Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Judy Krieger Signature Judy Krieger

Address, City, Zip 1313 Heritage Hills Ct, Bellingham 98226

Phone 360-733-0086 Email judykrieger@comcast.net

A JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nancy Hannah Signature Nancy Hannah

Address, City, Zip 7526 27th Ave NE, Seattle, WA 98115

Phone 206.525.0494 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Wanda Daehlin Signature Wanda Daehlin

Address, City, Zip 1608 S. Ash St., Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509-922-0212 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steve Daehlin Signature Steve Daehlin

Address, City, Zip 1608 S. Ash St

Phone 509-972-0212 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lindsay Daehlin Signature Daehlin

Address, City, Zip 1614 E. 10th Ave Spokane, WA

Phone 413-6875 Email lindsaydaehlin@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lauren Llewellyn Signature Lauren Llewellyn

Address, City, Zip 3829 E. 8th Ave. Spokane WA 99202

Phone (509) 999-2602 Email LKLlewellyn@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BARRY BARNES Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 24426 E. 3rd Ave Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Phone (509) 255-6557 Email not applicable

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MARK J LORENZ Signature Mark J. Lorenz

Address, City, Zip 3519 S LLOYD RD. SPOKANE, WA. 99223-1177

Phone (509)448-4141 Email mmlorenz66@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kathleen Barnes Signature K Barnes

Address, City, Zip 24426 E. Third Ave, Liberty Lake, WA 99019

Phone 509-939-0221 Email kathynbarry@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Panther, Dan Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2523 S. Bowmen Spokane Valley Wa 9903

Phone 509 928 0157 Email J_panther@Comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Donald L. Rowbens Signature

Address, City, Zip 1515 SE Valley View Terrace College Place, WA 99324

Phone (509) 525-3432 Email dlnowbens@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nils Ringo Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 5282 N. Yale St. Portland, OR 97203 (school) (permanent) 409 W. 17th Spokane, WA 99203

Phone (509)844-4778 Email nilsringo@up.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
WDFW 08-7-2012
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Debra Rowberg Signature Debra L. Rowberg

Address, City, Zip 1515 SE Valley View Terrace - College Place, WA 99324

Phone 509-525-3432 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Gail + David Dubs Signature Gail + David Dubs

Address, City, Zip 14918 E. Bella Vista Ln., Spokane, Wa 99216

Phone 921-9862 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DOUG DAEHLIN Signature Doug Daehlin

Address, City, Zip N. 2310 PALISADES LANE, SPOKANE, WA. 99224

Phone 509-838-2206 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. **Sincerely,**

Print Name Felicia Reilly Signature Felicia Reilly

Address, City, Zip 1328 W Lincoln, Spokane 99201

Phone 509-435-5863 Email feliciareilly4@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Maggie Early Signature MCE

Address, City, Zip 2821 S. Glenrose Rd Spokane Wa 99223

Phone (509) 389-6737 Email Maggi314@ad.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Erica Olson Signature Erica Olson

Address, City, Zip 1014 23rd St, Apt 5, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 425-876-2378 Email olsone22@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ryan Lazzeri Signature Ryan Lazzeri

Address, City, Zip 601 E Holly St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 503-434-7688 Email lazzerr@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brendan Fann Signature Brendan Fann

Address, City, Zip 7715 38th AVE SW, Seattle, WA, 98126

Phone 206-355-0797 Email Bfann267@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sonja Wingard Signature Sonja Wingard

Address, City, Zip 721 Van Wyck Rd. Bellingham WA 98226

Phone 360-927-3612 Email wingardle@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Molly McGarry Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 3114 Cottonwood Ave, Bellingham Wa 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABHAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Erin Power Kozloff Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 705 Newell St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360·714·1124 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

JAN 07 2010

HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CHASE SHOEMAKER Signature Chase K Shoemaker

Address, City, Zip 1119 N Forest #204

Phone 360.775.6446 Email shoemac3@cc.wwu.edu

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2016
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Carol Virginia Ferm Herrick Signature Carol Virginia Ferm Herrick

Address, City, Zip 3909 Fielding Ave, Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-671-2454 Email vherrick@q.com

#37

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JAN 07 2010

HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Crystal Harman Signature Crystal Harman

Address, City, Zip 109 S. Dunham Ave Arlington, WA 98223

Phone 425-239-2613 Email crystiech@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2013
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name GREGORY HOOVER Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 20720 195 Ave SE MONROE WA 98272

Phone 360-794-8118 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Hi Hy Signature Michael Hi Hy

Address, City, Zip 2723 Broadway; Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 738-7095 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Kayla Johnson Signature

Address, City, Zip 1715 E. Lincoln #Lower Spokane WA 99210

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Matthew D. Mischke Signature

Address, City, Zip 5019 E Lake Park Ct. Mead WA 99021

Phone (509) 466-5929 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Margaret Lyons Signature

Address, City, Zip 2818 Humboldt 98225

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Daniel W Osborne Signature Daniel W Osborne

Address, City, Zip 22981 Lk McMurray Ln

Phone 360-333-3709 Email wretyduf@rocketmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Doug Ermini Signature

Address, City, Zip 2734 Walnut St. 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ROMAN F MICHALAK Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2515 NW 96TH ST SEATTLE WA 98117

Phone 206 789 3614 Email PINTOPONY@COMCAST.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Linda Messent Signature Linda Messent

Address, City, Zip 7111 Linden Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kathleen Nelson Signature Kathleen Nelson

Address, City, Zip 2315 N. 55th St. 98103

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name HOLLY BORBA Signature Holly Borba

Address, City, Zip 9222 8th Ave NE, Seattle, 98115

Phone 206-729-5914 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jack Sukalac Signature John Sukalac

Address, City, Zip 352 N. 78th Seattle WA 98103

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kalanda Adcock Signature Kalanda Adcock

Address, City, Zip 4731 38th Ave SW Seattle WA 98126

Phone 425-273-0708 Email KalandaKai@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peter Ilyenko Signature

Address, City, Zip 303 12th Ave E #101

Phone 206 324 2322 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ariel M. Berman Signature Ariel M. Berman

Address, City, Zip 5844 NE 75th St. (E108) Seattle 98115

Phone 206-296-2609 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ALEX DONALDSON Signature

Address, City, Zip 5844 NE 75th St. E108 Seattle, WA 98115

Phone 206 799 9430 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Melinda Torgerson Signature Melinda Torgerson

Address, City, Zip 922 Hutchkiss Rd. Colville WA 99114

Phone (509) 685-0312 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Anne Martin Signature Anne Martin JH

Address, City, Zip 1109 E. 12th Ave, Spokane, WA 99202

Phone 624-5657 Email annemartinc@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Doug Dixon Signature Douglas K Dixon

Address, City, Zip 6202 S. Brookhaven St.

Phone 509 925 1011 Email dixondk@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DOUG BRADFORD Signature

Address, City, Zip 1109 E. 17th SPOKANE 99207

Phone 981-1719 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name TEXA KUHLE Signature

Address, City, Zip 1304 S Ballou Rd Spo, WA 99202

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Matthew Cohen Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1424 S. Cedar St. Spokane WA 99202

Phone _____ Email matt~~cohen~~294@ziply.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Robertson Kehne Signature Chris Robertson Kehne

Address, City, Zip 719 N. Garden Street Bellingham, Wa 98225

Phone 425 256 0821 Email chrisrobertsonkehne@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Dunton Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3516 258th Ave SE Issaquah, WA 98029

Phone (425) 557-9512 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sheryl Dunton Signature Sheryl Dunton

Address, City, Zip 3516 258th Ave SE Issaquah WA 98029

Phone (425) 351-3459 Email sheryldunton@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jake Dunton Signature Jake S. Dunton

Address, City, Zip 3516 258th Ave SE Issaquah, WA 98029

Phone (425) 351-2202 Email jakedunton5@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CHELSEA ROYS Signature

Address, City, Zip 510 Higginson Hall Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 618-3927 Email roysc@students.wwu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordyn Brown Signature Jordyn Brown

Address, City, Zip 571 Higginson Hall Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (425) 233-2933 Email brownj52@wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Will Nichols Signature Will Nichols

Address, City, Zip 719 N. Garden st Bellingham Wa

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2016
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ram Venkatachalam Signature V. Ramat

Address, City, Zip 22616 SE 14th Sammamish, WA 98075

Phone (425)-829-0856 Email ramvenkat123@gmail.com

RECEIV
JAN 07 201
HABITAT PR

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tim Spry Signature

Address, City, Zip 17 Country Club Dr. SW University Place, WA 98466

Phone 253-722-3416 Email tspry@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mariana Cains Signature M Cains

Address, City, Zip 808 High Street #102, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 509.540.1532 Email Mariana Cains @ gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Julia Knight Signature

Address, City, Zip 12959 74th Ave NE Kirkland 98034

Phone 206-335-1480 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MICAH MOORE Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1600 22nd St #1 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 253-574-3421 Email MOORE22@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Irene Krasson Signature Irene A. Krasson

Address, City, Zip 4072 Pacific Hwy. Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone (360) 734-6593 Email Irene K18@peoplepc.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KIRK MELHORN Signature Kirk Melhorn

Address, City, Zip 4072 PACIFIC HWY BELLINGHAM, WA. 98226

Phone (360) 647-6931 Email kmelhorn4@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lynne Thomas Skerry Signature Lynn Thomas Skerry

Address, City, Zip 2711 Humboldt St Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRIAN SKERRY Signature B. Skerry

Address, City, Zip 2711 Humboldt St.

Phone 360-733-8346 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Inscho Signature David Inscho

Address, City, Zip 2205 I St. Bellingham, WA

Phone 738-8349 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name B. SCOTT ROBERTS Signature B. Scott Roberts

Address, City, Zip 3202 VALLETTE ST., BELLINGHAM, WA 98225

Phone 360-739-9407 Email bscottroberts@gmail.com.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name WADE JOHNSON Signature

Address, City, Zip 6278 MUSIC PLACE

Phone 360 543 5634 Email wadijah@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jesse Prebard Signature

Address, City, Zip 69055 Pine Creek Rd 97759 Sisters, OR

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SNIPES, WALTRAUD M Signature Waltraud Snipes

Address, City, Zip 5206 W. LAWTON WA 99224

Phone 509-838-1886 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jamie Zink Signature [signature] JH

Address, City, Zip 1727 E Rich Spokane WA 99207

Phone 5097185301 Email jzinkc@gonzaga.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Dustin Caseria Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 515 Everglade Road Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 671-7035 Email icaseria10@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Josh Klute Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 1668 Smoke Ridge Dr., Colorado Springs, CO 80919

Phone 719 351-0032 Email jklute@[illegible]

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elliott White Signature

Address, City, Zip 162 S Cowley Ave Spokane, WA 99201

Phone _____ Email ewhited52@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cody Patton Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 162 S Cedar D Meneses B305 99201

Phone 541-207-5452 Email cody.patton33@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Colleen Anderson Signature Colleen Anderson

Address, City, Zip 11916 Greenfield LN Medical Lake WA 99022

Phone 509-844-2235 Email keenanderson@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED JAN 07 2010 HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Howard L. Harris Signature Howard L Harris

Address, City, Zip Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360-733-9871 Email hlharris@clearwire.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Meghan Clary Signature Meghan Clary

Address, City, Zip 214 River View Dr. Kalispell, MT 59901

Phone (406) 249-0114 Email clary.meghan@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Bill Dowd Signature Bill Dowd

Address, City, Zip 422 Hotchkiss Rd, Colville, WA 99114

Phone 509-684-3098 Email bjdowd@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kerry Tulverzan Signature Kerry Tulverzan

Address, City, Zip 2051 Ponderosa Ct., Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 253-732-7475 Email blinkker1990@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

IVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Sarah Thomas Signature Sarah Thomas

Address, City, Zip 2538 Orleans St, Bellingham WA 98226

Phone _____ Email thomas58@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tori Henderson Signature Tori Henderson

Address, City, Zip 13524 28th Ave NE, Seattle, 98125

Phone 206-390-8681 Email Flory4259@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Brett Eaton Signature

Address, City, Zip 1006 N Garden St Apt 1 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone Email thesixpackgeek245@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Aly Marczynski Signature Aly Marczynski

Address, City, Zip 1006 N. Garden St #1 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (509) 432-1785 Email alymarczynski@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chandra Johnson Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1147 Fairhaven hall 516 high St. Bellingham 98225

Phone 360.460.4235 Email chanchan@olypen.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marie Enell

Signature Marie Enell

Address, City, Zip 1006 N Garden Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 321-6642 Email marie-e-14@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tristan Sewell Signature Tristan Sewell

Address, City, Zip 1924 NE Craftsman Way, Poulsbo, WA, 98370

Phone (360) 689-6296 Email x_runner supreme@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Amy Meyer Signature Amy Meyer

Address, City, Zip ISSAQUAH, WA

Phone 425-466-4299 Email AKMeyer05@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name LORRAINE S. DUKES Signature Lorraine S Dukes

Address, City, Zip PO Box 31, Lummi Island, WA 98262

Phone 714-1616 Email LORRAINED909@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ray Grothe Signature Ray Grothe

Address, City, Zip 1420 Undine Street Bellingham WA 98229-2235

Phone _____ Email hermes833@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joleen Gail Daehlin Signature Joleen Gail Daehlin

Address, City, Zip 4578 E Deer Lake Rd, Loon Lake, WA 99148

Phone 509 233-2829 Email

VH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Liam Horner Signature Liam Horner

Address, City, Zip 1116 37th St. Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone (360) 676-6029 Email liamhorner1@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Margret Stewart Milici Signature Margret Stewart Milici

Address, City, Zip 2817 Utter St. Bellingham 98225

Phone 360-738-3742 Email KennethMilici@MSN.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nolan Lee Signature

Address, City, Zip 3381 N GARDEN Apt 101B Bellingham, WA

Phone 360 714 6308 Email North.west.swell@gmail

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Beiana Martinez Signature

Address, City, Zip 806 N. Garden St. Bham 98225

Phone 425-213-4539 Email martin84@students...

