

## Brian Abbott Fish Barrier Removal Board Meeting Proposed Agenda

Tuesday, May 21, 2024

Teams Meeting Link: Click here to join the meeting
Or call in: +1 872-242-8913,,670056137#
Phone Conference ID: 670 056 137#

Purpose of Meeting:	The intent of this monthly Board meeting is to provide garound fish barrier removal topics and funding, decide a requests, learn about the process to develop the Fish Pashare upcoming opportunities for engagement.	on four cost increase
Time	Agenda Topic	Materials
9:00-9:30 a.m. (30 mins)	<ul> <li>Welcome and Introductions – Joy Juelson, Facilitator, Triangle Associates; and Chair Tom Jameson, WDFW</li> <li>Board and staff introductions</li> <li>Review agenda and meeting purpose</li> <li>Public Comments: Submit public comments via email to FBRB@dfw.wa.gov for inclusion in the record</li> <li>Review March and April 2024 Summaries</li> <li>Decision: Approval of March and April 2024 Summaries</li> </ul>	Agenda  March 2024  Meeting Summary  April 2024 Meeting Summary
9:30-9:40 a.m. (10 mins)	General Updates ■ Staffing Updates – Chair Jameson ■ Update on WDFW Fish Passage Rulemaking – Gabrielle Stilwater, WDFW ■ Additional Topics?	
9:40-10:25 a.m. (45 mins)	Fish Passage Strategy Primer – Jane Atha, WDFW  ■ General update on the Strategy timeline and engagement process  ■ Primer for Strategy presentation from Cramer Fish Sciences in July 2024  ■ Discussion and Q&A  ○ What key questions does the Board have for the July 2024 presentation?	
10:25-10:40 a.m. (15 mins)	Break	

Purpose of Meeting:	The intent of this monthly Board meeting is to provide general updates around fish barrier removal topics and funding, decide on four cost increase requests, learn about the process to develop the Fish Passage Strategy, and share upcoming opportunities for engagement.		
Time	Agenda Topic	Materials	
10:40-11:25 a.m. (45 mins)	Cost Increase Request: Four Projects (see list below)  - John Foltz, RCO; Cost Increase Subcommittee  ■ Review four cost increase requests:  ○ Hoko Ozette Rd Culvert  ○ Wright's Creek Culvert and Hatchery Intake  ○ Hoko River Tributary Fish Passage Design  ○ Clear Creek Reconnection  ■ Review and discuss Cost Increase Subcommittee's recommendations  ○ Decision: Approve the cost increase requests?  ■ Initial Discussion: Should the Board replenish the \$1.75m set aside for cost increase requests using returned funds?	Cost Increase Supporting Material Subcommittee Recommendation	
11:25-11:35 a.m. (10 mins)	Board Project Funding Updates – John Foltz, RCO; and Casey Costello, WDFW  Update on other projects seeking or that have successfully obtained federal funding  Update on status of returned funds  2025-2027 Grant Round status update	FBRB Funding List	
11:35-11:40 a.m. (5 mins)	<ul> <li>Project and Policy Issue / Opportunities – Board Members</li> <li>Climate Commitment Act Consultation Requirement (RCW 70A.65.305) – Topic pending</li> <li>Any additional topics or updates?</li> </ul>		
11:40-11:50 a.m. (10 mins)	Discuss Upcoming Opportunities for Engagement – Triangle Associates & Board Members  Washington State Association of County Engineers on June 25-26 in Ritzville, WA  Input from Board members Additional items upon request		
11:50 a.m 12:00 p.m. (10 mins)	Action Items & Next Steps – Triangle Associates  Review action items / paths forward  Plan for future meetings:  June 18, 2024 – Virtual		
12:00 p.m.	Adjourn		



### Brian Abbott Fish Barrier Removal Board – March 2024 DRAFT Meeting Summary

Date: March 19, 2024

Time: 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Location: Virtual Meeting

**Summary: Formal Actions / Decisions** 

Item	Formal Action
<b>Board Decision:</b> Meeting notes from February 2024	Approved

**Summary: Follow-up Items and Next Steps** 

Item	Follow-up
RCW 70A.65.305	RCO and WDFW will continue to provide project sponsors guidance and resources for tribal consultation required under RCW 70A.65.305 (for projects funded through the Climate Commitment Act). Updates from the Governor's Office of Indian Affairs (GOIA) will be shared when available.
Match Modernization	The Board will continue to monitor the Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB)'s implementation of match modernization and consider updating the Board match requirements for future grant rounds. A decision on match modernization was postponed to at least the May Board meeting.
Funding Status Updates	WDFW and RCO will keep the Board updated on the project bundle submitted to NOAA's grant, the 2024 Supplemental Budget, and the status of project sponsors seeking PROTECT funds.
Status of Returned Funds	RCO and WDFW will keep the Board updated on the status of returned funds and whether the funds are reserved for cost increases or advanced to alternate down the ranked project list.

Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review: Triangle Associates (Triangle) facilitator, Joy Juelson, called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Chair Thomas Jameson, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), welcomed attendees. Alex Sweetser, Triangle, facilitated introductions with Board members and staff. A quorum was present.

**Public Comment:** The Board received a comment from a landowner concerned about traffic issues and the cost of fish passage projects, specifically on Highway 101 projects in Clallam County near Discovery Bay. These projects are managed by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) and are not related to FBRB activities. The comment was forwarded to WSDOT.

**Old Business**: The notes for the FBRB February 2024 meeting were reviewed by the facilitator. A motion to approve the summary was made by Chair Jameson and seconded by April Magrane, WSDOT. The motion was approved with no objections.

#### **General Updates:**

#### Fish Passage Strategy Updates

Jane Atha, WDFW, shared that the Science Panel of subject matter experts have been meeting to unpack the metrics to include in the prioritization approach. WDFW attended the SRFB meeting in March to present the prioritization approach. WDFW plans on completing a draft of the Strategy by 2024, which will include recommendations from the Science Panel. Additionally, outreach workshops are expected to be held with the Regions and other stakeholders in the future.

#### WDFW Fish Passage Rulemaking (RCW 77.57)

Gabrielle Stilwater shared WDFW revised the draft rule language based on feedback provided in public comments received last summer and fall. The newest draft of the rule was reviewed by WDFW attorneys and WDFW is assessing how the update rule aligns with public comments. Outreach on the new rules is expected later this year. Information for this process will continue to be posted on WDFW's Fish Passage Rulemaking webpage.

#### Legislative Session Updates

Chair Jameson shared the 2024 Supplemental Budget included \$22.1 million for the FBRB's grant program. However, these funds are from the Climate Commitment Act and are not available for Board projects until January 1, 2025, since the Climate Commitment Act will be on the ballot for November, 2024.

#### **Board Project Funding Updates:**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s Restoring Fish Passage through Barrier Removal Grants Program (Culvert AOP)

In September 2023, the Board submitted a bundle of nine FBRB projects for \$13.3 million to NOAA's federal grant program (see NOAA grant page). Casey Costello, WDFW, shared a decision is not expected until June 2024.

# Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation (PROTECT) Updates Call for Projects

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Local Programs currently has \$75 million in Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funding available through the PROTECT program, with an additional \$25 million allocated specifically for Tribes. Throughout late 2022 and into 2023, the Fish Barrier Removal Board (FBRB) worked with nine eligible project sponsors to apply for nearly \$25 million of these funds for project design and implementation. Following discussions with WDFW and RCO staff, Local Programs confirmed that these project sponsors are not required to provide a match for the PROTECT funds, as the match requirements will be covered by Local Programs themselves.

