# 2014 Summer Mark-Selective Recreational Chinook Fisheries In Marine Areas 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 <br> Post-season Report REVISED DRAFT 

May 11, 2015
Prepared by:
Mark Baltzell, Jon Carey, Kirt Hughes, Karen Kloempken and Laurie Peterson

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
Fish Program
600 Capitol Way North
Olympia, Washington 98501

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... i
LIST OF TABLES ..... ii
LIST OF FIGURES ..... ix
LIST OF APPENDICES ..... xii
INTRODUCTION ..... 1
RESULTS ..... 3

1) Marine Area 5 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 3
2) Marine Area 6 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 14
3) Marine Area 9 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 20
4) Marine Area 10 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 32
5) Marine Area 11 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 44
6) Marine Area 12 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 55
7) Marine Area 13 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery ..... 61
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... 67
REFERENCES ..... 68
APPENDICES ..... 72

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 1.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Table 1.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

Table 1.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

Table 1.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 1.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 1.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

Table 1.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. AD $=$ marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 1.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.12

Table 1.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

Table 1.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 6 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

Table 2.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD $=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark status.

Table 2.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

Table 2.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

Table 2.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

Table 2.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the
2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. ........................................................... 19
Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 3.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.23

Table 3.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups... 24

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Table 3.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and } \\
& \text { size/mark-status proportion estimates for the } 2014 \text { summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area } \\
& \text { 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with } \\
& \text { size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses........................... } 24
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 3.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM $=$ unmarked.

Table 3.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained
Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9..................................... 28
Table 3.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown markstatus.

Table 3.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area
10 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program..................................................... 33
Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.34

Table 4.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during
dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. ..... 35
Table 4.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag36
Table 4.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.
Table 4.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

Table 4.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 4.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 4.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.
Table 4.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.40

Table 4.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown markstatus.

Table 4.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

Table 4.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 summer
Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. .................................... 43

Table 5.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 winter mark-selective fishery monitoring program.45

Table 5.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 5.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11

Table 5.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.48

Table 5.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

Table 5.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.
Table 5.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 5.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 5.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.
Table 5.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

Table 5.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for
the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped),
UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to
rounding error. ...................................................................................................................... 52
Table 5.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.53

Table 5.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.54

Table 6.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area
12 mark-selective fishery monitoring program............................................................... 56
Table 6.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD $=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark status.57

Table 6.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

Table 6.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.59

Table 6.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

Table 6.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the
2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. ................................................................... 60
Table 7.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 13 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

Table 7.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD $=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark status.63

Table 7.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13

Table 7.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.65

Table 7.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.65

Table 7.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in
Marine Area 5..................................................................................................................... 6
Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Figure 1.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.10

Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates

Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.23

Figure 3.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit (22 in or 56 cm ).
Figure 3.6 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.27

Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in
Marine Area 10 ..... 34

Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014
summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. ..... 34

Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 1035

Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.35

Figure 4.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit (22 in or 56 cm ).37

Figure 4.6 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.39

Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in
Marine Area 11. ..... 47

Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.47

Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014
summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. ........................................................................ 47
Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.47

Figure 5.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.58

Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

Figure 7.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 7.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. 1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. ..... 72
Appendix A. 2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. ..... 73
Appendix A. 3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. ..... 74
Appendix A. 4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. ..... 75
Appendix B. 1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 ..... 77
Appendix B. 2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. ..... 81
Appendix B. 3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. ..... 82
Appendix B. 4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. ..... 84
Appendix B. 5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. ..... 85
Appendix B. 6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. ..... 86
Appendix B. 7 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. ..... 86

## INTRODUCTION

In the marine environments of the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of wild Chinook salmon. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, wild stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of large-scale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting wild salmon populations. In such "mark-selective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly wild) salmon encountered ${ }^{1}$.

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine mark-selective Chinook fishery in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a ,WDFW 2008a), markselective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented on a basis in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both the summer and winter seasons. As of the close of the summer 2014 fishing season, summer Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for twelve consecutive seasons, in Areas 9, 10, 11, and 13 for eight consecutive seasons and in Area 12 for three consecutive seasons. Additionally, winter Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 81 and 8-2 for nine consecutive seasons, in Areas 7, 9 and 10 for seven consecutive seasons, in Areas 11 and 12 for five consecutive seasons and in Area 6 for two seasons ${ }^{2}$.

During the 2014 summer season (May through September), WDFW implemented seven markselective Chinook fisheries in Areas 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13. The Chinook MSF seasons in each area were scheduled as follows:

- Areas 5 and 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2014;
- Areas 9 and 10 from July 16 through August 15, 2014;
- Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2014;
- Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2014; and
- Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2014.

Consistent with the 2004 (and 2010 update) Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (Puget Sound Indian Tribes and WDFW 2004 and 2010), a key goal of implementing each of these Chinook MSFs has been to provide meaningful opportunity to the recreational angling public while minimally impacting ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon.

[^0]
## Comprehensive Sampling and Monitoring Program

WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) was tasked with implementing a comprehensive sampling and monitoring program in Areas 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 to collect the data needed to evaluate each Chinook MSF and its impact on unmarked salmon. Through state-tribal agreement (WDFW and NWIFC 2014), we developed area-specific sampling plans consisting of several comprehensive and complementary sampling components, including dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water or aerial effort surveys, and angler-completed voluntary trip reports (VTRs). We tailored area-specific sampling plans so that we could reliably estimate the following critical parameters needed for evaluating MSFs:
i) the mark rate of the targeted Chinook population
ii) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and markstatus [marked or unmarked] group)
iii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group)
$i v)$ the coded-wire tag- (CWT) and/or DNA-based stock composition of marked and unmarked Chinook mortalities ${ }^{3}$
$v)$ the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks
In addition, we acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the fisheries, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), the length composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts.

## Reporting Efficiencies

In the following pages, we report the summarized data and estimates generated from our monitoring activities during the summer 2014 Chinook MSFs. We report results following the reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives ${ }^{4}$, in which we focus on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text (unless needed to specify noteworthy in-season adjustments or other circumstances unique to the particular season). We present summer 2014 Chinook MSF results in separate chapters (1 through 7) by area, and within each chapter the data are presented in a series of tables and figures generally according to the following sequence: $i$ ) estimates of fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside creel survey data, including catch and effort total estimates, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results; $i i$ ) results from our recreational test fishery (where applicable); iii) results from our VTR collection efforts; iv) total fishery Chinook encounters and impacts-estimated based on creel survey and test fishery or VTR data-which we compare with pre-season expectations (based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions); v) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook; vi) total mortality estimates of marked and unmarked DIT CWT stocks by hatchery and brood year; and vii) historical Chinook encounters estimates for each area's summer mark-selective Chinook fishery.
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## RESULTS

## 1) Marine Area 5 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a twelfth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 from July 1 through August 15, 2014. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 5 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. During the summer 2014 mark-selective Chinook fishery in Area 5 we maintained our enhanced VTR program in an effort to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). An additional WDFW technician was hired to work exclusively on distributing and collecting VTRs from the angling public in Area 5. This technician, along with the dockside samplers, also educated anglers about the VTR program and salmon species identification in a focused effort to increase the sample size of VTR-based encounter data. Table 1.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 5 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Focal } \\ \text { Parameter(s) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Secondary } \\ \text { Parameter(s) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Unit(s) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Finest } \\ \text { Estimation } \\ \text { Time Step }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Dockside } \\ \text { Creel } \\ \text { Sampling }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Fishing effort (boat } \\ \text { \& angler trips); } \\ \text { kept and released } \\ \text { fish }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Catch rates (CPUE); } \\ \text { length, age, and CWT } \\ \text { composition of } \\ \text { harvest collection } \\ \text { of angler fishing } \\ \text { methods. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Angler trip; kept } \\ \text { fish; reported } \\ \text { fish release }\end{array}$ | Two weeks | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Creel estimates were produced for two- <br>

week estimation periods and stratified <br>
into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and <br>
"weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata <br>
within weeks. For the weekday stratum <br>
we sampled n=2 days out of N=8 <br>
available weekdays per two-week <br>
period. For the weekend stratum we <br>
sampled n=2 days out of N=3 available <br>
weekend days per week.\end{array}\right]\)
${ }^{\text {I }}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| July | 27 | 1-Jul | 6-Jul | 1,625 | 4,167 | 1,871 | 14 | 1,621 | 3,816 | 7,322 |
|  | 28 | 7-Jul | 13-Jul | 1,692 | 4,169 | 1,259 | 13 | 1,091 | 2,566 | 4,930 |
|  | 29 | 14-Jul | 20-Jul | 1,321 | 3,216 | 563 | 11 | 488 | 1,141 | 2,202 |
|  | 30 | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 1,495 | 3,575 | 482 | 0 | 418 | 987 | 1,886 |
|  | 31 | 28-Jul | 3-Aug | 1,079 | 2,505 | 302 | 0 | 262 | 618 | 1,182 |
| August | 32 | 4-Aug | 10-Aug | 1,184 | 2,624 | 341 | 8 | 296 | 691 | 1,336 |
|  | 33 | 11-Aug | 15-Aug | 1,292 | 3,054 | 267 | 3 | 231 | 544 | 1,046 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 9,689 | 23,310 | 5,085 | 49 | 4,407 | 10,363 | 19,905 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 164,075 | 927,050 | 474,643 | 619 | 2,191,717 | 2,282,220 | 11,115,047 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 405 | 963 | 689 | 25 | 1,480 | 1,511 | 3,334 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 14 | 50 | 34 | 15 | 17 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8,895- \\ & 10,483 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21,423- \\ 25,197 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,735- \\ 6,435 \end{gathered}$ | 1-98 | $\begin{gathered} 1,505- \\ 7,309 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7,403- \\ & 13,324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13,370- \\ 26,439 \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Table 1.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 1,190 | 102 | 1,292 |
| Unmarked | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 8}$ |