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sam Stripes Signature Samuel Stripes

Address, City, Zip 1219 N. Garden St Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 927-3951 Email SamuelJStripes@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Susan Wean Signature Susan Wean

Address, City, Zip 1559 Sudden Valley Bellingham, Wa 98229

Phone 360 647-4434 Email suewean@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marc Fuhrmeister Signature JH

Address, City, Zip 3101 Tanglewood Ln, Bellingham 98226

Phone 360-733-8598 Email furboFuhr@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lin Bliss

Signature

Address, City, Zip 514 E. Myrtle Oly 98725

Phone 307 217 0115 Email lbliss@wyoming.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JH

Print Name Kelsi Franzen Signature Kelsi Franzen

Address, City, Zip North Cascades Institute PO Box 429 Marblemount, WA 98267

Phone 206-526-2594 Email Kelsi_franzen@ncascades.org

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Karly Myers HABITAT PROGRAM Signature Karly Myers

Address, City, Zip 31611 94th Ave East Eatonville, WA

Phone 253.722.7142 Email Karly.myers@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Convoy Signature

Address, City, Zip 6482 Deer Trail Anacortes WA 98221

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRYCE MARKEY Signature BRYCE MARKEY JH

Address, City, Zip 3400 University Dr Juneau AK 99801

Phone 253 292 8153 Email Helicopter_06@Hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name PETER MARCUS Signature

Address, City, Zip 2815 DONOVAN B'HAM, WA 98225

Phone 360 733-3142 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Morgan Vane Signature Morgan Vane

Address, City, Zip 865 21st St Bellingham WA 98112

Phone (206) 484-1295 Email vanem@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ANDREA HIXSON Signature Andrea Hixson

Address, City, Zip 1219 N. Garden St. Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

VJH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DENNIS STICKLER Signature

Address, City, Zip 900 HIGH ST, BELLINGHAM, 98225

Phone 425-443-2257 Email DAZZLINGDENNIS@GMAIL.COM

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the southern Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name WILLIAM YOUNG Signature William Young

Address, City, Zip 3700 ALABAMA ST., #105, BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

Phone 360-353-4192 Email LOON13@COMCAST.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brittany Byrtus Signature Brittany Byrtus

Address, City, Zip 3109 Cattanwood Ave. Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360-820-3776 Email N/A

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Aidan Key Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1815 Wilson Ave Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360-306-8383 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Margie A. White Signature Margie A. White

Address, City, Zip 1400 12th Apt 506 Bellingham WA

Phone 360 715 3470 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name R. Butterfield Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip Box 2056 Ferndale WA 98248

Phone _____ Email _____

Department of Fish and Wildlife

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carol Virginia F. Herrick Signature Carol Virginia F. Herrick

Address, City, Zip 3909 Fielding Ave, Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-671-2454 Email vherrick@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name TODD ELSWORTH Signature

Address, City, Zip 3305 Alderwood Ave BHAM 98225

Phone (360) 527-2722 Email todd@elsworth.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kate Lewis Signature

Address, City, Zip 1112 High St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Russell Turner Signature

Address, City, Zip 1125 Indian St. Bellingham

Phone (206) 550-1725 Email SCUBREGS@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Spencer Mermel Signature

Address, City, Zip 1078 S. Wall Spokane WA

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cheyenne Osorio-Newsom Signature Cheyenne Osorio-Newsom

Address, City, Zip 3360 E Smith RD, Bellingham, 98226

Phone (360) 393-6145 Email [redacted] osorionewsom@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Court Paine Signature

Address, City, Zip 514 E. Myrtle St.

Phone 303-479-9236 Email N/A

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ann Maertens Signature

Address, City, Zip 414 Lakeway Dr Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 206-920-2408 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrenika Slade Signature

Address, City, Zip

Phone 360 301 9037 Email nikaslade@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Malerie Romero Signature Malerie Romero

Address, City, Zip 8395 Cherry Orchard Ln. Bainbridge Is, WA

Phone (206) 854-5137 Email malromero24@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases o recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Erin Sours Signature

Address, City, Zip 1117 High St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 208 859 0486 Email esours@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the Plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Julie VanDooren Signature Julie VanDooren

Address, City, Zip 975 Colony Ct Bellingham WA 98229

Phone (360) 756-5089 Email julie v44@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Gregory Moore Signature Gy Moore

Address, City, Zip 1142 N 92nd st unit C Seattle, Wa

Phone 360-737-4762 Email decollment.dale@gmail

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocations to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JAMIE K. DONALDSON Signature Jamie K. Donaldson

Address, City, Zip 3131 E. 11TH AVE. SPOKANE, WA 99202

Phone _____ Email jamiek@netidea.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name June Hathaway Signature June Hathaway

Address, City, Zip 2314 Ellis, Bellingham 98225

Phone 360 734 3545 Email junehathawayvigor@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Emily Highleyman Signature Emily Highleyman

Address, City, Zip 1034 16th St, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JULIA SPENCER Signature Julia Spencer

Address, City, Zip 2515 Dean Ave Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name RYAN FIEVEZ Signature

Address, City, Zip 19009 N. Little Spokane Dr. Colbert, WA 99005

Phone (509) 466-3485 Email RYAN@FIEVEZBEAN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Paula Whitson Signature Paula Whitson

Address, City, Zip 1518 S. Maple, Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509-747-7355 Email pwhit45989@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kenneth R. Peacock Signature Kenneth R Peacock

Address, City, Zip 5713 N. Ella Spokane WA 99212

Phone 509-926-3871 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JANE MAY Signature

Address, City, Zip 5206 1/2 Ballard Ave NW #4 Seattle, WA 98107

Phone 206.579.7852 Email janemay11@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAMS

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kamryn Mertens Signature Kamryn Mertens

Address, City, Zip 2516 Douglas Ave Apt #403 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone (360) 556-6696 Email mertenk@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DANIEL BRONNY Signature D B

Address, City, Zip 2510 GRANT ST. BELLINGHAM WA

Phone 630 432 1915 Email bronnyd@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRUCE R BOYLEW Signature Bruce R Boylew

Address, City, Zip 9525 Windmill Dr Spokane, WA 99223

Phone 509-327-3457 Email brbat509@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joshua Simmons Signature

Address, City, Zip 4605 College Ave, Everett WA

Phone _____ Email simmonsjs@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Stephanie Rubido Signature

Address, City, Zip 2735 Alderwood Ave.

Phone 201-6276 (360) Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jenny Maida-Young Signature

Address, City, Zip 3700 Alabama St #105

Phone 360.353.4192 Email manatee13@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jennifer Redmond Signature Jennifer Redmond

Address, City, Zip 4538 Fir Tree Way Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-201-1274 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristin Wilson-Ley Signature KWilson-Ley

Address, City, Zip 1815 Wilson Ave Bellingham WA 98225

Phone (360)306.8383 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Holly Folk Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2531 West Street Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone [redacted] Email

JH

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name JOANNE COWAN Signature J M Cowan

Address, City, Zip 3312 16th ST, Boulder, CO 80304

Phone 303 902 6375 Email orderly pursuits @ mac. com

JP

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Miriam Freshley Signature Miriam A. Freshley

Address, City, Zip 3403 Bay Rd. Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone 360-933-4566 Email mimif58@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tom May Signature T May

Address, City, Zip 1117 W 9th Ave, Spokane, WA 99204

Phone 509-747-8358 Email TMAYLAW@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name RUSSELL ANTHES Signature Russell Anthes

Address, City, Zip POB 37 MALO, WA

Phone 509 779-4117 Email STEVEANT@BOSSIG.COM

RECEIVED
NOV 16 2009
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Eliot Scull Signature Eliot W. Scull

Address, City, Zip 3770 10 SE, EAST WENATCHEE, WA. 98802

Phone 509 886-3770 Email ETSCULL@TUMWATER.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christine E Scull Signature Christine E Scull

Address, City, Zip 3770 10 SE, EAST WENATCHEE, WA. 98802

Phone 509-886-3770 Email GTSCULL@TOWNEATER.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support many aspects of the plan and commend the Department for subjecting the plan to thorough scientific review.

If this plan is to provide for wolf recovery over the long term, it is critical that the following changes be made:

- Increase the number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed or provide a stronger evaluation of the state's habitat connectivity to other regions and details on how connectivity will be improved over time. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

- Provide separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives.

- Eliminate the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Given the history of poaching in this state and the high potential for misuse, this provision could seriously hamper recovery efforts. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

- Support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speeding recovery by establishing implementation mechanisms and providing a funding schedule in the plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRIAN & CAROLE REID Signature Brian Reid Carole Reid

Address, City, Zip 17 BRIAN RD., WINTHROP, WA 98862

VH 1213

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support many aspects of the plan and commend the Department for subjecting the plan to thorough scientific review.

If this plan is to provide for wolf recovery over the long term, it is critical that the following changes be made:

- Increase the number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed or provide a stronger evaluation of the state's habitat connectivity to other regions and details on how connectivity will be improved over time. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

- Provide separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives.

- Eliminate the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Given the history of poaching in this state and the high potential for misuse, this provision could seriously hamper recovery efforts. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

- Support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speeding recovery by establishing implementation mechanisms and providing a funding schedule in the plan.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Eric Burr Signature E. Burr

Address, City, Zip 585 Lost River Road, Mazama WA 98833-9734

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 08 2008
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRANDON HALL Signature Brandon Hall

Address, City, Zip 2581 Birch Bay-Lynden Rd.

Phone _____ Email _____

VJH 1213

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Keme Murphy Signature [signature] 12/3

Address, City, Zip 16318 50th Place West Edmonds, WA 98026

Phone (425) 640-1843 Email keme.murphy@edcc.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM
JH 12/3

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Regina Terhune Signature Regina Terhune

Address, City, Zip 25907 75th Ave SW Vashon, WA 98070

Phone 206-463-3332 Email alljeweled@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name James T. Baughman Signature

Address, City, Zip 1736 Belmont Ave Seattle WA, 98122

Phone 407-405-6251 Email TannerBaughman@clickit.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sara Charette Signature

Address, City, Zip 2549 Squires Rd., Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360 671-0163 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SCOTT SANDERSON Signature

Address, City, Zip 816 20TH ST 102 BHAM WA 98225

Phone 319 0424 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SCOTT WILD Signature S Wild

Address, City, Zip 1242 SE PAUL, B'HAM WA 98229

Phone _____ Email swild70@juno.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alexandra Ingram Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2911 Second Ave #607 Seattle WA 98121

Phone 206-718-4288 Email aingram@u.washington.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the Plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alex May Signature

Address, City, Zip 2911 2ND AVE, #617 SEATTLE, WA 98121

Phone 206 304 9142 Email alex.k.may@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Samantha Pittman Signature Samantha Pittman

Address, City, Zip 5713 N. Ella Spokane WA 99212

Phone 509-869-8718 Email pittman1989@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brandon Petty Signature

Address, City, Zip 2305 1st Ave Apt. 328 Seattle, WA 98121

Phone 206-351-5964 Email deltranquillo@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lauren Grant Signature

Address, City, Zip 3305 Allen Ave, Bellingham WA, 98225

Phone (206) 696-0092 Email laurenjean.grant@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Veronica Bibikova Signature

Address, City, Zip 384 Sudden Valley Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 425-214-2401 Email nikabibikova@gmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name JAMIE HART Signature Jamie Hart

Address, City, Zip 9122 DEER VLY RD NEWPORT, WA 99156

Phone 509 991-0260 Email JDNICHOLS@HOTMAIL.COM

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Anita M. Boyden Signature Anita M. Boyden

Address, City, Zip 8525 South Windmill Drive

Phone 327-3457 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elizabeth Moreno Signature Elizabeth Moreno

Address, City, Zip 9109 N Ranhos Rd., Spokane, WA 99218

Phone _____ Email elizabeth.a.moreno@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CHAD CARSON Signature

Address, City, Zip 9421 E Marinyo 99206

Phone 951-2218 Email

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Nathan Weber Signature Nathan Weber

Address, City, Zip 2415 E 45th AVE, Spokane, 99223

Phone (509) 535-3645 Email web_master@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name _____ Signature _____

Address, City, Zip _____

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cassandra Schoenmakers Signature Cassandra Schoenmakers

Address, City, Zip 508 Boulevard Ave Bham, WA 98225

Phone 360 510-1730 Email schoenmakers.c@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Silver Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 316 E 26th Ave Spokane WA

Phone 504 844 3340 Email cjsr4dave@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Kozova Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box ~~2002~~ 815

Phone 1-509-230-3024 Email dkozovasi@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Hulsebus Signature

Address, City, Zip 4505 E. Frederick Ave Spokane WA. 99217

Phone 487-0687 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JON SION Signature

Address, City, Zip 4101 S. 40th Rd

Phone 509-939-7597 Email JONSION@HOTMAIL.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2015
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Anne Behrens Signature Anne Behrens

Address, City, Zip 12411 Flying Goose Ln Spokane, WA 99224

Phone (509) 879-5906 Email annebehrens@rocketmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Bob Clausen Signature Bob Clausen

Address, City, Zip 3223 N. Sherman ct Spokane WA 99205

Phone _____ Email bclausen@edgecs.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sarah Trincho Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3415 S. Fox Court Spokane WA 99206

Phone 505 496 1250 Email Dolphinprincess_14@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elliot & Carolyn Stone Signature Elliot Stone

Address, City, Zip 1806 W. Pacific Ave. Apt. 19, Spokane, WA 99201

Phone _____ Email elliotandcarolyn@live.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED JAN 07 2010 HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Richard M. Zarnowitz Signature Richard M. Zarnowitz

Address, City, Zip 1486 Oriental Av, Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-676-9935 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robi Floyd Signature RNF

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 5241 glacier, WA 98244

Phone 599 4399 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ben Schwartz Signature

Address, City, Zip PO Box 5241 Glacier, WA 98244

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name J. Terence Clark Signature J. Terence Clark

Address, City, Zip 1826 Rosewood Ln Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Meyer Signature David Meyer

Address, City, Zip P.o Box 2364 Bham, wa 98227

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Genevieve Brooks Signature

Address, City, Zip 1460 Iron St, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ellen Murphy Signature

Address, City, Zip 901 N Forest #147 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email ellenkavanagh@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Laura Sandall Signature Laura Sandall

Address, City, Zip 1006 North Garden St #1 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 5054170306 Email lrsandall@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alyssa Fritz Signature Alyssa Fritz

Address, City, Zip 1010 N Garden St. Apt 1

Phone afritz7@gmail.com Email (425) 280-8085

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MICHAL HANDY Signature Michal A. Handy

Address, City, Zip 2809 IRON ST

Phone 360-734 9362 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zoë Roberts Signature Zoë Roberts

Address, City, Zip 3199 Steller Court Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-393-1008 Email horseback rider 12313 1@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristen Chalmers Signature Kristen Chalmers

Address, City, Zip 309 Willow Ct North, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 647-3447 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrea MacLennan Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1125 37th St. Bellingham, WA. 98229

Phone 360.392.0048 Email andrea.maclennan@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name William Schenken Signature William Schenken

Address, City, Zip 1125 37th St Bellingham, WA. 98229

Phone 360.392.0048 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name LORNA Shepardson Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2440 Lee Way Bellingham 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Grace Yoakum Signature Grace Yoakum

Address, City, Zip 1410 32nd St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone (206) 335 4194 Email gracy.yoakum@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carolyn McGlothlin Signature Carolyn McGlothlin

Address, City, Zip 718 Donovan Ave

Phone 360-738-3165 Email carolynmcglothlin@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ISAK LUSSENDEN Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 1213 WHATCOM ST #101

Phone 734-358-6932 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cameron Burns Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2020 W. Pacific

Phone (509) 954-7976 Email Grizzly509@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name WAYNE SHAW Signature Wayne Shaw JH

Address, City, Zip 9400 W. Rowan Ave Spokane WA 99205

Phone 509-325-1260 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordan Dunn Signature

Address, City, Zip 5718 N Washington, Spokane, 99205

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordan R. Lawson Signature

Address, City, Zip PO Box 353, Custer, WA 98240

Phone (360) 305-6815 Email hoodedriver@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name IRENE A. LAWSON Signature Irene A. Lawson

Address, City, Zip 2405 W BADGER RD CUSTER WA 98240

Phone 360 366 3592 Email custerlawsons@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name TRAVIS HANEY Signature

Address, City, Zip 2512 CHERRY ST BELLINGHAM WA 98225

Phone 360 296 6989 Email MYFRIENDTRAVIS804@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrew Butler Signature Andrew Butler

Address, City, Zip 1923 Dakin St. Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone (425) 216-1242 Email Brinton84@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Craig Romano Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2611 Northwoods Loop Rd Mount Vernon, WA 98273