A call for projects was issued and closed on February 16, 2024, aimed at allocating the remaining \$50 million to eligible sponsors. Casey Costello from Local Programs has informed that the final project list, which includes 16 projects requesting a total of \$90 million, has been submitted to WDFW. This oversubscription indicates that the Board will need to make decisions on which projects to prioritize and fund moving forward.

#### Update on Status of Returned Funds

John Foltz, RCO, gave an overview of funding for the Board's prioritized 23-25 Legislative Evaluation and Accountability Program (LEAP) list. RCO offered funding to project #55 using returned funds. RCO is working with sponsors to close projects that received PROTECT funding last year.

The Board is reserving \$1.75 million for cost increase requests, which are expected to come in when projects go to bid or begin construction during the in-water work window. The goal is to use all funds available, and the Board will need to decide when to stop replacing these funds and allocate any remaining funds to alternates. This decision is anticipated for fall 2024.

#### 2025-2027 Grant Round Status Update

John Foltz, RCO, and Casey Costello, WDFW, shared applications for the Board's 2025-2027 grant Round closed on January 18, 2024. There were 60 applications for about \$80 million. RCO and WDFW are reviewing applications for completeness and eligibility. WDFW will rank the project list after the final application deadline of May 2.

**Path Forward**: WDFW and RCO will keep the Board updated on the project bundle submitted to NOAA's grant, the 2024 Supplemental Budget, and the status of project sponsors seeking PROTECT funds.

**Path Forward**: RCO and WDFW will keep the Board updated on the status of returned funds and whether the funds are reserved for cost increases or advanced to alternate down the ranked project list.

#### **Discuss Policy Subcommittee Match Modernization Recommendation**

Alex Sweetser, Triangle, shared that the Policy Subcommittee met on March 14, 2024 to discuss modernizing the match requirement for Board's grant program based on changes implemented by the SRFB for their grant program. The Subcommittee and RCO decided more time is required to review the data from past grant rounds and the implications of updating the Board's match requirements because the FBRB's program is very different from the SRFB's program. RCO will assess match provided by sponsors to date as well as additional unreported leveraged funds.

John Foltz shared that due to equity concerns, the FBRB cannot implement modernized match while in the middle of developing their 2025-2027 project list. So, a decision is not needed until summer 2025 because match changes would not be implemented until the Board launches its 2027-2029 grant round. Additionally, delaying match modernization implementation enables the Board to learn about how the SRFB implements match modernization.

A decision on match modernization was deferred until at least May 2024.

#### Board Discussion:

- Steve Manlow, Council of Regions, noted sponsors from depressed economic communities can have more difficulty coming up with the match. He suggested identifying which sponsors qualify for this determination. Erik Neatherlin, Governor's Salmon Recovery Office (GSRO), expressed support for considering equity with match modernization, especially when those communities overlap with priority watersheds.
- Erik Neatherlin clarified federal funds provided to the SRFB are a federal match to state funds.

**Path Forward**: The Board will continue to monitor the Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB)'s implementation of match modernization and consider updating the Board match requirements for future grant rounds. The decision on match modernization was postponed to at least the May Board meeting.

#### **Statewide Barrier Inventory Status Update**

Chair Jameson announced that the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) has completed an inventory of state-owned barriers within the designated "Case Area" of the Culvert Case Injunction. However, inventories outside the Case Area are still pending. Carl Schroeder from the Association of Washington Cities (AWC) is actively working to secure funding for cities to conduct fish passage barrier inventories within the Case Area. Axel Swanson from the Washington State Association of Counties (WSAC) noted that counties have received a contract this biennium to perform similar inventories.

Chair Jameson also provided details on the WDFW's Fish Passage and Diversion Screening Inventory (FPDSI), which includes 42,066 sites. These sites are identified as crossings where known stream and road layers overlap. Depending on the crossing's length, WDFW determines whether there is a bridge or, if narrower, a culvert. Of the total, 23,506 barriers have been identified, with the majority on private properties and 17,000 posing barriers to salmon and steelhead. The total number of barriers statewide was anticipated to be higher. It is estimated that there are approximately 2,740 to 3,425 additional city-owned sites and 16,000 to 20,000 county-owned sites outside of the Case Area. Most site inventories are conducted through habitat surveys, with 17.6% of all sites in the database identified via Reduced Sample Full Survey (RSFS) surveys for the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT).

#### Questions and Discussion

- In response to April Magrane's question about how the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) verifies barriers identified by external groups, Chair Jameson explained that these groups submit their data to WDFW. Following submission, WDFW biologists perform quality control checks and assess the surveys for completeness to ensure accuracy and reliability of the data.
- In response to April Magrane's inquiry about the types of barriers included in the inventory, WDFW staff clarified that the database encompasses all barriers, regardless of whether they currently pose issues. They further explained that during qualitative habitat assessments, WDFW biologists meticulously examine areas upstream and downstream of the barriers to reassess their status and update the inventory accordingly.

#### **Climate Commitment Act Consultation Requirement**

Chair Jameson highlighted the requirements under RCW 70A.65.305, which mandates that project sponsors receiving Climate Commitment Act funding consult with Tribes on projects potentially affecting them. This consultation must occur before moving to the pre-agreement phase. The FBRB's 2023-2025 project list, which received \$21 million out of \$48.4 million from this Act, is exempt as these projects are already under agreement with RCO and are therefore grandfathered in, negating the need for further tribal consultation.

For the 2025-2027 grant round, pending receipt of Climate Commitment Act funding, sponsors will be required to engage in consultation with Tribes as specified by the RCW. The Governor's Office is currently discussing with Tribes to clarify expectations under this RCW, particularly because the law originally targeted major energy projects and there is currently no defined pre-agreement phase.

Christy Rains from RCO mentioned that RCO has provided draft communications to project sponsors outlining their obligations under the RCW and recommended initiating tribal consultations preemptively.

The minimum compliance involves submitting a letter through PRISM. Coordination efforts are ongoing between RCO, WDFW, and the Governor's Office to potentially shift consultations to a government-to-government format between state agencies and Tribes rather than individual sponsors.

#### Questions and Discussion:

- Alice Rubin, RCO, noted this RCW does not replace RCO's cultural resource requirement.
- In response to Steve Manlow's query about how sponsors should proceed if Tribes raise concerns about their projects, RCO staff stated that they are collaborating with the Governor's Office and the Governor's Office of Indian Affairs (GOIA) to implement the RCW. They advised that sponsors could contact RCO for assistance in responding to Tribes.
- In response to a question from Chair Jameson about the current touch points where sponsors and WDFW engage with Tribes, Casey Costello explained the agency notifies local governments and Tribes as part of the HPA process. Christy added notifications go to tribal resource directors and permitting items go to tribal biologists.
- Christy noted RCO is working on additional resources for applicants to determine what Tribes may be affected by their projects.
- Steve Manlow emphasized that it is not appropriate to send identical letters to different Tribes. He recommended that each communication be tailored specifically to its recipient. Additionally, he suggested that RCO provide training and guidance to sponsors on effective communication strategies with Tribes.

