

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
UPDATED 2014

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants: [\[help\]](#)

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals: [\[help\]](#)

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. background [\[help\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: [\[help\]](#)

Standard Operating Procedures for the Lethal Removal of Elk Severely Affected by Treponeme-associated Hoof Disease (TAHD)

2. Name of applicant: [\[help\]](#)
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: [\[help\]](#)
Brooke George
Hoof Disease Coordinator
2108 Grand Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661
360-906-6763
4. Date checklist prepared: [\[help\]](#)
04/14/2015
5. Agency requesting checklist: [\[help\]](#)
WA Department of Fish and Wildlife
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): [\[help\]](#)
Ongoing
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. [\[help\]](#)
Not at this time; however, this is a complicated disease with ongoing scientific investigations. As more results are revealed and more is understood about the distribution of the disease in elk, WDFW may need to adjust management strategies in an effort to mitigate the geographic spread of the disease.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. [\[help\]](#)
Standard Operating Procedures for the Lethal Removal of Elk Severely Affected by Treponeme-associated Hoof Disease (TAHD)
WDFW website provides supporting documents for the Hoof Disease Public Working Group that provides information on the background, management, and research activities as the understanding of this disease has progressed.
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead

agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

[\[help\]](#)

Sporadic reports of lame elk or elk with overgrown or missing hooves have been received in southwest Washington since the mid-1990s. This is a major concern for hunters, area residents and state wildlife managers alike. Reports of “hoof disease” have been increasing, and hunters have regularly seen and sometimes harvested elk with this condition. At times, observers have reported many individuals in a group limping and showing signs of hoof disease, which has been noted in males and females and old and very young animals.

Treponeme-associated hoof disease (TAHD) of elk results in abnormal hoof growth, cavitating sole ulcers, and in severe cases, eventual sloughing of the hoof capsule. All evidence to date indicates that the disease is caused by infectious treponeme bacteria and appears to be very similar to a disease complex known as digital dermatitis, the most common infectious hoof disease of cattle, and in some parts of the world, sheep. Working with specialists throughout the USA and abroad, this diagnosis is based on test results of diseased hooves examined by five independent diagnostic laboratories and reviewed by a 16-member technical panel of researchers and veterinarians. The primary area of infection is in the Cowlitz River Basin; however, suspected incidences of hoof disease occur in southwest Washington in ten counties and affects both the Mt. St. Helens and Willapa elk herds. It is likely that environmental factors are important in disease initiation and propagation as the bacteria are suspected to persist in wet soil conditions and spread to new areas on the hooves of infected animals. We currently do not know the prevalence of the disease, or its adverse effects on the population, and there is not a treatment plan or vaccine available at this time. Studies to examine the population level effects of TAHD on elk as well as the prevalence and distribution of the disease are currently underway.

With input from the Hoof Disease Technical Advisory Group (HDTAG) and the Hoof Disease Public Working Group (HDPWG), WDFW is proposing to lethally remove elk that are severely affected by TAHD for humane reasons. Reports of hoof diseased elk would be evaluated based on informed and predefined criteria for humane euthanasia. Each report of an elk would be assessed based on defined criteria including safety considerations, the condition of the elk, and location.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. [\[help\]](#)

Elk severely affected by hoof disease could potentially occur within Clark, Skamania, Cowlitz, Lewis, Thurston, Wahkiakum, Pacific, Grays Harbor, Mason, and Pierce Counties. Elk that meet the criteria for euthanasia would likely occur within rural/urban interface environments.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS [\[help\]](#)

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site [\[help\]](#)
(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous,
other Not applicable
- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

3. Water

a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.
Not applicable

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site: [\[help\]](#)

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? [\[help\]](#)

Vegetation will not be removed for the purpose of this proposal.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Not applicable

5. Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include: [\[help\]](#)

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

Not applicable

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: [\[help\]](#)

The purpose of this proposal is to safely remove elk that are severely affected by hoof disease for humane reasons. Measures will be taken to preserve elk affected by hoof disease based on defined criteria for euthanasia. All measures will be taken to protect additional elk that may be present when applicable.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

Not applicable

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?

