FBRB Policy Subcommittee

April 2, 2025

Attendees:

FBRB Members: Tom Jameson, Kaylee Kautz, John Foltz, April Magrane, Erik Neatherlin

Triangle Associates: Kate Galambos

Meeting Objective: Discuss and make recommendations for defining the process for projects that fall below the 300 Quality Habitat Assessment (QHA).

Policy Subcommittee Proposal to the Board for Process:

- 1. Update Manual 22 for 27-29 biennium grant round with a minimum score requirement of 300 OHA and include:
 - a. Description of the QHA score
 - b. Criteria for appealing to the FBRB Policy Subcommittee, should a project fail to meet minimum requirement
 - Policy Subcommittee convenes to review projects that fail to meet 300 QHA requirement and invites sponsors to defend their projects.
 - ii. Policy Subcommittee makes a recommendation to the Board
 - iii. Board decides whether to waive the 300 QHA minimum score requirement

Path forward:

Incorporate additional language into Manual 22 describing the minimum score requirement and process for appeal.

Future Decision: Board approval of Manual 22 at the August Board meeting

Discussion Notes:

- The Policy Subcommittee last met on February 18th to discuss recommendations for a minimum QHA score (see notes <u>2.18.25 FBRB Policy subcommittee notes.docx)</u>
- At the March 18 Board meeting, the Board was supportive of the proposed 300 QHA and requested that the policy subcommittee recommend a process for sponsors who do not meet that score.
- Kaylee proposed three potential options for this process:
 - Incorporate the 300 QHA score minimum into Manual 22 and do not allow for project exceptions.
 - Incorporate the 300 QHA score as a tiered approach with different appeal processes based on score (i.e. different process for 1-200 than 201-300)

- o Incorporate a process for the project sponsors to appeal projects that do not meet the 300 QHA requirement and work with the Policy Subcommittee.
- The subcommittee discussed what the extenuating circumstances would need to be for projects that did not meet the minimum score to be ultimately approved by the Board. Erik recommended first considering biological factors, then non-biological (funding opportunities, timeline considerations, etc.)
- The subcommittee recommended using the 27-29 grant round as a pilot for this new requirement, with the opportunity to adjust in the following grant round.

Fish Barrier Removal Board

Amendment Form

| Date: 4/4/2025 | F | RCO Project Numbe | er: 21-1419 |
|--|---|--|--|
| Sponsor Name: City of Bellin | ngham | | |
| Project Name: Padden Cr at 1 | L2th St Fish Passage Im | provement | |
| Type of Amendment: | Cost Increase | Time Extension | Scope Change |
| Justification: For cost increation. Please note: a grant cost contribution to maintain the that would place the project describe the reason and back For scope changes, describe change. Specify changes in contributions: | increase requires the agreement's original end date more than eground for the delay the reason and what | e sponsor to increase I cost share percenta four years beyond to y and provide a time towork types or elem | e its total match ages. For time extensions he project start date, line for project completion. ents of the project will |
| | easons explained by p ,022,244 of additiona | project sponsor, belo al FBRB funding. The | n \$1,615,867 to \$2,638,111, a ow. Of this \$1,205,901 increas project sponsor will provide |
| Supporting Documents Pro | ovided. (check all th | at apply): | |
| An updated Cost Estimate a separate column clearly | | | ith cost increase provided in |
| An updated Project Milest | one Worksheet | | |
| Preliminary design package | e including design drav | vings and design repor | t (Manual 22, Appendix C) |
| Review: Washington Department of FISH and WILDLIFE | | Re | ecreation and onservation Office |
| Approved: Yes No | | | es 🗆 No 🗆 |
| Date: Click here to enter a d | ate. | Date: Click here t | o enter a date. |
| Name: Click here to enter te | kt. | Name: Click here | to enter text. |
| Reason | | Reason | |



Memorandum

17425 NE Union Hill Road, Suite 250, Redmond, Washington 98052 Telephone: 425.861.6000

www.geoengineers.com

To: Craig Mueller and Sara Brooke Benjamin, City of Bellingham

From: Dan Eggers and Lisa Bona, GeoEngineers; Tim Guebert, Mathew Miskovic, and

Andrew Gastineau, KPFF

Date: April 3, 2025

File: 00356-178-01

Subject: #1.0622 0.50 - Padden Creek at 12th St. Fish Passage Improvement: Estimated Project

Costs Justification

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this memorandum is to document the changes between costs of the 12th St. Fish Passage Improvement Project as estimated at the Fish Barrier Removal Board (FBRB) grant application phase (conceptual design) versus the current 2025 cost estimate based on the final design phase. For the purposes of this memorandum, the level of design at the FBRB grant application will be referred to as "conceptual" design and the level of design in 2025 will be referred to as the "final" design. Itemized costs estimated at the two phases have been included as an attachment at the end of this memorandum.

INCREASE IN PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

Increase in Costs Between Conceptual Design and Final Design

The design team has compared the conceptual design cost estimate submitted with the FBRB application package and the final design cost estimate provided in 2025. The conceptual design construction cost estimate submitted with FBRB grant application was for a total of \$1,583,520 and the final design construction cost estimate is for a total of \$2,552,031, a difference of \$968,511. An additional \$937,244 is being requested for the increase in construction costs, with a match increase of \$31,268 for construction services. An additional \$85,000 is being requested for the Administrative, Architectural & Engineering (AA&E) services, with a match increase of \$152,389 for AA&E services. The total increase request for the construction cost estimate and the AA&E services is \$1,022,244. A total match of \$468,810 is being proposed.

An itemized comparison of costs between the two phases has been included as an attachment at the end of this memorandum. The following pages of this memorandum document cost differences for some of the cost items, including the 10% contingency that was added to the final design. The following pages are not intended to comprehensively document every difference in cost between the two estimates but summarize those items that account for most of the increased cost. Also not included in the documentation is an accounting of individual unit cost increases between the conceptual design cost estimate prepared in 2022 and the final design cost estimate prepared in 2025, as these do not account for cost increases commensurate with the individual costs that are discussed below.

JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASE IN PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

Site-specific Demolition Items

Riprap removal and an increase in extents of sewer removal (more pipe length and additional manholes) were added to the scope of the project construction activities.