Table 1.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British <br> Columbia (22.3\%) | Fraser River - Thompson River (19.5\%) | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | 8 (4.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 20 (11.5\%) | 20 |
|  |  | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | 6 (3.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Western Vancouver Island (1.1\%) | R-Bedwell R | H-Tofino H | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | R-Robertson Cr | H-Robertson Creek H | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (1.7\%) | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | 3 (1.7\%) | 0 |
| Washington (53.9\%) | Northern Washington (8.6\%) | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 13 (7.5\%) | 13 |
|  |  | Boyd Cr 01.0490 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | 2 (1.1\%) | 0 |
|  | Strait of JDF (2.9\%) | Hoko R 19.0148 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | 5 (2.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (8\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | 4 (2.3\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 10 (5.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (6.3\%) | May Cr 07.0943 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Stillaguamish Hatch | 6 (3.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 2 (1.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 2 (1.1\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (1.1\%) | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Co line pd2 03.1853b | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (15.5\%) | Grovers Cr hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 13 (7.5\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 3 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Gorst Cr 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pnd | 5 (2.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 4 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (11.5\%) | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 7 (4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | 9 (5.2\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 4 (2.3\%) | 0 |
| Columbia River (22.4\%) | Upper Columbia R (above McNary Dam; excludes Snake River) (2.3\%) | Similkameen R 490325 | Similkameen Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Columbia Near Wells | Wells Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chelan R 47.0052 | Chelan Falls Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wenatchee R 45.0030 | Dryden Pond | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Central Columbia River (Bonneville Dam to McNary Dam) (2.9\%) | Ltl White Salmon@NFH | Ltl White Salmon NFH | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr NFH | 3 (1.7\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Umatilla R | Umatilla Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Lower Columbia River (mouth to Bonneville Dam) (10.9\%) | Kalama R 27.0002 | Kalama Falls Hatchry | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Tanner Cr (Bnville) | Bonneville Hatchery | 4 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Washougal R 28.0159 | Washougal Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Cr (Lwr Col R) | Big Cr Hatchery | 7 (4\%) | 7 |
|  |  | Cowlitz R 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon Hatch | 6 (3.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Snake River (6.3\%) | Snake R-L (Hells Can | Irrigon Hatchery | 3 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Captain Johns Pd | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 2 (1.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Lyons Ferry Rel.Site | Lyons Ferry Hatchery | 3 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Snake@ Hlls Cnyon Dm | Oxbow Hatchery | 2 (1.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | NPT Hatchery | NPT Hatchery | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 |

Table 1.4 (continued)

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR $0.6 \%)$ | S. Oregon Coast $(0.6 \%)$ | Elk R | Elk R Hatchery | $1(0.6 \%)$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CA $(0.6 \%)$ | Sacramento River $(0.6 \%)$ | Coleman NFH | Coleman NFH | $1(0.6 \%)$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ |

Table 1.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 90 1-trip VTRs, 201 Angler Trips | 76 | 43 | 58 | 104 | 281 | 0.48 | 0.64 |
| Test fishery size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.27 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.15 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.21 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.37 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

As no test fishery was conducted in the Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery, we focused our efforts on increasing the return rate of VTRs and thus, the sample size of fish encountered by recreational fishers. This year we received 90 VTRs, accounting for 201 angler trips during the 1.5 month fishery. We used these data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 1.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 5,383 | 4,684 | 700 | 105 | 4,789 | 437,579 | 661 | $3,492-6,085$ | 14 |
| Legal UM | 3,046 | 41 | 3,005 | 451 | 492 | 10,358 | 102 | $292-691$ | 21 |
| Sublegal AD | 4,108 | 401 | 3,707 | 741 | 1,143 | 32,516 | 180 | $789-1,496$ | 16 |
| Sublegal UM | 7,367 | 8 | 7,359 | 1,472 | 1,480 | 73,796 | 272 | $948-2,012$ | 18 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 , 9 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 4 , 2 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 4 4 - \mathbf { 9 , 3 6 2 }}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

Table 1.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 8,300 | 5,742 | 2,558 | 57 |
|  | AD | 15,020 | 7,171 | 7,849 | 6,239 |
|  | Total | 23,320 | 12,913 | 10,407 | 6,296 |
|  | \% Marked | 64 | 56 | 75 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 10,413 | 3,046 | 7,367 | 49 |
|  | AD | 9,492 | 5,383 | 4,108 | 5,085 |
|  | Total | 19,905 | 8,429 | 11,475 | 5,134 |
|  | \% Marked | 48 | 64 | 36 | 99 |

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 1,425 | 8,260 | 9,685 | 1,972 | 5,931 | 7,903 |
| Released Legal | 856 | 451 | 1,307 | 451 | 105 | 556 |
| Released Sublegal | 512 | 1,570 | 2,082 | 1,472 | 741 | 2,213 |
| Landed Only | 57 | 6,239 | 6,296 | 49 | 5,085 | 5,134 |



Figure 1.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enc. | Est. |  | SE(Est.) |  |  |
| Big Cr Hatchery | 2011 | 7 | 27.7 | 81.84 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 0.093 | 0.81 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 2 | 7.9 | 23.38 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.247 | 0.70 |
| George Adams Hatchry | 2009 | 1 | 4.0 | 11.69 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.117 | 0.34 |
| George Adams Hatchry | 2010 | 3 | 11.9 | 35.07 | 12.1 | 1.2 | 0.364 | 1.04 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2010 | 2 | 7.9 | 23.38 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.233 | 0.68 |
| H-Chilliwack River H | 2010 | 5 | 19.8 | 58.46 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 0.140 | 0.84 |
| H-Chilliwack River H | 2011 | 15 | 59.3 | 175.37 | 29.9 | 3.0 | 0.447 | 2.59 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2011 | 1 | 4.0 | 11.69 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.117 | 0.34 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 4.0 | 11.69 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.126 | 0.35 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2011 | 12 | 47.5 | 140.29 | 47.1 | 4.7 | 1.380 | 4.07 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 4.0 | 11.69 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.123 | 0.35 |
| Spring Cr NFH | 2011 | 3 | 11.9 | 35.07 | 11.6 | 1.2 | 0.336 | 1.00 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2011 | 1 | 4.0 | 11.69 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.115 | 0.34 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 1 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 6}$ |

Table 1.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 27-31 | 1 Jul-3 Aug | 4,477 | 38 | 4,515 | 1,123 | 6 | 1,129 | 25.0\% |
| August | 32-33 | 4 Aug - 15 Aug | 609 | 11 | 620 | 169 | 0 | 169 | 27.3\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 5,085 | 49 | 5,134 | 1,292 | 6 | 1,298 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3 \%}$ |

[^2]Table 1.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Pink | Sockeye | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho $\mathbf{U M}$ | Coho UK | Sockeye | Unk Salmon |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 6-Jul | 301 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 231 | 19 | 0 | 265 |
| 28 | 7-Jul | 13-Jul | 956 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 52 | 842 | 13 | 0 | 516 |
| 29 | 14-Jul | 20-Jul | 585 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 307 | 74 | 0 | 569 |
| 30 | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 1169 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 960 | 9 | 0 | 491 |
| 31 | 28-Jul | 3-Aug | 1362 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 121 | 2133 | 160 | 2 | 134 |
| 32 | 4-Aug | 10-Aug | 1754 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 2359 | 68 | 0 | 259 |
| 33 | 11-Aug | 15-Aug | 2119 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 2187 | 77 | 0 | 536 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 8,246 | 119 | 12 | 19 | 512 | 9,019 | 420 | 2 | 2,770 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & \text { 95\% CI: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 911,769 | 4,148 | 22 | 77 | 15,999 | 1,251,225 | 10,875 | 3 | 238,116 |
|  |  |  | 955 | 64 | 5 | 9 | 126 | 1,119 | 104 | 2 | 488 |
|  |  |  | 12 | 54 | 38 | 47 | 25 | 12 | 25 | 73 | 18 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,375- \\ & 10,118 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0- \\ 246 \end{gathered}$ | 3-21 | 1-36 | $\begin{gathered} 264- \\ 760 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,826- \\ & 11,211 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 216- \\ 625 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0-6 | $\begin{gathered} 1,813- \\ 3,726 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 1.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekend <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Resort | 18 | 0.048 |
| Curley's/Straightside | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 6}$ |
| Neah Bay | 4 | 0.011 |
| Olson's East | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 3}$ |
| Olson's Ramp \& Docks | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9 0}$ |
| Olson's West | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 1}$ |
| Private | 3 | 0.008 |
| Silver King | 11 | 0.029 |
| Van Riper's North | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 5}$ |
| Van Riper's South | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 8}$ |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{3 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Two weekend boat surveys were conducted during the 2014 Area 5 summer mark-selective Chinook fishery. Results from these surveys indicated that sites and effort patterns did not change substantially in 2014 compared to past years. Data from these surveye were included with the average of the previous years' site weights to determine site selections and to compute catch and effort estimates. Sites in the summer 2014 sample frame remained the same and included: Olson's East Docks, Olson's West Docks, Olson's Ramp \& Docks, Van Riper's North, Van Riper's South and Curley's Resort.

Table 1.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort(Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 5-Aug 3, 2003 | 19,398 | 2,251 | 53 | 225 | 0 | 336 | 3,435 | 1,656 | 5,174 | 13,131 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2004 | 25,174 | 2,706 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 404 | 4,017 | 1,167 | 2,462 | 10,950 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2005 | 30,115 | 1,520 | 23 | 100 | 26 | 227 | 1,418 | 1,210 | 1,459 | 5,984 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } 1-\operatorname{Aug} 14,18-21, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | 23,177 | 3,105 | 10 | 196 | 7 | 464 | 3,125 | 1,010 | 2,212 | 10,129 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 9, 2007 | 18,830 | 2,969 | 23 | 280 | 94 | 444 | 2,509 | 1,371 | 1,118 | 8,808 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2008 | 13,004 | 2,773 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 414 | 1,869 | 65 | 330 | 5,496 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 6, 2009 | 23,662 | 4,843 | 78 | 1,115 | 362 | 724 | 6,210 | 9,823 | 14,309 | 37,463 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2010 | 16,806 | 5,461 | 14 | 242 | 0 | 816 | 4,961 | 3,163 | 4,140 | 18,796 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2011 | 24,848 | 4,259 | 70 | 276 | 22 | 636 | 9,275 | 1,593 | 5,319 | 21,450 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2012 | 21,074 | 5,437 | 9 | 242 | 9 | 812 | 4,617 | 3,105 | 4,765 | 18,996 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2013 | 25,725 | 7,473 | 77 | 933 | 81 | 1,117 | 7,188 | 8,173 | 8,702 | 33,743 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2014 | 23,310 | 4,684 | 41 | 401 | 8 | 700 | 3,005 | 3,707 | 7,359 | 19,905 |

## 2) Marine Area 6 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a twelfth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2014. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. We maintained our enhanced VTR program in an effort to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). An additional WDFW technician was hired to work exclusively on distributing and collecting VTRs from the angling public in Area 6. This technician, along with the dockside samplers, also educated anglers about the VTR program and salmon species identification in a focused effort to increase the sample size of VTR-based encounter data.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 2.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 6 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fisherywide trends.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 6 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available VTR data will be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=62 \%, \mathrm{LU}=23 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $12 \%, \mathrm{SU}=3 \%$; Table 2.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^3]Table 2.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Pink | Unknown Salmon |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |
| July | 27 | 222 | 469 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 28 | 219 | 445 | 244 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 89 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 29 | 184 | 347 | 191 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 72 | 92 | 52 | 3 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 64 |
|  | 30 | 286 | 574 | 72 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 17 | 76 | 8 | 21 | 99 | 9 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 31 | 168 | 336 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| August | 32 | 121 | 230 | 65 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 52 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 53 |
|  | 33 | 94 | 158 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Season Total: |  | 1,294 | 2,559 | 782 | 0 | 107 | 3 | 147 | 399 | 97 | 26 | 134 | 23 | 1 | 167 |



Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

Table 2.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 750 | 12 | 762 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 2}$ |