If so, generally describe. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?

List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?

If so, describe. [\[help\]](#)

Firearms will be used to remove elk severely affected by hoof disease. All firearm safety precautions and procedures will be implemented for people and wildlife. Use of firearms

to dispatch animals is the humane method for euthanasia for elk based on the 2013 American Veterinary Medical Association Guidelines for Euthanasia.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
Not applicable
- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.
Not applicable
- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.
Not applicable
- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
Not applicable
- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
Not applicable

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate existing noise due to the geographic scope of the project and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place.
- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. [\[help\]](#)
Brief noise may occur as a result of the use of firearms. This noise could occur at any time throughout daylight hours; however, removal will not take place under low light conditions. Use of firearms to dispatch animals is the humane method for euthanasia for elk based on the 2013 American Veterinary Medical Association Guidelines for Euthanasia.
- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)
None proposed at this time.

8. Land and shoreline use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. [\[help\]](#)

Variable due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place; however, most likely in rural/urban interface environments.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

It is possible that business operations may affect the ability to conduct an assessment of an animal that has been reported as being severely affected by TAHD (e.g., access to the animal).

- c. Describe any structures on the site. [\[help\]](#)

Variable due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? [\[help\]](#)

Variable due to the geographic scope of the project.

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? [\[help\]](#)

Variable due to the geographic scope of the project.

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

Not applicable.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

11. Light and glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

[\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? [\[help\]](#)

Not applicable.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe. [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place.
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. [\[help\]](#)
Impacts to cultural and historic resources are not anticipated.
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.
Impacts to cultural and historic resources are not anticipated.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place. However, reports will likely be

assessed in rural/urban interface environments in the vicinity of public streets and highways.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place.
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable.
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable.
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe. [\[help\]](#)
Not applicable.
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate the total number of vehicular trips per day given that it is unknown how many animals will be removed. However, each removal will entail using passenger vehicles and would likely involve 2 trips (one to the site and one returning trip).
- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.
No
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: [\[help\]](#)
Unable to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place.

15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. [\[help\]](#)
It is possible that based on the location of the reported elk, additional Fish and Wildlife Law Enforcement may be required to assist in the assessment and potential removal of a severely affected elk. This would be due to an increased level of public presence or if the removal location is in an area where safety may be of concern.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. [help]
Unable to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place. However, if needed Fish and Wildlife Law Enforcement will be enlisted to assist if circumstances warrant their presence.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: [help]
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____
Not applicable
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed. [help]
Not applicable

C. Signature [HELP]

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Name of signee _Brooke George_____

Position and Agency/Organization __Hoof Disease Coordinator_____

Date Submitted: __April 15, 2015_____

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions [help]

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

Not applicable.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Not applicable.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

An elk found to be severely affected by hoof disease would be euthanized through humane methods.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

An elk severely affected by hoof disease will be assessed for humane removal based on the following criteria:

- The elk is immobile or no longer able to stand
- The elk has two or more hooves severely affected by hoof disease to the extent that it is unable or barely able to bear weight on the hooves
- The elk is severely emaciated, typically with prominently visible hip and rib bones
- There is evidence of another disease or secondary infection, including lethargy, head drooping, diarrhea, drooling, loss of equilibrium, etc.

An elk meeting any of these indications is a candidate for lethal removal. The goal during euthanasia is for immediate insensibility and as humane a death as possible. Elk that do not meet these criteria will not be euthanized, but will be monitored for a worsening condition. Firearms will be used to remove elk severely affected by hoof disease. All firearm safety precautions and procedures will be implemented for people and wildlife. Use of firearms to dispatch animals is the humane method for euthanasia for elk based on the 2013 American Veterinary Medical Association Guidelines for Euthanasia.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

Not applicable.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Not applicable.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

It is not likely that elk will be euthanized in environmentally sensitive areas; however, this is difficult to anticipate due to the geographic scope of the proposal and the variability in locations of where an elk removal may take place. Impacts to sensitive areas will likely be minimal.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Not applicable.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

Not applicable

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

Not applicable

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

Not applicable

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

Not applicable

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

Not applicable