Conceptual plans included only a small amount of sanitary sewer removal across the culverts and the remainder of remnant pipe would be filled and abandoned in place. As design progressed, it was determined that more of the existing sewer pipe and manholes would need to be removed because of conflicts with the proposed walls and new sewer layout.

An additional increase in scope for the removal of existing riprap located in the stream channel was added. Conceptual estimates indicated that this could be removed as incidental to earthwork excavation. Further review indicated the riprap to be much more extensive. We chose to estimate this as part of demolition and site preparation with its own associated cost. The site preparation and demolition items increased from \$53,900 to \$95,700, a difference of \$41,800.

Culvert and Other Elements

Initially, plans included only a basic pedestrian fall protection along the walls. As the design progressed, this was revised to a more robust and context-sensitive wooden pedestrian/bike guardrail. The railing cost increased from \$27,700 to \$32,451, a difference of \$4,751.

The conceptual design of the culvert used an approximate culvert weight and cost per pound. During final design, a heavier culvert was required because of a deeper burial depth. The foundation size also significantly increased due to the overburden and poor soils. The total culvert installation price increased from \$240,450 to \$407,374, a difference of \$166,924. Most of this increase was foundation- and excavation-related.

Walls

Wall estimates calculated during the conceptual design included exposed wall face above final grade. Further analysis required the wall be embedded deeper to protect against the possibility of scour undermining the wall, increasing wall surface area. Wall backfill material increased accordingly. Wall costs increased from \$207,000 to \$358,050, a difference of \$151,050.

Stream Restoration

The costs of several items related to restoration of the stream increased between the conceptual and final designs. The costs associated with the temporary stream diversion (TSD) and channel excavation increased in part because of increases in recent bids in the region for similarly configured systems.

Ongoing coordination efforts with WDFW on December 5, 2023, June 20, 2024, and July 19, 2024 resulted in the addition of large woody material (LWM) to the system to facilitate a connected low-flow channel for Chum Salmon, the main species utilizing Padden Creek. This additional LWM to create habitat features and prevent plane bed formation. The number of logs (now at 23), anchoring and installation complexity drove the cost increases. With limited right-of-way and large flows at the site, boulder deadman anchors with chain are required to achieve minimum factors of safety for stability. Installation of the LWM will require the boulder

Memorandum to Craig Mueller and Sara Brooke Benjamin April 3, 2025 Page 3

deadman anchors to be drilled and the chain to be secured. Little to no conifer tree species exist on the site today. All trees and anchor materials must be imported to the site and transported down the pedestrian trail from the nearest road. Additionally, the roughened channel material for construction of the stream has been defined in further detail by boulder type.

In total, the stream restoration items increased from \$471,150 to \$790,071 between conceptual and final design, a difference of \$318,921.

Utilities

The conceptual design estimates assumed that the old sewer would not be decommissioned and removed until the new one was operational. As such, no temporary bypass would be needed. As design progressed and the construction sequence was evaluated, the decision was made that a temporary sewer bypass system would be needed in the period between the removal of the existing sewer and the construction and activation of the new sewer. This amounted to an increase from \$127,500 to \$272,114, a difference of \$144,614.

SUMMARY

The estimated cost of construction of the Padden Creek at 12th St. Fish Passage Improvement increased by approximately \$968,511 from the conceptual design cost estimate submitted with the FBRB grant application and the final design in 2025. Those estimates of costs of construction were/are \$1,583,520 and \$2,552,031, respectively. Additionally, a total of \$249,347 is being requested for the AA&E tasks, bringing the total increase request for the project to \$1,022,244.

There were several primary drivers of these increases that were identified including the complexity of the site, logistics and restricted access, addition of LWM and anchoring, and the complexity of installation of both the LWM and a roughened channel bed mix. The specific cost changes related to the stream restoration account for approximately \$318,921.

Other major drivers in the cost increase were related to structural items. As design progressed and more information about the existing soil condition became known, a heavier culvert with a more robust foundation was determined to be required. Wall heights also increased because of the need for scour protection, which also increased excavation and backfill quantities. These accounted for approximately \$317,974 of the increases. The need for a temporary sanitary sewer bypass also added \$144,614 to the cost of the project.

There were additional incremental increases in the costs of some items related to increased unit costs, increased material quantities, and further design refinement. A 10% contingency also was added to the estimate to account for unknowns encountered during construction. These additional costs resulted in an increase of \$140,451 from the conceptual design. Please see the attached cost spreadsheets for itemized costs.

