

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
Ponds 4 and 5 Access Redevelopment
2. Name of applicant:
Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife (WDFW)

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
**600 Capitol Way N, Olympia, WA, 98501; Brian Blossom, WDFW Environmental Planner;
Phone No. 360-819-0041**
4. Date checklist prepared:
3/31/2022
5. Agency requesting checklist:
WDFW
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Construction is anticipated to begin in July 2023 and is anticipated to end in September 2023.
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
This proposal is being designed as a one-time activity. There are no plans for any future additions, expansions, or further activity related to this proposal.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
Cultural resource inadvertent discovery plan
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
We are not aware of any other applications pending for government approvals.
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
- **Washington SEPA Review and Determination**
 - **Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Hydraulic Project Approval**
 - **Yakima County Shoreline and Critical Areas Review**
 - **Yakima County Floodway Review**
 - **Yakima County Grading & Fill**
 - **Internal Cultural Resource Review by WDFW**
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)
WDFW proposes to replace an existing fishing float with a new fishing float, replace the parking barriers and the vault restroom, and construct an Americans with Disability Act (ADA) compliant pathway to the float. The primary purpose of this project is to provide a new float with additional space to accommodate anglers and an accessible pathway to the float.
- **Mark the excavation and clearing limits**

- Remove the wood parking barriers and replace with plastic wheel stops
- Excavate the footprint for the new ADA accessible pathway
- Grade crushed surfacing top course (CSTC) material over the ADA accessible pathway
- Grade CSTC within the footprint of the existing hand launch area. No material will be spread below the ordinary high water mark (OHWM).
- Remove the existing float
- Install the new fishing float
- Remove the existing restroom and install the new CXT Single Gunnison restroom
- Remove abandoned vault toilet and vault between Ponds 4 and 5.

The new U-shaped float will be 41-feet wide by 53-feet long. A new ADA accessible pathway will be created to provide access to the float. The area for the hand launch will be refurbished with CSTC and graded. The existing parking barriers will be removed and replaced, and a new CXT Single Gunnison restroom will be installed.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

.5 mi Flint Rd WDFW Ponds 4 and 5 Public Access on Flint Road
Wapato, WA 98951 3.5 miles east of Wapato
Yakima County
Section 17, Township 11N, Range 20E, W.M.
Lat 46.4408° N, Long 120.3465° W
Parcel Number: 20111724003

1. **Earth** [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): **Flat**, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other, riverbank shoreline_____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
Approximately 50 percent slope from the top of the bank to the pond.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soils are floodplain deposits consisting mostly of silt, sand, and cobble material. The National Resources Conservation Service’s Web Soil Survey characterizes the soil types in the vicinity of

the project as Weirman sandy loam and Yakima silt loam soils. No soils of commercial significance will be disturbed by the project.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

There are no indications or history of unstable soils within the project area.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

- **Excavate approximately 20 cubic yards of material to accommodate the new 5-foot wide by 200-foot long walking path. Approximately 15 cubic yards of CSTC will be deposited and compacted to establish the walking path.**
- **Approximately 5 cubic yards of material will be added to revamp the existing hand launch to an 8-foot wide by 40-foot long path.**
- **Create a 28-foot by 20-foot ADA parking area adjacent to the beginning of the walking path. 10 cubic yards of CSTC will be deposited and compacted for the parking area.**
- **Add two 6-foot by 21-foot floats and two 10-foot by 20-foot floats for the fishing float. The float will consist of steel frame, fiberglass grating, 8-inch diameter steel support legs, and HDPE float tubs. Float grating is 62 percent open space.**
- **Grade and compact the existing parking area covering approximately 19,616 square feet. Augment with 40 cubic yards of CSTC.**
- **Minor grading and excavation at the existing restroom site to accommodate the new CXT vault restroom and accessible parking.**

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Excavation and grading of the pathway will occur on a slope to the pond. The current slope is stable and Best Management Practices (BMPs), including straw wattles, will be installed to limit the extent of runoff from construction. Construction will also occur during the summer dry season to minimize the potential for runoff.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Impervious areas include the existing parking area, restroom, and trail system. The proposed walking path results in less than 1% increase in the parcel's overall impervious surfaces.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Construction activities will be conducted in accordance with a temporary erosion and sediment control plan. The Contractor will monitor conditions and ensure that these practices and preventive measures are undertaken.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

No emissions to the air would result from the operation and maintenance of the parking area and floating dock. Emissions would occur from construction equipment and support vehicles during construction.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

We are not aware of any off-site sources of emissions or odors that would affect the site.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

BMPs will be used to control temporary air pollutant emissions in the construction area. Those will consist of requiring proper maintenance of construction equipment, avoiding prolonged idling of vehicles, and spraying water to minimize dust. Standard emission control converters and mufflers will be used by construction vehicles.