Table 2.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. (14\%) | Fraser-Thompson R (4.7\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 2 (4.7\%) | 2 |
|  | W. Vancouver Isl (2.3\%) | R-Robertson Cr | H-Robertson Creek H | 1 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (7\%) | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | 3 (7\%) | 0 |
| Washington (76.9\%) | N. Washington (4.7\%) | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 1 (2.3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (san) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (27.9\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 8 (18.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | 4 (9.3\%) | 4 |
|  | N. Puget Sound (4.7\%) | May Cr 07.0943 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (2.3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (23.3\%) | Gorst Cr 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pnd | 2 (4.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 6 (14\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Icy $\mathrm{Cr} \quad 09.0125$ | Icy Cr Hatchery | 2 (4.7\%) | 0 |
|  | S. Puget Sound (16.3\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | 6 (14\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.3\%) | 0 |
| Columbia <br> River <br> (9.2\%) | Central Col R (Bnville to McNary) (4.7\%) | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr NFH | 1 (2.3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Ltl White Salmon@NFH | Ltl White Salmon NFH | 1 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Lower Col R (mouth to Bonneville Dam) (4.7\%) | Big Cr (Lwr Col R) | Big Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Tanner Cr (Bnville) | Bonneville Hatchery | 1 (2.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 43 | 14 |

Table 2.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 93 1-trip VTRs, 174 Angler Trips | 149 | 54 | 28 | 8 | 239 | 0.74 | 0.73 |
| Combined size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.62 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 2.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Location | Site-Days Sampled per Month |  | Total Site- <br> Days | \% of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July (1-31) | August (1-15) |  |  |

## 3) Marine Area 9 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented an eighth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9 from July 16 through August 15, 2014. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 3.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by daytype strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (Monday-Thursday) for sampling. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 7 boat surveys ( 3 weekday and 4 weekend) were conducted during the 1 month fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Given sufficient sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=67$ ) of fish caught in the test fishery, we used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/markstatus proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=66 \%, \mathrm{LU}=13 \%, \mathrm{SM}$ $=15 \%, \mathrm{SU}=6 \%$; Table 3.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip <br> Reports <br> (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data $(\mathrm{LM}=41 \%, \mathrm{LU}=25 \%, \mathrm{SM}=22 \%, \mathrm{SU}=13 \%$; Table 3.6) were not significantly different than those of the test fishery data. However, VTR data were not used in impact estimation due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample sizes of the test fishery data. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.



Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

Table 3.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 729 | 1 | 730 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 1}$ |

Table 3.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. (5.3\%) | Fraser-Thompson R (5.3\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 3 (5.3\%) | 3 |
| Washington (94.8\%) | Northern Washington (14\%) | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 6 (10.5\%) | 6 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (san) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Boyd Cr 01.0490 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (22.8\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | 5 (8.8\%) | 5 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 8 (14\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (12.3\%) | May Cr 07.0943 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (1.8\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 3 (5.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Stillaguamish Hatch | 2 (3.5\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (1.8\%) | Co Line Pd2 03.1853b | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (35.1\%) | Gorst Cr 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pnd | 3 (5.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 12 (21.1\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 4 (7\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (8.8\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013c | Clear Creek Hatchery | 3 (5.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 2 (3.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 57 | 19 |

Table 3.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 2 | 16.4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 5 | 32.0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 4 | 13.5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 5 | 33.3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 5 | 52.3 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 22 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: | $0.66(0.0034)$ | $0.83(0.0027)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.81(0.0024)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 3.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 3.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private Boat VTR | $20 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 41$ <br> Angler Trips | 13 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 32 | 0.63 | 0.62 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.41 \\ (0.0078) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.0060) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \\ (0.0055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ (0.0035) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were not significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data $\left(\chi^{2}=5.80, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}\right.$-value $=0.122$ ). However, based on sufficient sample size and assumed higher data quality, we used only test fishery data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,293 | 2,865 | 428 | 64 | 2,929 | 29,305 | 171 | $2,594-3,265$ | 6 |
| Legal UM | 674 | 6 | 668 | 100 | 106 | 1,123 | 34 | $41-172$ | 32 |
| Sublegal AD | 748 | 4 | 745 | 149 | 153 | 2,174 | 47 | $61-244$ | 31 |
| Sublegal UM | 299 | 0 | 299 | 60 | 60 | 885 | 30 | $2-118$ | 50 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 9 0 - 3 , 6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 1,324 | 715 | 609 | 14 |
|  | AD | 6,850 | 3,682 | 3,168 | 3,204 |
|  | Total | 8,174 | 4,397 | 3,777 | 3,218 |
|  | \% Marked | 84 | 84 | 84 | 100 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 973 | 674 | 299 | 6 |
|  | AD | 4,042 | 3,293 | 748 | 2,869 |
|  | Total | 5,015 | 3,967 | 1,048 | 2,875 |
|  | \% Marked | 81 | 83 | 71 | 100 |

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 242 | 4,070 | 4,312 | 166 | 3,082 | 3,248 |
| Released Legal | 106 | 232 | 338 | 100 | 64 | 164 |
| Released Sublegal | 122 | 634 | 756 | 60 | 149 | 209 |
| Landed Only | 14 | 3,204 | 3,218 | 6 | 2,869 | 2,875 |



Figure 3.6 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2010 | 2 | 7.9 | 23.07 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 0.239 | 0.69 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2011 | 3 | 11.8 | 34.61 | 11.9 | 1.2 | 0.351 | 1.03 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.54 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.107 | 0.33 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2010 | 3 | 7.9 | 23.07 | 11.8 | 4.7 | 11.767 | 4.07 |
| H-Chilliwack River H | 2010 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.54 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.028 | 0.17 |
| H-Chilliwack River H | 2011 | 2 | 7.9 | 23.07 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.059 | 0.34 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2010 | 2 | 7.9 | 23.07 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 0.248 | 0.70 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2011 | 4 | 15.7 | 46.15 | 15.6 | 1.6 | 0.454 | 1.35 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2011 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.54 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.114 | 0.34 |
| Total |  | 19 | 70.8 | 207.67 | 69.0 | 10.4 | 13.367 | 9.02 |

Table 3.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 29-31 | 16 Jul - 3 Aug | 1,950 | 0 | 1,950 | 487 | 0 | 487 | 25.0\% |
| August | 32-33 | 4 Aug - 15 Aug | 919 | 6 | 925 | 243 | 1 | 244 | 26.4\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 2,869 | 6 | 2,875 | 730 | 1 | 731 | 25.4\% |

[^4]Table 3.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Cutthroat Trout | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Sockeye | Cutthroat Trout | Unk Salmon |
| 29 | 16-Jul | 20-Jul | 32 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 30 | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 30 | 19 | 4 | 44 | 19 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| 31 | 28-Jul | 3-Aug | 84 | 39 | 0 | 3 | 34 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 754 |
| 32 | 4-Aug | 10-Aug | 34 | 31 | 0 | 55 | 9 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 409 |
| 33 | 11-Aug | 15-Aug | 26 | 28 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 55 | 9 | 32 | 491 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 205 | 136 | 4 | 121 | 89 | 472 | 9 | 32 | 1,839 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 1,603 | 952 | 6 | 532 | 358 | 5,349 | 64 | 825 | 118,284 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 31 | 3 | 23 | 19 | 73 | 8 | 29 | 344 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 23 | 67 | 19 | 21 | 16 | 87 | 90 | 19 |
|  |  |  | 127-284 | 76-197 | 0-9 | 76-166 | 52-126 | 328-615 | 0-25 | 0-88 | $\begin{gathered} 1,165- \\ 2,513 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 3.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.002 |
| Bayside Marina/Drystack | 3 | 0.006 | 17 | 0.012 |
| Bush Point Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 7 | 0.005 |
| Camano Island State Park Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.003 |
| Cavalero County Park | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.001 |
| Coupeville Public Ramp | 8 | 0.015 | 11 | 0.008 |
| Dagmar's Landing, Forklift Launch | 1 | 0.002 | 20 | 0.014 |
| Driftwood Key Marina | 14 | 0.026 | 25 | 0.018 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 3 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Edmonds Boat Basin (Public Sling) | 10 | 0.018 | 71 | 0.051 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 15 | 0.028 | 17 | 0.012 |
| Edmonds Marina | 71 | 0.131 | 63 | 0.045 |
| Eglon Public Ramp | 13 | 0.024 | 21 | 0.015 |
| Elliott Bay Marine | 4 | 0.007 | 9 | 0.006 |
| Everett Marina | 37 | 0.068 | 102 | 0.073 |
| Everett Ramp | 67 | 0.124 | 240 | 0.171 |
| Fort Casey Public Ramp (Keystone) | 40 | 0.074 | 104 | 0.074 |
| Fort Flagler Ramps-Marrowstone Is | 3 | 0.006 | 5 | 0.004 |
| Fort Worden Ramp | 22 | 0.041 | 37 | 0.026 |
| Hadlock Public Ramp | 10 | 0.018 | 20 | 0.014 |
| John Wayne Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Kingston Marina | 4 | 0.007 | 10 | 0.007 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 24 | 0.044 | 53 | 0.038 |
| Lagoon Point Ramp | 4 | 0.007 | 24 | 0.017 |
| Langley Marina/Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.001 |
| Marysville Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.001 |
| Mukilteo State Park Public Ramp | 22 | 0.041 | 150 | 0.107 |
| Mutiny Bay Public Ramp | 7 | 0.013 | 13 | 0.009 |
| Mystery Bay Dock/Moorage | 0 | 0.000 | 21 | 0.015 |
| Oak Bay Beach Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Point Hudson Marina | 6 | 0.011 | 16 | 0.011 |
| Point No Point Beach | 3 | 0.006 | 3 | 0.002 |
| Port Hadlock Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.004 |
| Port Ludlow Marina/Beach Launch | 7 | 0.013 | 10 | 0.007 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven (Docks) | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 51 | 0.094 | 88 | 0.063 |
| Possession Waterfront Beach Park | 5 | 0.009 | 20 | 0.014 |
| Poulsbo Yacht Club | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.004 |
| Private | 29 | 0.054 | 47 | 0.033 |
| Salmon Club Ramp | 3 | 0.006 | 3 | 0.002 |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 14 | 0.026 | 73 | 0.052 |
| Shilshole Marina | 25 | 0.046 | 29 | 0.021 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 13 | 0.024 | 50 | 0.036 |
| Useless Bay | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Total Anglers | 541 | 1.000 | 1,404 | 1.000 |

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> Angler- <br> trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 31, 2007 |  | 5,094 | 13 | 146 | 20 | 711 | 1,111 | 1,286 | 317 | 8,697 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 |  | 4,035 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 597 | 1,608 | 3,212 | 3,826 | 13,290 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 42,219 | 3,090 | 20 | 139 | 0 | 462 | 1,272 | 8,256 | 2,905 | 16,143 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 31,200 | 5,282 | 33 | 10 | 6 | 740 | 2,125 | 750 | 249 | 9,194 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 37,862 | 2,285 | 19 | 78 | 6 | 339 | 1,142 | 2,150 | 1,070 | 7,090 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 24,886 | 6,972 | 12 | 101 | 2 | 1,039 | 2,351 | 5,168 | 4,721 | 20,366 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 4, 2013 | 20,501 | 4,667 | 18 | 39 | 0 | 697 | 1,174 | 1,750 | 397 | 8,742 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15,2014 | 23,113 | 2,865 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 428 | 668 | 745 | 299 | 5,015 |

## 4) Marine Area 10 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented an eighth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10 from July 16 through August 7, 2014. Originally scheduled to close on August 15, 2014, the fishery was closed early, as in-season estimates indicated that the fishery was approaching its preseason modeled expected catch of 1,112 Chinook. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 4.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by day-type strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (MondayThursday) for sampling. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 7 boat surveys (4 weekday and 3 weekend) were conducted during the 3 week fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Due to low sample size of fish caught in the test fishery ( $\mathrm{n}=29$; $\mathrm{LM}=11$, $\mathrm{LU}=3, \mathrm{SM}=12$, $\mathrm{SU}=3$; Table 4.5), we combined these data with the private VTR dataset to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=$ $35 \%, \mathrm{LU}=9 \%, \mathrm{SM}=47 \%, \mathrm{SU}=$ $9 \%$ ) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data (LM $=29 \%$, LU $=7 \%$, SM $=57 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=7 \%$; Table 3.6) were similar to those of the test fishery data and were combined with the test fishing data to estimates the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^5]Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), UM = unmarked.



Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

Table 4.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 270 | 0 | 270 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ |

Table 4.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. (12.5\%) | Fraser-Thompson R (12.5\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 3 (12.5\%) | 3 |
| Washington(42.6\%) | Northern Washington (4.2\%) | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 1 (4.2\%) | 1 |
|  | Hood Canal (29.2\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchry | 3 (12.5\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 4 (16.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (50\%) | Gorst Cr 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pnd | 2 (8.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 2 (8.3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | 1 (4.2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr Hatchery | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 6 (25\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Icy $\mathrm{Cr} \quad 09.0125$ | Icy Cr Hatchery | 1 (4.2\%) | 0 |
|  | S. Puget Sound (4.2\%) | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 1 (4.2\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 24 | 10 |

Table 4.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 2 | 8.3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 4 | 25.6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 4 | 24.9 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 3 | 17.6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: | $0.38(0.0084)$ | $0.79(0.0130)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.79(0.0059)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 4.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 12 1-trip VTRs, <br> 21 Angler Trips | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 0.86 | 0.80 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.29 \\ (0.0157) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0051) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.57 \\ (0.0188) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0051) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

The Fisher's Exact Test revealed that size and mark-status proportions were similar between test fishery and private VTR data ( $\mathrm{p}=0.842$ ). Since the sample size of test fishery data was somewhat small, and given the similarity between the two datasets, we elected to combine the test fishery and private VTR datasets to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=35 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=9 \%, \mathrm{SM}=47 \%, \mathrm{SU}=9 \%$ ) needed to produce Chinook encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 4.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,222 | 1,063 | 159 | 24 | 1,087 | 10,633 | 103 | $885-1,289$ | 9 |
| Legal UM | 326 | 4 | 322 | 48 | 52 | 665 | 26 | $2-103$ | 49 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,629 | 0 | 1,629 | 326 | 326 | 8,169 | 90 | $149-503$ | 28 |
| Sublegal UM | 326 | 4 | 322 | 64 | 68 | 1,169 | 34 | $1-135$ | 50 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 2 - 1 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 1,179 | 636 | 543 | 38 |
|  | AD | 2,887 | 1,234 | 1,653 | 1,074 |
|  | Total | 4,066 | 1,870 | 2,196 | 1,112 |
|  | \% Marked | 71 | 66 | 75 | 97 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 652 | 326 | 326 | 8 |
|  | AD | 2,851 | 1,222 | 1,629 | 1,063 |
|  | Total | 3,503 | 1,548 | 1,955 | 1,071 |
|  | \% Marked | 81 | 79 | 83 | 99 |

Table 4.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 239 | 1,483 | 1,722 | 121 | 1,413 | 1,534 |
| Released Legal | 92 | 78 | 170 | 48 | 24 | 72 |
| Released Sublegal | 109 | 331 | 440 | 64 | 326 | 390 |
| Landed Only | 38 | 1,074 | 1,112 | 8 | 1,063 | 1,071 |



Figure 4.6 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Error bars represent approximate 95\% confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 4.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { UM } \\ \text { DIT } \\ \text { Enc. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.57 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.120 | 0.35 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2011 | 2 | 7.9 | 23.14 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.235 | 0.69 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.57 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.108 | 0.33 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.57 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.115 | 0.34 |
| H-Chilliwack River H | 2011 | 3 | 11.8 | 34.72 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 0.088 | 0.51 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2011 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.57 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.114 | 0.34 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.9 | 11.57 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.122 | 0.35 |
| Total |  | 10 | 39.4 | 115.72 | 33.6 | 3.4 | 0.902 | 2.90 |

Table 4.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 29-31 | 16 Jul - 3 Aug | 810 | 3 | 813 | 209 | 1 | 210 | 25.8\% |
| August | 32-32 | 4 Aug - 7 Aug | 253 | 5 | 258 | 61 | 1 | 62 | 24.0\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,063 | 8 | 1,071 | 270 | 2 | 272 | 25.4\% |

[^6]Table 4.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Pink | Sockeye | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Unk Salmon |
| 29 | 16-Jul | 20-Jul | 64 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 73 | 404 |
| 30 | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 96 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 11 | 96 | 316 |
| 31 | 28-Jul | 3-Aug | 89 | 72 | 5 | 3 | 60 | 111 | 149 | 503 |
| 32 | 4-Aug | 7-Aug | 29 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 56 | 146 | 210 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 279 | 244 | 5 | 3 | 167 | 201 | 465 | 1,434 |
| Variance: Standard Error:CV (\%):95\% CI: |  |  | 1,470 | 713 | 16 | 4 | 1,718 | 1,738 | 4,735 | 18,311 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 27 | 4 | 2 | 41 | 42 | 69 | 135 |
|  |  |  | 14 | 11 | 76 | 58 | 25 | 21 | 15 | 9 |
|  |  |  | 204-354 | 191-296 | 0-13 | 0-7 | 85-248 | 119-282 | 330-600 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,168 \\ 1,699 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table 4.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 41 | 0.067 | 59 | 0.077 |
| Bayside Marina/Drystack | 4 | 0.007 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Bremerton Pier | 12 | 0.020 | 15 | 0.020 |
| Bremerton Yacht Club | 0 | 0.000 | 7 | 0.009 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 26 | 0.043 | 64 | 0.084 |
| Coulon Park | 2 | 0.003 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 6 | 0.010 | 7 | 0.009 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 1 | 0.002 | 18 | 0.023 |
| Edmonds Boat Basin (Public Sling) | 32 | 0.053 | 50 | 0.065 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 46 | 0.076 | 41 | 0.054 |
| Edmonds Marina | 46 | 0.076 | 64 | 0.084 |
| Elliott Bay Marine | 7 | 0.012 | 23 | 0.030 |
| Everett Marina | 11 | 0.018 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Everett Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Evergreen Park Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Harbor Marina | 4 | 0.007 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Kingston Marina | 8 | 0.013 | 18 | 0.023 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 39 | 0.064 | 59 | 0.077 |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 19 | 0.031 | 28 | 0.037 |
| Mukilteo State Park Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Port Madison Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 7 | 0.009 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 6 | 0.010 | 13 | 0.017 |
| Poulsbo Ramp/Marina | 3 | 0.005 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Poulsbo Yacht Club | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Private | 26 | 0.043 | 34 | 0.044 |
| Redondo Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Shilshole Marina | 105 | 0.173 | 65 | 0.085 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 144 | 0.237 | 140 | 0.183 |
| Silverdale Waterfront Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| South Park - Duwamish | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Winslow City Ramp | 7 | 0.012 | 9 | 0.012 |
| Winslow Marina | 5 | 0.008 | 4 | 0.005 |
| Total Anglers | 608 | 1.000 | 766 | 1.000 |

Table 4.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort(Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 28, 2007 | 8,374 | 1,469 | 30 | 70 | 8 | 209 | 497 | 3,101 | 723 | 6,107 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 | 13,808 | 1,027 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 128 | 510 | 189 | 385 | 2,246 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 23,179 | 1,505 | 22 | 116 | 0 | 220 | 82 | 2,488 | 1,017 | 5,450 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 21,636 | 2,950 | 33 | 37 | 9 | 432 | 1,026 | 1,024 | 1,665 | 7,178 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 27,753 | 2,548 | 14 | 94 | 14 | 372 | 1,872 | 964 | 694 | 6,573 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 17,823 | 2,976 | 17 | 88 | 17 | 443 | 377 | 6,343 | 1,950 | 12,212 |
| Jul 16-Aug 18, 2013 | 27,317 | 3,434 | 6 | 77 | 17 | 512 | 298 | 2,149 | 1,603 | 8,097 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 7, 2014 | 11,892 | 1,063 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 159 | 322 | 1,629 | 322 | 3,503 |

## 5) Marine Area 11 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented an eighth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2014. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 5.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 5.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 summer markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 6 weekend boat surveys were conducted during the four month fishery. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Voluntary } \\ & \text { Trip Reports } \\ & \text { (VTRs) } \end{aligned}$ | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=42 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=20 \%, \mathrm{SM}=27 \%, \mathrm{SU}=11 \%$; <br> Table 5.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 5.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total <br> Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jun | 22 | 1-Jun | 1-Jun | 361 | 628 | 171 | 0 | 150 | 144 | 465 |
|  | 23 | 2-Jun | 8-Jun | 1,134 | 1,827 | 166 | 0 | 147 | 140 | 453 |
|  | 24 | 9-Jun | 15-Jun | 664 | 1,094 | 86 | 0 | 76 | 73 | 234 |
|  | 25 | 16-Jun | 22-Jun | 908 | 1,707 | 81 | 0 | 72 | 69 | 221 |
|  | 26 | 23-Jun | 29-Jun | 780 | 1,381 | 89 | 0 | 78 | 75 | 242 |
| Jul | 27 | 30-Jun | 6-Jul | 1,405 | 2,558 | 234 | 0 | 206 | 197 | 636 |
|  | 28 | 7-Jul | 13-Jul | 1,102 | 1,936 | 160 | 0 | 141 | 135 | 435 |
|  | 29 | 14-Jul | 20-Jul | 1,125 | 2,167 | 82 | 0 | 72 | 69 | 223 |
|  | 30 | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 1,175 | 2,221 | 63 | 0 | 55 | 53 | 171 |
|  | 31 | 28-Jul | 3-Aug | 1,557 | 3,531 | 223 | 7 | 197 | 182 | 609 |
| Aug | 32 | 4-Aug | 10-Aug | 1,617 | 2,897 | 309 | 4 | 272 | 257 | 841 |
|  | 33 | 11-Aug | 17-Aug | 2,204 | 3,990 | 520 | 5 | 458 | 433 | 1,416 |
|  | 34 | 18-Aug | 24-Aug | 2,242 | 4,102 | 514 | 5 | 453 | 429 | 1,401 |
|  | 35 | 25-Aug | 31-Aug | 1,760 | 2,898 | 135 | 0 | 119 | 114 | 369 |
| Sept | 36 | 1-Sep | 7-Sep | 1,703 | 2,828 | 88 | 0 | 78 | 74 | 240 |
|  | 37 | 8-Sep | 14-Sep | 771 | 1,469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 38 | 15-Sep | 21-Sep | 702 | 1,290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 39 | 22-Sep | 28-Sep | 411 | 787 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 40 | 29-Sep | 30-Sep | 72 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 21,694 | 39,426 | 2,923 | 20 | 2,577 | 2,446 | 7,966 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 1,147,946 | 5,046,621 | 92,790 | 108 | 385,964 | 87,070 | 905,102 |
| SE: <br> CV (\%): |  |  |  | 1,071 | 2,246 | 305 | 10 | 621 | 295 | 951 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 10 | 52 | 24 | 12 | 12 |
| $\text { } 95 \% \text { CI: }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 19,594- \\ 23,794 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35,022- \\ 43,829 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,326- \\ 3,520 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0-40 | $\begin{gathered} 1,359- \\ 3,794 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,868- \\ 3,024 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6,102-9,831 |



Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

Table 5.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 764 | 3 | 767 |
| Unmarked | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 0}$ |

Table 5.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.