#### **Project and Policy Issue / Opportunities**

#### Water Crossing Design Guidelines Update

Chair Jameson reported that Tribes have alerted the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) about the need to update the agency's Water Crossing Design Guidelines. This year, WDFW received funding to revise various guidance documents, including these guidelines. The updates will incorporate insights from WSDOT's Injunction projects and involve collaboration with WSDOT and tribal biologists to introduce innovative project design solutions.

#### Can the Legislature Provide State Funding to Match Federal Funding Programs?

The Board addressed the challenge of securing matching funds for projects, particularly those receiving federal funding, similar to how Local Programs is providing matches for projects financed through PROTECT. Axel Swanson highlighted that cities and counties have been actively lobbying the legislature to secure state funding as a match for federal projects, and these discussions are starting to gain traction. This effort is crucial as local governments currently lack the funding structures necessary to adequately support the growing demands for road and bridge repair and construction.

### **Upcoming Engagement Opportunities**

#### Seattle Times Article:

Chair Jameson noted that the Seattle Times published an article on March 10, 2024, discussing the state's efforts to repair culverts as mandated by the Culvert Case Injunction. The article received some negative feedback from tribes, state agencies, and the Governor. Four additional articles on this topic are scheduled for release later this year.

**Adjourn**: The meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.

**Next Meeting:** In-person/Hybrid Meeting on April 16, 2024.

## **ATTENDANCE**

### **Board Members/Alternates:**

April Magrane, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)	Karen Zirkle, Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
Axel Swanson, Washington State Association of Counties (WSAC)	Steve Manlow, Council of Regions
Chair Thomas Jameson, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)	Susan Eugenis, WSAC
Casey Costello, WDFW	Tim Resseguie, Tim Resseguie Yakima Nation
Erik Neatherlin, Governor's Salmon Recovery Office (GSRO)	

WDFW, RCO Staff and Triangle Facilitation Team:

, 21 () 1100 Sum unu 11migit 1 wimwion 1 tumi		
Amber Martens, WDFW	Julie Grobelny, WDFW	
Daniel Coffman, WDFW	Kaylee Kautz, WDFW	
David Collins, WDFW Alice Rubin, Recreation and Conservati		
	(RCO)	
Gabrielle Stilwater, WDFW	Christy Rains, RCO	
Gregory Boecker, WDFW	John Foltz, RCO	
Jane Atha, WDFW	Alex Sweetser, Facilitation Support	
Joel Ingram, WDFW	Joy Juelson, Facilitation Support	

Others observing:

Adam Brown	Evan Lewis	Kevin Long
Daniel Howe	Jim Heytvelt	Tracy Gilson



### Brian Abbott Fish Barrier Removal Board – April 2024 DRAFT Meeting Summary

**Date: April 16, 2024** 

Time: 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

**Location: In-Person Meeting in Olympia with Virtual Options** 

**Summary: Formal Actions / Decisions** 

Item	Formal Action
<b>Board Decision:</b> Approval of Motion 2 – to nominate the list of prioritized projects numbered 1-14 to WSDOT Local Programs for PROTECT funding consideration. This includes the complete list minus one ineligible project and one incomplete application.	Approved

### **Summary: Follow-up Items and Next Steps**

Item	Follow-up
Guest Speakers from Cramer Fish Sciences	The Board will invite Kai Ross and Phil Roni (Cramer Fish Sciences) to the May 2024 Board meeting to provide general updates on development of the Fish Passage Strategy and to share the Science Panel's approach to optimization.
Cost Increase Subcommittee	Triangle will schedule a Cost Increase Subcommittee meeting in early May 2024 to discuss and develop recommendations for the Board for new cost increase requests.
RCW 70A.65.305 (Ongoing)	RCO and WDFW will continue to provide project sponsors guidance and resources for tribal consultation required under RCW 70A.65.305 (for projects funded through the Climate Commitment Act). Updates from the Governor's Office of Indian Affairs (GOIA) will be shared when available.
Match Modernization (Ongoing)	The Board will continue to monitor the Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB)'s implementation of match modernization and consider updating the Board match requirements for future grant rounds.
Funding Status Updates (Ongoing)	WDFW and RCO will keep the Board updated on the project bundle submitted to NOAA's grant, the 2024 Supplemental Budget, and the status of project sponsors seeking PROTECT funds.
Status of Returned Funds (Ongoing)	RCO and WDFW will keep the Board updated on the status of returned funds and whether the funds are reserved for cost increases or advanced to alternate down the ranked project list.

Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review: Triangle Associates (Triangle) facilitator, Joy Juelson, called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Chair Thomas Jameson, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), welcomed attendees. Alex Sweetser, Triangle, facilitated introductions with Board members and staff. A quorum was present.

#### **Public Comment:** None

**Old Business**: The notes for the FBRB March 2024 meeting were reviewed by the facilitator. A decision to approve the summary was deferred to the next meeting in May to allow the Board more time to review.

#### **General Updates:**

#### **WDFW Staffing Updates**

Chair Jameson shared that WDFW is hiring a new Fish Passage Scoping Section Manager. The earliest start date would be June 1. Additionally, he shared that Amber Martens with WDFW is moving into the Compliance Division as a Compliance Section Manager and Julie Grobelny has accepted a position outside of WDFW. Both have provided support to the FBRB for several years. WDFW began recruitment for three new biologist positions to replace them and add capacity to the Fish Passage Division.

### Fish Passage Strategy Updates

Jane Atha, WDFW, shared that the Science Panel of subject matter experts are discussing the metrics to be incorporated into the Strategy at the statewide scale and settled on an optimization approach to prioritize barrier projects. A draft of the Fish Passage Strategy is expected for the Science Panel review soon. Additionally, the Triangle Associates facilitation team is exploring outreach opportunities with Salmon Recovery Regions. Jane explained the Strategy is intended to integrate into other local and regional programs and strategies.

#### **Board Discussion**

- When asked by Carl Schroeder, Association of Washington Cities (AWC), about the timeline for a public review, Jane shared the timeline is dependent on the level of input received over the next month. The goal is to complete the Strategy by the end of 2024.
- In response to a question from John Foltz, Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO), about the Strategy including a cost-benefit component, Jane explained the Strategy will not include this. However, cost-benefit will be used during implementation of projects and assessed at a regional scale whereas the metrics in the Strategy will be focused on the statewide level.
- When asked if the criteria for the Strategy will be regional or site specific, Jane explained the metrics are site specific based on data throughout the watershed. The intent is that the restoration community can use the Strategy to identify the most important areas and barriers within those areas to prioritize for new projects.
- Chair Jameson explained the Strategy will include a public-facing optimization model that produces a heatmap based on benefits to fish. A second product will be a GIS-based scoring and ranking system, which anyone can use to assess barriers.
- When asked if these tools will connect projects within the same watershed, Jane confirmed the tool links barriers within a watershed, and it can identify linked barriers when one is removed.
- In response to a question about whether the optimization tool will include a reactive element in situations where a barrier is failing, Jane explained situations like this will likely be handled during implementation of the Strategy and not in through the model itself.
- Steve Manlow, Council of Regions, suggested implementation of the Strategy should align with the priorities of Salmon Recovery Regions. Jane agreed the tool needs to work for the Regions.
- The Board expressed interest in inviting staff from Cramer Fish Sciences to present on the development of the Fish Passage Strategy and the Science Panel's approach to optimization.

*Action Item*: The Board can invite Kai Ross and Phil Roni (Cramer Fish Sciences) to the May 2024 Board meeting to provide general updates on development of the Fish Passage Strategy and to share the Science Panel's approach to optimization.