Phone (360) 848-6301 Email CraigC@CraigRomano.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Josh Simpson Signature Josh Simpson

Address, City, Zip 1208 E. Nora Spokane WA 99202

Phone 360 333-2119 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JH

Print Name Heather A. Sale Signature Heather A. Sale

Address, City, Zip 1161 N. Harvard Ct. Spokane, WA 99212

Phone _____ Email heathersale@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristin Romer Signature

Address, City, Zip 10018 E. Forest

Phone 994-5494 Email KRJR@MSN.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name STEVE SATHER Signature Steve J. Sather

Address, City, Zip 4 W. 29TH AVE, SPOKANE, WA 99203

Phone 455-7714 Email STEVE J. SAT @ aol. COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rory Savatgy Signature

Address, City, Zip 900 High St. Bellingham WA

Phone 360-201-3819 Email RorySavatgy@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DENISE GEROUX Signature

Address, City, Zip 2850 S.W. YANCY ST., Seattle WA 98126

Phone (206) 383-8269 Email shizendo@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marc Chaney Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 989 Tahoe Blvd unit #26 Incline Village NV 89451

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CLAYTON OLSON Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2442 N.W. MARKET ST #510

Phone _____ Email ChipOlson@me.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
WDFW
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jeffrey M. Hancock Signature Jeffrey M. Hancock

Address, City, Zip 7012 42nd Ave NE Seattle WA 98115

Phone 206-526-5616 Email vfelton@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JAMES Michael Williams Signature James m williams

Address, City, Zip 8308 35TH AVE SW

Phone 206-245-8156 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brandon White Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 4702 Leland St. Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-305-7964 Email brandonwhite1@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mark A. Olson Signature Mark A Olson

Address, City, Zip 1116 E. 43rd Ave Spokane WA 99203

Phone 509-838-3663 Email jmbjolson@comcast.net

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and
- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Brock Olsen Signature

Address, City, Zip 1116 E 43rd Spokane, WA

Phone (509) 838-3663 Email jmbjolsen@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jacob Olson Signature Jacob Olson

Address, City, Zip 1116 E 43rd Spokane Washington

Phone 509-838-3663 Email Jolson@comcast.net

3x

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Pearl Follett Signature Pearl Follett

Address, City, Zip 2605 Lakeridge Lane Bellingham WA

Phone 1-360-671-0434 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

JAN 07 2010

HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mark Herrick Signature

Address, City, Zip 3909 Fielding Ave.

Phone 360 671 2454 Email vherr.ck@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Ryan Jefferson Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 7474 Healy Rd, Sedro Woolley, WA 98284

Phone (360) 826-4579 Email ri_parian@hotmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordan O'Brien Signature Jordan O'Brien

Address, City, Zip 1213 Whatcom St App. 101 Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 206-941-6331 Email obrien9@students.wwu.edu

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM
JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Conor Lough Signature

Address, City, Zip 1213 Whatcom St Apt 101, Bellingham WA 98229

Phone (360) 220-1518 Email loughc@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brittany Granger Signature Brittany Granger

Address, City, Zip 817 Mathes Hall, 516 High St, Bellingham, WA, 98225

Phone (206)909-9610 Email brittany.granger@hotmail.com

RECEIVED JAN 05 2010 HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name GARY CHRISTIANSON Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 20619 SE 135th ST, ISSAQUAH, WA 98027

Phone 206 428 8904 Email gchristianson@oncothyreon.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the South Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Evan Schindler Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 406 BT 516 High St. Bellingham 98225

Phone _____ Email Evan.schindler@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Torri Middlekauff Signature Torri Middlekauff

Address, City, Zip 15654 SE 146 PL Renton, WA 98059

Phone (425) 205-7983 Email Togi@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christina Lum Signature Christina Lum

Address, City, Zip 1006 N. Garden St. Apt. #1, Bellingham, 98607

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marie Enell Signature Marie Enell

Address, City, Zip 1006 N. Garden st Unit 1 Bellingham

Phone (360) 213-8407 Email enellm@cc.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 08 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sarah Bradley Signature Sarah Bradley

Address, City, Zip 5412 45th AVE SW Seattle, WA 98136

Phone (206) 271-1691 Email scooby gurl 3@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tom Mussolwhite Signature

Address, City, Zip 13014 S Ballou

Phone 509-838-5931 Email tcmuss@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Trey DONNER Signature

Address, City, Zip Olympia, WA

Phone _____ Email DRAKO_2639@hotmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Pat Moberly Signature Pat Moberly

Address, City, Zip 24918 N. Monroe Deer Park 99006

Phone 276-1760 Email toucan@televar.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Amy Bender Signature Amy Bender

Address, City, Zip 3500 S Grand Spokane 99203

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED JAN 07 2018 HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name GERRY J COPELAND Signature Gerry J Copeland

Address, City, Zip W 5303 Marshall Creek Ln.

Phone 509 443 2710 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name TY LANDIS Signature Ty Landis

Address, City, Zip 4117 E 9TH, SPOKANE

Phone (360) 301-2007 Email ty landis@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECD
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Dee McGonigle Signature

Address, City, Zip Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509 536-6595 Email deemcicle@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jackie Bates Signature Jackie Bates

Address, City, Zip 2303 N. Palisades Ln 99224

Phone 504-993-5956 Email jackiebates99@hotmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrew Bisenius Signature

Address, City, Zip 3805 E. 12th Ave, Spokane, 99202

Phone (509) 216-3865 Email andrew.bisenius@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lauri Laurcano Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 205 Cypress Lane, Snohomish WA 98290

Phone 3605685639 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Luke Draehlin Signature Luke Draehlin

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Address, City, Zip 316 31st Ave. #4

Phone 206-240-7989 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carol Nelson Signature Carol J Nelson

Address, City, Zip 728 N 79th St. Lower, Seattle, WA 98103

Phone 206-781-1269 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KURT MEIER Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1614 E 10th Ave Spokane, WA 99202

Phone 509 288-7067 Email skyland@lavabit.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrew Carlson Signature

Address, City, Zip 2615 Blackberry Lane, 98229

Phone 360-305-9920 Email asc1103@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Albert Odmark Signature Albert Odmark

Address, City, Zip 1401 Sunset Ave SW Seattle 98116

Phone 206 937 0147 Email odmark@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alex Andel Signature

Address, City, Zip 12959 74th ave. NE Kirkland 98034

Phone 425-894-8326 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DEANNE JANEANO Signature

Address, City, Zip 205 CYPRESS LANE SNOHOMISH WA 98290

Phone 425-268-7484 Email DREAMSTHROUGHLIE@AOL.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David McCay Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 5033 40th Ave Sw Seattle WA 98126

Phone 206 923-3496 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascade and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name GEORGE THIELMAN Signature

Address, City, Zip 15714 ST. R. 9 S.E.

Phone 206-796-2300 Email GEORGETHIELMAN@COMCAST.NET

SJH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MARY ELLEN SMITH Signature Mary Ellen Smith

Address, City, Zip 7526 27TH AVE NE, Seattle, WA 98115

Phone 206-525-0454 Email marketa1@earthlink.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Annika Ivestre Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 1210 E. Barley Brae ct 99208

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ken Wagner Signature Ken Wagner

Address, City, Zip Po box 3712, Spokane WA 99220-3712

Phone 509 489 5417 Email Ken_Wagner@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MATT STEWART Signature MJS LA

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 8659 SPOKANE WA 99203

Phone _____ Email mtreehugger@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name HEATHER THOMPSON Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 5883 Kamakin Trail Fairchild AFB, WA 99011

Phone 661-916 3054 Email HCTHOMPSON@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Caitlan Thompson Signature Caitlan Thompson

Address, City, Zip 5553 Kamiakin Trail FAFB, WA 99011

Phone 661-916-3054 Email Kick2soccer@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jerry Thompson Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 5053 Kamiak [illegible]

Phone [illegible] Email [illegible]

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rick Plumbo Signature Rick Plumbo

Address, City, Zip 5732 S. Kenzenreed Dr. 99224

Phone 7475894 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordy Byrd Signature

Address, City, Zip 1927 W Riverside #7 99201

Phone 509-671-3611 Email jordybyrd@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Reilly Signature Chris Reilly

Address, City, Zip 1328 N Lincoln St Spokane, WA 99201

Phone 509-981-6973 Email chris@chris-reilly.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ALLI RIESE Signature

Address, City, Zip 423 W CLEVELAND #A Spokane, WA 99205

Phone 206-522-7170 Email allison-riese@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kenneth J. Vanden Heuvel Signature Kenneth J. Vanden Heuvel

Address, City, Zip 312 E. 25th Ave, Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509-290-5159 Email ken_vanden_heuvel@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely, JH

Print Name Kelly Benjamin Signature Kelly Benjamin

Address, City, Zip 7445 Bayswood Ct Columbus IN 47201

Phone 812 657-7573 Email benjamin4744@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Will Biggam Signature Will Biggam

Address, City, Zip 3650 E 51st Ave Apt A301 Spokane WA 1920

Phone 205-596 0594 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DAVID PETERSON Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 1312 N KIERNAN 11205

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zak [illegible] Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 5423 N Nevada St 99208

Phone 458-5149 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrea Mason Signature Andrea Mason

Address, City, Zip 615 W Holland Ave Spokane, WA 99218

Phone (253) 651-5947 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jacob Riggins Signature

Address, City, Zip 510 Cherry Lane Clarkston WA 99403

Phone 208 266 1162 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marc Gauthier Signature Marc Gauthier

Address, City, Zip 7034 Hwy 291 C, 99034

Phone 509-720-3159 Email blackmarc@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRANDEN JOHNSON Signature

Address, City, Zip P.O BOX 3559 IDA FALLS, ID. 83317

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christina Johnson Signature Christina Johnson

Address, City, Zip PO Box 3551, Post Falls, ID 83877

Phone (509) Email NA

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordan Johnson Signature

Address, City, Zip 1718 E. Lincoln #2, Spokane, WA 99217

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tim Reinhardt Signature Tim Reinhardt

Address, City, Zip 5005 S. Todd Dr. Kennewick, WA 99338

Phone _____ Email [illegible]

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name NANETTE KEITH Signature

Address, City, Zip 2609 PARK ST, BELLINGHAM, WA. 98225

Phone 360-306-8149 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristin Nilsson Signature K Nilsson

Address, City, Zip 9909 Ivanhoe Rd, Spokane WA 99251

Phone _____ Email kristinnilsson@intrage@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2019
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tanya Selway Signature Tanya Selway

Address, City, Zip 128 E. Providence Spokane WA 99207

Phone (509) 218-9571 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michelle Richards Signature

Address, City, Zip 440 S Temple Dr Spk WA 99223

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steven Richards Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 4406 S. Tamiya Dr. 49223

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jeanine Webber Signature Jeanine Webber

Address, City, Zip 2415 E. 45th Spokane WA 99223

Phone 509 448 7581 Email jjkwebber@msn.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nichole Webber Signature Nichole Webber

Address, City, Zip 300 N. Hawthorne Rd. Spokane, WA 99223

Phone (509) 868-3889 Email hwebber13@my.whitworth.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name TEAGAN NORTON Signature Teagan Norton

Address, City, Zip 3906 S. COOK CHEHALIS WA 99223

Phone (509) 4-[illegible]-9621 Email tnorton@whitworth.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elizabeth Moreno Signature Elizabeth Moreno

Address, City, Zip 9409 N Ivanhoe Rd., Spokane, WA 99218

Phone _____ Email elizabeth.a.moreno@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CHAD CARSON Signature

Address, City, Zip 9421 E Marengo 99206

Phone 951-2218 Email

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Nathan Weber Signature Nathan Weber

Address, City, Zip 2415 E 45th Ave, Spokane, 99223

Phone (509) 535-3695 Email Web_Master@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Steven Strickler Signature Steven Strickler

Address, City, Zip 105 McPherson Ave, Richland, WA, 99354

Phone _____ Email stevens@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cassandra Schoenmakers Signature Cassandra Schoenmakers

Address, City, Zip 508 Boulevard Ave Bham, WA 98225

Phone 360 510-1730 Email schoenmakers.c@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Silver Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 316 E 26th Ave Spokane WA

Phone 509 844 3340 Email cjsr4dave@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Kozova Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box ~~2002~~ 815

Phone 1-509-230-3024 Email dkozovasiy@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Hulsebus Signature Michael Hulsebus

Address, City, Zip 4505 E. Frederick Ave Spokane WA. 99217

Phone 487-0687 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JON SION Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 4101 S. 42nd Rd

Phone 509-939-7597 Email JONSION@HOTMAIL.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2015
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Anne Behrens Signature Anne Behrens

Address, City, Zip 12411 Flying Goose Ln Spokane, WA 99224

Phone (509) 879-5906 Email annebehrens@rocketmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Bob Clausen Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3203 N. Sheridan ct Spokane WA 99205

Phone _____ Email bclausen@edgecs.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sarah Trincho Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3415 S. Fox Court Spokane WA 99206

Phone 509 496 1250 Email Dolphinprincess_14@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elliot & Carolyn Stone Signature Elliot Stone

Address, City, Zip 1806 W. Pacific Ave. Apt. 19, Spokane, WA 99201

Phone _____ Email elliotandcarolyn@live.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED JAN 07 2010 HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Richard M. Zarnowitz Signature Richard M. Zarnowitz

Address, City, Zip 1486 Oriental Av, Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-676-9935 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robi Floyd Signature

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 5241 glacier, WA 98244

Phone 599 6399 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ben Schwartz Signature

Address, City, Zip PO Box 5241 Glacier, WA 98244

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name J. Terence Clark Signature J. Terence Clark

Address, City, Zip 1826 Rosewood Ln Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Meyer Signature David Meyer

Address, City, Zip P.o Box 2364 B'ham, wa 98227

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Genevieve Brooks Signature

Address, City, Zip 1460 Iron St, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ellen Murphy Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 901 N Forest # 147 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email ellenkavanagh@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Laura Sandall Signature Laura Sandall

Address, City, Zip 1006 North Garden St #1 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 5054170306 Email lrsandall@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alyssa Fritz Signature Alyssa Fritz

Address, City, Zip 1022 N Garden St. Apt 1

Phone afritz7@gmail.com Email (425) 280-8085

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MICHAL HANDY Signature Michal A. Handy

Address, City, Zip 2809 IRON ST

Phone 360-734 9362 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zoë Roberts Signature Zoë Roberts

Address, City, Zip 3199 Steller Court Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-393-1008 Email horseback rider 12313 1@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristen Chalmers Signature Kristen Chalmers

Address, City, Zip 309 Willow Ct North, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 647-3447 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrea MacLennan Signature

Address, City, Zip 1125 37th St. Bellingham, WA. 98229

Phone 360.392.0048 Email andrea.maclennan@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name William Schenken Signature William Schenken

Address, City, Zip 1125 37th St Bellingham, WA. 98229

Phone 360.392.0048 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name LORNA Shepardson Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2440 Lee Way Bellingham 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Grace Yoakum Signature Grace Yoakum

Address, City, Zip 1410 32nd St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone (206) 335 4194 Email grace.yoakum@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carolyn McGlothlin Signature Carolyn McGlothlin

Address, City, Zip 718 Donovan Ave

Phone 360-738-3165 Email carolynmcglothlin@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Judy HopKinson Signature Judy Hopkinson

Address, City, Zip 1446 Franklin St. Apt. B, Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 713 816 3009 Email jd.hopkinson@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Margaret H Ferm Signature

Address, City, Zip 20720 - 195TH Ave SE Monroe WA 98272

Phone (206) 200 8817 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name DONALD JOHNSTON Signature Donald Johnston

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 1535, BELLINGHAM, WA 98227

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions. + Olympic Forests + Valleys ★

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Heather Katahdin Signature Heather Katahdin

Address, City, Zip PO Box 1535 Sham WA 98277

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Boernke Signature Chris Boernke

Address, City, Zip 3945 Nelson Rd. Deming WA 98244

Phone 360-656-6220 Email chris@bellysbotanicals.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lee Dallas Signature Lee Dallas

Address, City, Zip 10 Chuckanut Dr., Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-220-0295 Email mslee dallas@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rex Hall Signature Rex Hall

Address, City, Zip 2717 Patton STReet Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 917.509.4257 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peggy J. Printz Signature Peggy J. Printz

Address, City, Zip 7729 - 51st Ave NE, Seattle WA 98115

Phone 206-713-5500 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CHRIS KOPCZYNSKI Signature

Address, City, Zip 1089 Primrose Ln., Spokane, Wa.