Table 5.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11, with estimates of legalsize and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 321 1-trip VTRs, 595 Angler Trips | 171 | 82 | 110 | 44 | 407 | 0.69 | 0.68 |
| Size/m | Size/mark-status composition: | $\begin{gathered} 0.42 \\ (0.00060) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ (0.00040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ (0.00049) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.00024) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 5.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,347 | 2,912 | 435 | 65 | 2,977 | 98,621 | 314 | $2,362-3,593$ | 11 |
| Legal UM | 1,605 | 20 | 1,585 | 238 | 258 | 1,495 | 39 | $182-334$ | 15 |
| Sublegal AD | 2,153 | 11 | 2,142 | 428 | 440 | 3,906 | 63 | $317-562$ | 14 |
| Sublegal UM | 861 | 0 | 861 | 172 | 172 | 1,017 | 32 | $110-235$ | 19 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 1 2 - 4 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Table 5.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 1,928 | 1,111 | 817 | 33 |
|  | AD | 7,046 | 4,361 | 2,685 | 3,794 |
|  | Total | 8,974 | 5,472 | 3,502 | 3,827 |
|  | \% Marked | 79 | 80 | 77 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2,466 | 1,605 | 861 | 20 |
|  | AD | 5,500 | 3,347 | 2,153 | 2,923 |
|  | Total | 7,966 | 4,952 | 3,014 | 2,943 |
|  | \% Marked | 69 | 68 | 71 | 99 |

Table 5.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 360 | 4,606 | 4,966 | 430 | 3,417 | 3,847 |
| Released Legal | 164 | 275 | 439 | 238 | 65 | 303 |
| Released Sublegal | 163 | 537 | 700 | 172 | 428 | 601 |
| Landed Only | 33 | 3,794 | 3,827 | 20 | 2,923 | 2,943 |



Figure 5.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2814) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Error bars represent approximate 95\% confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 5.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.8 | 10.79 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.109 | 0.33 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 3 | 7.6 | 21.58 | 11.7 | 4.6 | 11.017 | 3.96 |
| George Adams Hatchry | 2010 | 2 | 7.6 | 21.58 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 0.224 | 0.67 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.8 | 10.79 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.126 | 0.35 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2011 | 1 | 3.8 | 10.79 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.106 | 0.33 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.8 | 10.79 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.114 | 0.34 |
| Total |  | 9 | 30.6 | 86.32 | 35.1 | 7.0 | 11.696 | 5.98 |

Table 5.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| June | 22-26 | 1 Jun-29 Jun | 593 | 0 | 593 | 190 | 0 | 190 | 32.0\% |
| July | 27-31 | 30 Jun - 3 Aug | 761 | 7 | 768 | 179 | 2 | 181 | 23.6\% |
| August | 32-35 | 4 Aug - 31 Aug | 1,478 | 13 | 1,491 | 384 | 1 | 385 | 25.8\% |
| September | 36-40 | 1 Sep - 30 Sep | 91 | 0 | 91 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 15.3\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 2,923 | 20 | 2,943 | 767 | 3 | 770 | 26.2\% |

[^7]Table 5.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Chum | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmon |
| 22 | 1-Jun | 1-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 23 | 2-Jun | 8-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 9-Jun | 15-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 16-Jun | 22-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 23-Jun | 29-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 30-Jun | 6-Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 7-Jul | 13-Jul | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 29 | 14-Jul | 20-Jul | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 30 | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 47 |
| 31 | 28-Jul | 3-Aug | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 8 | 72 | 0 | 74 |
| 32 | 4-Aug | 10-Aug | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 9 | 42 | 0 | 101 |
| 33 | 11-Aug | 17-Aug | 35 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 58 | 0 | 255 |
| 34 | 18-Aug | 24-Aug | 53 | 15 | 0 | 46 | 26 | 134 | 0 | 201 |
| 35 | 25-Aug | 31-Aug | 163 | 89 | 0 | 19 | 31 | 134 | 0 | 103 |
| 36 | 1-Sep | 7-Sep | 211 | 162 | 4 | 55 | 16 | 161 | 4 | 131 |
| 37 | 8-Sep | 14-Sep | 109 | 64 | 0 | 116 | 3 | 124 | 0 | 16 |
| 38 | 15-Sep | 21-Sep | 83 | 20 | 0 | 92 | 4 | 43 | 0 | 31 |
| 39 | 22-Sep | 28-Sep | 65 | 44 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 25 |
| 40 | 29-Sep | 30-Sep | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 737 | 400 | 4 | 458 | 122 | 796 | 7 | 1,011 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 10,485 | 21,116 | 8 | 29,227 | 1,006 | 52,469 | 13 | 84,691 |
|  |  |  | 102 | 145 | 3 | 171 | 32 | 229 | 4 | 291 |
|  |  |  | 14 | 36 | 73 | 37 | 26 | 29 | 51 | 29 |
|  |  |  | 536-938 | 116-685 | 0-9 | 123-793 | 60-184 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 347- \\ & 1,245 \end{aligned}$ | 0-14 | $\begin{aligned} & 441- \\ & 1,582 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 61 | 0.053 |
| Breakwater Marina (Warters) | 14 | 0.012 |
| Browns Point Ramp | 7 | 0.006 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 5 | 0.004 |
| Burton Ramp | 2 | 0.002 |
| Chambers Bay Beach | 1 | 0.001 |
| Commencement Bay Marina | 33 | 0.029 |
| Dash Point Shore | 5 | 0.004 |
| Day Island Marina | 4 | 0.003 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 91 | 0.079 |
| Dockton Ramp, Vashon Is | 11 | 0.010 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 13 | 0.011 |
| Elliott Bay Marine | 2 | 0.002 |
| Fox Island Public Ramp | 2 | 0.002 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 10 | 0.009 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 89 | 0.077 |
| Harbor Isl Marina | 4 | 0.003 |
| Harper Ramp (Yukon Harbor) | 3 | 0.003 |
| Home Public Ramp | 3 | 0.003 |
| Horsehead Bay Ramp | 2 | 0.002 |
| Joemma Beach Ramp | 3 | 0.003 |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 27 | 0.023 |
| Narrows Marina (Private) | 18 | 0.016 |
| Narrows Ramp | 33 | 0.029 |
| Olalla Public Ramp | 9 | 0.008 |
| Point Defiance Boathhouse Dock | 2 | 0.002 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 145 | 0.126 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 262 | 0.227 |
| Port Madison Marina | 3 | 0.003 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 6 | 0.005 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 9 | 0.008 |
| Private | 41 | 0.036 |
| Quartermaster Marina (Vashon Is) | 2 | 0.002 |
| Redondo Ramp | 146 | 0.127 |
| Shilshole Marina | 21 | 0.018 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 4 | 0.003 |
| Solo Point (Tatsolo Pt-Ft Lewis) Rm | 1 | 0.001 |
| Steilacoom Public Ramp | 2 | 0.002 |
| Tacoma Outboard Association | 21 | 0.018 |
| Tacoma Yacht Club | 1 | 0.001 |
| Tyee Marina/Ramp | 29 | 0.025 |
| Unknown | 1 | 0.001 |
| Wollochet Bay Public Ramp | 5 | 0.004 |
| Total Anglers | 1153 | 1.000 |

Table 5.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU | Encounters |  |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2007 |  | 10,192 | 74 | 354 | 21 | 1,511 | 3,015 | 8,033 | 2,357 | 25,558 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2008 |  | 7,277 | 18 | 100 | 5 | 1,087 | 1,999 | 1,969 | 248 | 12,703 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2009 | 80,157 | 3,149 | 20 | 117 | 17 | 470 | 1,269 | 3,820 | 3,302 | 12,164 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2010 | 54,594 | 3,883 | 64 | 27 | 0 | 580 | 1,105 | 900 | 405 | 6,965 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2011 | 69,919 | 2,559 | 9 | 77 | 12 | 382 | 2,120 | 1,932 | 1,579 | 8,670 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2012 | 56,065 | 4,894 | 57 | 72 | 14 | 731 | 2,665 | 2,649 | 1,157 | 12,240 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2013 | 64,509 | 3,056 | 35 | 55 | 0 | 457 | 1,289 | 1,214 | 669 | 6,774 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2014 | 39,426 | 2,912 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 435 | 1,585 | 2,142 | 861 | 7,966 |

## 6) Marine Area 12 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a third consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2014. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 6.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 12 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the course of the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 6.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 12 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | Limited VTRs were returned for the Area 12 summer Chinook MSF. When CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available, estimates of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group, along with associated impacts, will likely be estimated using the M1 approach, as outlined in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^8]Table 6.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM $=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Chinook |  |  | Cutthroat Trout |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK |  |
| Jul | 27 | 43 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 75 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 52 | 58 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 78 | 78 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 31 | 81 | 81 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 32 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 34 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 35 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sept | 36 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 37 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 38 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | 39 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Observed: |  | 383 | 456 | 79 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 12 |



Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

Table 6.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 61 | 0 | 61 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |

Table 6.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington $(100 \%)$ | Hood Canal $(100 \%)$ | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | $3(100 \%)$ | 3 |
|  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |

Table 6.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12, with estimates of legalsize and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 2 1-trip VTRs, 4 Angler Trips | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Location | Number of Site-Days Sampled <br> per Month |  |  | Total Site- <br> Days | \% of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul | Aug | Sept |  |  |
| Skokomish Ramp | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 | $19.2 \%$ |
| Misery Point Ramp | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Tahuya Ramp | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Union Ramp | 2 | 9 | 2 | 13 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Hood Canal Marina (Union) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Skokomish Tide Flats | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | $30.8 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## 7) Marine Area 13 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented an eighth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2014. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 7.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 13 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the course of the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 7.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 13 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Angler <br> Interviews <br> (Baseline <br> Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook estimates become available VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook encounters by size/mark group (LM $=82 \%, \mathrm{LU}=12 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $0 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=6 \%$; Table 7.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^9]Table 7.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM $=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  |  | hino |  |  | Coho |  | Steelhead | Cutthroat | Unknown Salmon |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |
| May | 18 | 10 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 19 | 15 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 20 | 19 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 21 | 19 | 43 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 22 | 16 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | 23 | 18 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 24 | 15 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 25 | 26 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
|  | 26 | 30 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | 27 | 30 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 28 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 36 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 33 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 31 | 55 | 117 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Aug | 32 | 49 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 71 | 149 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 34 | 69 | 134 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 35 | 77 | 154 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 |
| Sept | 36 | 36 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 37 | 39 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 |
|  | 38 | 27 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 39 | 24 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 40 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Observed: |  | 745 | 1446 | 56 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 69 | 6 |



Figure 7.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook per angler trip) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (retained and released) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

Table 7.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 51 | 1 | 52 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |

Table 7.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA $(100 \%)$ | S. Puget Sound $(100 \%)$ | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | $2(100 \%)$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{n y y y}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13, with estimates of legalsize and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 12 1-trip VTRs, 26 Angler Trips | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0.82 | 0.88 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.82 \\ (0.0091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (0.0065) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \\ (0.0035) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 7.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Location | Number of Site-Days Sampled <br> per Month |  |  |  |  | Total Site- <br> Days | \% of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May |  | Jun | Jul | Aug |  |  |
| Boston Harbor Ramp/Marina | 0 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 38 | $14.9 \%$ |
| Concrete Dock | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Fox Island Public Ramp | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Gorst Shore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Hartstene Is. Ramp | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Longbranch Public Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Luhr Beach Ramp | 1 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 20 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Narrows Marina (Boathouse, Rental) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 12 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Narrows Park | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Narrows Ramp | 11 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 32 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 28 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Redondo Ramp | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Solo Point (Tatsolo Pt-Ft Lewis) Rm | 1 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 29 | $11.4 \%$ |
| Steilacoom Public Ramp | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Swan Town/East Bay Marina/Ramp | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 12 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Wauna Shore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Zittels Marina | 1 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 34 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
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## APPENDICES

Appendix A. 1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $7 / 1 / 2014$ | 27 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3008 | Van Ripers South | 0.2368 |
| $7 / 5 / 2014$ | 27 | Olsen's East Docks | 0.1700 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3728 |
| $7 / 6 / 2014$ | 27 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3728 | Van Ripers South | 0.1954 |
| $7 / 9 / 2014$ | 28 | Curleys Resort | 0.0779 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3008 |
| $7 / 11 / 2014$ | 28 | Olsen's East Docks | 0.1700 | Van Ripers North | 0.0743 |
| $7 / 13 / 2014$ | 29 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3728 | Van Ripers South | 0.1954 |
| $7 / 16 / 2014$ | 29 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3008 | Van Ripers South | 0.2368 |
| $7 / 19 / 2014$ | 29 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3728 | Van Ripers South | 0.1954 |
| $7 / 20 / 2014$ | 29 | Curleys Resort | 0.0881 | Olsen's West Docks | 0.0994 |
| $7 / 22 / 2014$ | 30 | Van Ripers North | 0.1193 | Van Ripers South | 0.2368 |
| $7 / 25 / 2014$ | 30 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.3728 | Van Ripers South | 0.1954 |
| $7 / 26 / 2014$ | 30 | Olsen's East Docks | 0.1700 | Van Ripers South | 0.1954 |
| $7 / 28 / 2014$ | 31 | Olsen's East Docks | 0.1794 | Van Ripers North | 0.1193 |
| $8 / 1 / 2014$ | 31 | Olsen's West Docks | 0.1002 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.4267 |
| $8 / 2 / 2014$ | 31 | Van Ripers North | 0.1368 | Van Ripers South | 0.1903 |
| $8 / 6 / 2014$ | 32 | Curleys Resort | 0.0980 | Van Ripers North | 0.1657 |
| $8 / 8 / 2014$ | 32 | Olsen's Ramp | 0.4267 | Van Ripers North | 0.1368 |
| $8 / 10 / 2014$ | 32 | Olsen's East Docks | 0.0945 | Olsen's West Docks | 0.1002 |
| $8 / 14 / 2014$ | 33 | Olsen's East Docks | 0.1461 | Van Ripers South | 0.1629 |

Appendix A. 2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $7 / 16 / 2014$ | 29 | Everett Ramp | 0.3099 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.3099 |
| $7 / 17 / 2014$ | 29 | Everett Ramp | 0.3099 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.3099 |
| $7 / 18 / 2014$ | 29 | Everett Ramp | 0.2938 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1781 |
| $7 / 19 / 2014$ | 29 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.2031 | Everett Ramp | 0.2938 |
| $7 / 20 / 2014$ | 29 | Everett Ramp | 0.2938 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1781 |
| $7 / 22 / 2014$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.3099 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.3099 |
| $7 / 23 / 2014$ | 30 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.2183 | Everett Ramp | 0.3099 |
| $7 / 25 / 2014$ | 30 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.2031 | Everett Ramp | 0.2938 |
| $7 / 26 / 2014$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.2938 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1781 |
| $7 / 27 / 2014$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.2938 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1781 |
| $7 / 28 / 2014$ | 31 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.2183 | Everett Ramp | 0.3099 |
| $7 / 31 / 2014$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.3099 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0986 |
| $8 / 1 / 2014$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.4398 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.0934 |
| $8 / 2 / 2014$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.4398 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.0934 |
| $8 / 3 / 2014$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.4398 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.0934 |
| $8 / 5 / 2014$ | 32 | Everett Ramp | 0.3767 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1010 |
| $8 / 6 / 2014$ | 32 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1119 | Everett Ramp | 0.3767 |
| $8 / 8 / 2014$ | 32 | Everett Ramp | 0.4398 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.0934 |
| $8 / 9 / 2014$ | 32 | Mukilteo State Park Public Ramp | 0.2319 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.0934 |
| $8 / 10 / 2014$ | 32 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1175 | Everett Ramp | 0.4398 |
| $8 / 11 / 2014$ | 33 | Everett Ramp | 0.3767 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1612 |
| $8 / 14 / 2014$ | 33 | Everett Ramp | 0.3767 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.1612 |
| $8 / 15 / 2014$ | 33 | Everett Ramp | 0.4398 | Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 0.0934 |

Appendix A. 3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $7 / 16 / 2014$ | 29 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5941 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1436 |
| $7 / 17 / 2014$ | 29 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1188 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5941 |
| $7 / 18 / 2014$ | 29 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4091 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1869 |
| $7 / 19 / 2014$ | 29 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1566 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4091 |
| $7 / 20 / 2014$ | 29 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1566 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4091 |
| $7 / 22 / 2014$ | 30 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1188 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5941 |
| $7 / 23 / 2014$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5941 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1436 |
| $7 / 25 / 2014$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4091 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1869 |
| $7 / 26 / 2014$ | 30 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1566 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4091 |
| $7 / 27 / 2014$ | 30 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1566 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0657 |
| $7 / 28 / 2014$ | 31 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.0545 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5941 |
| $7 / 31 / 2014$ | 31 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1188 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5941 |
| $8 / 1 / 2014$ | 31 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1842 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3882 |
| $8 / 2 / 2014$ | 31 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1842 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3882 |
| $8 / 3 / 2014$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3882 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1447 |
| $8 / 5 / 2014$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4692 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0973 |
| $8 / 6 / 2014$ | 32 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2730 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4692 |

Appendix A. 4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/1/2014 | 22 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1665 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/5/2014 | 23 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2108 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4113 |
| 6/6/2014 | 23 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1665 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/8/2014 | 23 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1160 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/10/2014 | 24 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2108 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4113 |
| 6/13/2014 | 24 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0796 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/14/2014 | 24 | Narrows Marina | 0.0671 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/18/2014 | 25 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2108 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4113 |
| 6/20/2014 | 25 | Narrows Marina | 0.0671 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/21/2014 | 25 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 | Redondo Ramp | 0.1103 |
| 6/23/2014 | 26 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1826 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4113 |
| 6/27/2014 | 26 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0796 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 6/29/2014 | 26 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1665 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4605 |
| 7/1/2014 | 27 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1032 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5134 |
| 7/5/2014 | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2353 |
| 7/6/2014 | 27 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1490 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 |
| 7/9/2014 | 28 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1362 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5134 |
| 7/11/2014 | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2353 |
| 7/13/2014 | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2353 |
| 7/16/2014 | 29 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1032 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5134 |
| 7/19/2014 | 29 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1490 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 |
| 7/20/2014 | 29 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0538 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 |
| 7/22/2014 | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5134 | Redondo Ramp | 0.1254 |
| 7/25/2014 | 30 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1490 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 |
| 7/26/2014 | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4355 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2353 |
| 7/28/2014 | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5134 | Redondo Ramp | 0.1254 |
| 8/1/2014 | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2432 |
| 8/2/2014 | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2432 |
| 8/6/2014 | 32 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1134 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4091 |
| 8/8/2014 | 32 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1060 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 |
| 8/10/2014 | 32 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.0846 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 |
| 8/14/2014 | 33 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1423 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4091 |
| 8/16/2014 | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2432 |
| 8/17/2014 | 33 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1060 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 |
| 8/19/2014 | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4091 | Redondo Ramp | 0.1975 |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $8 / 23 / 2014$ | 34 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1060 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 |
| $8 / 24 / 2014$ | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2432 |
| $8 / 28 / 2014$ | 35 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4091 | Redondo Ramp | 0.1975 |
| $8 / 29 / 2014$ | 35 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1060 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 |
| $8 / 30 / 2014$ | 35 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.0846 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4689 |
| $9 / 4 / 2014$ | 36 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3180 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2764 |
| $9 / 5 / 2014$ | 36 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3138 |
| $9 / 7 / 2014$ | 36 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.0825 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 |
| $9 / 8 / 2014$ | 37 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3180 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2764 |
| $9 / 12 / 2014$ | 37 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1129 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 |
| $9 / 14 / 2014$ | 37 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3138 |
| $9 / 18 / 2014$ | 38 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2378 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3180 |
| $9 / 19 / 2014$ | 38 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1129 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 |
| $9 / 21 / 2014$ | 38 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.0825 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 |
| $9 / 23 / 2014$ | 39 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1129 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3180 |
| $9 / 26 / 2014$ | 39 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1129 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 |
| $9 / 27 / 2014$ | 39 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4388 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3138 |
| $9 / 29 / 2014$ | 40 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3180 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2764 |

