

Comment on DNS 22-008 regarding Importation and retention of dead nonresident wildlife Rule relating to Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD). SEPA comments received from Feb 2,2022 to March 21 ,2022.

Comment, The public comments here were as submitted.	Agency response
We do not want CWD here in Washington. As a hunter who hunts out of state, this makes it harder to hunt outside the state, but I still support the widening the importation of carcasses from other states.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA.
I hunt out of state every year. It's a hassle to find a taxidermist to process the head. And it's unfortunate that I can't bring back bone-in cuts. But it's a small price to pay for trying to prevent CWD from entering Washington State. I support this rule change.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA. I understand that this will create more work and may be inconvenient to some hunters but it is a necessary measure to keep CWD out of the state of Washington.
I would like to ask that you spend more effort publicizing this rule. My impression is that many Washington hunters hunting out of state are not aware of the existing rule. And I would guess expanding the states covered by this will catch a bunch of folks unawares.	
I would also suggest that enforcement of this rule be mostly focused on keeping bones/heads/etc. from being improperly disposed. If folks accidentally break this rule, make it easy for them to do the right thing. Work with taxidermists & meat cutters to find reasonable solutions when someone brings in an out of state animal.	
I fully support the revised WAC 220-413-030. This is a deadly disease that can destroy entire game populations. Anything that can be done to slow the spread is warranted.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA.
I suppose the determination.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA.
I absolutely agree with the DNS. Controlling the methods by which ALL ungulate species are transported into Washington can only have beneficial results with regard to slowing the spread of CWD into this state. Although not part of this specific DNS, making baiting illegal in Washington, without exception, is another easy step to achieve the same purpose.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA. This rule and SEPA are not dealing with baiting in any way.
I am in full support. We should also prohibit baiting for hunting or baiting trail cameras to prevent the spread further.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA. This rule and SEPA are not dealing with baiting in any way.
I strongly support this determination, but I fear it is too little too late.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA.
Thank you for all of your efforts to help minimize the spread of CWD. While this rule will cause some inconveniences, it may be an important step for slowing CWD in WA state.	Thank you for supporting this rule change and the finding of non significance on the SEPA.
If you dont hunt and buy a hunting license then your comments should be in valid and fyi Baiting animals has not been proven in wa to spread a CWD. So if your anti-hunting and your using this rule making comment process to further your terrible misguided views please stop it.	This rule and SEPA are not dealing with baiting in any way.
I strongly oppose this determination. This is not base on sound data and reeks of anti-hunting talking points. The rules in place already address the issue.	I understand that this will create more work and may be inconvenient to some hunters but it is a necessary measure to keep CWD out of the state of Washington. This determination is based on the best available science and we will continue to monitor the science as everyone learns more about how CWD is transmitted and perpetuated.
While this proposal does not say it's going to ban baiting directly, I have a feeling this leads down the road of banning baiting statewide because of a small localized affected area. For this reason I oppose.	This rule and SEPA are not dealing with baiting in any way.