#### WDFW Fish Passage Rulemaking (RCW 77.57)

Gabrielle Stilwater shared WDFW intended to complete this rulemaking effort by 2023. However, the rule language needed to be revised based on feedback provided in substantial public comments. One item that is changing based on public feedback is to more 'climate adaptive water crossings' language from this rulemaking effort for future Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) rulemaking. The newest draft of the rule is expected to be released this summer. Outreach on the new rules is expected later this year. Information for this process will continue to be posted on WDFW's Fish Passage Rulemaking webpage.

# Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation (PROTECT) FY 24 Funding List

#### Background

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Local Programs currently has \$75 million in Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funding available through the PROTECT program, with an additional \$25 million allocated specifically for Tribes. Throughout late 2022 and into 2023, the Fish Barrier Removal Board (FBRB) worked with nine eligible project sponsors to apply for nearly \$25 million of these funds for project design and implementation. Following discussions with WDFW and RCO staff, Local Programs confirmed that these project sponsors are not required to provide a match for the PROTECT funds, as the match requirements will be covered by Local Programs themselves.

A call for projects was issued and closed on February 16, 2024, aimed at allocating the remaining \$50 million to eligible sponsors. The final project list from the call for projects includes 16 projects requesting a total of \$90 million. WDFW reviewed these projects and developed a ranked list (see meeting materials). The Board needs to nominate \$45 million of these projects.

Casey Costello, WDFW, and John Foltz presented the ranked project list and recommended three options for a Board motion (see presentation). They identified the following points for discussion with the Board:

- One project includes a tide-gate, which is an alternate design requiring Board review.
- One project is ineligible, and one project had an incomplete application.
- If funding goes down the list as ranked, the Fauntleroy Creek project requires more funds than funding would be available. Local Programs has indicated they need to fully fund projects.

#### **Board Discussion:**

- The Board discussed if there were any issues with advancing the project with the tide-gate given it is an alternative design. The current tide-gate is a fish passage barrier, and it would be replaced with a self-regulating tide-gate. Steve Manlow shared that the Regions have actively advocated for this project as its completion would open the door to future restoration opportunities in the watershed and may change landowner perspectives. Through discussion, the Board recognized the replacement would meet PROTECT needs and benefit fish. They agreed to advance the project.
- The Board agreed to remove the ineligible project and the project with an incomplete application.
- The Board discussed if the Fauntleroy Creek project should be moved to the bottom of the list given its cost and the funding implications for the rest of the list. The Board expressed concern about shifting the ranking of a higher ranked project based on its price alone and making this decision for Local Programs given uncertainty about the agency's internal policy. Additionally,

they recognized the sponsor may be able to seek funds elsewhere or provide additional match funding to fill the gap. They decided to maintain the order of the ranked project list and defer the funding decisions to Local Programs.

**Board Decision**: A motion was made by Jeannie Abbott and seconded by Carl Schroeder to nominate the list of prioritized projects numbered 1-14 to WSDOT Local Programs for PROTECT funding consideration (complete list minus one ineligible project and one incomplete application) – Motion 2. Steve Manlow recused himself because the Regions actively advocated for projects on the PROTECT List. Susan Eugenis recused herself because there were Cowlitz County projects on the list. The motion was approved with no objections.

#### **Board Project Funding Updates:**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s Restoring Fish Passage through Barrier Removal Grants Program (Culvert AOP)

In September 2023, the Board submitted a bundle of nine FBRB projects for \$13.3 million to NOAA's federal grant program (see NOAA grant page). Casey Costello shared that a decision is not expected until June 2024. It is anticipated that RCO will manage the funds for project sponsors.

#### Update on Status of Returned Funds

John Foltz shared RCO offered funding to project #55 using returned funds and is now working on an agreement with the project sponsor. Additional funding offers are on hold while the Board considers incoming cost increases and until more is known about the status of projects seeking federal funding.

The Board is reserving \$1.75 million for cost increase requests, which are expected to come in when projects go to bid or begin construction during the in-water work window. Cost increases are beginning to come in and the Board will need to decide on these at the next Board meeting in May. A discussion on whether to replace the funds available for cost increases is anticipated.

#### 2025-2027 Grant Round Status Update

John Foltz and Casey Costello shared RCO and WDFW have completed their review of applications for the Board's 2025-2027 Grant Round for completeness and eligibility. There were 60 applications requesting about \$80 million. The final deadline for sponsors to update and submit their applications is May 2, 2024. Then, WDFW technical team will rank the project list after the final application deadline of May 2.

**Path Forward**: WDFW and RCO will keep the Board updated on the project bundle submitted to NOAA's grant, the 2024 Supplemental Budget, and the status of project sponsors seeking PROTECT funds.

**Path Forward**: RCO and WDFW will keep the Board updated on the status of returned funds and whether the funds are reserved for cost increases or advanced to alternate down the ranked project list.

#### **Climate Commitment Act Consultation Requirement**

Chair Jameson emphasized the obligations outlined in RCW 70A.65.305, which require project sponsors receiving Climate Commitment Act funding to consult with Tribes regarding potential project impacts before advancing to the pre-agreement phase. The FBRB's 2023-2025 project list, which allocated \$21 million out of \$48.4 million from this Act, is exempt due to existing agreements with RCO, eliminating the need for further tribal consultation.

For the upcoming 2025-2027 grant round, contingent upon Climate Commitment Act funding, sponsors will need to engage in Tribal consultation as per RCW specifications. The Governor's Office is currently in discussions with Tribes to clarify expectations under this law, especially given its original focus on major energy projects and the absence of a defined pre-agreement phase.

Christy Rains, RCO, shared that draft communications outlining sponsor obligations under RCW have been provided, recommending proactive initiation of tribal consultations. Minimum compliance entails submitting a letter through PRISM. Coordination efforts between RCO, WDFW, and the Governor's Office aim to potentially transition consultations to a government-to-government format between state agencies and Tribes rather than individual sponsors.

#### Questions and Discussion:

- It was mentioned that once sponsors notify Tribes regarding their projects, then Tribes can contact the sponsor if they wish to provide support.
- Axel emphasized the importance of complying with the law and commitment to implementing
  this for the next round of funding. He asked if there is room for collaboration with sponsors on
  refining this process in the future? Christy shared that sponsors must upload a notification letter
  to PRISM to qualify for funding, emphasizing the importance of timely notification. Ongoing
  discussions are being held on the collaborative approach between GOIA, Tribes, and state
  agencies for implementing this law.
- Alice Rubin, RCO, noted that this RCW does not replace RCO's cultural resource requirement. Sponsors have recognized the overlap with cultural resource assessment requirements.
- The Board discussed the path forward, which likely involves providing resources for project sponsors. Additionally, concerns have been raised about Tribes' willingness to participate in the process.

#### **Project and Policy Issue / Opportunities**

#### Notification of Upcoming Cost Increase Requests

Chair Jameson and John Foltz shared that the Board has received three cost increase requests that the Board needs to decide on. A Cost Increase Subcommittee meeting will need to be scheduled in early May to consider the cost increase requests and develop recommendations for the Board. WDFW and RCO anticipated the Board will receive more requests in April and May.

**Action Item**: Triangle will schedule a Cost Increase Subcommittee meeting in early May to discuss and develop recommendations for the Board for new cost increase requests.