Appendix B. 1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 63759 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 53 | 77669 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 90571 | 2011 | TANNER CR (BNVILLE) | BONNEVILLE HATCH | ODFW |  | 70 | 77670 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 55233 | 2011 | Ltl White Salmon @ NFH | Ltl White Salmon NFH | FWS |  | 81 | 77692 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 181198 | 2010 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 76 | 77693 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 635776 | 2010 | WENATCHEE R 45.0030 | DRYDEN POND | WDFW |  | 58 | 77694 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 635693 | 2010 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635696 | 60 | 77695 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 100201 | 2011 | SNAKE @ HLLS CNYON | OXBOW HATCHERY | IDFG |  | 60 | 77696 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 59 | 77749 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 55527 | 2011 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 055399; 055404; 055528 | 71 | 77750 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 62 | 77751 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 77752 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 54 | 77753 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 60 | 77920 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 52 | 77923 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 63723 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 58 | 63725 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 56 | 63726 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 59 | 63727 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 62 | 63728 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 210964 | 2010 | CO LINE PD2 03.1853B | MARBLEMOUNT HATCH | WDFW |  | 68 | 77697 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 58 | 77732 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 211016 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 57 | 77733 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 76 | 77744 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 56 | 77746 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 62 | 77747 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 635590 | 2010 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 80 | 77748 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 90582 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090567; 090566 | 59 | 77921 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 56 | 77922 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 61 | 63729 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 55527 | 2011 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 055399; 055404; 055528 | 64 | 63730 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 181974 | 2011 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 62 | 63731 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 90567 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090582; 090566 | 66 | 63732 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 211019 | 2011 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 51 | 63734 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 181585 | 2010 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 79 | 63762 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 181497 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 59 | 63763 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 180279 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 181982; 182385 | 77 | 63764 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 635681 | 2011 | BOYD CR 01.0490 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 63765 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 77740 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 635599 | 2011 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 55 | 77741 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 90587 | 2011 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN | IRRIGON HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 58 | 77743 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 58 | 77745 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 100201 | 2011 | SNAKE @ HLLS CNYON | OXBOW HATCHERY | IDFG |  | 62 | 77754 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 181584 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181679; 181592; 181588; 181590 | 69 | 77755 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 220321 | 2010 | CAPTAIN JOHNS PD | LYONS FERRY HATCH | NEZP |  | 69 | 77756 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 55513 | 2011 | COLEMAN NFH | COLEMAN NFH | FWS |  | 59 | 77757 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 52 | 77758 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 59 | 77759 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 77924 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 90586 | 2011 | UMATILLA R | UMATILLA HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 56 | 77925 | AD |
| 5 | 5-Jul-14 | 181978 | 2011 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 66 | 77926 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 54 | 63735 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 211019 | 2011 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 51 | 63737 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 59 | 77008 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 211015 | 2011 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 45 | 77011 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 210973 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 67 | 77012 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 181196 | 2010 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 80 | 77013 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 64 | 77014 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 636277 | 2011 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCH | WDFW | 636278 | 51 | 77735 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 79 | 77736 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 77738 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 77739 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 51 | 77760 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 77761 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 90567 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090582; 090566 | 64 | 77762 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 635681 | 2011 | BOYD CR 01.0490 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 77763 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-14 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 77764 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 53 | 87042 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 70 | 87043 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 181498 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 58 | 63741 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 636264 | 2011 | KALAMA R 27.0002 | KALAMA FALLS HATCH | WDFW |  | 50 | 63742 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 635964 | 2010 | COLUMBIA NEAR WELLS | WELLS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 77734 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 53 | 77737 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 90571 | 2011 | TANNER CR (BNVILLE) | BONNEVILLE HATCH | ODFW |  | 61 | 77927 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 58 | 77929 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 90281 | 2010 | ELK R | ELK R HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 70 | 77932 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 55399 | 2011 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 055404; 055527; 055528 | 72 | 77933 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 181592 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181584; 181679; 181588; 181590 | 77 | 77945 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 10-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 71 | 87041 | AD |
| 5 | 11-Jul-14 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 71 | 77768 | AD |
| 5 | 11-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 77935 | AD |
| 5 | 11-Jul-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 52 | 77936 | AD |
| 5 | 11-Jul-14 | 90587 | 2011 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN | IRRIGON HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 71 | 77951 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 55 | 77698 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Jul-14 | 636416 | 2011 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 62 | 77704 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 57 | 77705 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 54 | 77706 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 57 | 77937 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 54 | 77938 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 58 | 77699 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 60 | 77700 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 181386 | 2010 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 83 | 77709 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 181498 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 57 | 77710 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 181498 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 63 | 77711 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 90587 | 2011 | SNAKE R-1 (HELLS CAN | IRRIGON HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 60 | 77721 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 59 | 77731 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 56 | 77952 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 55 | 87351 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-14 | 211017 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 57 | 63748 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 67 | 63749 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 59 | 63750 | AD |
| 5 | 16-Jul-14 | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 55 | 71794 | AD |
| 5 | 16-Jul-14 | 211016 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 52 | 71795 | AD |
| 5 | 16-Jul-14 | 181498 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 60 | 71796 | AD |
| 5 | 17-Jul-14 | 90566 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090582; 090567 | 60 | 63751 | AD |
| 5 | 17-Jul-14 | 181497 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 56 | 63752 | AD |
| 5 | 17-Jul-14 | 210998 | 2011 | PALMER HATCHERY | KETA CREEK COMPLEX | MUCK |  | 54 | 77943 | AD |
| 5 | 18-Jul-14 | 636416 | 2011 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 54 | 63755 | AD |
| 5 | 18-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 55 | 70366 | AD |
| 5 | 18-Jul-14 | 90566 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090582; 090567 | 75 | 77671 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 74 | 70071 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 54 | 70368 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-14 | 181592 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181584; 181679; 181588; 181590 | 77 | 71815 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-14 | 184931 | 2011 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 66 | 71816 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-14 | 636489 | 2012 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 35 | 77954 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-14 | 90568 | 2012 | TANNER CR (BNVILLE) | BONNEVILLE HATCH | ODFW |  | 38 | 77955 | AD |
| 5 | 20-Jul-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 59 | 70088 | AD |
| 5 | 20-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 69 | 77672 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 20-Jul-14 | 635590 | 2010 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 77775 | AD |
| 5 | 21-Jul-14 | 636168 | 2011 | MAY CR 07.0943 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636169 | 55 | 77674 | AD |
| 5 | 21-Jul-14 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 52 | 77773 | AD |
| 5 | 21-Jul-14 | 211019 | 2011 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 53 | 77777 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-14 | 636173 | 2011 | SIMILKAMEEN R 490325 | SIMILKAMEEN HATCH | WDFW |  | 57 | 70094 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 58 | 71758 | AD |
| 5 | 24-Jul-14 | 181978 | 2011 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 60 | 87045 | AD |
| 5 | 24-Jul-14 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635367 | 73 | 87365 | AD |
| 5 | 25-Jul-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 67 | 70076 | AD |
| 5 | 25-Jul-14 | 181383 | 2010 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 81 | 70077 | AD |
| 5 | 25-Jul-14 | 211006 | 2011 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 63 | 71742 | AD |
| 5 | 25-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 56 | 71759 | AD |
| 5 | 25-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 74 | 77676 | AD |
| 5 | 25-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 55 | 77781 | AD |
| 5 | 26-Jul-14 | 636416 | 2011 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 62 | 71740 | AD |
| 5 | 26-Jul-14 | 635599 | 2011 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 61 | 71761 | AD |
| 5 | 27-Jul-14 | 180571 | 2011 | R-Bedwell R | H-Tofino H | CDFO |  | 68 | 70090 | AD |
| 5 | 27-Jul-14 | 90566 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090582; 090567 | 83 | 70098 | AD |
| 5 | 27-Jul-14 | 636080 | 2010 | LYONS FERRY REL.SITE | LYONS FERRY HATCH | WDFW |  | 70 | 70107 | AD |
| 5 | 31-Jul-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 60 | 70109 | AD |
| 5 | 31-Jul-14 | 181590 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181584; 181679; 181592; 181588 | 80 | 77793 | AD |
| 5 | 31-Jul-14 | 636416 | 2011 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 56 | 77794 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Aug-14 | 636080 | 2010 | LYONS FERRY REL.SITE | LYONS FERRY HATCH | WDFW |  | 68 | 70062 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Aug-14 | 636195 | 2011 | WASHOUGAL R 28.0159 | WASHOUGAL HATCH | WDFW |  | 63 | 77725 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Aug-14 | 181483 | 2010 | R-Robertson Cr | H-Robertson Creek H | CDFO |  | 74 | 77726 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Aug-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 60 | 77729 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 59 | 71747 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 55 | 71750 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 61 | 60784 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 49 | 70032 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 50 | 70082 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-14 | 181497 | 2011 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 57 | 71772 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-14 | 90582 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090567; 090566 | 78 | 71773 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-14 | 635686 | 2010 | CHELAN R 47.0052 | CHELAN FALLS HATCH | WDFW |  | 65 | 71775 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Aug-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 70025 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Aug-14 | 181588 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181584; 181679; 181592; 181590 | 76 | 70026 | AD |
| 5 | 7-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 56 | 70068 | AD |
| 5 | 7-Aug-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 71782 | AD |
| 5 | 8-Aug-14 | 220119 | 2010 | CAPTAIN JOHNS PD | LYONS FERRY HATCH | NEZP |  | 82 | 60787 | AD |
| 5 | 8-Aug-14 | 210959 | 2010 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 87 | 70034 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 59 | 70018 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 211016 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 63 | 70037 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 220226 | 2012 | NPT HATCHERY | NPT HATCHERY | NEZP |  | 47 | 70115 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 48 | 70116 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 210959 | 2010 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 86 | 71653 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 90571 | 2011 | TANNER CR (BNVILLE) | BONNEVILLE HATCH | ODFW |  | 64 | 71787 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 52 | 77962 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Aug-14 | 210959 | 2010 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 84 | 70006 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Aug-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 70 | 71729 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Aug-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 71730 | AD |
| 5 | 13-Aug-14 | 636080 | 2010 | LYONS FERRY REL.SITE | LYONS FERRY HATCH | WDFW |  | 65 | 71792 | AD |
| 5 | 14-Aug-14 | 181471 | 2010 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 86 | 70048 | AD |
| 5 | 14-Aug-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 62 | 70127 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Aug-14 | 211019 | 2011 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 55 | 70054 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Aug-14 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 51 | 70055 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Aug-14 | 210959 | 2010 | HOKO R 19.0148 | HOKO FALLS HATCHERY | MAKA |  | 84 | 70059 | AD |