3. Water [\[help\]](#)

- a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Pond 4 and 5 are manmade ponds located within the footprint of an old gravel pit quarry.

A side-channel of the Yakima River flows approximately 180 feet to the west of the work site. A gravel berm separates the side channel from the pond.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, the installation of the fishing float will require launching the new floats from the shore onto the waters of Pond 4. Construction of the walking path from the parking area to the fishing float will occur within 200 feet of Pond 4.

No work is proposed to affect the side channel of the Yakima River, but will occur within 200 feet.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No material removed from surface water or wetlands.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

This project will not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Yes, the ponds are located within a mapped floodway (FEMA).

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

The proposed project will not involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

There will be no groundwater withdrawn from a well as part of the proposed project.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

There will be no waste material discharged from septic tanks as part of the proposed project.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Runoff will occur from naturally occurring rainfall. The parking surface is mildly sloped to the pond with a steeper slope from the top of the bank to the pond. A vegetated berm separates the parking area from the side channel of the Yakima River.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Yes, the project will occur adjacent to the pond and work will occur on the water to remove the existing fishing float and install a new fishing float. During construction, temporary BMPs will be implemented in accordance with an erosion and sediment control plan.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The proposed project will not alter drainage patterns.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Any temporary BMPs necessary to reduce runoff will be implemented. These include straw wattles, weed free straw bales, or silt fencing.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

shrubs

grass

pasture

crop or grain

Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

No vegetation will be removed.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Not known to occur on site

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

The project will not impact native plants or vegetation. Vegetation in the vicinity of the project consists of shrubs and grasses.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Diffuse knapweed

5. **Animals** [\[help\]](#)

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: **hawk, eagle, songbirds**, other:

mammals: **deer, bear, elk**, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, **trout**, other _____

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Threatened: Yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*) and bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*)

Candidate: Monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*)

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes, migratory birds utilize habitat along the Yakima River during important times of their migrations.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

None

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

None

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

No energy sources will be needed for this project.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

The proposed project will not affect any use of solar energy by adjacent properties.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

No energy conservation measures are proposed or necessary.

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

The site was previously used as a gravel source for road construction and may have some incidental contamination from fuel and oil leaks from trucks using the site.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

We are not aware of any existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that would affect the project development.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

The only potential environmental hazard would come from accidental leaks of fuels and other fluids from construction equipment. Refueling will occur at least 100 feet from surface waters, construction BMPs, and construction equipment will be maintained to reduce the potential of contamination during construction activities.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

The project will not require any emergency services.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
Fueling of vehicles and machinery will be completed on uplands and away from any surface waters to prevent any source of fuel from entering surface waters. A spill kit will be available on site in the event of an accidental spill.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
The project is adjacent to Interstate 82. The traffic noise will not impact the construction of the project.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

The project will only generate noise from construction vehicles during construction. Equipment is anticipated to run during normal working hours of operation (7 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday) for the majority of the project.

The primary long term noise source will result from rural traffic. Noise levels would vary depending on the time of day, the day of the week, and time of year, with presumably higher noise levels during weekends and months when the access area is more actively used. Significantly higher noise levels will come from traffic on Interstate 82 than vehicles accessing the ponds.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:
Short-term noise will be created from machines used during construction, limited to typical working hours of 7 a.m. to 5 p.m.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
The site is currently used as a WDFW public access area and sport fishery. The adjacent properties to the south and west consist of undeveloped lands along the Yakima River. Highway 82 borders the property to the north and east. The project has been functioning as a public access area and it is not expected the project will affect adjacent land uses.
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?
The site has not been used as farmlands or forest lands.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Gravel parking area, wood bollards, vault toilet, and fishing float.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

The existing fishing float will be removed. The new fishing float will consist of a steel frame with fiberglass grating and HDPE float tubs.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Yakima County currently designates the site as remote, extremely limited.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Rural remote/extremely limited development

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Rural Development

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

The parking area and pond is located within FEMA designated floodway.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

No people would reside or work at the completed project.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

The completed project would not displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None needed.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The proposed project will not affect existing or projected land uses or plans.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

No measures necessary; the project is not occurring in agricultural or forest lands.