### **Upcoming Engagement Opportunities**

#### American Fisheries Society Meeting from April 30 – May 2 in Spokane, WA

Chair Jameson shared that several WDFW staff plan on attending the meeting. There will be some discussions on the FBRB's role and presentations on WDFW's fish passage efforts. Additionally, here will be a panel on fish passage. Chair Jameson and Susan Kanzler are expected to be panelists.

#### Seattle Times Article:

Chair Jameson noted that the Seattle Times published an article on March 10, 2024, discussing the state's efforts to repair culverts as mandated by the Culvert Case Injunction. The next article in the series is anticipated to be release soon and may be focused on the Steven Treaty Tribes' perspectives on the Injunction.

Adjourn: The meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.

Next Meeting: Virtual Meeting on May 21, 2024.

## **ATTENDANCE**

### **Board Members/Alternates:**

	T
April Magrane, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)	John Box, Colville Tribes
Axel Swanson, Washington State Association of Counties (WSAC)	Karen Zirkle, Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
Carl Schroeder, Association of Washington Cities (AWC)	Steve Manlow, Council of Regions
Chair Thomas Jameson, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW)	Susan Eugenis, WSAC
Casey Costello, WDFW	Susan Kanzler, WSDOT
Erik Neatherlin, Governor's Salmon Recovery Office (GSRO)	Tim Resseguie, Tim Resseguie Yakima Nation
Jeannie Abbott, GSRO	

WDFW, RCO Staff and Triangle Facilitation Team:

WDI W, Reo Stail and I Hangle I denitation Team.		
Amber Martens, WDFW	Alice Rubin, Recreation and Conservation Office	
	(RCO)	
Daniel Coffman, WDFW	Christy Rains, RCO	
David Collins, WDFW	Elezabeth Butler, RCO	
Gabrielle Stilwater, WDFW	John Foltz, RCO	
Jane Atha, WDFW	Josh Labert, RCO	
Joel Ingram, WDFW	Alex Sweetser, Facilitation Support	
Julie Grobelny, WDFW	Joy Juelson, Facilitation Support	
Kaylee Kautz, WDFW		

Others observing:

Adam Brown	Evan Lewis	Tracy Gilson
Chery Baumann	Heidi Reynolds	Call-in User (1)

#### RESTORATION

				OVERALL PROJECT  Budget must	GRANT REQUEST  Enter only the	ACTUAL COSTS  Construction costs			MATCH	
				account for all costs to complete the	amount of the grant	copied from bid sheet of low bidder			the total project cost and Bu and types of match need to	
				project  Amount	request Amount	(4/16/2024) Amount	Match in PRISM	New Match Not Previously Recorded	Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc)	Match Type (federal state, local)
Category (choose one)	Construction Task Description	Qty	Rate							
Mobilization	Mobilization	1.00	\$ 133,000.00	\$ 133,000	\$ 133,000	\$ 259,000		\$ 100,000		
Demolition and site prep	Clearing and grubbing	1.00	\$ 22,000.00	\$ 22,000		\$ 275,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 65,000	Labor and equipment use	Wahkiakum County
Demolition and site prep	Removal of culvert	1.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000		\$ 11,600	\$ 10,000		Labor and equipment use	Wahkiakum County
Demolition and site prep	Roadway excavation w/ haul	57.00	\$ 33.00	\$ 1,881		\$ 36,800	\$ 1,881		Labor and equipment use	Wahkiakum County
Demolition and site prep Demolition and site prep	Select borrow w/ haul Embankment compaction	308.00 154.00	\$ 17.60 \$ 6.60	\$ 5,421 \$ 1,016	\$ 5,421 \$ 1,016	\$ 98,768				
Construction	Channel excavation w/ haul	2,067.00	\$ 33.00	\$ 68,211	\$ 58,211	\$ 107,394	\$ 10,000	s -	Labor and equipment use	Wahkiakum County
Construction	Drop inlet type 1	1.00	\$ 2,750.00	\$ 2,750	\$ 2,750	ş -				
Materials Materials	Streambed sediment Streambed aggregate	334.00 216.00	\$ 82.50 \$ 82.50	\$ 27,555 \$ 17,820		\$ 13,000 \$ 50,000				
Materials Construction	Habitat boulder - 2 man Handling and installation of	6.00 5.00	\$ 82.50 \$ 1,100.00	\$ 495 \$ 5,500		\$ 9.600 \$ 73,000				
Materials	large wood material Loas for loa structure	5.00		\$ 5,000						
Equipment and equipment use	Temporary stream diversion	1.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000	\$ 55,000	\$ -		s -		
Equipment and equipment use	Fish exclusion	1.00		\$ 1,100		\$ -		\$ -		
Construction	Temporary bridge installation and removal	1.00	\$ 173,800.00	\$ 173,800	\$ 173,800	s -				
Construction Construction	Storm sewer Shoring excavation w/ haul	1.00	\$ 10.626.00 \$ 115,500.00	\$ 10.626 \$ 115,500	\$ 10.626 \$ 115,500	S 45.000		\$ -		
	(footings and structural					Ť		Ť		
Construction	earth walls) Structure excavation w/ haul (footings and structural earth walls), cubic yard	1,392.00	\$ 44.00	\$ 61,248	\$ 61,248	\$ -				
Materials	Gravel backfill for structural earth wall	95.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 5,225	\$ 5,225	\$ 4,900				
Construction	Furnish and drive steel test pile	2.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000	\$ -				
Construction labor	Drive steel piles Steel piles, per linear foot	12.00		\$ 26,400		\$ 98,600	\$ -	\$ -		
Materials Materials	Steel pile tip or shoe	370.00 12.00	\$ 220.00	\$ 40,700 \$ 2,640	\$ 2,640		\$ -	s -		
Materials	Steel reinforcement bar, per pound	3,333.00	\$ 1.65	\$ 5,499	\$ 5,499	s -	\$ -	\$ -		
Materials	Class 4000 concrete, cubic yards	46.00	\$ 880.00	\$ 40,480	\$ 40,480	\$ 131,500	\$ -	\$ -		
Construction	Transport, modify, install,	1.00	\$ 90,182.00	\$ 90,182	\$ 90,182	\$ 240,000	\$ -	s -		
Materials	and finish concrete girders Bridge girders	4.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 95,000	\$ -	\$ 597,000		\$ -	Materials	Wahkiakum County
Materials	Texas T6 bridge railing, linear feet	125.00	\$ 88.00	\$ 11,000	\$ 11,000	\$ 57,040				
Materials	Structural earth wall, square feet	4,903.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 269,665	\$ 269,665	\$ -				
Construction	Gravel borrow for structural earth wall, cubic yards	1,851.00	\$ 77.00	\$ 142,527	\$ 142,527	\$ -				
Materials	Asphalt - HMA Cl. 1/2 in. PG	280.00	\$ 132.00	\$ 36,960	\$ 36,960	\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ 80,000		
Construction	58S-22, per ton Paint line, linear feet	400.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 440	\$ 440	\$ 5,090				
Construction Construction	Guardrail Temporary traffic control	4.00 1.00	\$ 3,850.00 \$ 85,140.00	\$ 15,400 \$ 85,140	\$ 15,400	\$ 30,000	\$ 85,140		Labor and equipment use	Wahkiakum County
Construction	Erasian Control, including	1.00	\$ 42,240.00	\$ 42,240	\$ 42,240	\$ 151,000				
	CESCL and BMPs					\$ 5,624				
Construction	Past-construction seeding and planting	1.00			\$ 21,406					
Surveys Construction	Surveying Roadside cleanup	2.00 1.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 22,000 \$ 10,000	\$ 22,000	\$ 79,120 \$ -				
Construction Construction supervision	Trench safetv system  CREST project management	1.00 108.00	\$ 1.100.00 \$ 54.00	\$ 1.100 \$ 5,832	\$ 1.100 \$ 5,832	s -	\$ -	\$ -		
	and construction oversight, per hour		,	, ,,,,,	, ,,,,,		ľ			
Permits	Contracted services for updated permitting	1.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Construction supervision	Travel for CREST staff to project site for construction oversight, per mile	1,224.00	\$ 0.59	\$ 716	\$ 716	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Construction supervision	County supervision of overall	45.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 6,750		\$ -	\$ 6,750		Labor	Wahkiakum County
	project		STotal	\$ 1,721,226	\$ 1,490,454	\$ 2,499,036	\$ 135,771	\$ 245,000		
						From actual costs				
	rchitechtural & Engineer					and Proposed EDC quote				
Category	SPCC plan prep and submittal	Qty 1.00	\$ 4,400.00	\$ 4,400.00			\$ 4,400	s -	Cash	Wahkiakum County
Other	Engineering-during- construction for questions	1.00	\$ 70,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000	\$ 48,800	\$ -	s -		
	and modifications									
Final design	Modify bridge design to fit existing road alignment	1.00		\$ 135,000.00	\$ 75,000	\$ 138,070	\$ 60,000	s -	Cash	Wahkiakum County
	c Update hydraulic	1.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000	\$ 25,470	\$ -	\$ -		
Assessments (geologic, hydraulic, et	assessment for updated		I .	1			s -	s -		
	assessment for updated bridge design Grant management and	104.00	\$ 53.00	\$ 5,512.00	\$ 5,512					
	bridge design	104.00	\$ 53.00	\$ 5,512.00	\$ 5,512			9		
	bridge design Grant management and	104.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ - \$ -	\$ -		
	bridge design Grant management and	104.00	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
Administrative	bridge design Grant management and billing by CREST	104.00	\$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 212,340	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
Administrative	bridge design Grant management and billing by CREST		\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ STotal	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 212,340	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
Administrative	bridge design Grant management and billing by CREST  idirect Costs Description Indirect (CREST)	Approved Rate 28.680%	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ S - \$	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 170,512	\$ 212,340	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
Administrative	bridge design Grant management and billing by CREST  idirect Costs  Description	Approved Rate	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 170,512	\$ 212,340	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
ir	bridge design Grant management and billing by CREST  direct Costs  Description Indirect (CREST) Indirect (CREST) Indirect (CREST) Indirect (CREST) Indirect (CREST)	Approved Rate 28.680% 0.000%	\$ - \$ - \$ S	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912 \$ 3,253 \$ - \$ 3,253	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 170,512		\$ - \$ - \$ 5 \$ 64,400	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
Administrative  Ir  AARE Budgin ABE maximum allowed in PRISS	bridge design Groat management and billing by CREST  Description Indirect (CREST)  Description Indirect (CREST) Indirect  t Check I \$ 487,867.50	Approved Rate 28.680% 0.000%	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912 \$ 3,253 \$ - \$ 3,253	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 212,340	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
AA&E Budgr	bridge design Groat management and billing by CREST  Description Indirect (CREST)  Description Indirect (CREST) Indirect  t Check I \$ 487,867.50	Approved Rate 28.680% 0.000%	\$ - \$ - \$ S	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912 \$ 3,253 \$ - \$ 3,253	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
AAAE Budge A&E maximum aboved in PRISS	bridge design Groat management and billing by CREST  Description Indirect (CREST)  Description Indirect (CREST) Indirect  t Check I \$ 487,867.50	Approved Rate 28.680% 0.000%	\$ - \$ - \$ S	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912 \$ 3,253 \$ - \$ 3,253	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 64,400 \$ - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 5 - \$ 1,864,390	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
AAAE Budge A&E maximum aboved in PRISS	bridge design Groat management and billing by CREST  Description Indirect (CREST)  Description Indirect (CREST) Indirect  t Check I \$ 487,867.50	Approved Rate 28.680% 0.000%	\$ - \$ - \$ S	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 234,912 \$ 3,253 \$ - \$ 3,253	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -		