| Category (choose one) Mobilization Contract Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials Demo Materials Mat | t n/Fall Protection n Restoration ss work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration ss ng work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | Rate \$ 132,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 \$ 15,000.00 \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 \$ 76,500.00 \$ 7,350.00 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | the total project cost and Bu and types of match need to Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc) Cash | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|------------|---|--|
| Category (choose one) Mobilization Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials M | vork - cut & fill ing t vork - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration s vork - cut & fill ing t vork - cut & fill ing t vork - cut & fill ing t | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 132,000.00 \$ 32,300.00 \$ 15,000.00 \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 132,000 \$ 32,300 \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 32,300 \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc) | |
| Category (choose one) Mobilization Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials M | vork - cut & fill ing t vork - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration s vork - cut & fill ing t vork - cut & fill ing t vork - cut & fill ing t | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 132,000.00 \$ 32,300.00 \$ 15,000.00 \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 32,300 \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | \$ 132,000 | Cash | |
| Equipment and equipment use Earthwo Equipment and equipment use Stream is Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials Demo Materials Earthwo Materials Surfacing Materials Walls Materials Walls Materials Railing/Fi Materials Stream is Stream is Stream is Stream is Construction labor Earthwo Construction labor Construction labor Culvert Construction labor Railing/Fi Construction labor Culvert Construction labor Railing/Fi Construction labor Railing/Fi Construction labor Railing/Fi Construction labor Stream is Stream is Stream is Construction labor Railing/Fi Construction labor Stream is | work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es work - cut & fill ing t d/Fall Protection n Restoration es work - cut & fill ing t work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 132,000.00 \$ 32,300.00 \$ 15,000.00 \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 32,300 \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | \$ 132,000 | Cash | |
| Equipment and equipment use Materials | work - cut & fill ing t t//Fall Protection in Restoration is work - cut & fill ing t d/Fall Protection in Restoration ing t work - cut & fill ing ing t work - cut & fill | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 32,300.00 \$ 15,000.00 \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 32,300 \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | \$ 132,000 | Cash | |
| Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials Materi | ing t t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 15,000.00 \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 15,000 \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials Moterials | ing t t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 4,100.00 \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 4,100 \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials Demo Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Utilities Materials Utilities Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Construction labor | t n/Fall Protection n Restoration ss work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration ss ng work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 72,150.00 \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 72,150 \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use Materials Materia | y/Fall Protection n Restoration ss work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration ss ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 62,100.00 \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 62,100 \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use Materials Moderials Materials M | work - cut & fill ing t f/Fall Protection n Restoration ss ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 7,500.00 \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 7,500 \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use Utilities Materials Demo Materials Mate | work - cut & fill ing t f/Fall Protection n Restoration ss ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 141,350.00 \$ 38,250.00 \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 141,350 \$ 38,250 \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Materials Earthwo Materials Surfacing Materials Culvert Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Materials Utilities Materials Construction labor | work - cut & fill ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 5,400.00 \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ - \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Materials Materials Culvert Materials More and a series of the series | ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 5,400 \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Materials Materials Culvert Materials More and a series of the series | ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 24,900.00 \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 24,900 \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Moderials Materials Materials Construction labor Stream for the properties of the p | ing t n/Fall Protection n Restoration es ng | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 8,150.00 \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 8,150 \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Construction labor Surfacing Construction labor Construction labor Surfacing Construction labor Stream R | t n/Fall Protection n Restoration ss ng work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 144,300.00 \$ 124,200.00 \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 144,300 \$ 124,200 \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Railing/F Materials Stream F Materials Utilities Materials Utilities Materials Planting Construction labor Demo Construction labor Earthwo Construction labor Surfacing Construction labor Culvert Construction labor Walls Construction labor Railing/F Construction labor Stream F | n Restoration es ng ny work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 17,400.00 \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 17,400 \$ 282,700 | \$ 17,400 | | | |
| Materials Materials Materials Utilities Materials Planting Construction labor Stream R | n Restoration es ng ny work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 282,700.00 \$ 76,500.00 | \$ 282,700 | | | | |
| Materials Materials Materials Planting Construction labor Stream R | vork - cut & fill | 1.00 1.00 1.00 | \$ 76,500.00 | | 000 700 | | | |
| Materials Construction labor Stream R | ng work - cut & fill ing | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Construction labor Stream R | vork - cut & fill ing | 1.00 | 7,330.00 | | | | | |
| Construction labor Earthwo Construction labor Surfacing Construction labor Culvert Construction labor Walls Construction labor Railing/F Construction labor Stream F | ing | | | 7,330 | 7,550 | | | |
| Construction labor Surfacing Construction labor Culvert Construction labor Walls Construction labor Railing/F Construction labor Stream F | ing | 1.00 | \$ 16,200.00 | \$ 16,200 | \$ 16,200 | | | |
| Construction labor Culvert Construction labor Walls Construction labor Railing/F Construction labor Stream F | - | | \$ 9,960.00 | | | | | |
| Construction labor Walls Construction labor Railing/F Construction labor Stream F | t | 1.00 | \$ 1,360.00 | | | | | |
| Construction labor Railing/F Construction labor Stream F | | 1.00 | \$ 24,000.00 | \$ 24,000 | | | | |
| Construction labor Stream F | g/Fall Protection | 1.00 1.00 | \$ 20,700.00 \$ 2,800.00 | | | | | |
| | n Restoration | | \$ 47,100.00 | | | | | |
| 2 | es · | 1.00 | \$ 12,750.00 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Construction BMPs, Ti | | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Project signs traffic co Surveys Construc | control ruction & as-built | 1.00 | \$ - \$ 30,000.00 | \$ - | \$ - | | | |
| | ruction observation | | \$ 2,500.00 | | | | | |
| (days) | | | , –, | | , ==,,== | | | |
| Streamli Enhance Flood ha analysis, supporti (wetland design p borings (review, 0 local pe hydrauli support permittin | | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Investigo | al Resource igation and ination with Tribes | 1.00 | \$ 15,000.00 STotal | | | \$ 132,000 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative, Architech | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | Qty 1.00 | Rate \$ 100,000.00 | \$ 100,000.00 | | \$ 100,000 | Cash | |
| | atives, Design Report, C o 60% Design Dwgs | | \$ 100,000.00 | | \$ 6,847 | \$ 100,000 | Cash Cash | |
| | Design Rpt, Dwgs, | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| Specs, Bi | Bid documents | | | | | | | |
| | chnical explorations | | \$ 15,000.00 | | | | | |
| Assessments (geologic, hydraulic, etc.) Geotech design co | chnical report and a collaboration | 1.00 | \$ 12,500.00 | \$ 12,500.00 | \$ 12,500 | | | |
| Data collection survey | | 1.00 | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ 10,000 | | | |
| Administrative Monthly | nly Project gement - final design (2 | 12.00 | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| + | | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | | | STotal | \$ 317,500 | \$ 164,347 | \$ 153,153 | | |

| AA&E Budget Check | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A&E maximum allowed in PRISM | \$ | 475,056.00 | | | | | | | | |
| A&E validation | 157,556 | | | | | | | | | |

| | GTOTAL | \$ 1,901,020 | \$ 1,615,867 | \$ | 285,153 |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|---------------|
| 15% Match | | \$ 285,153 | PRISM Project Total | \$ | 1,901,020 |
| | | | RCO Percentage | Mat | ch Percentage |
| | | | 85.00% | | 15.00% |