Phone 509-624-8749 Email chris@kopcreations.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Floyd Rogers Signature Floyd Rogers

Address, City, Zip 320 Palouse Rd. Cle Elum, WA 98922

Phone 509-674-2518 Email floydr@inlandwireless.net

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Jackie Branz Signature

Address, City, Zip 1420 Terry Ave, #807

Phone 206 · 427 · 5870 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Colette McNabb Signature Colette McNabb

Address, City, Zip 2717 Patton St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360 333 8689 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christine Pacheco Signature

Address, City, Zip 950 Samish Way Bellingham WA 98229

Phone (206) 499-7299 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sarah Day Signature Sarah Day

Address, City, Zip _____

Phone _____ Email sday712@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christel Martin Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 901 N Forest St. #140

Phone _____ Email remoramako@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Teresa Todd Signature Teresa Todd

Address, City, Zip 5952 Longdin Rd. Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KRISTEN RYAN Signature

Address, City, Zip 2816 Pullman St. Bham WA 98226

Phone 206-788-7619 Email K.SHOE.RYAN@gmail.com

please do not contact me.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kirsten Kenyon Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 909 22nd Street #ppt 9, Bellingham WA 98225

Phone — Email kikdasoccerchic@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KATJA KLOEPFER Signature

Address, City, Zip 5655 Fauntleroy Way SW, Seattle, WA 98136

Phone 206 650 7593 Email katiaklopfer@yahoo.co.uk

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alyce I. Fritz Signature Alyce I. Fritz

Address, City, Zip 2532 234th Pl SW Brier WA 98036

Phone 425-486-3069 Email thefritzs3@verizon.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steve Smith Signature Steve Smith

Address, City, Zip 1714 NW 100th St. Seattle, WA 98177

Phone 206.619.4664 Email ALPINEJUSTICE@EMAIL.COM

RECEIVED DEC 10 2009 HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
OCT 2009
HABITAT PROG

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cynthia Nochowitz Signature Cynthia Nochowitz

Address, City, Zip 8442 24th ave sw Seattle Wa 98106

Phone 206 768-9978 Email cynnochowitz@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mary Ekman Signature Mary Ekman

Address, City, Zip 1001 Kelley Ridge Ct. Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-738-2913 Email maryekman@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 16 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name EMIL EKMAN Signature Emil Ekman

Address, City, Zip 1001 Kelley Ridge CT.

Phone (360) 738-2913 Email emilekman@COMCAST.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Doris B. Ferm Signature Doris B. Ferm

Address, City, Zip 228 S. 42nd St., Bellingham, WA 98229-2883

Phone 360-756-0621 Email dbferm@fidalgo.net

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lisa Brodsky Signature Lisa Brodsky

Address, City, Zip 1118 14th St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2012
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Drew Schwitters Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 17615 NE 34th CT, Redmond, Wa, 98052

Phone (425) 213-8090 Email drewschwitters@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carrie Schwitters Signature Carrie L Schwitters

Address, City, Zip 17615 NE 34th CT Redmond, WA 98052

Phone _____ Email carrieschwitters@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Judy HopKinson Signature Judy Hopkinson

Address, City, Zip 1446 Franklin St. Apt. B, Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 713 816 3009 Email jd.hopkinson@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Margaret H Ferm Signature

Address, City, Zip 20720 - 195TH Ave SE Monroe WA 98272

Phone (206) 200 8817 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name DONALD JOHNSTON Signature Donald Johnston

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 1535, BELLINGHAM, WA 98227

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions. + Olympic Forests + Valleys ★

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Heather Katahdin Signature Heather Katahdin

Address, City, Zip PO Box 1535 Sham WA 98277

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Boernke Signature Chris Boernke

Address, City, Zip 3945 Nelson Rd. Deming WA 98244

Phone 360-656-6220 Email chris@bellysbotanicals.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lee Dallas Signature Lee Dallas

Address, City, Zip 10 Chuckanut Dr., Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-220-0295 Email mslee dallas@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rex Hall Signature Rex Hall

Address, City, Zip 2717 Patton STReet Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 917.509.4257 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peggy J. Printz Signature Peggy J. Printz

Address, City, Zip 7729 - 51st Ave NE, Seattle WA 98115

Phone 206-713-5500 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CHRIS KOPCZYNSKI Signature

Address, City, Zip 1089 Primrose Ln., Spokane, Wa.

Phone 509-624-8749 Email chris@kopcreations.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Floyd Rogers Signature Floyd Rogers

Address, City, Zip 320 Palouse Rd. Cle Elum, WA 98922

Phone 509-674-2518 Email floydr@inlandwireless.net

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Jackie Branz Signature

Address, City, Zip 1420 Terry Ave, #807

Phone 206 · 427 · 5870 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Colette McNabb Signature Colette McNabb

Address, City, Zip 2717 Patton St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360 333 8689 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christine Pacheco Signature

Address, City, Zip 950 Samish Way Bellingham WA 98229

Phone (206) 499-7299 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sarah Day Signature Sarah Day

Address, City, Zip _____

Phone _____ Email sday712@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christel Martin Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 901 N Forest St. #140

Phone _____ Email remoramako@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Teresa Todd Signature Teresa Todd

Address, City, Zip 5952 Longdin Rd. Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KRISTEN RYAN Signature

Address, City, Zip 2816 Pullman St. Bham WA 98226

Phone 206-788-7619 Email K.SHOE.RYAN@gmail.com

please do not contact me.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kirsten Kenyon Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 909 22nd Street #ppt 9, Bellingham WA 98225

Phone — Email kikdasoccerchic@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KATJA KLOEPFER Signature

Address, City, Zip 5655 Fauntleroy Way SW, Seattle, WA 98136

Phone 206 650 7593 Email katiaklopfer@yahoo.co.uk

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alyce I. Fritz Signature Alyce I. Fritz

Address, City, Zip 2532 234th Pl SW Brier WA 98036

Phone 425-486-3069 Email thefritzs3@verizon.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steve Smith Signature Steve Smith

Address, City, Zip 1714 NW 100th St. Seattle, WA 98177

Phone 206.619.4664 Email ALPINEJUSTICE@EMAIL.COM

RECEIVED DEC 10 2009 HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
OCT 2009
HABITAT PROG

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cynthia Nochowitz Signature Cynthia Nochowitz

Address, City, Zip 8442 24th ave sw Seattle Wa 98106

Phone 206 768-9978 Email cynnochowitz@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mary Ekman Signature Mary Ekman

Address, City, Zip 1001 Kelley Ridge Ct. Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-738-2913 Email maryekman@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 16 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name EMIL EKMAN Signature Emil Ekman

Address, City, Zip 1001 Kelley Ridge CT.

Phone (360) 738-2913 Email emilekman@COMCAST.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Doris B. Ferm Signature Doris B. Ferm

Address, City, Zip 228 S. 42nd St., Bellingham, WA 98229-2883

Phone 360-756-0621 Email dbferm@fidalgo.net

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lisa Brodsky Signature Lisa Brodsky

Address, City, Zip 1118 14th St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2012
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Drew Schwitters Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 17615 NE 34th CT, Redmond, Wa, 98052

Phone (425) 213-8090 Email drewschwitters@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carrie Schwitters Signature Carrie L Schwitters

Address, City, Zip 17615 NE 34th CT Redmond, WA 98052

Phone _____ Email carrieschwitters@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name HOWARD HOLLONIO Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1225 E. SUNSET DR. # 514, BELLINGHAM, WA 98226

Phone 360 733 6859 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Received
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name MARY RAWLINS Signature

Address, City, Zip 2356 E Henn Rd Bellingham WA 98226

Phone 360 739 5658 Email john235mary@earthlink.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery. BUT NEED TO REIMBURSE LIVESTOCK LOSS TOO.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2008
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marjorie Simmons Signature

Address, City, Zip PO Box 5625 B'Ham WA 98225

Phone Email NorthwestArtist@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sibyl Sanford Signature Sibyl W Sanford

Address, City, Zip 341 S. Garden St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 734-8070 Email ssanford10@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2012
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Pam Borso Signature Pam Borso

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 154 Custer Wa 98240

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
OCT 16 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sheila A. Sondik Signature Sheila A. Sondik

Address, City, Zip 1809 Summit St Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone _____ Email ssondik@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2008
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DAVID RIDGWAY Signature D J Ridgway

Address, City, Zip 1415 13th St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 671-7178 Email dridgw@rockisland.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2008
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name VIRGINIA RIDGWAY Signature Virginia D. Ridgway

Address, City, Zip 1415 13th STREET BELLINGHAM, WA, 98225

Phone 360-671-7178 Email aridgin@rockisland.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jeanine Hart-Horner Signature Jeanine Hart-Horner

Address, City, Zip 1116 37th St. Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone (360) 676-6029 Email

* Although this is a pre-printed message, it speaks my mind!

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JOHN HORNER Signature J Horner

Address, City, Zip 1116 37th St., Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-676-6029 Email horners@clearwire.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Valeri Wade Signature Valeri Wade

Address, City, Zip 705 Kentucky Street, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 734-0969 Email v@wildbirdchalet.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joseph M. Kelly Signature Joseph M. Kelly

Address, City, Zip 2440 Thornton St., Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone 360-384-0623 Email jmkelly11@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name _____ Signature Mary L. Siren

Mary Siren
3844 Gala Loop
Bellingham, WA 98226-7857

Address, City _____

Phone 360-676-1981 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Athena Cipriani Signature Athena Cipriani

Address, City, Zip 22 Marigold Drive #18

Phone (360) 306-1803 Email lemeowlepurrr@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tim Panagos Signature

Address, City, Zip 22 Marigold Dr #18

Phone 360-739-8795 Email tim@archivesmedia.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name James SKar Signature

Address, City, Zip 3331 Northwest Ave. Apt #3 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone (360) 483-7637 Email jamesskar@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2006
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Gloria Blench Signature Gloria Blench

Address, City, Zip 3909 West Cedarbrook Court Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-734-2296 Email Globle@Comcast.Net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elizabeth Smith Signature Elizabeth Smith

Address, City, Zip 2405 Victor Street Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360-734-5872 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cindy Blench Signature CBlench

Address, City, Zip 6278 Music Place, Maple Falls, WA 98266

Phone 360 543 5634 Email Blenchmob@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name LILA FINNER Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3738 Cody Circle #201, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 527 3191 Email IVORYSPIRIT@EARTHLINK.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Laura Shelton Signature Laura Shelton

Address, City, Zip 902 Donovan Bellingham Wa 98225

Phone 360-734-8021 Email LASND@aol.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Adena J. Mooers Signature Adena Mooers

Address, City, Zip 715 12th. St., Bellingham Wa. 98225

Phone 360-676-9966 Email adenafiddler@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name STEVE SMITH Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 2405 VICTOR ST., BELLINGHAM, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email sgsmith@hinet.org

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robert L. Meeds Signature Robert L Meeds

Address, City, Zip 715 12th St. Bellingham, WA. 98225-6210

Phone 360 676-9966 Email fallsguy123@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name E. Ann Prevost Signature E. Ann Prevost

Address, City, Zip 3822 Leavitt St. Bellingham 98226 WA

Phone 360-714-8629 Email lemprev@alphahunt.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
SEP 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rich Hermsen Signature

Address, City, Zip 3728 Bristol St. Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone ~~25~~ Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JUDY URQUHART Signature Judy Urquhart

Address, City, Zip 4672 Birch Bay Lynden Rd #47

Phone 360 820-5444 Email luv2kayak@juno.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Amy Davis Signature Amy Davis

Address, City, Zip 5022 69th ST NE Marysville, WA 98270

Phone (509) 429-3920 Email amyelizabeth05@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Miller Signature

Address, City, Zip 1025 Potter St. Apt 301

Phone (360) 521-5866 Email mike254@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DAVE DORAN Signature

Address, City, Zip 4385 SALTSPRING FERNDALE WA 98248

Phone 224-6889 Email DDORAN45@YAHOO.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the South Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name TAMALYN KRALMAN Signature Tamalyn Kralman

Address, City, Zip 1348 McLEOD RD. BELLINGHAM, WA 98226

Phone 360.441.1906 Email tamalynK@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ari Kirschenbaum Signature

Address, City, Zip 410 E Magnolia, Bellingham, WA

Phone 253-820-5873 Email Kirscha2@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jason Lenz Signature

Address, City, Zip 1535 Marine Dr. Bellingham, WA

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jessica McCaffree Signature Jessica McCaffree

Address, City, Zip 330 32nd st #203, Bellingham WA, [redacted] 98225

Phone (425) 463-5733 Email jess.fernleaf@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the southern Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JOHANNA EGGER Signature

Address, City, Zip 2901 BILL MCDONALD PARKWAY, BELLINGHAM, 98225

Phone 360-441-4023 Email egger.johanna@gmx.at

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kellyn McCullough Signature Kellyn McCullough

Address, City, Zip 923 20th St. #208 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 253-315-1006 Email mccullk4@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Beth Goodman Signature Beth Goodman

Address, City, Zip 607 N. Garden Bham 98225

Phone _____ Email goodman.bnp@gmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Damian Cuneo Signature

Address, City, Zip 2633 James St. Bellingham 98225

Phone 360-213-7582 Email COLTFAN@YAHOO.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mariah Vicary Signature Mariah Vicary

Address, City, Zip 2915 Patton St #1 98225

Phone _____ Email akcrew@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Molly Moran Signature Molly E Moran

Address, City, Zip 1518 Franklin street Bellingham WA 98225

Phone (206)755-0984 Email molldoll937@hotmail.com (DO not contact)

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristin Rine Signature Kristin Rine

Address, City, Zip 926 24th St. Apt 1

Phone 253-223-0361 Email rinek44@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Shelby Bushman Signature Shelby Bushman

Address, City, Zip 723 N. Forest St. #201, Bellingham, WA. 98226

Phone (425) 501-8921 Email bushmas@students.wwu.edu

DO NOT CONTACT

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Arthur J Lyons Signature Arthur Lyons

Address, City, Zip 4534 Fremont ST Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone _____ Email _____

12/21 JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sal Russo Signature Sal Russo

Address, City, Zip 2700 Kentucky St. Bellingham, WA 98229 ✓ JH 12/21

Phone (360) 715-0177 Email saland judy @hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name GLORIA HARRISON Signature G Harrison

Address, City, Zip 954 Puget St. Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360 756-8060 Email gharrison@biztran.com.