#### **BRIAN ABBOTT**

## **Fish Barrier Removal Board**

## **Amendment Form**

Date: 4/18/2024 RCO Project Number: 21-1133 (now 22-1229, after merging with our SRFB grant)

**Sponsor Name: CREST** 

**Project Name: Clear Creek Reconnection** 

**Type of Amendment:** Cost Increase  $\square$  Time Extension  $\square$  Scope Change  $\square$ 

**Justification:** For <u>cost increases</u>, describe the need and specifically what the money will be used for. Please note: a grant cost increase requires the sponsor to increase its total match contribution to maintain the agreement's original cost share percentages. For <u>time extensions</u> that would place the project end date more than four years beyond the project start date, describe the reason and background for the delay and provide a timeline for project completion. For <u>scope changes</u>, describe the reason and what work types or elements of the project will change. Specify changes in quantities and/or metrics of project elements as necessary.

CREST and Wahkiakum County received construction bids for the project on April 16, 2024. There were two bids, both of which exceed all versions of our engineer's cost estimates and exceed the amount of funding we have available. The original grant requests were based on engineering cost estimates from 2018 for an older version of the design (shorter bridge, big structural earth walls, no large wood, reduced area of grading). The new design, which was completed in the last 8 months, nearly doubled the length of the proposed bridge (from 54' to 100'), increased the amount of proposed stream habitat and large wood, and added a coldwater refuge pool in lower Clear Creek near the Elochoman River confluence, among numerous other design changes. The low bid came in at \$3,098,576 (proposal uploaded into PRISM). Engineering/permitting costs have also been slightly higher than anticipated, due largely to there being more required changes to the old designs than anticipated, and additional cultural resources surveying for federal compliance. Our engineering firm has requested an additional \$75,070 for out-of-scope work, and that has added a bit to our overall costs as well, though our total engineering and permitting costs will only end up slightly higher than what we had originally estimated/requested.

Wahkiakum County had \$1,200,000 in road funding from County Roads Administration Board for this project (the non-bridge, non-stream portions), of which \$1,067,293.78 remains (after paying the design team and spending a small amount to "start" construction last fall to extend the funding one very last year). With the \$2,031,235.80 we have remaining in RCO funding, the project is still short about \$170,000. That shortage does not account for any Change Orders or other cost increases that might occur. We are requesting \$210,000 in additional funding to cover the difference between the amount of money that we have and the cost of the construction bid (discounting quoted engineering costs), plus a very small buffer to account for unanticipated costs that may arise during construction.

I have uploaded the low bid and our engineer's most recent contract amendment budget request into PRISM, along with the documents associated with this RCO Amendment request.