| Model Converted Model Co | | | | | OVERALL PROJECT | GRANT REQUEST | QUEST MATCH | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Continue | | | | | _ | Enter only the | | | | |
| Control Cont | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Construction | | | | | · · | | 0. Sponsors must | account for all sources | s and types of match need | to complete the project. |
| Control Cont | | | | | project | | | | Source (Grant, Cash. | |
| Contraction Table Sections Table S | | | | | Amazount | Amanumt | Martale in DDICM | | Materials, Labor, | |
| College (College Sept) | | Construction | | | Amount | Amount | Match in Prisivi | III PRISIVI | volunteers, etc) | local) |
| Section of the Company and Section (1997) 1 (1997) 2 (199 | Category (choose one) | | Qty | Rate | | | | | | |
| Foreigneed and engogneed and Engineering and September 2 of R 2 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1 | Mobilization | Contractor Mobilization | | \$ 232,100 | \$ 232,100 | \$ 232,100 | | | | |
| Foreigneed and engogneed and Engineering and September 2 of R 2 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Command and appliered set | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columnet and Columnet users Columnet C | | | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| Commercial and page-prints a | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Scalegrent and scaleg | Equipment and equipment use | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Control Contro | Equipment and equipment use | Railing/Fall Protection | 1.00 | \$ 8,113 | \$ 8,113 | \$ 8,113 | | | | |
| Modernis | Equipment and equipment use | | | | | | | | | |
| National Controvers Col & 20,007 5 | Equipment and equipment use | Utilities | 1.00 | \$ 81,634 | \$ 81,634 | \$ 81,634 | | | | |
| National Controvers Col & 20,007 5 | Materials | Demo | 1.00 | \$ 9,570 | \$ 9,570 | \$ 9,570 | | | | |
| Materias | Materials | | | \$ 20,075 | \$ 20,075 | \$ 20,075 | | | | |
| Materials Walfe 1.00 \$ 214,80 \$ 214,80 \$ 214,80 | Materials | | | | | | | | | |
| Materials Solveginal Protection 1.00 3 11,506 3 21,008 5 22,003 | Materials | | | | | | | | | |
| Materials Stream Restoration 1.00 5 474.03 5 474.03 5 474.03 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | | | | | |
| Materials | | | | | | 3 474,043 | \$ 163,268 | | Cash | |
| Construction Based | Materials | | | | | \$ 59,286 | φ 200,200 | | | |
| Construction Based | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Construction labor | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Construction labor | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction labor | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction labor Rolling/Foll Protection 1.00 \$ 3,245 | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Construction labor Children | Construction labor | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction | Construction labor | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Project signs | Construction labor | Utilities | 1.00 | \$ 27,212 | \$ 27,212 | \$ 27,212 | | | | |
| Project signs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surveys Construction & as-built | | - | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| Construction supervision Construction observation (dips) (| | | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| Company Comp | | | | | | | | | | |
| Streamlined Fish Enhancement HPA & COB Flood hazard (FEMA no-rise anolysis) Permits, including supporting documents (wetland delineation, JARPA, design phase Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural resources) Cultural resources Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SPRO/Tribes STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 15,000 \$ STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 163,268 \$ Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Reports, Design, Drawings, Reports, Stream, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, Reports, Design, Drawings, Reports, Design, Drawings, Red Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, Red Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, Red Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, Red Bid Docs, PMA Hinal design Rinal Design, Dwgs, Specs, Rid Docs, PMA Rinal design Rinal Design, Dwgs, Specs, Rid Docs, PMA Rinal Design, Dwgs, Specs, Rid Design, Dwgs, Specs, R | | | | _, | | | | | | |
| Enhancement HPA & COB Flood hazard (FEMA no-rise analysis) Permits, including supporting documents (wetland delineation, JAPPA, design phase Geotech borings (FEPA, cultural review, COB exemptions for local permits). Stream hydraulic modeling to support final design & permitting. Cultural resources Cultural Resource Cultural Resource 1.00 \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 | Permits | Programmatic ESA, | 1.00 | \$ 95,000 | \$ 95,000 | \$ 95,000 | | | | |
| Flood hazard (FEMA no-rise analysis) Permits, including supporting documents (wetland delineation, IABRA, design phase Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural review, CDB exemptions for local permits). Stream hydroulic modeling to support final design & permitting. Cultural resources Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dways, Specs, PM House, SPMA Severes, PM Final design Final Design, Dways, Specs, PM House, SPMA Severes, PM Later Architechtural Sendings SPMA Severes, PM Final design Final Design, Dways, Specs, PM House, SPMA Severes, PM Later Architechtural Sendings SPMA Severes, PMA S | | | | | | | | | | |
| analysis Permits, Including supporting documents (wetland delineation, JARPA, design phase Gootech borings (SEPA, Cultural review, COB exemptions) for food permits). Stream hydraulic modeling to support final design & permitting. Cultural resources Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SFIPO/Tribes STotal S 2,552,031 S 2,388,764 S 163,268 C Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drowings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Description S 249,347 S 24 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporting documents Netland delineation, JARPA, design phase Geotech borings (SEPA cultural review, COB exemptions for local permits). Stream hydraulic modeling to support final design & permitting. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland delineation, JARPA, design phose Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural review, COB exemptions for local permits), Stream hydraulic modeling to support final design & permitting. | | | | | | | | | | |
| LaRRA, design phase Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural review, COB exemptions for local permiss.) Stream hydraulic modeling to support final design & permitting. Cultural resources Cultural resource Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM | | | | | | | | | | |
| Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural review, COB exemptions for local permits). Stream hydroulic modeling to support final design & permitting. | | I | | | | | | | | |
| cultural review, COB exemptions, for local permits). Stream hydraulic modeling to support final design & permitting. Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final design Final design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Assessment, Survey, Reports Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Time Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Assessment Survey, Bid Docs, PM Time Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Assessment Survey, Bid Docs, PM Time Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Time Design, Dwg | | = - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| modeling to support final design & permitting. Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Preliminary design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Reports, Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Reports, Design | | exemptions for local | | | | | | | | |
| Cultural resources Cultural Resource 1.00 \$ 15,000 \$ 15, | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cultural Resource | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes STOtal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Total \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash STOTAL \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Ca | | design & permitting. | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes STOtal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Total \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash STOTAL \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Ca | Cultural resources | Cultural Resource | 1.00 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | | | | |
| Coordination with SHPO/Tribes STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Reports Description Survey, Reports, Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Reports Description Survey, Reports, Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Reports Design, Dwgs, Specs, Sid Survey, Sid Survey, Sid Survey, Sid Survey, Sid Survey, Sid Survey, Survey, Survey, Sid Survey, Sid Survey, Survey, Sid Survey, Survey, Sid Survey, Survey, Sid Survey, S | Saltarar resources | | 1.00 | 13,000 | 7 13,000 | 15,000 | | | | |
| STotal \$ 2,552,031 \$ 2,388,764 \$ 163,268 Cash | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Task Description Qty Rate 1.00 \$ 305,542 \$ 305,54 | | SHPO/Tribes | | | | | | | | |
| Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Rate 1.00 \$ 305,542 \$ 305 | | | | STotal | \$ 2,552,031 | \$ 2,388,764 | \$ 163,268 | | Cash | |
| Category Task Description Qty Rate Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM Rate 1.00 \$ 305,542 \$ 305 | Administrative | Architechtural & Engineer | ring | | | | | | | |
| Preliminary design Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Drawings, PM 1.00 \$ 305,542 \$ 305,542 \$ 305,542 \$ 305,542 Cash Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM 1.00 \$ 249,347 \$ 249,347 \$ 249,347 \$ 249,347 \$ 305,542 | Category | | | Rate | | | | | | |
| PM Image: Control of the c | Preliminary design | Assessment, Survey, | | | \$ 305,542 | | \$ 305,542 | | Cash | |
| Final design Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM 1.00 \$ 249,347 \$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Final design | Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, | 1.00 | \$ 249,347 | \$ 249,347 | \$ 249,347 | | | | |
| STotal \$ 554,889 \$ 249,347 \$ 305,542 Cash | | Bid Docs, PM | | | | | | | | |
| STotal \$ 554,889 \$ 249,347 \$ 305,542 Cash | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | STotal | \$ 554,889 | \$ 249,347 | \$ 305,542 | | Cash | |

