conservation and recovery of wolves of 15 breeding pairs is too low. Review of existing research suggests that a goal three or more times as large may be needed to maintain a viable population.
- 2) The Pacific Coastal Region should be a separate recovery area, as stated in Alternative 3, and the Olympic Peninsula and Mt. St. Helens should be identified as primary translocation areas.

Thank you for considering my comments and I look forward to reviewing the final plan.

Sincerely,
George Guenther
7717 17th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115