# **Fish Barrier Removal Board**

# **Amendment Form**

Supporting Documents Provided. (che	ck all that apply):
An updated Cost Estimate Spreadsheet a separate column clearly illustrating w	composed of original budget with cost increase provided in here costs have changed.
An updated Project Milestone Workshe	et
Preliminary design package including de	esign drawings and design report (Manual 22, Appendix C)
Review:	
Washington Department of FISH and WILDLIFE	WASHINGTON STATE Recreation and Conservation Office
Approved: Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Approved: Yes No
Date: Click here to enter a date.	Date: Click here to enter a date.
Name: Click here to enter text.	Name: Click here to enter text.
Reason	Reason



## Amendment Request Information<sup>1</sup>

Amendment Type (Check box for type(s) of amendment requested.)					
☐ Scope ( <i>change of</i>	location, change in metrics)				
☐ Time extension					
⊠ Cost (increase, de	crease, match change)				
Project name HOKO RIVER TRIBUTARY FISH PASSAGE DESIGN #80001279					
Project number 22-1083					
Date of request	3/19/24				
Sponsor(s)	North Olympic Salmon Coalition				
Lead Entity	NOPLE				
Current end date	6/30/25				

### **Funding** (*Grants manager may need provide information for this section*)

	Current Amount	Biennium/ Year of Funding	Request Amount	Share	New Funding Amount	New Share
Funding source (SRFB match)	\$43,983		<b>\$28,121</b> (SRFB)	15%	72,104	15%
Funding Source (FBRB)	\$249,235 (FBRB)		<b>\$159,350</b> (FBRB)	85%	408,585	85%
Project total	\$293,218		\$		\$480,689	

### **All Requests**

• Brief project summary:

The project will complete a final design for the replacement of a Clallam County Road Culvert (#80001279) on an unnamed Hoko River tributary (19.0169) which enters the Hoko at river mile 9.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Please use the amendment matrix in Manual 18 to determine if a request needs lead entity approval. For cost increases using Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration funds, provide this template to the Puget Sound Partnership for its approval.



• Current project progress: (what tasks are complete and timeline for remaining tasks)

We have begun the procurement process for engineering services. We have selected the best consulting firm from the applicant pool. We are in the process of negotiating the project SOW and budget.

#### **Time Extensions**

- Reason and justification for delay:
- Proposed new project milestones (include any uncertainties):
- Number of time extensions that have been previously requested for this project:



#### **Cost Increases**

• Reason and justification for cost increase (include any uncertainties):

It has become apparent during the contracting for the design engineering that the estimates used to create the project budget were to low to support the work at this time. For the initial grant we used budget estimates provided by a consulting firm and checked those against our expectations of the cost of the work. It appears that the initial cost estimate was low or prices have increased dramatically in the time since the estimate was prepared, or a combination thereof.

Additionally since the time of the grant application NOSC has encountered situations that have driven up our staffing and overhead costs.

 Actions taken to control costs or limit the cost increase: (include any other funding sources pursued)

NOSC worked diligently with good cooperation from the design engineering firm to diminish costs and ground was gained, but it was not enough to be able to proceed with the project with the demonstrated deficit.

• Number of cost increases that have been previously requested for this project:

No cost increases have been previously requested for this project.

#### **Scope Changes**

- Description of, and reason for, scope change:
- **Acquisition projects:** see <u>Manual 3</u>, Section 7 for specific scope change requirements and questions.

Attach documentation of lead entity approval.

Attach map if relevant.

Project Name Hoko River Tributary Fish Passage Desing 80001279 Culvert
PRISM # 22-1083
Sponsor North Olympic Salmon Coalition

#### **DESIGN PROJECTS**

				1			ı				
				OVERALL PROJECT	GRANT REQUEST					MATCH	
				Budget must account for all costs to complete the project	Enter only the amount of the grant request			The Grant Request and Match should equal the total project cost and Budget Check cell should Sponsors must account for all sources and types of match need to complete the project.			
				Amount	Cost Increase SRFB Budget 3/14/24	Original SRFB Request	Net Increase	Match (no change since original ask is well over required amt.)	Funding not reported in PRISM	Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc)	Match Type (federal, state,
	Design Costs										
Category	Task Description	Qty	Rate								
Cultural resources		1.00	\$ 9,600	\$ 9,600	\$ 2,800	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,600	\$ 6,800			
Permits	permit preparation, permit fees, wetland delineation	1	\$ 43,675	\$ 43,675	\$ 8,315	\$ 6,240	\$ 2,075	\$ 35,360			
	Includes all studies, permit support, 0-100% Design, design oversight &	1.00	\$ 330,014	\$ 330,014	\$ 168,896	\$ 28,433	\$ 140,463	\$ 161,118			
Project Design  Administrative	contractor mgmt Includes grant and project management, bookkeeping, direct project costs	1.00	\$ 96,028	\$ 96,028	\$ 51,238	\$ 7,904	\$ 43,334	\$ 44,790			
Other	Travel (mileage & Per Diem)	1.00	\$ 1,373	\$ 1,373	\$ 206	\$ 206	\$ (0)	\$ 1,167			
Other	Traver (mileage & Fer blem)	1.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ş 200	3 (0)	\$ 1,107	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -	\$ -		
1			STotal	\$ 480,689	\$ 231,454	\$ 43,983	\$ 187,471	\$ 249,235	\$ -		

GTOTAL \$ 480,689	\$ 231,454	\$ 249,235 \$ -
	PRISM Project Total	\$ 480,689

RCO Percentage 0.481504718

Match Percentage 51.85%

## **BRIAN ABBOTT**

## **Fish Barrier Removal Board**

# **Amendment Form**

Data: 2/20/2024		DCO Due is at Number	. 21 1462
Date: 3/20/2024		RCO Project Number	: 21-1463
•	North Olympic Salmon		
		nd Hatchery Intake Replace	
Type of Amendr	<b>nent:</b> Cost Increase	e⊠ Time Extension□	Scope Change 🔲
for. Please note: a contribution to m that would place describe the reas For scope change	a grant cost increase requal naintain the agreement's of the project end date mor on and background for the es, describe the reason an	the need and specifically what ires the sponsor to increase original cost share percentag the than four years beyond the ne delay and provide a timeling d what work types or element for metrics of project element	its total match Jes. For <u>time extensions</u> e project start date, ne for project completion nts of the project will
used to create initial grant w against our ex that the initia the estimate w to reduce the	e the project budget were we used budget estimates expectations of the cost of I cost estimate was low or was prepared, or a combin	ntracting for the design engine too low to support the wor provided by a consulting first the work based upon previous prices have increased dramination thereof. NOSC has proyet we are still short on function	k at this time. For the m and checked those ous experience. It appears atically in the time since essed the consulting firm
•	9	et application NOSC has enco ead costs from the time wher	
We will increa	ase our provided match to	keep match at 15%, see att	ached table.
correction pro streamline the those projects	ojects #22-1083 and #21- e process and minimize co	nted alongside the designs for 1547 by the same design tea osts. NOSC will be seeking so larly short. #21-1547 will be	am in an effort to eparate cost increases on
An updated Co	uments Provided. (checlost Estimate Spreadsheet column clearly illustrating who	omposed of original budget wit	h cost increase provided in
An undated Pr	roiect Milestone Worksheet		

#### **BRIAN ABBOTT**

## **Fish Barrier Removal Board**

# **Amendment Form**

Preliminary design package including design drawings and design report (Manual 22, Appendix C)

Review:





Approved: Yes No No	Approved: Yes No
Date: Click here to enter a date.	Date: Click here to enter a date.
Name: Click here to enter text.	Name: Click here to enter text.
Reason	Reason