JH 12/21

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name CARL BUENCN Signature C Buenc

Address, City, Zip 3909 CEDARBROOK CT BELLINGHAM WA 98229

Phone (360) 734 2296 Email

JH 12/21

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Claire Atkins-Davis Signature Claire Atkins-Davis

Address, City, Zip 901 N. Forest St. #119 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email atkinsdavis.claire@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CARRIE WILEY Signature Carrie Wiley

Address, City, Zip 2161 B Street, Bellingham, WA

Phone 360-734-5952 Email cwiley@q.com

VJH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BK SMITH Signature BK Smith

Address, City, Zip 1234 CHUCKANUT CREST BELLINGHAM WA 98229

Phone 671-1450 Email NA

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zach Shirk Signature Zach Shirk

Address, City, Zip 901 N. Forest #119 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 972-533-1188 Email Zshirk@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DIANE SMITH Signature Diane Smith

Address, City, Zip 1234 CHUCKANUT CREST DR., BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

Phone _____ Email _____ ✓

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lois Garlick Signature Lois Garlick

Address, City, Zip 3014 Lynn St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360-676-9111 Email loisgarlick@?.com JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peggy Stewart Signature Peggy W Stewart

Address, City, Zip 5852 STORR RD FERNDALE WA 98248

Phone 360-384-8857 Email PWSTEW45@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jim Stewart Signature J Stewart

Address, City, Zip 5852 Starr Road, Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone 360-384-8857 Email jestew1@yahoo.com

JH 12/21

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cary Lane Signature Cary Lane

Address, City, Zip 5770 Homesteader rd. Deming WA 98244

Phone 360-595-2102 Email carylane@nas.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jaimie Berg Signature

Address, City, Zip 1200 Harris Ave, Ste 111 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 820 5100 Email info@hoopingallure.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ken Lane Signature K W Lane

Address, City, Zip 5770 Homesteader Rd.

Phone 595-2502 Email carylane@nas.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Hex McLean Signature Hex McLean

Address, City, Zip 1009 32nd St.

Phone (360) 303-6640 Email ~~Felixian~~ DIRTHIPPIE@GMAIL.COM

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Karen Carr Signature Karen L Carr

Address, City, Zip 268 Harvest Way Bellingham WA 98226

Phone 360 398 7914 Email rjcarr@juno.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name RJ Carr Signature RJ Carr

Address, City, Zip 265 Harvest Way Bellingham Wa 98226

Phone 360-398-7914 Email RJCARR@JUNO.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SYLVIA GRALEWSKI Signature Sylvia Gralewski

Address, City, Zip 1312 E. Victor St, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRUCE A. KRAIG Signature Bruce a. Kraig

Address, City, Zip 2218 1/2 Jaeger St. Bellingham, WA 98225-2114

Phone (360) 647.0163 Email bakraig@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ben Berman Signature Ben Berm

Address, City, Zip 8520 2nd ave NE. Seattle Wa 98115

Phone 206 786 8198 Email benjaminberman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordyn Jarvis Signature Jordyn Jarvis

Address, City, Zip 8520 2nd AVE NE Seattle, WA 98115

Phone 206 790 8043 Email jordyn.jarvis@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tina Montgomery Signature

Address, City, Zip 12904 74th Ave NE, Kirkland WA 98034

Phone 4258230437 Email tina@surfiniseafood.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Naoko Förderer Signature Naoko Förderer

Address, City, Zip 12973 74th Ave NE Kirkland, WA 98034

Phone 425-820-7696 Email naokof@earthlink.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Berthold P. Forderer Signature Berthold P. Förderer

Address, City, Zip 12933 74th Ave NE, Kirkland WA 98034

Phone 425-820-7696 Email bertf@redapt.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SUSAN J RUDKIN Signature Susan J Rudkin

Address, City, Zip 12930 74th AVE NE

Phone 425-823-4410 Email MarkB0@MSN.Com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Michael Rullman Signature

Address, City, Zip PO Box 5084, 21004 Pinnacle Rd, Glacier, WA 98244

Phone 360 599 3083 Email miki@shaw.ca.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JOHN L. RUDKIN Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 12930 74TH AVE NE, KIRKLAND WA 98034

Phone 425-823-4410 Email SNELJO@MSN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Harvey Berman Signature Harvey Berman

Address, City, Zip 1104 N 11th ave Spokane Wa 99204

Phone 509 [redacted] 7100535 Email harveyberman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elizabeth Berman Signature Beth Berman

Address, City, Zip 11-24 West 11th ave Spokane WA 99204

Phone 206 795 0683 Email beth.berman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Emily R BERMAN Signature Emily R Berman

Address, City, Zip 8520 2nd Ave NE Seattle WA 98115

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Scott Ryman Signature Scott Ryman

Address, City, Zip 14706 N Glendon St

Phone _____ Email Ryman.Scott@Yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joanne Hammes Signature Joanne Hammes

Address, City, Zip 1120 W 11th Ave Spo. Wa. 99204

Phone ——— Email jphammes32@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jill G Beth Signature Jill G Beth

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 746 Veradale WA 99037

Phone 509 385-4993 Email JBFMc@Q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Cole Signature David Cole

Address, City, Zip 1810 S. Arpark Dr, Spokane Valley, WA 99037

Phone 5095337245 Email parkrivershire folk@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
WDFW-HAL AUGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Claudia Hamilton Signature Claudia Hamilton

Address, City, Zip 3415 S Lincoln Dr Spokane WA 9920[illegible]

Phone 509-747-8140 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Hilary Franz Signature Hilary Franz

Address, City, Zip 14624 Sparger Lp Rd, Bainbridge Island WA 98110

Phone (206) 734-9429 Email hilary@hilaryfranz.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name William C. Dodge Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1511 W. Lawrence Drive, Spokane, WA 99218-2478

Phone (509) 456-3986 Email billdodge@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alexandra Loeb Signature Alexandra Loeb

Address, City, Zip 1122 E. Pike St #758 Seattle 98122

Phone 206 633 3974 Email alex_loeb@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristine Wolbach Signature Kristine K Wolbach

Address, City, Zip 303 W 18th Ave, Spokane WA 99203

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Hamilton Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3415 South Lincoln Dr, Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 747-8147 Email basalt3415@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, ↑ pairs

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robert Ordner Signature

Address, City, Zip 6317 South Desmet Court Spokane WA 99223

Phone (509) 443 7049 Email RTordner@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name PATRICK BEEGAN Signature

Address, City, Zip 823 N. GARDEN St. Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 609-409-3044 Email meta4740@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Michael Towle Signature

Address, City, Zip 406 E. Ivy St. Bellingham, WA

Phone 541-805-4056 Email towlem834@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name James Rupke Signature James Rupke

Address, City, Zip 6807 HB highway

Phone 599-2681 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Thelma Follett Signature Thelma Follett

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 28804 Bellingham, WA 98228

Phone 360-671-0434 Email thelmafollett@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kevin Van Lohuizen Signature Kevin Van Lohuizen

Address, City, Zip 10355 Wallen Rd. Bow, WA 98232

Phone 360-333-9993 Email KCVANLOH@UNO.EDU

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jan Van Lohuizen Signature Jan Van Lohuizen

Address, City, Zip 10355 Wallen Rd Boa, Wa. 98232

Phone 360-630-5558 Email quailhun15784@Hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Helen VanLohuizen Signature Helen VanLohuizen

Address, City, Zip 16355 Wallen Road, Bow, WA 98232

Phone (360) 630.5558 Email quailrun1584@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BURTON BROWN Signature Burton R Brown

Address, City, Zip 22520 GTP Rd, Sedro-Woolley, WA. 98284

Phone 360-856-5450 Email NONE

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joyce D. Brown Signature Joyce D. Brown

Address, City, Zip 22528 Grip Rd.

Phone #360/856-5458 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marty Weber Signature Marty Weber

Address, City, Zip 4000 Elgin St. #111 Bellingham WA.

Phone (360) 714-1009 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carol Ann Follett Signature Carol Ann Follett

Address, City, Zip 2605 Lakeridge Lane Bellingham WA 98226

Phone (360) 671-0434 Email Scxanthotes@yahoo.com

12-30-09

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael A Hinkel Signature Michael A Hinkel

Address, City, Zip 3535 IDAHO ST

Phone (360) 733-0051 Email mhinkel@fidalgo.net
(tho my computer is temporarily broken)

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Hayley Dustin Signature Hayley Dustin

Address, City, Zip 8638 Harborview Rd Blaine, WA 98230

Phone (360) 318-4313 Email hdustin11@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chas Eberle Signature

Address, City, Zip 900 High St., Bellingham, WA, 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ELSIE L. ZARNOWITZ Signature Elsie L. Zarnowitz

Address, City, Zip 1486 ORIENTAL AV Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-676-9938 Email none

DO NOT SHARE

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christian Shope Signature

Address, City, Zip 115 46th # 98229 Bellingham

Phone 5419683181 Email UHShopeShope@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David M Lawson Signature David M Lawson

Address, City, Zip PO Box 103, Custer, WA 98240

Phone 360-366-3592 Email davidmlawson@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Stuart L. Currier Signature Stuart L. Currier

Address, City, Zip 1908 A McKenzie Ave, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Connie Pemble Signature Connie Pemble

Address, City, Zip 512 Darby Dr #112, Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-733-1219 Email NA

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Roy P Wakeman Signature Roy P. Wakeman

Address, City, Zip 9 N.E. Glendale / East Wenatchee / 98802

Phone (509) 886-0261 Email roypwakeman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lin Stavdahl Signature Lin Stavdahl

Address, City, Zip 59604 Rockport-Cascade Rd, Marblemount WA 98267
(physical address)

Phone 360-873-4009 Email purpledoor2@earthlink.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Katharine Kalk Signature Katharine Kalk

Address, City, Zip 962 East 8th Spokane WA 99202

Phone (509) 509-2180562 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name PAULA R. MECKEL Signature Paula Meckel

Address, City, Zip 2600 48TH ST BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

Phone 360-733-4628 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Renee Roehl Signature Renee Roehl

Address, City, Zip W. 720 Park Place Spokane 99205

Phone 509. 327. 0352 Email rekedar@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Richard J. DANHIEKER Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-510-4077 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carrie Lewis Signature

Address, City, Zip 406 E. Ivy Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 610 7812 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name leigh Wirth Signature

Address, City, Zip 1013 Schine

Phone 360-671-6075 Email leighwirth@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Claudia. Lester Signature Claudia Lester

Address, City, Zip Bellingham WA

Phone _____ Email _____

3#

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Karin Metzger Signature Karin Metzger

Address, City, Zip 1795 Donovan Rd Bellingham WA 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rosemary Seifried Signature Rosemary Seifried

Address, City, Zip PO Box 72 Marblemount WA 98267

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Molly Lawrence Signature Molly Lawrence

Address, City, Zip 2400 H St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360-296-3786 Email mollylawrence@gmail.com

3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steve Llewellyn Signature Steve Llewellyn

Address, City, Zip 1924 S. Oneida Place Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509-928-3642 Email Llew62@q.com

5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Deacon Signature

Address, City, Zip 2105 A st. Bellingham Wa 98225

Phone 253-376-8142 Email forestrynerd@hotmail

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ellen Murphy Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 901 N Forest #147 Bham 98225

Phone _____ Email ellenkaranagh@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alex Minshall Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2105 "A" St. Bellingham WA 98225 #3

Phone (253) 376-9142 Email pasty_white_cracker@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alan Heezen Signature Alan Heezen

Address, City, Zip 2625 Viking Place, Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Malora Christensen Signature Malora Christensen

Address, City, Zip 406 E. Ivy St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 610-7822 Email malorachc@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Teresa Shea Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1217 S Virginia #1

Phone 891 6755 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lisa Brosseau Signature Lisa Brosseau

Address, City, Zip 2308 Cherry St.

Phone 360-393-3309 Email lisab@well.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Camie Spray Signature

Address, City, Zip 2423 W Mallon Spokane WA 99201

Phone 509 216-0214 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steffany Raynes Signature Steffany Raynes

Address, City, Zip PO Box 195 Marblemount, WA 98267

Phone 360 873 4009 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joanna Schmidt-Cordell Signature J Cordell

Address, City, Zip 1504 Broadway Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email JOANNA-STEVE@MSN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kelby Schoensock Signature

Address, City, Zip 1205 E Nora Ave Spokane WA 99207

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Garth Soltman Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 713 3rd Apt 10, Cheney, WA 99004

Phone 360-486-4447 Email Soltmag@gmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SHEILA MCCULLOUGH Signature Sheila McCullough

Address, City, Zip 1123 W 8th Spokane 99204

Phone 509-209-4315 Email SMcelli@earthlink.net JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lance Miller Signature Lance Miller

Address, City, Zip 938 E Baldwin, Spokane, WA 99207

Phone (509) 467-4120 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jessica Agman Signature

Address, City, Zip 3329 W. Queen Pl. Spokane WA 99205

Phone (509) 710-5620 Email 7achandless247@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carmen O'Toole Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip

Phone (509) 435-1691 Email mylittlejohn@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zach Agman Signature

Address, City, Zip 3329 W. Queen Pl. Spokane WA 99205

Phone (509) 710-9946 Email zachandjess247@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joe Baier Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1207 W 7th #4 Spokane, WA 99204

Phone 928 386 6620 Email J165432B@Aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Paul Chavez Signature Paul Chavez

Address, City, Zip 2705 E Nora Spokane Wa 99207

Phone 535-6485 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Maggie Watkins Signature Mboone

Address, City, Zip 1927 W. 9th Ave

Phone (509)838-0543 Email Mboone@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jocelyn Caton Signature Jocelyn Caton

Address, City, Zip 718 E. Illinois Ave. Spokane, WA 99207

Phone (509) 999-7285 Email jocelyncaton@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rafael Villanueva Signature Rafael Villanueva

Address, City, Zip 3910 S Grand Spokane Wa.

Phone n/a Email n/a

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Melissa Splitt Signature Melissa Splitt

Address, City, Zip 19195 S. Ridgemont Dr. Spokane Valley WA 99037

Phone (509) 496-3861 Email MissyPooWho@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Adam Peckins Signature Adam Peckins

Address, City, Zip 5612 W Houston Ave

Phone 509-326-1970 Email spiffman@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cole Johnson Signature G. Cole Johnson

Address, City, Zip 1104 W 7th Ave # 10

Phone 624-1793 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 02 2018
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brenda Stanton Signature Brenda Stanton

Address, City, Zip 8612 N. Aubrey L. White Pkwy, Apt 116 Falls, WA 99026

Phone (509) 466-3465 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MIKE ARNOT Signature M. Arnot

Address, City, Zip 3824 S. Bandy Spokane 99203

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2016
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Treleaven Signature Michael P Treleaven

Address, City, Zip 318 E Broad Av Spokane WA 99207

Phone 509 313 6698 Email treleavem@gem.gonzaga.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DENNIS P. REED Signature

Address, City, Zip 1921 1st ST - #143

Phone 509-990-5060 Email denny@trikeschool.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jon Dodge Signature

Address, City, Zip 1511 W. Lawrence Dr.