A&E Budget Check

A&E maximum allowed in PRISM \$ 765,609.39

A&E validation 210,720

| | GTOTAL | \$ 3,106,920 | \$ | 2,638,111 | \$ | 468,810 |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------------|-------|--------------|
| 15% Match | | \$ 466,038 | PRISM Pro | ject Total | \$ | 3,106,920 |
| | | | RCO Perce | ntage | Match | n Percentage |
| | | | 84. | 91% | | 15.09% |

Fish Barrier Removal Board

Amendment Form

| Date: 4/4/2025 | F | RCO Project Numb | er: 21-1418 |
|---|--|---|---|
| Sponsor Name: City of Belli | ngham | | |
| Project Name: Padden Cr at | 14th St Fish Passage Im | provement | |
| Type of Amendment: | Cost Increase | Time Extension | Scope Change |
| Justification: For cost increation. Please note: a grant cost contribution to maintain the that would place the project describe the reason and backfor scope changes, describe change. Specify changes in cost | increase requires the agreement's origina end date more than kground for the delay the reason and what | e sponsor to increase cost share percent four years beyond to and provide a time work types or elem | se its total match ages. For <u>time extensions</u> the project start date, eline for project completion. nents of the project will |
| increase of \$1,655,462, for re | easons explained by p ,393,320 of additiona | project sponsor, bel al FBRB funding. The | m \$1,571,733 to \$3,227,195, a ow. Of this \$1,655,462 increas e project sponsor will provide |
| Supporting Documents Pro | ovided. (check all th | at apply): | |
| An updated Cost Estimate a separate column clearly | | | vith cost increase provided in |
| An updated Project Milest | one Worksheet | | |
| Preliminary design packag | e including design drav | vings and design repo | rt (Manual 22, Appendix C) |
| Review: Washington Department of FISH and WILDLIFE | | R | ecreation and onservation Office |
| Approved: Yes No | | Approved: Y | es 🗌 No 🗌 |
| Date: Click here to enter a d | ate. | Date: Click here | to enter a date. |
| Name: Click here to enter te | xt. | Name: Click here | e to enter text. |
| Reason | | Reason | |
| | | | |



Memorandum

17425 NE Union Hill Road, Suite 250, Redmond, Washington 98052 Telephone: 425.861.6000

www.geoengineers.com

To: Craig Mueller and Sara Brooke Benjamin, City of Bellingham

From: Dan Eggers and Lisa Bona, GeoEngineers; Tim Guebert, Mat Miskovic, and Andrew

Gastineau, KPFF

Date: April 3, 2025

File: 00356-178-01

Subject: #01.0622 0.70 - Padden Creek at 14th St. Fish Passage Improvement: Estimated

Project Costs Justification

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this memorandum is to document the differences between the estimated costs of the 14th St. Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Fish Barrier Removal Board (FBRB) grant application phase (conceptual design) vs. the 2024 cost estimate based on the final design phase. For the purposes of this memorandum, the level of design at the FBRB grant application will be referred to as "conceptual" design and the level of design in 2024 will be referred to as the "final" design. Itemized costs estimated at the two phases have been included as an attachment at the end of this memorandum.

INCREASE IN PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

Increase in Costs Between Conceptual Design and Final Design

The design team has compared the conceptual design cost estimate submitted with the FBRB application package and the final design cost estimate provided in 2024. The conceptual design construction cost estimate submitted with FBRB grant application was for a total of \$1,284,233 and the final design construction cost estimate is for a total of \$2,731,115, a difference of \$1,446,882. An additional \$1,341,946 is requested for the increase in construction costs, with a match increase of \$104,936 for construction services. An additional \$51,374 is being requested for Administrative, Architectural & Engineering (AA&E) services, with a match increase of \$157,206 for AA&E services. The total increase request for the construction cost estimate and the AA&E services is \$1,393,320. A total match of \$497,902 is being proposed.

An itemized comparison of costs between the two phases has been included as an attachment at the end of this memorandum. The following pages of this memorandum document cost differences for some of the cost items, including the 10% contingency that was added to the final design. The following pages are not intended to comprehensively document every difference in cost between the two estimates but summarize those items that account for most of the increased cost. Also not included in the documentation is an accounting of individual unit cost increases between the conceptual design cost estimate prepared in 2022 and the final design cost estimate prepared in 2024, as these do not account for cost increases commensurate to the individual costs that are discussed below.

JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASE IN PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

Site-specific Demolition Items

In a meeting with Tribal and WDFW co-managers on July 5, 2023, a decrease in the channel gradient was requested. KPFF, WDFW and tribal co-managers agreed to decrease the proposed channel gradient from 1.76% to 1.52% by extending the channel grading by 100 feet. As a result, the proposed disturbed area increased from 0.1 acre to 0.4 acre. The site preparation and demolition items increased from \$43,200 to \$64,900, a difference of \$21,700

Culvert and Other Elements

Conceptual plans included only basic pedestrian fall protection along the walls. As the design progressed, this changed to a more robust and context-sensitive wooden pedestrian/bike guardrail. Additional railing length along all steep slopes along both the main trail and the two connecting trails to the north and south, which also increased the total cost of railing. Work along these two trails was added since they will be disturbed for the contractor to access the site. This resulted in the need for the trails to be resurfaced and brought up to the current safety standards. The increase in length of railing increased the cost from \$12,000 to \$162,360, a difference of \$150,360.

The conceptual design of the culvert used an approximate culvert weight and cost per pound. During final design, a heavier culvert was required because of a deeper burial depth. The foundation size also significantly increased due to the overburden and poor soils. The total culvert installation price increased from \$214,135 to \$427,587, a difference of \$213,452. Most of this increase was foundation related.

Walls

Wall estimates calculated during the conceptual design included exposed wall face above final grade. Further analysis required a more deeply buried wall to protect against the possibility of scour undermining the wall, which increased the wall surface area. Wall backfill material also was calculated as a separate item. Wall costs increased from \$134,550 to \$263,340, a difference of \$128,790.

Stream Restoration

The costs of several items related to restoration of the stream increased between the conceptual and final design. The cost associated with the temporary stream diversion increased partially because of recent bid results for similarly configured systems.

The cost associated with channel excavation and streambed material increased due to an additional 100 feet of grading to decrease the proposed channel gradient, as requested by the WDFW and tribal co-managers on July 5, 2023. The lower gradient allows for a stream simulation bed mix to be utilized instead of a roughened channel mixture.

Additionally, an increase in large woody material (LWM) and anchoring and installation complexity. Additional coordination with WDFW on December 5, 2023 and June 20, 2024 resulted in more logs to help provide a connected low-flow channel for Chum Salmon, the main species utilizing Padden Creek. The number of logs increased from five logs to 38 logs. LWM is configured to engage with low flows and will contribute to the overall stability of the channel, in lieu of a roughened channel design. Additionally, the increase in LWM provides

habitat and prevents plane bed formation. With limited right-of-way and large flows at the site, boulder deadman anchors with chain are required to achieve minimum factors of safety for stability. Installation of the LWM will require the boulder deadman anchors to be drilled and the chain to be secured. The strength of the chain must be tested before the LWM can be installed. Little to no conifer tree species exist on the site today. All trees and anchor materials must be imported to the site and transported down the pedestrian trail from the nearest road.

In total, the Stream restoration items increased from \$303,200 to \$829,417 between conceptual and final design, a difference of \$526,217.

Utilities

The conceptual design estimates assumed that the old sewer would not be decommissioned and removed until the new one was operational. As such, no temporary bypass would be needed. As design progressed and a construction sequence evaluated, the decision was made that a temporary sewer bypass system would be needed in the period between the removal of the existing sewer and the construction and activation of the new sewer. This added cost is partially offset by a reduction in replaced sewer pipe. Utility costs increased from \$220,888 to \$349,895, coming to a net increase of \$129,007 from the previous estimate.

SUMMARY

The estimated cost of construction of the Padden Creek at 14th St. Fish Passage Improvement increased by approximately \$1,446,882 from the conceptual design cost estimate submitted with the FBRB grant application and the final design in 2024. Those estimates of costs of construction were/are \$1,284,233 and \$2,731,115, respectively. Additionally, a total of \$208,114 is being requested for the AA&E tasks, bringing the total increase request for the project to \$1,393,320.

There were several primary drivers of these increases that were identified. One was the decision to decrease the channel gradient from 1.76% to 1.52%, which increased the channel grading by 100 feet. This impacted items such as demolition and stream channel restoration. Another driver was the complexity of LWM installation, increased number of logs, and need for anchoring. The specific cost changes related to this are difficult to parse out, but account for approximately \$526,217 of the overall increase, as discussed above.

Other major drivers in the cost increase were related to structural items. A more substantial and longer fall protection railing was required. As design progressed and more information about the existing soil condition became known, a heavier culvert with a more robust foundation was determined to be required. Wall heights also increased because of the need for scour protection, which also increased excavation and backfill quantities. These accounted for approximately \$492,602 of the cost increase. The need for a temporary sanitary sewer bypass and other utility considerations added \$129,007 to the cost of the project.

There were additional incremental increases in the costs of some items related to increased unit costs, increased material quantities, and further design refinement. A 10% contingency also was added to the estimate to account for unknowns during construction. These additional costs resulted in an increase of \$299,056 from conceptual design. Please see the attached cost spreadsheets for itemized costs.