Project Name Wright's Creek Culvert/Hatchery Intake Replacements

PRISM # 21-1463 Planning

Sponsor North Olympic Salmon Coalition

#### **DESIGN PROJECTS**

The costs on this page are for design projects, not for the design phase of a restoration grant. updating  $3/14/24\,$  for cost increase request to FBRB

				OVERALL PROJECT	Updated Costs 3/14/24	Original cost request 2022	Net Change				MATCH		
				Budget must account for all costs to complete the project	New total grant	Original grant request	Grant Increase Request	The Grant Request and Match should equal the total project cost and Budget Check cell should be 0. Sponsors must account for all source of match need to complete the project.				ount for all sources and types	
				Amount	Amount			Revised Total Match	Original Match	Match Increase Request	Funding not reported in PRISM	Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc)	Match Type (federal, state, local)
	Design Costs	•						•					
Category	Task Description	Qty	Rate						Ι.		1.		
Cultural resources		1.00											Makah Tribe
Permits	permit preparation, permit fees, wetland delineation	1	\$ 50,875	\$ 50,875	\$ 43,243	\$ 57,460	\$ (14,217)	\$ 7,631	\$ 10,140	\$ (2,509)	\$ -		Makah Tribe
Final design	Includes all studies, permit support, 100% Design, design oversight & contractor mamt	1.00	\$ 396,239	\$ 396,239	\$ 336,803	\$ 199,155	\$ 137,648	\$ 59,436	\$ 35,145	\$ 24,291	\$ -		Makah Tribe
Administrative	Includes grant and project management, bookkeeping, direct project costs	1.00	\$ 96,028	\$ 96,028	\$ 81,623	\$ 44,790	\$ 36,833	\$ 14,404	\$ 7,904	\$ 6,500	\$ -		Makah Tribe
Other	Travel (mileage & Per Diem)	1.00	\$ 1.373	Ś 1.373	\$ 1.167	\$ 1.167	\$ (0)	\$ 206	\$ 206	\$ (0)	\$ -		Makah Tribe
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	7,-0.	(-)	\$ -		+ (+)	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ - STotal	\$ - \$ 563,713	\$ - \$ 479,156	\$ 316,172	\$ 162,984	\$ -	\$ 55,795	\$ 28,762	\$ -		
			STOTAL	\$ 563,/13	\$ 4/9,156	\$ 316,172	3 162,984	\$ 84,557	\$ 55,795	\$ 28,762	ş -		

GTOTAL \$ 563,713	\$ 479,156	\$ 84,557	\$ -
	PRISM Project Total	\$ 563,713	

RCO Percentage Match Percentage 0.85 15.00%

## **BRIAN ABBOTT**

## **Fish Barrier Removal Board**

# **Amendment Form**

Date: 3/20/2024	ı	RCO Project Number: 2	1-1547
Sponsor Name: North Olyr	npic Salmon Coaliti	on	
Project Name: Hoko Ozett	e Rd MP 2.9 800013	31 Culvert Replacemer	nt
Type of Amendment:	Cost Increase	Time Extension $\Box$	Scope Change $\Box$
Justification: For cost increation. Please note: a grant cost contribution to maintain the that would place the project describe the reason and bac For scope changes, describe change. Specify changes in contributions.	increase requires the agreement's origina end date more than kground for the dela the reason and what	e sponsor to increase its I cost share percentages four years beyond the p y and provide a timeline work types or elements	total match . For time extensions roject start date, for project completion of the project will
It has become apparent used to create the project initial grant we used but against our expectations that the initial cost estimate was prepare to reduce their costs, whimplement the design program in the design program	ct budget were too lo lget estimates provid of the cost of the wo late was low or prices ed, or a combination ich they have, yet we	ow to support the work a ed by a consulting firm a ork based upon previous s have increased dramati thereof. NOSC has press	t this time. For the and checked those experience. It appears cally in the time since ted the consulting firm
Additionally, since the tire have increased our staffi			
We will increase our pro	vided match to keep	match at 15%, see attach	ned table.
This projects design is be correction projects #22- streamline the process a those projects whose bu #22-1083 will be sought	1083 and #21-1463 b nd minimize costs. N dgets are similarly sh	by the same design team OSC will be seeking sepa	in an effort to arate cost increases on
Supporting Documents Pro  An updated Cost Estimate a separate column clearly	Spreadsheet compose	d of original budget with c	ost increase provided in
Δn undated Project Milest	one Worksheet		

### **BRIAN ABBOTT**

## **Fish Barrier Removal Board**

## **Amendment Form**

Preliminary design package including design drawings and design report (Manual 22, Appendix C)
Review:



Project Name HOKO OZETTE RD MP 2.9 80001331 CULVERT REPLACEMENT
PRISM # 21-1547 Planning
Sponsor North Olympic Salmon Coalition

#### **DESIGN PROJECTS**

The costs on this page are for design projects, not for the design phase of a restoration grant.

Cost increase request 03.14.24

				OVERALL PROJECT	GRANT COST WITH INCREASE	Original Budget	INCREASE AMOUNT	матсн					
				Budget must account for all costs to complete the project	Enter only the amount of the grant request	mount of the grant  Ine Grant Request and Match should equal the total project cost and auget Check cell should be U. Sponsors must account of the grant  of match need to complete the project.				ount for all sources and types			
				Amount	Amount						Funding not reported in PRISM	Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc)	Match Type (federal, state, local)
	Design Costs			Revised Total	Revised Total	Original Grant		Total Revised Match	Original Match	Match Increase			
Category	Task Description	Qty	Rate	Project Cost	Grant Request	Request	Request		•	Request		•	
Cultural resources		1.00										Grant	unknown
Permits	permit preparation, permit fees, wetland delineation	1	\$ 43,675	\$ 43,675	\$ 37,123	\$ 35,360	\$ 1,763	\$ 6,551	\$ 6,240	\$ 311	\$ -	Grant	unknown
Final design	Includes all studies, permit support, 100% Design, design oversight & contractor mamt	1.00	\$ 329,712	\$ 329,712	\$ 280,255	\$ 176,333	\$ 103,922	\$ 49,457	\$ 31,118	\$ 18,339	\$ -	Grant	unknown
Administrative	Includes grant and project management, bookkeeping, direct project costs	1.00	\$ 96,028	\$ 96,028	\$ 81,623	\$ 44,790	\$ 36,833	\$ 14,404	\$ 7,904	\$ 6,500	\$ -	Grant	unknown
Other	Travel (mileage & Per Diem)	1.00	\$ 1,373	\$ 1.373	Ś 1.167	\$ 1.167	\$ (0)	\$ 206	\$ 206	Ś (0)	\$ -	Grant	unknown
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -		,	\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ -			\$ -		
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ - \$ -			\$ -		
	l .	l	Ş - STotal	\$ - \$ 480,387	\$ 408,329	\$ 264,450	\$ 143,879	Y	\$ 46.668	\$ 25.390	\$ -		
Ī			310141	<i>⇒</i> 460,367	\$ 408,329	<i>ϕ</i> 204,450	3 143,879	<i>γ</i> /2,058	\$ 40,008	\$ 25,390	,		

PRISM Project Total \$ 480,387	GTOTAL \$	480,387	\$ 408,329	\$ 264,450	\$ 143,879	\$ 72,058	\$ -
			PRISM Project Total			\$ 480,387	

RCO Percentage Match Percentage 0.85 15.00%