Phone 509-385-7432 Email dodgejon@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MICHAEL LANE Signature 

Address, City, Zip 602 E 42ND AVE

Phone 509 209 7051 Email SOL_BOY@MSN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Connie Williams Signature Connie Williams

Address, City, Zip 5420 WBARNS Rd, Spokane Wa 99209

Phone 701-2074 Email —

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kate Johnston Signature Kate Johnston

Address, City, Zip 1828 E. Bismark

Phone 509.290.5162 Email katemjohnston@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rodney Hestdalen Signature

Address, City, Zip 529 E 26th Ave

Phone 509-535-0990 Email RFHestdalen@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name PAUL KIRKPATRICK Signature

Address, City, Zip S. Hill Mt Vernon

Phone 455-3647 Email P_Kirkpatrick@ES-Lawyer.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name VAL GRALEWSKI Signature Val Gralewski

Address, City, Zip 1312 E. VICTOR ST. BELLINGHAM, WA 98225

Phone 360-733-3134 Email valsyl_g@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRUCE A. KRAIG Signature Bruce a. Kraig

Address, City, Zip 2218 1/2 Jaeger St. Bellingham, WA 98225-2114

Phone (360) 647.0163 Email bakraig@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ben Berman Signature Ben Berm

Address, City, Zip 8520 2nd ave NE. Seattle Wa 98115

Phone 206 786 8198 Email benjaminberman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordyn Jarvis Signature Jordyn Jarvis

Address, City, Zip 8520 2nd AVE NE Seattle, WA 98115

Phone 206 790 8043 Email jordyn.jarvis@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tina Montgomery Signature

Address, City, Zip 12904 74th Ave NE, Kirkland WA 98034

Phone 4258230437 Email tina@surfiniseafood.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Naoko Förderer Signature Naoko Förderer

Address, City, Zip 12973 74th Ave NE Kirkland, WA 98034

Phone 425-820-7696 Email naokof@earthlink.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Berthold P. Forderer Signature Berthold P. Förderer

Address, City, Zip 12933 74th Ave NE, Kirkland WA 98034

Phone 425-820-7696 Email bertf@redapt.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SUSAN J RUDKIN Signature Susan J Rudkin

Address, City, Zip 12930 74th AVE NE

Phone 425-823-4410 Email MarkB0@MSN.Com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Michael Rullman Signature

Address, City, Zip PO Box 5084, 21004 Pinnacle Rd, Glacier, WA 98244

Phone 360 599 3083 Email miki@shaw.ca.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JOHN L. RUDKIN Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 12930 74TH AVE NE, KIRKLAND WA 98034

Phone 425-823-4410 Email SNELJO@MSN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Harvey Berman Signature Harvey Berman

Address, City, Zip 1104 N 11th ave Spokane Wa 99204

Phone 509 [redacted] 7100535 Email harveyberman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Elizabeth Berman Signature Beth Berman

Address, City, Zip 11-24 West 11th ave Spokane WA 99204

Phone 206 795 0683 Email beth.berman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Emily R BERMAN Signature Emily R Berman

Address, City, Zip 8520 2nd Ave NE Seattle WA 98115

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Scott Ryman Signature Scott Ryman

Address, City, Zip 14706 N Glendon St

Phone _____ Email Ryman.Scott@Yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joanne Hammes Signature Joanne Hammes

Address, City, Zip 1120 W 11th Ave Spo. Wa. 99204

Phone ——— Email jphammes32@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jill G Beth Signature Jill G Beth

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 746 Veradale WA 99037

Phone 509 385-4993 Email JBFMc@Q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David Cole Signature David Cole

Address, City, Zip 1810 S. Arpark Dr, Spokane Valley, WA 99037

Phone 5095337245 Email parkrivershire folk@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
WDFW-HAL AUGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Claudia Hamilton Signature Claudia Hamilton

Address, City, Zip 3415 S Lincoln Dr Spokane WA 9920[illegible]

Phone 509-747-8140 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Hilary Franz Signature Hilary Franz

Address, City, Zip 14624 Sparger Lp Rd, Bainbridge Island WA 98110

Phone (206) 734-9429 Email hilary@hilaryfranz.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name William C. Dodge Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1511 W. Lawrence Drive, Spokane, WA 99218-2478

Phone (509) 456-3986 Email billdodge@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alexandra Loeb Signature Alexandra Loeb

Address, City, Zip 1122 E. Pike St #758 Seattle 98122

Phone 206 633 3974 Email alex_loeb@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristine Wolbach Signature Kristine K Wolbach

Address, City, Zip 303 W 18th Ave, Spokane WA 99203

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Hamilton Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 3415 South Lincoln Dr, Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 747-8147 Email basalt3415@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, ↑ pairs

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robert Ordner Signature

Address, City, Zip 6317 South Desmet Court Spokane WA 99223

Phone (509) 443 7049 Email RTordner@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name PATRICK BEEGAN Signature

Address, City, Zip 823 N. GARDEN St. Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 609-409-3044 Email meta4740@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Michael Towle Signature

Address, City, Zip 406 E. Ivy St. Bellingham, WA

Phone 541-805-4056 Email towlem834@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name James Rupke Signature James Rupke

Address, City, Zip 6807 HB highway

Phone 599-2681 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Thelma Follett Signature Thelma Follett

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 28804 Bellingham, WA 98228

Phone 360-671-0434 Email thelmafollett@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kevin Van Lohuizen Signature Kevin Van Lohuizen

Address, City, Zip 10355 Wallen Rd. Bow, WA 98232

Phone 360-333-9993 Email KCVANLOH@UNO.EDU

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jan Van Lohuizen Signature Jan Van Lohuizen

Address, City, Zip 10355 Wallen Rd Boa, Wa. 98232

Phone 360-630-5558 Email quailhun15784@Hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Helen VanLohuizen Signature Helen VanLohuizen

Address, City, Zip 16355 Wallen Road, Bow, WA 98232

Phone (360) 630.5558 Email quailrun1584@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BURTON BROWN Signature Burton R Brown

Address, City, Zip 22520 GTP Rd, Sedro-Woolley, WA. 98284

Phone 360-856-5450 Email NONE

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joyce D. Brown Signature Joyce D. Brown

Address, City, Zip 22528 Grip Rd.

Phone #360/856-5458 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marty Weber Signature Marty Weber

Address, City, Zip 4000 Elgin St. #111 Bellingham WA.

Phone (360) 714-1009 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carol Ann Follett Signature Carol Ann Follett

Address, City, Zip 2605 Lakeridge Lane Bellingham WA 98226

Phone (360) 671-0434 Email Scxanthotes@yahoo.com

12-30-09

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael A Hinkel Signature Michael A Hinkel

Address, City, Zip 3535 IDAHO ST

Phone (360) 733-0051 Email mhinkel@fidalgo.net
(tho my computer is temporarily broken)

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Hayley Dustin Signature Hayley Dustin

Address, City, Zip 8638 Harborview Rd Blaine, WA 98230

Phone (360) 318-4313 Email hdustin11@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chas Eberle Signature

Address, City, Zip 900 High St., Bellingham, WA, 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ELSIE L. ZARNOWITZ Signature Elsie L. Zarnowitz

Address, City, Zip 1486 ORIENTAL AV Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-676-9938 Email none

DO NOT SHARE

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christian Shope Signature

Address, City, Zip 115 46th # 98229 Bellingham

Phone 5419683181 Email UHShopeShope@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David M Lawson Signature David M Lawson

Address, City, Zip PO Box 103, Custer, WA 98240

Phone 360-366-3592 Email davidmlawson@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Stuart L. Currier Signature Stuart L. Currier

Address, City, Zip 1908 A McKenzie Ave, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Connie Pemble Signature Connie Pemble

Address, City, Zip 512 Darby Dr #112, Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-733-1219 Email NA

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Roy P Wakeman Signature Roy P. Wakeman

Address, City, Zip 9 N.E. Glendale / East Wenatchee / 98802

Phone (509) 886-0261 Email roypwakeman@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lin Stavdahl Signature Lin Stavdahl

Address, City, Zip 59604 Rockport-Cascade Rd, Marblemount WA 98267
(physical address)

Phone 360-873-4009 Email purpledoor2@earthlink.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Katharine Kalk Signature Katharine Kalk

Address, City, Zip 962 East 8th Spokane WA 99202

Phone (509) 509-2180562 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name PAULA R. MECKEL Signature Paula Meckel

Address, City, Zip 2600 48TH ST BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

Phone 360-733-4628 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Renee Roehl Signature Renee Roehl

Address, City, Zip W. 720 Park Place Spokane 99205

Phone 509. 327. 0352 Email rekedar@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Richard J. DANHIEKER Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-510-4077 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carrie Lewis Signature

Address, City, Zip 406 E. Ivy Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360 610 7812 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name leigh Wirth Signature

Address, City, Zip 1013 Schine

Phone 360-671-6075 Email leighwirth@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Claudia. Lester Signature Claudia Lester

Address, City, Zip Bellingham WA

Phone _____ Email _____

3#

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Karin Metzger Signature Karin Metzger

Address, City, Zip 1795 Donovan Rd Bellingham WA 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rosemary Seifried Signature Rosemary Seifried

Address, City, Zip PO Box 72 Marblemount WA 98267

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Molly Lawrence Signature Molly Lawrence

Address, City, Zip 2400 H St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360-296-3786 Email mollylawrence@gmail.com

3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steve Llewellyn Signature Steve Llewellyn

Address, City, Zip 1924 S. Oneida Place Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509-928-3642 Email Llew62@q.com

5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Deacon Signature

Address, City, Zip 2105 A st. Bellingham Wa 98225

Phone 253-376-8142 Email forestrynerd@hotmail

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ellen Murphy Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 901 N Forest #147 Bham 98225

Phone _____ Email ellenkaranagh@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alex Minshall Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2105 "A" St. Bellingham WA 98225 #3

Phone (253) 376-9142 Email pasty_white_cracker@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alan Heezen Signature Alan Heezen

Address, City, Zip 2625 Viking Place, Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Malora Christensen Signature Malora Christensen

Address, City, Zip 406 E. Ivy St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (360) 610-7822 Email malorachc@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Teresa Shea Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1217 S Virginia #1

Phone 891 6755 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lisa Brosseau Signature Lisa Brosseau

Address, City, Zip 2308 Cherry St.

Phone 360-393-3309 Email lisab@well.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Camie Spray Signature

Address, City, Zip 2423 W Mallon Spokane WA 99201

Phone 509 216-0214 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steffany Raynes Signature Steffany Raynes

Address, City, Zip PO Box 195 Marblemount, WA 98267

Phone 360 873 4009 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joanna Schmidt-Cordell Signature J Cordell

Address, City, Zip 1504 Broadway Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email JOANNA-STEVE@MSN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kelby Schoensock Signature

Address, City, Zip 1205 E Nora Ave Spokane WA 99207

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Garth Soltman Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 713 3rd Apt 10, Cheney, WA 99004

Phone 360-486-4447 Email Soltmag@gmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SHEILA MCCULLOUGH Signature Sheila McCullough

Address, City, Zip 1123 W 8th Spokane 99204

Phone 509-209-4315 Email SMcelli@earthlink.net JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lance Miller Signature Lance Miller

Address, City, Zip 938 E Baldwin, Spokane, WA 99207

Phone (509) 467-4120 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jessica Agman Signature

Address, City, Zip 3329 W. Queen Pl. Spokane WA 99205

Phone (509) 710-5620 Email 7achandless247@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carmen O'Toole Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip

Phone (509) 435-1691 Email mylittlejohn@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zach Agman Signature

Address, City, Zip 3329 W. Queen Pl. Spokane WA 99205

Phone (509) 710-9946 Email zachandjess247@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joe Baier Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1207 W 7th #4 Spokane, WA 99204

Phone 928 386 6620 Email J165432B@Aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Paul Chavez Signature Paul Chavez

Address, City, Zip 2705 E Nora Spokane Wa 99207

Phone 535-6485 Email —

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Maggie Watkins Signature Mboone

Address, City, Zip 1927 W. 9th Ave

Phone (509)838-0543 Email Mboone@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jocelyn Caton Signature Jocelyn Caton

Address, City, Zip 718 E. Illinois Ave. Spokane, WA 99207

Phone (509) 999-7285 Email jocelyncaton@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rafael Villanueva Signature Rafael Villanueva

Address, City, Zip 3910 S Grand Spokane Wa.

Phone n/a Email n/a

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Melissa Splitt Signature Melissa Splitt

Address, City, Zip 19195 S. Ridgemont Dr. Spokane Valley WA 99037

Phone (509) 496-3861 Email MissyPooWho@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Adam Peckins Signature Adam Peckins

Address, City, Zip 5612 W Houston Ave

Phone 509-326-1970 Email spiffman@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cole Johnson Signature G. Cole Johnson

Address, City, Zip 1104 W 7th Ave # 10

Phone 624-1793 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 02 2018
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brenda Stanton Signature Brenda Stanton

Address, City, Zip 8612 N. Aubrey L. White Pkwy, Apt 116 Falls, WA 99026

Phone (509) 466-3465 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MIKE ARNOT Signature M. Arnot

Address, City, Zip 3824 S. Bandy Spokane 99203

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2016
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Michael Treleaven Signature Michael P Treleaven

Address, City, Zip 318 E Broad Av Spokane WA 99207

Phone 509 313 6698 Email treleavem@gem.gonzaga.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DENNIS P. REED Signature

Address, City, Zip 1921 1st ST - #143

Phone 509-990-5060 Email denny@trikeschool.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jon Dodge Signature

Address, City, Zip 1511 W. Lawrence Dr.