Appendix B. 2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 75 | 77001 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 55260 | 2011 | Ltl White Salmon @ NFH | Ltl White Salmon NFH | FWS |  | 77 | 77002 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 210973 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 69 | 77003 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 62 | 77004 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 636096 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 77005 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 74 | 77006 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 68 | 77007 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 79 | 77579 | AD |
| 6 | 3-Jul-14 | 90571 | 2011 | TANNER CR (BNVILLE) | BONNEVILLE HATCH | ODFW |  | 71 | 77916 | AD |
| 6 | 5-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 70 | 77905 | AD |
| 6 | 6-Jul-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 71 | 77915 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-14 | 90567 | 2011 | BIG CR (LWR COL R) | BIG CR HATCHERY | ODFW | 090583; 090582; 090566 | 71 | 77018 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-14 | 55405 | 2010 | SPRING CR 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 55406 | 89 | 77020 | AD |
| 6 | 11-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 75 | 77019 | AD |
| 6 | 11-Jul-14 | 635590 | 2010 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 77021 | AD |
| 6 | 12-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 66 | 77022 | AD |
| 6 | 12-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 67 | 77908 | AD |
| 6 | 12-Jul-14 | 635283 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 77911 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 12-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 69 | 77917 | AD |
| 6 | 13-Jul-14 | 636069 | 2010 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 63 | 77023 | AD |
| 6 | 13-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 77024 | AD |
| 6 | 13-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 77907 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Jul-14 | 635283 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 77016 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Jul-14 | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 68 | 77025 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 70 | 65871 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 68 | 65872 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 70 | 65873 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-14 | 181889 | 2011 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 59 | 65874 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-14 | 636168 | 2011 | MAY CR 07.0943 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636169 | 52 | 65875 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 71 | 65876 | AD |
| 6 | 19-Jul-14 | 181482 | 2010 | R-Robertson Cr | H-Robertson Creek H | CDFO |  | 74 | 77015 | AD |
| 6 | 19-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 50 | 77017 | AD |
| 6 | 23-Jul-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 77051 | AD |
| 6 | 24-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 73 | 77057 | AD |
| 6 | 25-Jul-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 61 | 77026 | AD |
| 6 | 25-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 77027 | AD |
| 6 | 26-Jul-14 | 635283 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 65877 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Aug-14 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 78 | 77912 | AD |
| 6 | 3-Aug-14 | 181980 | 2011 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 69 | 77032 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Aug-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 65885 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 67 | 77055 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Aug-14 | 181892 | 2011 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 67 | 77910 | AD |
| 6 | 14-Aug-14 | 181592 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181584; 181679; 181588; 181590 | 85 | 77056 | AD |

Appendix B. 3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 71 | 62286 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 81 | 62287 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 635590 | 2010 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 80 | 62290 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 71 | 76101 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 211015 | 2011 | TULALIP CR 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 70 | 76151 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 76152 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 76153 | AD |
| 9 | 17-Jul-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 62289 | AD |
| 9 | 17-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 69 | 76051 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 17-Jul-14 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 70 | 76154 | AD |
| 9 | 17-Jul-14 | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 73 | 78814 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 84 | 62293 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 74 | 76155 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 87 | 76156 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-14 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 88 | 77601 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 74 | 62294 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 67 | 76052 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 76103 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-14 | 635681 | 2011 | BOYD CR 01.0490 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 76104 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-14 | 210964 | 2010 | CO LINE PD2 03.1853B | MARBLEMOUNT HATCH | WDFW |  | 68 | 76157 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 71 | 62295 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 61 | 62296 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-14 | 636168 | 2011 | MAY CR 07.0943 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 636169 | 54 | 78815 | AD |
| 9 | 23-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 59 | 62297 | AD |
| 9 | 26-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 62298 | AD |
| 9 | 26-Jul-14 | 636367 | 2011 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 636366 | 73 | 78816 | AD |
| 9 | 26-Jul-14 | 181982 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 180279; 182385 | 67 | 78817 | AD |
| 9 | 27-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 79 | 76159 | AD |
| 9 | 31-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 78818 | AD |
| 9 | 1-Aug-14 | 635283 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 72872 | AD |
| 9 | 1-Aug-14 | 181592 | 2010 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 181584; 181679; 181588; 181590 | 81 | 78819 | AD |
| 9 | 2-Aug-14 | 636367 | 2011 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 636366 | 65 | 67284 | AD |
| 9 | 2-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 76 | 76106 | AD |
| 9 | 2-Aug-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 73 | 76160 | AD |
| 9 | 3-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 57 | 76256 | AD |
| 9 | 3-Aug-14 | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 78820 | AD |
| 9 | 3-Aug-14 | 635590 | 2010 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 84 | 87852 | AD |
| 9 | 6-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 78 | 76161 | AD |
| 9 | 8-Aug-14 | 180279 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 181982; 182385 | 77 | 62299 | AD |
| 9 | 8-Aug-14 | 211016 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 68 | 76162 | AD |
| 9 | 8-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 78 | 76206 | AD |
| 9 | 8-Aug-14 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 88 | 76262 | AD |
| 9 | 9-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 71 | 76107 | AD |
| 9 | 9-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 72 | 76163 | AD |
| 9 | 9-Aug-14 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 75 | 76263 | AD |
| 9 | 10-Aug-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 65 | 62288 | AD |
| 9 | 10-Aug-14 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635089 | 65 | 76053 | AD |
| 9 | 10-Aug-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 78821 | AD |
| 9 | 11-Aug-14 | 636367 | 2011 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 636366 | 64 | 76164 | AD |


| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Appendix B. 4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 16-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 79 | 87851 | AD |
| 10 | 18-Jul-14 | 635693 | 2010 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635696 | 84 | 78947 | AD |
| 10 | 19-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 82 | 78948 | AD |
| 10 | 19-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 74 | 78949 | AD |
| 10 | 22-Jul-14 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635089 | 86 | 72747 | AD |
| 10 | 25-Jul-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 76 | 76252 | AD |
| 10 | 26-Jul-14 | 635593 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 67 | 76253 | AD |
| 10 | 1-Aug-14 | 182385 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 181982; 180279 | 64 | 72748 | AD |
| 10 | 1-Aug-14 | 182385 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182068; 182467; 181982; 180279 | 77 | 76001 | AD |
| 10 | 2-Aug-14 | 211016 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 68 | 72873 | AD |
| 10 | 2-Aug-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 76254 | AD |
| 10 | 2-Aug-14 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 79 | 78950 | AD |
| 10 | 3-Aug-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 72874 | AD |
| 10 | 3-Aug-14 | 636367 | 2011 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 636366 | 64 | 76255 | AD |
| 10 | 3-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 64 | 87854 | AD |
| 10 | 5-Aug-14 | 636166 | 2011 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 76257 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-14 | 636367 | 2011 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 636366 | 74 | 72749 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 62 | 72875 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-14 | 210998 | 2011 | PALMER HATCHERY | KETA CREEK COMPLEX | MUCK |  | 70 | 76258 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 65 | 76259 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-14 | 636096 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 76260 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-14 | 211016 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 60 | 76261 | AD |
| 10 | 7-Aug-14 | 182068 | 2011 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO | 182467; 181982; 180279; 182385 | 72 | 76002 | AD |
| 10 | 7-Aug-14 | 636164 | 2011 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 76003 | AD |

Appendix B. 5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 85001 | AD |
| 11 | 1-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 85002 | AD |
| 11 | 1-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 87603 | AD |
| 11 | 1-Jun-14 | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 87604 | AD |
| 11 | 3-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 85050 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Jun-14 | 636096 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 87605 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Jun-14 | 635899 | 2010 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCH | WDFW | 636085 | 66 | 87606 | AD |
| 11 | 5-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 87607 | AD |
| 11 | 19-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 62879 | AD |
| 11 | 19-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 87608 | AD |
| 11 | 24-Jun-14 | 636099 | 2011 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 636098 | 70 | 85049 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Jun-14 | 211005 | 2011 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 57 | 62882 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Jun-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 64 | 85048 | AD |
| 11 | 29-Jun-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 62883 | AD |
| 11 | 29-Jun-14 | 211017 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 76 | 87610 | AD |
| 11 | 29-Jun-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 89 | 87753 | AD |
| 11 | 5-Jul-14 | 635093 | 2008 | COLUMBIA NEAR WELLS | WELLS HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 72 | 62884 | AD |
| 11 | 5-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 87901 | AD |
| 11 | 9-Jul-14 | 635283 | 2010 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 87902 | AD |
| 11 | 11-Jul-14 | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 70 | 62885 | AD |
| 11 | 15-Jul-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 79 | 51592 | AD |
| 11 | 15-Jul-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 84 | 51593 | AD |
| 11 | 16-Jul-14 | 211011 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 75 | 51594 | AD |
| 11 | 1-Aug-14 | 636092 | 2011 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |  | 69 | 51595 | UM |
| 11 | 1-Aug-14 | 635693 | 2010 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635696 | 70 | 87903 | AD |
| 11 | 2-Aug-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 | 87 | 62894 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Aug-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 62886 | AD |
| 11 | 8-Aug-14 | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 87905 | AD |
| 11 | 9-Aug-14 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 51979 | AD |
| 11 | 9-Aug-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 71 | 62887 | AD |
| 11 | 10-Aug-14 | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 72 | 85003 | AD |
| 11 | 10-Aug-14 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635692 | 69 | 87906 | AD |
| 11 | 15-Aug-14 | 636096 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 72877 | AD |
| 11 | 16-Aug-14 | 181864 | 2012 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 57 | 51596 | AD |
| 11 | 17-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 60 | 87907 | AD |
| 11 | 18-Aug-14 | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 79 | 72949 | AD |
| 11 | 21-Aug-14 | 211017 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 62 | 72878 | AD |
| 11 | 23-Aug-14 | 635589 | 2010 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 68 | 51597 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site |  | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 23-Aug-14 | 211004 | 2011 | CLEAR CR | 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ |  | 62 | 51598 | AD |
| 11 | 23-Aug-14 | 635692 | 2010 | CLEAR CR | 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 210957 | 77 | 51980 | UM |
| 11 | 25-Aug-14 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR | 11.0013 C | CLEAR CREEK HATCH | NISQ | 635096 | 72 | 62888 | AD |
| 11 | 28-Aug-14 | 636197 | 2011 | VOIGHT CR | 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 70 | 62889 | AD |
| 11 | 29-Aug-14 | 636095 | 2011 | MINTER CR | 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 62890 | AD |

Appendix B. 6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site |  | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 6-Aug-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR | 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 |  | 73573 | AD |
| 12 | 10-Aug-14 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR | 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 635767 |  | 73576 | AD |
| 12 | 10-Aug-14 | 636367 | 2011 | PURDY CR | 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCH | WDFW | 636366 |  | 73577 | AD |

Appendix B. 7 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $24-$-May-14 | 636096 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 70853 |
| 13 | $23-J u n-14$ | 635199 | 2011 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 87609 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to summer mark-selective fisheries in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches [ 56 cm ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.
    ${ }^{2}$ For information regarding effort, harvest and impacts estimates related to these fisheries, see the references listed at the end of this report, or visit: http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/search.php?Cat=Fishing / Shellfishing\&SubCat=Selective Fishing.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Though the necessary tissue samples have been collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are presently unavailable for Puget Sound/Strait of Juan de Fuca mark-selective fisheries. In the present report, methods for producing CWT-based (unexpanded) estimates of the stock composition of marked Chinook harvest are provided.
    ${ }^{4}$ Details available in a WDFW memo dated August 16, 2010 summarizing a July 2010 meeting to discuss reporting efficiencies.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the 2014 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 (creel estimates and fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2014 Area 9 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2014 Area 10 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2014 Area 11 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