| | | | | 1 0 | OVERALL PROJECT | GRANT REQUEST | | | MATCH | |
|--|--|------|------------------------------|------|---|--|----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Ви | dget must account for all costs to mplete the project | Enter only the amount of the grant request | | | | udget Check cell should be 0. complete the project. |
| | | | | | Amount | Amount | Match in PRISM | Funding not reported in PRISM | Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc) | Match Type (federal, state, local) |
| Colored (shares and | Construction | | n | | T | | T | | | |
| Category (choose one) Mobilization | Task Description Qty Contractor Mobilization | 1.00 | Rate \$ 105,000.00 | \$ | 105,000 | | \$ 105,000 | | Cash | |
| | | | | 7 | 200,000 | | 7 200,000 | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Demo | 1.00 | \$ 25,900.00 | \$ | 25,900 | \$ 25,900 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | | 1.00 | | | 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | | 1.00 | | | 4,100 | \$ 4,100 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | | 1.00 | | | 64,235 40,350 | \$ 64,235 \$ 40,350 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use Equipment and equipment use | | 1.00 | | | 3,600 | \$ 40,350 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | | 1.00 | | | 88,000 | \$ 88,000 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | | 1.00 | | _ | 66,263 | \$ 66,263 | | | | |
| | | | Ψ σσ, | T | , | + | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | \$ 4,300.00 | \$ | 4,300 | \$ 4,300 | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | \$ 24,900.00 | | 24,900 | \$ 24,900 | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | | _ | 8,150 | \$ 8,150 | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | | | 128,500 | \$ 128,500 | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | | | 80,700 | \$ 80,700 | | | | |
| Materials Materials | | 1.00 | \$ 7,200.00 \$ 175,900.00 | | 7,200 175,900 | \$ 7,200 \$ 175,900 | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | \$ 175,900.00 | | 132,525 | \$ 175,900 | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 | | | | \$ 5,250 | | | | |
| | | | | + | -,3 | -,-30 | | | | |
| Construction labor | Demo | 1.00 | \$ 13,000.00 | \$ | 13,000 | \$ 13,000 | | | | |
| Construction labor | Earthwork - cut & fill | 1.00 | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000 | \$ 10,000 | | | | |
| Construction labor | | 1.00 | | | 1,360 | \$ 1,360 | | | | |
| Construction labor | | 1.00 | | | 21,400 | \$ 21,400 | | | | |
| Construction labor | | 1.00 | \$ 13,500.00 | | 13,500 | \$ 13,500 | | | | |
| Construction labor Construction labor | _ | 1.00 | | | 1,200 39,300 | \$ 1,200 \$ 39,300 | | | | |
| Construction labor | | 1.00 | | | 22,100 | \$ 39,300 | | | | |
| Construction labor | Othices | 1.00 | 22,100.00 | 7 | 22,100 | 22,100 | | | | |
| Construction | BMPs, TESC | 1.00 | \$ 57,500.00 | \$ | 57,500 | \$ 57,500 | | | | |
| Project signs | traffic control | | \$ - | \$ | | \$ - | | | | |
| Surveys | | 1.00 | \$ 30,000.00 | \$ | 30,000 | \$ 30,000 | | | | |
| Construction supervision | Construction observation | 8.00 | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | | | | |
| | (days) | | | | | | | | | |
| Permits | Streamlined Fish Enhancement HPA & COB Flood hazard (FEMA no-rise analysis) Permits, including supporting documents (wetland delineation, JARPA, design phase Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural review, COB exemptions for local permits). Stream Hydraulic Modeling to support final design and permitting. | 1.00 | | | | \$ 60,000 | | | | |
| Cultural resources | Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes | 1.00 | \$ 15,000.00 | | 15,000.00 1,284,233 | \$ 15,000 \$ 1,179,233 | \$ 105,000 | | | |
| | | | Siotai | د ا | 1,204,233 | 1,173,233 | 103,000 | | | |
| Administrative | Architechtural & Engineering | | | | | | | | | |
| Category | Task Description Qty | | Rate | | | | | | | |
| Preliminary design | - | 1.00 | | \$ | 100,000.00 | | \$ 100,000 | | Cash | |
| Final design | 60% Design Dwgs | 1.00 | \$ 40,000.00 | | | \$ 9,240 | | | Cash | |
| Final design | | 1.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ 50,000 | | | | |
| Bata salla :: | Specs, Bid documents. | 4 | A | 1 | 10.000 | A | | | | |
| Data collection | | 1.00 | | | | \$ 15,000 | | | | |
| Assessments (geologic, hydraulic, etc Data collection | | 1.00 | | | 12,500.00 10,000.00 | \$ 12,500 \$ 10,000 | | | | |
| Administrative | | 2.00 | | _ | | \$ 60,000 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | + | | | | | | |
| L | 1 | | STotal | 1 \$ | 287,500 | \$ 156,740 | \$ 130,760 | | | |

| AA&E Budget Check | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A&E maximum allowed in PRISM | \$ | 385,269.90 | | | | | | | | |
| A&E validation | 97,770 | | | | | | | | | |

| | GTOTAL | \$ 1,571,733 | \$ | 1,335,973 | \$ | 235,760 |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-----|---------------|
| 15% Match | | \$ 235,760 | PRISI | M Project Total | \$ | 1,571,733 |
| | | | RCO | Percentage | Mat | ch Percentage |
| | | | | 85.00% | | 15.00% |