Phone 509-385-7432 Email dodgejon@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MICHAEL LANE Signature 

Address, City, Zip 602 E 42ND AVE

Phone 509 209 7051 Email SOL_BOY@MSN.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Connie Williams Signature Connie Williams

Address, City, Zip 5420 WBARNS Rd, Spokane Wa 99209

Phone 701-2074 Email —

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kate Johnston Signature Kate Johnston

Address, City, Zip 1828 E. Bismark

Phone 509.290.5162 Email katemjohnston@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rodney Hestdalen Signature

Address, City, Zip 529 E 26th Ave

Phone 509-535-0990 Email RFHestdalen@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name PAUL KIRKPATRICK Signature

Address, City, Zip S. Hill Mt Vernon

Phone 455-3647 Email P_Kirkpatrick@ES-Lawyer.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule for the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name David J. Rogers Signature

Address, City, Zip 508 E. Cecil St, Neenah, WI 54956

Phone 920 284-4070 Email d-r737@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Stanton Signature

Address, City, Zip 8612 N Aubrey L White Parkway Nine Mile Falls WA

Phone 509-941-6390 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BEN KYLE Signature Ben Kyle

Address, City, Zip 1118 14TH ST. BELLINGHAM, WA 98225

Phone 360-393-6155 Email benkylephoto@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 11 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MILT KRIEGER Signature Milton Krieger

Address, City, Zip 1313 HERITAGE HILLS COURT BELLINGHAM, WA 98226

Phone 360.733.0086 Email miltandjudy@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 1 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BOB HEGERTER Signature

Address, City, Zip 370 SUDDEN VLY BELLINGHAM WA 98229

Phone 360-671-2652 Email BOBA@OPENACCESS.ORG

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

DEC 17 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alice M. Erickson Signature Alice M. Erickson

Address, City, Zip 2320 J. St. Bellingham Wa. 98225

Phone 820-0841 Email ali.sunrise@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kurt Duey Signature Kurt D

Address, City, Zip 2601 N. Park Dr Bham, Wa 98225

Phone 3608204174 Email kurt.duey@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Pat Contor Signature Pat Contor

Address, City, Zip 2835 Broadway Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360-738-2896 Email

VJH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name GEORGE BERTSCHLER Signature George Bertschler

Address, City, Zip 3036 EAST ALPINE DRIVE, BELLINGHAM WA 98226

Phone (360) 752-2104 Email GBERTSCHLER@COMCAST.NET

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SUSAN RHODES Signature Susan Rhodes

Address, City, Zip 1211 38th St, Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360 715 9329 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alan Rhodes Signature Alan Rhodes

Address, City, Zip 1211 38th St, Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-715-9323 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
WILDLIFE HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Mary Jo Aegerter Signature Mary Jo Aegerter

Address, City, Zip 370 Sudden Vly Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-671-2652 Email maryjoa@openaccess.org

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mary Sadler Signature Mary Sadler

Address, City, Zip 3316 Sunrise Cove, Lummi WA 98262

Phone _____ Email mlsadler9@msn.com

VH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Karen Fisher Signature Karen Fisher

Address, City, Zip 2575 Mountain View Rd; Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone 360-933-4768 Email kfishers@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jack Fisher Signature Jack B Fisher

Address, City, Zip 2575 Mnt View Rd. Ferndale WA 98248

Phone ~~360~~ Email jackbfisher@comcast.net

VJH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Harold Minkler Signature Harold Minkler

Address, City, Zip 4103 A Village Dr Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-676-8695 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Stephan Frank Signature Stephan Frank

Address, City, Zip 3002 Cedarwood Ave Bham WA 98225

Phone 360 739-6391 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rosemary Woodard Signature R. Woodard

Address, City, Zip 3115 Squalicum Pkwy #143

Phone _____ Email Rosiewd@msn.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Larry Moss Signature Larry Moss

Address, City, Zip 1217 Raymond St., Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone (360) 734-0568 Email larrymoss@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 2 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rebecca Dorcas Signature Rebecca Dorcas

Address, City, Zip 800 Christian Way, Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ERIC MOE Signature Eric Moe

Address, City, Zip 2176 E BIRCH ST

Phone (360) 715-1781 Email eamoe52@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mary Sue Dallas Signature Mary Sue Dallas

Address, City, Zip 10 Chuckanut Dr, Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-220-0294 Email mslee dallas@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mary K. + Joe Robinson Signature Mary K. Robinson

Address, City, Zip 8746 Buffalohead Ct., Blaine, WA

Phone 360-371-2342 Email mkmrobinson@comcast.net JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alan Fritzberg Signature Alan Fritzberg

Address, City, Zip 1302 N. Shore Dr., Bellingham, WA 98226

Phone 360-671-3985 Email arfritz@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support many aspects of the plan and commend the Department for subjecting the plan to thorough scientific review.

If this plan is to provide for wolf recovery over the long term, it is critical that the following changes be made:

- Increase the number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed or provide a stronger evaluation of the state's habitat connectivity to other regions and details on how connectivity will be improved over time. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

- Provide separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives.

- Eliminate the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Given the history of poaching in this state and the high potential for misuse, this provision could seriously hamper recovery efforts. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

- Support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speeding recovery by establishing implementation mechanisms and providing a funding schedule in the plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name CATHERINE E. CAMPBELL Signature Catherine E. Campbell

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 435 (500 E. 2nd Ave, #22) Twisp, WA 98856

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name YN YN Signature

Address, City, Zip 2701 NE 168th ST, Shoreline, 98155

Phone 206-302-9300 Email YNNYpoo@yahoo

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Noel Nic Fergusson Signature Noel Nic Fergusson

Address, City, Zip 1277 Via Toscana Greenbank Wa 98253

Phone 360-320-2786 Email wlphotos@whidbey.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Janson Signature

Address, City, Zip 3108 S. Washington St, Seattle, WA 98144

Phone 206 518 1963 Email jansenjk@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Cathy Habermehl Signature Cathy Habermehl

Address, City, Zip 38 Old Twisp Hway Twisp WA 98856

Phone 509-733-1090 Email jchabs@methownet.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jason Scullion Signature Jason Scullion

Address, City, Zip 36023 NE 80th St

Phone 423-351-9025 Email JasonScullion@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JOHN SCHMIDT Signature John Schmidt

Address, City, Zip 4119 SW KENYON ST SEATTLE 98136

Phone 206-932-9743 Email myjds@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Emmie Johnson Signature Emmie Johnson

Address, City, Zip 653 NW 53rd St Apt A Seattle WA 98107

Phone _____ Email emmajohnson@w-link.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lisa Dahlgreen Signature Lisa Dahlgreen

Address, City, Zip 917 Idaho St Wenatchee WA 98801

Phone 509 665-8685 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Diane McKenzie Signature Diane McKenzie

Address, City, Zip 9 Glendale E. Wenatchee WA 98802

Phone 509 886 0241 Email diane_mckenzie@uncc.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Wendy Arness Signature Wendy Arness

Address, City, Zip 6735 24th Ave. NW Seattle, WA 98117

Phone (206) 799-5140 Email Wendyness1@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DARYL SMITH Signature

Address, City, Zip 2347 N. 190TH SHORELINE 98133

Phone 206-363-7329 Email daryljsmith@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name LEIF A. JAKOBSEN Signature Leif A. Jakobsen

Address, City, Zip 404 N. MAPLE, COLVILLE WA 99114

Phone 509-684-5711 Email captainseawolf@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristen Vogel Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 2347 190th N. Seattle, WA 98133

Phone 206-363-7329 Email darylandkristen@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and
- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2016
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JESSICA BLANCHE Signature

Address, City, Zip 12221 SHORELWOOD DR. SW BURIEN WA 98146

Phone 206-953-9988 Email jessicablanche@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lisa Kromholtz Signature

Address, City, Zip 12536 9th Ave NW Seattle WA 98177

Phone 206-419-0045 Email Rubyrose@gmail.com JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Joslyn M.S. Ligosky Signature

Address, City, Zip 8717 Linden Ave N #218, Seattle, WA 98133

Phone 206-251-8380 Email joslynligosky@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2018
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Connie Esparza Signature Connie Esparza

Address, City, Zip 3745 SW 98th St. Sea. WA. 98126

Phone 206.478.0306 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alice Robb Signature Alice Robb

Address, City, Zip PO Box 5023 Bellingham WA 98227

Phone 360 312 8234 Email turtlerobb@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chantal Agyle Signature

Address, City, Zip 714 Harvard Ave E #207 Seattle WA 98102

Phone 206-708-1363 Email Xena5151@gMail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Dorothy Jordan Signature Dorothy Jordan

Address, City, Zip 1407 Abbott Rd, Lynden WA 98264

Phone _____ Email dorrie.jordan@gmail.com #3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christine Rader Signature Christine Rader

Address, City, Zip 1700 Rainier, Wenatchee 98801

Phone 509-888-0181 Email

#3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ruth Olsen Signature Ruth Olsen

Address, City, Zip 2327 Grant St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360-318-5380 Email

#5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristyn Roller Signature Kristyn Roller

Address, City, Zip 2510 Douglas Avenue #403 Bellingham 98225

Phone _____ Email rollerk@students.wwu.edu

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Devin Mounts Signature

Address, City, Zip 907 High St. Bellingham 98225

Phone 503-679-4659 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Maryann Schmidt Signature Maryann Schmidt

Address, City, Zip 2327 Grant St, B'ham WA 98225

Phone (360) 671-2201 Email lynnadprogram@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ROBERT MOORE Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 2259 E HEMMI RD

Phone _____ Email SVF2ith@Verizon.NET

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Caitlin Maves Signature Caitlin Maves

Address, City, Zip 3534 Riley St. Bellingham WA 98229

Phone (360)820-0042 Email Kitsune-4147@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Maray Shapley Signature

Address, City, Zip 18050 Raleigh Lane Lacomer 98257

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordan Allen Signature Jordan Allen

Address, City, Zip 10433 Mt. Baker Hwy 98264

Phone 360-820-0809 Email N/A

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jeff Cummings Signature

Address, City, Zip 2325 Ontario st Bellingham WA 98225

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Zoe Vernon Signature Zoe Vernon

Address, City, Zip 10433 Mt. Baker Hwy. #221 Glacier, WA 98244

Phone (360) 739-9945 Email Katrinaa_luc17@hotmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Owen Callahan Signature

Address, City, Zip 2529 King St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 206-388-7071 Email ocallahan@altarockenergy.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Amy Keeling Signature Amy Keeling

Address, City, Zip 2529 King St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mikaela Harf Signature

Address, City, Zip 1153 humboldt apt A Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 208 850-8921 Email harfmikaela@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Heather Anderson Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 823 N Garden St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Katie Fleming Signature

Address, City, Zip 2211 H St., Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360-305-9066 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Remara Koppel Signature Remara Koppel

Address, City, Zip 1118 high St. #101 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 425-444-4382 Email koppelr@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name SASHA VARMA ARORA Signature Sashavarma Arora AJH

Address, City, Zip 830 High St #302 Bham 98225

Phone _____ Email Sasha.varma.arora@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Max Morange Signature Max Morange

Address, City, Zip 1311 Grant St. Bellingham, WA

Phone _____ Email _____

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kelly McGivern Signature Kelly McGivern

Address, City, Zip Mathes Hall 211 516 High St. Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 206-818-0137 Email Kmcgivern1251@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Neil Baunsgard Signature

Address, City, Zip 14310 SE 49th St, Bellevue, WA 98006

Phone 425-765-2602 Email Neil baunsgd hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Amanda Key Signature Amanda K

Address, City, Zip 1408 23rd St, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 919-699-4855 Email amandakey8@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nicholas Payne Signature

Address, City, Zip 2076 Washington St. Ferndale, WA 98248

Phone 360-318-3150 Email paynena@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rita Sourek [illegible] Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 1418 N. Garden Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360 ~~[illegible]~~ 903 1418 Email ~~Sourek~~

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BOB KLEIN Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip POB 85036 SEA. WA. 98145-1036

Phone 206-522 2628 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jessica McNamara Signature Jessica McNamara

Address, City, Zip Tonasket, WA 1177 Pine Creek

Phone 509-486-4135 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andy Wickstrand Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1810 I St. Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 360.647.5404 Email andywick@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JOHN KINER Signature

Address, City, Zip 1501 40th St Anacortes, WA 98221

Phone (360) 320-0563 Email johnkiner@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peter Vc Signature

Address, City, Zip 800 20th St. #3 Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Julie Ward Signature

Address, City, Zip 1129 22nd st unit A Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (206) 819-6352 Email JMLW13@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Lindsay Farrel Signature

Address, City, Zip 529 32nd St., Apt. #15, Bellingham, WA

Phone (425) 478-0932 Email farrell2@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Caitlin Bonner Signature

Address, City, Zip

Phone Email cmarissabonner@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name STEVE REYNOLDS Signature

Address, City, Zip 15208 N. FERRAL ST., MEAD, WA 99021

Phone _____ Email sreynoldsmead@comcast.net

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sally and Harold Hansberry Signature Sally and Harold Hansberry

Address, City, Zip 2116 St. Clair Street

Phone 360-734-8453 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Janice Hills Signature Janice Hills

Address, City, Zip 6910 E. 4th Ave. / Spokane Valley, WA 99212

Phone (509) 924-2036 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

REC
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name KELLY LUGEE-ORDNER Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 6317 S. Deanna Ct. Spokane Wa. 99223

Phone 509-443-7219 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name LORIN HILLS Signature Lorin Hills

Address, City, Zip 6910 S. 4th Ave Spokane Valley WA

Phone 509 924 2036 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2008
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Carl Whatley Signature Carl Whatley

Address, City, Zip N 4522 Locust Ct,

Phone 590-8593 Email Carlyati@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Floyd McComas Signature Floyd McComas

Address, City, Zip PO Box 4746 Sykeston Valley WA 99037

Phone 924 3090 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 31 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Dianne Murray Signature Dianne Murray

Address, City, Zip 4522 N. Locust Ct. Spokane Valley, WA 99206

Phone 509-710-3571 Email diannemurray@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BART VANDEGRIFT Signature

Address, City, Zip 718 Koiewald Ct., Wenatchee WA 98801

Phone 509 662 0727 Email

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JARON DROZDOWSKI Signature Jarod S. Drozdowski

Address, City, Zip 101 E ENTIAT DR., ORONDO, WA 98843

Phone 509-393-2223 Email JARODDROZ@YAHOO.COM

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Terra Rea Signature

Address, City, Zip 101 E. Entiat Dr. Orondo WA 98843

Phone 720.839.0773 Email terra_rea@yahoo.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Dennis & Janie Garrity Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1429 Appleridge

Phone 509-667-2407 Email jndgarrity@nwi.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
WILDLIFE PROGRAM

Print Name Patrick Haggerty Signature

Address, City, Zip 611 N. Garden Apt. A

Phone [redacted] 599-2754 Email haggesp@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Jessie Phillips Signature

Address, City, Zip 709 38th St. B'ham 98229

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jim Hopper Signature

Address, City, Zip 4424 Lakeway Dr Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360 733 1610 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Kaylin Bettinger Signature KB

Address, City, Zip 5006 N Garden St.

Phone 425 221 3434 Email Bettink2@cc.wwu.edu

#5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Jeff Hecker Signature Jeff Hecker

Address, City, Zip 22 Marigold Dr # 39 Bellingham 98229

Phone 252-227-9140 Email heckerjeff@yahoo.com

37

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Margaret Kinsella Signature Margaret Kinsella

Address, City, Zip 4429 Lakeway Dr.

Phone 360 733 1610 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peter Hoff Signature Peter Hoff

Address, City, Zip 1109 Humboldt St Bham WA

Phone _____ Email _____

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

#5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name AUDREY COON Signature Audrey Coon

Address, City, Zip 8491 PIONEER DR. ANACORTES, WA 98504

Phone 360-421-0974 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Madeleine Eckmann Signature Madeleine

Address, City, Zip 1109 Humboldt St. Bellingham, WA, 98225

Phone 509-421-0710 Email

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

#5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Sweeney Signature

Address, City, Zip 1478 Kelly Rd. Bellingham WA.

Phone 360 303 7266 Email Sweeney5k@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Hilary Berg Signature Hilary Berg

Address, City, Zip 454 14th St. Bellingham WA

Phone (360) 770-1268 Email doggiepaddler@hotmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nick Gaddy Signature

Address, City, Zip 732 N. Forest St BHAM, 98225

Phone 509-389-0575 Email gaddyn@students.wwu.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Jenni Langager Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1118 #101 High Bellingham WA 98225

Phone _____ Email reneetak-el4@yahoo.com

#5

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jacob Diamond Signature

Address, City, Zip 133 S. 41st St.