9. Housing [\[help\]](#)

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A

10. Aesthetics [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The CXT vault restroom will be approximately 12-foot, 3-inches tall to the top of the stack.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No views will be altered or obstructed.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

No measures proposed or necessary.

11. Light and Glare [\[help\]](#)

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No glare will result from the Project

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None

12. Recreation [\[help\]](#)

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Kayaking, canoeing, rafting, and fishing on Ponds 4 and 5.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

No measures are proposed

13. *Historic and cultural preservation* [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers ? If so, specifically describe.

There are not any buildings, structures, or sites over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located within the project area. There are three archaeological sites located within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area: 45YA1424 (Historic Structure), 45YA1423 (Historic Scatter), and 45YA1422 (Historic Scatter). There are also five historic properties located within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area: 70619 (Historic Structure--Barn), 715356 (Historic Structure--House), 700233 (Historic Structure), 670503 (Historic Structure--House), and 12012 (Historic Structure--House). Two of these properties are listed in or are eligible for listing in the national, state, or local preservation registers while four are ineligible and two have not had a determination yet made. Both of the historic scatters have been determined not eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

There are not any recorded cemeteries or traditional cultural properties located within the project area or within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area. There are five historic structures and two historic scatters within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area. Additionally, according to an 1885 GLO Survey Map, the parcel that Ponds #4 and #5 are located in was once part of the Yakama Indian Reservation. No cultural surveys have been completed within the project area, but seven cultural surveys have been conducted within a 1.0-mile radius of the project area (Amara 2004; Amara 2005; Amara 2005; Sheldon and Finley 2021; Stegner and Butler 2013; Stegner et al. 2013; Taylor et al. 2014). Five of these surveys were negative for cultural resources and built environment features. One survey (Stegner et al. 2013) was positive and resulted in the recording of 45YA1424, 45YA1423, and 45YA1422.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources include completing a site files and records search. Databases and maps, including the Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD), Bureau of Land Management General Land Office (GLO) Cadastral Survey maps, GLO Records, historic aerial maps, and soil maps were all examined for cultural resources within the project area. Additionally, a site visit was completed by WDFW Archaeologist Kayley Bass and Archaeological Technician Amanda Carlson. There were not any cultural or historic resource observed during the site visit. WDFW also consulted with the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation. Consultation on November 17th, 2021. WDFW received a response from DAHP on November 17th, 2021, that concurred with WDFW's recommendation that the project can proceed with the stipulation of an Inadvertent Discovery Plan. WDFW did not receive a response from the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

An Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP) will be in place and reviewed by all contractors working on the project before any ground disturbance activities begin. The IDP will provide clear guidance related to the management of an unexpected discovery or unearthing of cultural artifacts, archaeological features, or other evidence of cultural materials and/or of skeletal material of human or unknown origin during WDFW projects. The plan will be implemented without exception whenever such discoveries occur, and applies to WDFW staff, contractors, subcontractors, volunteers, and others who may be involved with projects initiated by WDFW or occurring on WDFW-managed land.

14. Transportation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Interstate 82 provides access to Yakima Valley Rd, then Flint Road which crosses underneath Interstate 82.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

No

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

The parking area will remain the same. Two designated spots will be created for ADA parking.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The site is already used as a public access area and the project aims to improve existing facilities. There is no anticipated increase in vehicular traffic at the site.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

The proposal will not interfere with or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

No transportation impacts expected.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The project will not result in an increased need for public services.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

The project will not result in an increased need for public services.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,
other _____

No utilities

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

The project proposal will not require any utilities.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: *Brian Blossom*

Name of signee Brian Blossom

Position and Agency/Organization WDFW Environmental Planner

Date Submitted: 3/31/2022