| | | | | OVERALL PROJECT | GRANT REQUEST | | | MATCH | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------|-----------------|---|--|----------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Budget must account for all costs to complete the project | Enter only the amount of the grant request | | | the total project cost and B and types of match need to | udget Check cell should be 0. complete the project. |
| | | | | Amount | Amount | Match in PRISM | Funding not reported in PRISM | Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc) | Match Type (federal, state |
| | Construction | | | | | | | | |
| Category (choose one) | Task Description | Qty | Rate | | | | | | |
| Mobilization | Contractor Mobilization | 1.00 \$ | 253,000 | \$ 253,000 | \$ 253,000 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Demo | 1.00 \$ | 38,940 | \$ 38,940 | \$ 38,940 |) | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Earthwork - cut & fill | 1.00 \$ | 15,345 | \$ 15,345 | \$ 15,345 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Surfacing | 1.00 \$ | 12,029 | \$ 12,029 | \$ 12,029 | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Culvert | 1.00 \$ | 128,277 | | | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Walls | 1.00 \$ | 79,002 | \$ 79,002 | | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Railing/Fall Protection | 1.00 \$ | 40,590 | \$ 40,590 | | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Stream Restoration | 1.00 \$ | 248,826 | \$ 248,826 | | | | | |
| Equipment and equipment use | Utilities | 1.00 \$ | 104,969 | \$ 104,969 | | | | | |
| Materials | Demo | 1.00 \$ | 6,490 | \$ 6,490 | \$ 6,490 | 1 | | | |
| | Earthwork - cut & fill | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Materials | | 1.00 \$ | 25,575 | | | | | | |
| Materials | Surfacing | 1.00 \$ | 24,057 | \$ 24,057 | | | | | |
| Materials | Culvert | 1.00 \$ | 256,552 | \$ 256,552 | | | | | |
| Materials | Walls | 1.00 \$ | 158,004 | \$ 158,004 | \$ 158,004 | | | | |
| Materials | Railing/Fall Protection | 1.00 \$ | 105,534 | \$ 105,534 | | | | | |
| Materials | Stream Restoration | 1.00 \$ | 497,650 | | \$ 497,650 | | | | |
| Materials | Utilities | 1.00 \$ | 209,936 | \$ 209,936 | | \$ 209,936 | | | |
| Materials | Planting | 1.00 \$ | 79 <i>,</i> 585 | \$ 79,585 | \$ 79,585 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Demo | 1.00 \$ | 19,470 | \$ 19,470 | \$ 19,470 |) | | | |
| Construction labor | Earthwork - cut & fill | 1.00 \$ | 10,230 | \$ 10,230 | \$ 10,230 | | | | |
| Construction labor | Surfacing | 1.00 \$ | 4,010 | \$ 4,010 | \$ 4,010 | | | | |
| Construction labor | Culvert | 1.00 \$ | 42,759 | \$ 42,759 | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Walls | 1.00 \$ | 26,334 | \$ 26,334 | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Railing/Fall Protection | 1.00 \$ | 16,236 | · | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Stream Restoration | 1.00 \$ | 82,942 | | | | | | |
| Construction labor | Utilities | 1.00 \$ | 34,990 | | | | | | |
| | - Ctimetes | Σ.00 φ | 3 1,330 | Ç 3.,,550 | ψ 3 1/33 C | | | | |
| Construction | BMPs, TESC | 1.00 \$ | 65,285 | \$ 65,285 | \$ 65,285 | | | | |
| Project signs | traffic control | \$ | 11,000 | \$ 11,000 | | | | | |
| Surveys | Construction & as-built | 1.00 \$ | 38,500 | | | | | | |
| Construction supervision | Construction observation | 8.00 \$ | 2,500 | | | | | | |
| Permits | (days) Programmatic ESA, | 1.00 \$ | 60,000.00 | \$ 60,000.00 | \$ 60,000 |) | | | |
| | Streamlined Fish Enhancement HPA & COB Flood hazard (FEMA no-rise analysis) Permits, including supporting documents (wetland delineation, JARPA, design phase Geotech borings (SEPA, cultural review, COB exemptions for local permits). Stream Hydraulic Modeling to support final design and permitting. | | | | | | | | |
| Cultural resources | Cultural Resource Investigation and coordination with SHPO/Tribes | 1.00 \$ | 15,000.00 | \$ 15,000.00 | \$ 15,000 | | | | |
| | 3111 0/ 111003 | | | \$ 2,731,115 | \$ 2,521,179 | \$ 209,936 | | Cash | |

| Administrativ | e, Architechtural & Engineeri | ng | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|------|--|
| Category | Task Description | Qty | Rate | | | | | |
| Preliminary design | Assessment, Survey, Reports, Design, Dwgs, PM | 1.00 | \$ 287,966.00 | \$ 287,966.00 | | \$ 287,966 | Cash | |
| Final design | Final Design, Dwgs, Specs, Bid Docs, PM | 1.00 | \$ 208,113.90 | \$ 208,113.90 | \$ 208,114 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | STotal | \$ 496,080 | \$ 208,114 | \$ 287,966 | Cash | |

| AA&E Budget Check | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A&E maximum allowed in PRISM \$ | 819,334.50 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| A&E validation 323,255 | | | | | | | |

| | GTOTAL | \$ 3,227,195 | \$ 2,729,293 | \$ | 497,902 | \$ | - |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|------|--------------|----|---|
| 15% Match | | \$ 484,079 | PRISM Project Total | \$ | 3,227,195 | | |
| | | ' | RCO Percentage | Matc | h Percentage | | |

Project Milestones

22-1084 Johnson Creek Triple Culvert Restoration 2022

| Milestone | Current Date | Proposed Date | Comments |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Landowner Agreement to RCO | 12/31/2024 | 7/30/2025 | Clallam County is drafting a NOSC/County MOU which is needed before they sign a Landowner Agreement. |
| Progress Report Due | 04/15/2025 | 4/15/2025 | |
| Bid Awarded/Contractor Hired | 05/01/2025 | 5/1/2026 | Updated design needed to go to bid. Final piece of ROW needed to go to bid. (Assumes budget shortfall is backfilled) |
| Restoration Started | 07/07/2025 | 7/6/2026 | Construction will occur of two consecutive summers. First summer is channel construction and preload installation. Second summer is preload removal and culvert/road construction. |
| RCO Final Inspection | 10/15/2025 | 12/31/2027 | |
| Funding Acknowl Sign Posted | 10/15/2025 | 10/15/2027 | |
| Restoration Complete | 10/15/2025 | 10/15/2027 | |
| Agreement End Date | 12/31/2025 | 12/31/2027 | |
| Final Report Due | 01/31/2026 | 1/31/2028 | |
| Final Billing Due | 01/31/2026 | 1/331/2028 | |



21-1418 Padden Cr at 14th St Fish Passage Improvement **Sponsor:** City of Bellingham

Key Discussion Points: Higher ranking project, high benefit for multiple salmon species, TRT final design approved, cost increase due to TRT review comments, infrastructure modification requirements to accommodate fish passage, inflation. Sponsor also prioritized downstream barriers on Padden Creek.

Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend approving the cost increase as presented.

Move to a decision point: Approve cost increase of **\$1,393,320** based upon the cost increase request and the subcommittee recommendation.

21-1419 Padden Cr at 12th St Fish Passage Improvement **Sponsor:** City of Bellingham

Key Discussion Points: Higher ranking project, high benefit for multiple salmon species, TRT final design approved, cost increase due to TRT review comments, infrastructure modification requirements to accommodate fish passage, inflation. Sponsor also prioritized downstream barriers on Padden Creek.

Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend approving the cost increase as presented.

Move to a decision point: Approve cost increase of **\$1,022,244** based upon the cost increase request and the subcommittee recommendation.

22-1084 Johnson Creek Triple Culverts **Sponsor:** North Olympic Salmon Coalition

Key Discussion Points: TRT final design approved, cost increase due to construction requirements from the County.

Subcommittee Recommendation: Recommend approving the cost increase as presented with the available funding from the 21-23 biennium.

Move to a decision point: Approve cost increase of \$205,141 based upon the cost increase request, the subcommittee recommendation, and available funding.