Phone 425-891-2978 Email diamonj@students.wwu.edu

#3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Neil Heyen Signature Neil Heyen

Address, City, Zip 3523 W 6th Ave.; Spokane, WA 99224

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name John Hayes Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 133 S 41ST ST BELLINGHAM WA 98227

Phone 425-890-3555 Email purple88hayes@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jason Porter Signature

Address, City, Zip 2211 Douglas Ave # Apt. 102

Phone Email [redacted]@gmail.com

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rory Savatgy Signature

Address, City, Zip 900 High St. Bellingham WA

Phone 360 201 3819 Email RorySavatgy@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robert I. Roser Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 14003 N.E. Newman Lake Rd. Newman Lake Wa. 99025

Phone 509 998 6605 Email Lucky lake house @ comcast. net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MARY LLEWELLYN Signature Mary Llewellyn

Address, City, Zip 1924 S. ONEIDA PL, SPOKANE, WA 99203

Phone 509-928-3642 Email Llew62@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nathaniel Hannon Signature

Address, City, Zip 2117 W Indiana Spokane WA 99205

Phone 509-725-8501 Email nhan.hannon@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ROSIE ENNIS Signature Rosie Ennis

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 31012 SPOKANE WA 99223

Phone 443-2605 Email rosie-ennis@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tyler Solheim Signature

Address, City, Zip 923 E Augusta Spokane, WA 99202

Phone 206-661-7799 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Angela C. McIntire Signature Angela C. McIntire

Address, City, Zip 520 W. Euclid Ave., Spokane, WA 99205

Phone 209-607-2392 Email acmcintire@gmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Doreen Grieb Signature Doreen Grieb

Address, City, Zip 12224 NE 64th Street Kirkland, WA 98033

Phone 425-739-0103 Email doreen_grieb@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name NORA KOZLOFF Signature Nora Kozloff

Address, City, Zip 9803 19th Ave NE Seattle WA 98115

Phone 206-268-0476 Email norakoz@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BENETT KOZLOFF Signature

Address, City, Zip 9803 19th Ave NE Seattle WA 98115

Phone 206-268-0484 Email b.kozloff@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Gerek Heffernan Signature

Address, City, Zip 2424 Dean Ave.

Phone (509) 220-9749 Email higerek@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Remy Levin Signature

Address, City, Zip 823 N. Garden St., Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (989) 640-0057 Email Remylevin@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name LAWRENCE MORTIMER Signature Lawrence Mortimer

Address, City, Zip 3593 Lynch Rd Ferndale wa 98248

Phone 360 384 8853 Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 10 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Athena Cipriani Signature Athena Cipriani

Address, City, Zip 22 Marigold Drive #18

Phone (360) 306-1803 Email lemeowlepurr@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rick Eichstaedt Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1916 West 11th, Spokane, WA 99204

Phone 509-251-1424 Email ricke@cforjustice.org

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name JEFF LAMBERT Signature Jeff Lambert

Address, City, Zip 16 E 39th Ave Spokane WA 99203

Phone 509 999-5100 Email JLambert@LambertGroupInc.com,

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rick Washburn Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 9607 E Princeton Ave Spokane WA 99206

Phone 509-842-6999 Email rwashb@rei.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Deborah Pierce Signature Deborah Pierce

Address, City, Zip E. 8024 Gunning Dr. Spokane

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Miles D. Brenneman Signature M.D. Brenneman

Address, City, Zip 37 W 29th Ave

Phone 509-938-9415 Email mdbsps@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Robin Cole Signature

Address, City, Zip 1810 S. Airpark Dr Spokane Valley, WA 99037

Phone (509) 869-9476 Email pack-rivers@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Serena Rovin Signature Serena Rovin

Address, City, Zip 1006 N. Garden St., 98225

Phone 985-778-8699 Email Rovins@students.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alexandra Stedman Signature Ali Stedman

Address, City, Zip 965 N. Garden St. #106 Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360.801.0505 Email ali.stedman@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kelly Cates Signature Kelly Cates

Address, City, Zip 1510 Iran St bellingham WA 98225

Phone 360 620 5032 Email ballisticzebra@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Linda CaPRIOTTI Signature Linda Caprio

Address, City, Zip 6608 S. Lane #501, Seattle, WA

Phone 360-319-8754 Email LindaCAPRIOTTI@YAHOO.COM

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Annelise Pysanky Signature Annelise Pysanky

Address, City, Zip 4320 Samish Way, Bellingham, WA, 98229

Phone 360-734-0664 Email apysanky@comcast.net.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alex Danel Signature

Address, City, Zip 2308 Woburn St. Bellingham WA 98229

Phone 360-305-5079 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Evan Horner Signature Evan Horner

Address, City, Zip 1116 37th St. Bellingham, 98229

Phone (360)676-6029 Email evan.horner@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 24 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kenneth James M. Lici Signature

Address, City, Zip 2817 Utter St. Bellingham 98225

Phone 360-738-3742 Email KenNeth M. Lici @ HSN.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 23 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name AMY K. KELLEY Signature Amy K. Kelley

Address, City, Zip 5713 N. ELLA

Phone 509-926-3871 Email akelley@lawschool.gonzaga.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Maya Elgersly Signature

Address, City, Zip

Phone (907) 942-5501 Email maya-e-e hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mitchell Harter Signature

Address, City, Zip 1425 Iron St. Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone 816-797-0293 Email mtmitch@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Alyssa Fritz Signature Alyssa Fritz

Address, City, Zip 10006 N Garden St. Apt 1

Phone (423) 280-8085 Email afritz7@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Peter Kulchein Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1119 5th St Anacortes WA 98221

Phone 360 299 2566 Email

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brooks Middleton Signature

Address, City, Zip 4419 H Avenue Anacortes WA 98221

Phone 360 293 6538 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04-2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mauricia Vandegrift Signature

Address, City, Zip 718 Kriewald Ct Wenatchee, WA 98801

Phone 509 662 0727 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 04 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Aly Marczynski Signature Aly Marczynski

Address, City, Zip 1006 N. Garden St #1, Bellingham, WA 98225

Phone (509) 432-1785 Email alymarczynski@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rachel Livingston Signature Rachel Livingston

Address, City, Zip 3049 NW Market Apt. D302 Seattle 98107

Phone 206-349-2369 Email rachlivingston@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DAN HALL Signature Dan Hall

Address, City, Zip 18308 · 7th Ave NE, Shoreline, WA 98155

Phone 206 · 363 · 3490 Email treefrog1@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Annika Verne Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 1210 E. Berkey Brae ct 99208

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Ken Wagner Signature Ken Wagner

Address, City, Zip Po box 3712, Spokane WA 99220-3712

Phone 509 489 5417 Email Ken_Wagner@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name MATT STEWART Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 8659 SPOKANE WA 99203

Phone _____ Email mtreehugger@aol.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name HEATHER THOMPSON Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 5883 Kamakin Trail Fairchild AFB, WA 99011

Phone 661-916 3054 Email HCTHOMPSON@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Caitlan Thompson Signature Caitlan Thompson

Address, City, Zip 5553 Kamiakin Trail FAFB, WA 99011

Phone 661-916-3054 Email Kick2soccer@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name _____ Signature _____

Address, City, Zip _____

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rick Plumbo Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 5732 S. Kinzinger Dr. 99224

Phone 7475894 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Judy Byrd Signature

Address, City, Zip 1927 W Riverside #7 99201

Phone 509-747-3611 Email judybyrd@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Reilly Signature Chris Reilly

Address, City, Zip 1328 N Lincoln St Spokane WA 99201

Phone 509-991-8973 Email chris@chris-reilly.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name ALLI RIESE Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 423 W CLEVELAND #A Spokane, WA 99205

Phone 206-522-7170 Email allison-riese@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kenneth J. Vanden Heuvel Signature Kenneth J. Vanden Heuvel

Address, City, Zip 312 E. 25th Ave, Spokane, WA 99203

Phone 509-290-5159 Email ken_vanden_heuvel@yahoo.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JH

Print Name Kelly Benjamin Signature

Address, City, Zip 945 Bayswood Ct Columbus IN 47201

Phone 812 657-7573 Email benjamin4744@comcast.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Will Bigham Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 3650 E 51st Ave Apt A361 Spokane WA 9[illegible]

Phone 205-596-0554 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name DAVID PEARSON Signature _____

Address, City, Zip [illegible]

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name _____ Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 5423 N Nevada St 99208

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Andrea Mason Signature Andrea Mason

Address, City, Zip 815 W Hailand Ave Spokane, WA 99218

Phone (253) 651-5947 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jason Riggins Signature

Address, City, Zip 516 Cherry Lane Clarkston WA 99403

Phone 208 266 1162 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marc Gauthier Signature Marc Gauthier

Address, City, Zip 7034 Hwy 291 C, 99034

Phone 509-720-3159 Email blackrocpro@hotmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Bernie Johnson Signature

Address, City, Zip P.O. Box 3551 Twin Falls, ID. 83317

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christina Johnson Signature Christina Johnson

Address, City, Zip PO Box 3551, Post Falls, ID 83877

Phone [illegible] Email NA

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jordan Johnson Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1718 E Lincoln #225A Spokane, WA 99217

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tim Reinhardt Signature Tim Reinhardt

Address, City, Zip 5605 S. Tyee Dr. Renton, WA 99338

Phone _____ Email [illegible]@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name NANETTE KEITH Signature

Address, City, Zip 2609 PARK ST, BELLINGHAM, WA. 98225

Phone 360-306-8149 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kristin Nilsson Signature _____

Address, City, Zip 9409 Ivanhoe Rd, Spokane WA 99251

Phone _____ Email kristinc@intrage.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2019
HABITAT PROGRAM

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Tanya Selway Signature Tanya Selway

Address, City, Zip 128 E. Providence Spokane WA 99207

Phone (509) 218-9571 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name [illegible] Signature [illegible]

Address, City, Zip 440 S Tempe Dr Spk WA 99223

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Steven Richards Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 4406 S. Tamiya Dr. 99223

Phone _____ Email _____

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Jeanine Webber Signature Jeanine Webber

Address, City, Zip 2415 E. 45th Spokane WA 99223

Phone 509 448 7551 Email jjwebber@msn.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Nichole Webber Signature Nichole Webber

Address, City, Zip 300 N. Hawthorne Rd. Spokane, WA 99223

Phone (509) 568-3559 Email hwebber13@my.whitworth.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name TEXAM NORTON Signature Texam Norton

Address, City, Zip 3906 S. Cook Spokane WA 99223

Phone (509) 4-[illegible] Email tnorton@whitworth.edu

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Stephanie Knittel Signature [signature] 3/12/17

Address, City, Zip 4615 N 11th St Tacoma WA 98406

Phone 253-507-8021 Email erzsebet919@yahoo.com

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 14 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Brett Goodman Signature Brett Goodman

Address, City, Zip 607 N. Garden Bham 98225

Phone _____ Email goodman.bnp@gmail.com

JH

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Mark Hurley Signature Mark Hurley

Address, City, Zip 1025 Sudden Valley, Bellingham, WA 98229

Phone 360-778-3111 Email

JH 2/7

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Terra C. Straight Signature Terra C. Straight

Address, City, Zip 13748-34th ave S Tukwila 98168

Phone Email terrahospitalityhouse@gmail.com

JH 2/7

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Thea Carter Signature Thea F. Carter

Address, City, Zip 324 E Glass, Spokane, WA 99207

Phone (509) 482 7523 Email ~~[illegible]~~ thea55@q.com

JH 12

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Dawni Jensen Signature Dawni Jensen

Address, City, Zip 811 W. 22nd Spokane, WA

Phone 747-4441 Email dj.vu@hotmail.com

JH 12

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JH 12/7

Print Name Brendan Boyer Signature Brendan Boyer

Address, City, Zip 5000 25th Ave, Apt 413 Seattle WA 98195

Phone _____ Email mountainman169@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Rachel Lawson Signature Rachel Lawson JH 12/7

Address, City, Zip PO BOX 1519, Bellingham, WA 98227

Phone 360 305 8456 Email Rachel.Lawson@vzw.blackberry.net

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JH 12/17

Print Name Evan Marquardt Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 4135 Brooklyn Ave NE #214, Seattle, WA 98105

Phone 360 920 0176 Email

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JH 12/17

Print Name Shawn Dahle Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 7021 Sand Point Way NE B108, Seattle, WA 98115

Phone 206-355-4302 Email dahl0425@yahoo.

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Print Name Nathan Weber Signature Nathan Weber

Address, City, Zip 2415 E 45th AVE, Spokane, 99223

Phone (509) 535-3645 Email Webb_Master@q.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Christina Johnson Signature Christina Johnson

Address, City, Zip PO Box 3551, Post Falls, ID 83877

Phone [illegible] Email NA

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
JAN 07 2010
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Chris Reilly Signature Chris Reilly

Address, City, Zip 1328 N Lincoln St Spokane, WA 99201

Phone 509-481-6973 Email chris@chris-reilly.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Kathleen Sparkes Signature Kathleen Sparkes

Address, City, Zip 4139 Jade Pl. Bellingham WA 98226

Phone _____ Email _____

JH 12/17

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name ANDREA LAWSON Signature [signature]

Address, City, Zip 1106 W. HOLLY ST. APT C6 BELLINGHAM, WA 98225

Phone 360-393-7303 Email andreamaewarren@gmail.com

JH 12/17

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Marilyn Westman Signature Marilyn Jwestm

Address, City, Zip 13748 34th Ave So Tukwila Wa 98168

Phone _____ Email mjw305@gmail.com

JH 12/3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Sara Matijascic Signature Sara Matijascic

Address, City, Zip 1105 Cedar Hills Ave., Bellingham 98229

Phone 360-920-0821 Email neo.maxi.zoom@gmail.com

JH 12/3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Julie Straight Signature Julie Straight

Address, City, Zip 1015 Irving St Bellingham WA 98225

Phone 676-5750 Email

JS 12/3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

JS 12/3

Print Name Barbara Christensen Signature

Address, City, Zip 3105 Plymouth Dr Bham WA 98225

Phone Email garden.gnome@gmail.com

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support many aspects of the plan and commend the Department for subjecting the plan to thorough scientific review.

If this plan is to provide for wolf recovery over the long term, it is critical that the following changes be made:

- Increase the number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed or provide a stronger evaluation of the state's habitat connectivity to other regions and details on how connectivity will be improved over time. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

- Provide separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives.

- Eliminate the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Given the history of poaching in this state and the high potential for misuse, this provision could seriously hamper recovery efforts. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

- Support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speeding recovery by establishing implementation mechanisms and providing a funding schedule in the plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name BRIAN & CAROLE REID Signature Brian Reid Carole Reid

Address, City, Zip 17 BRIAN RD., WINTHROP, WA 98862

VJH 12/3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 2009
HABITAT PROGRAM

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jennifer LeSchander Signature Jennifer LeSchander

Address, City, Zip 2105 Knox Ave, #3 Bellingham 98225

Phone 360-920-1565 Email

VJH 12/3

Dear Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,

Thank you for your proactive and collaborative approach to managing wolves and planning for their eventual recovery. I support alternative #3 in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Wolf Conservation and Management Plan because it:

- provides separate population recovery objectives for the Pacific Coast where high quality wolf habitat and increased public support justify it having its own recovery objectives, and

- eliminates the "caught in the act" killing provision for livestock owners at the endangered and threatened phases of recovery. Investing in non-lethal deterrent methods and providing livestock owners with a fair compensation package are more effective approaches at the early stages of wolf recovery.

I also am requesting that you increase the overall number of established breeding pairs before a delisting is proposed. A significant number of scientific reviewers believe that the department's numbers for delisting were low, especially since the plan relies on natural migration from areas outside the state for recovery.

I also support the translocation of wolves as a strategy to speed recovery, and ask that the department establish implementation mechanisms and provide a funding schedule in the plan for translocation to the south Cascades and Pacific Coast regions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Sincerely,

Print Name Jim Habermehl Signature Jim Habermehl

Address, City, Zip 38 Old Twisp Hwy, Twisp, WA 98856

Phone 509-679-8494 Email jchabs@methownet.com