PURPOSE

1. Resolve differences w/ proposed protocol changes

2. Time permitting, continue post-recovery planning
DAY 1 AGENDA

1:00 Welcome, Check-in
1:30 Review/Adjust Agenda
1:45 Discuss Protocol Changes
3:00 Break
3:15 Discuss Protocol Changes
5:00 Public Comment
5:30 Close
DAY 2 AGENDA

8:00 Check-in
8:30 Protocol (Post-recovery?)
10:00 Break
10:15 Protocol (Post-recovery?)
12:00 Lunch
12:45 Protocol (Post-recovery?)
2:00 Public Comment
2:30 Close
POTENTIAL CHANGES

1. Protocol = additional measures for implementing the wolf-livestock chapter of the Wolf Plan (p.1, line 13)

2. Move "Event" to definition section (p.3, line 90)

3. Separate "range riding" from "human presence" (pp.7-10) (Why separating? Same concerns)
4. Work w/ WDFW - best approach for using fladry (p.11, line 364)

5. Attempting to collar a collar-less pack after its 1st depredation (p.11, line 388)

6. Promote a process to provide reserve grazing areas (p.12, line 403)

Addressed in potential change #17
POTENTIAL CHANGES

7. Adding RCW reference for Dept.'s lethal removal authority (p.20, 1st PP)

8. Adding Wolf Plan guidance on situations for lethal removal (p.20, 1st + 2nd PP)

9. Dept. considers lethal removal case-by-case (p.20, last sentence)
POTENTIAL CHANGES

10. Total # of depredations is not the only factor (p.21, sect. 1, last bullet)

11. Non-lethals expected regardless of recovery/listing status (p.21, sect. 2, last bullet)

12. Annual assessment - are removals jeopardizing wolf recovery? (p.21, sect. 5)
POTENTIAL CHANGES

13. More flexibility in regions at or above local recovery objectives (p. 21, sect. 6+7)

14. Time period for attempting active removal - what if it results in removing the pack? (p. 22, middle paragraph)

15. 10-month rolling window evaluation period (p. 22, 4th paragraph)
POTENTIAL CHANGES

16. Ground-based removal methods preferred over helicopter (p.23, 2nd p)

17. Defining chronic depredation zones (p.23, last 2 p) (consider Dan's concerns)

18. Include lethal removal operations in annual report (p.24, last full p)
POTENTIAL CHANGES

1. Manage for ungulate prey base according to Game Mgmt. Plan / herd plans (p.6, line 164) (p.23, 3rd par).

2. Regular communication w/ community leaders and elected officials (p.6, line 176).

* Data sharing

* Needs when wolves interface w/ urban areas
POTENTIAL CHANGES

23. Minimize wolf-livestock conflict (p 5, line 142)

24. Compensation?
   Add ref. in plan
   Ref. RCH
Issuing a kill permit?
- Specify "Dir." not Dept. auth.
- to an agent of the state?
- Remove example?
Remove last sentence of 6. and add.

1. The director has the authority under RCW 77.12.240 to lethally remove (wolves) that are destroying or injuring property, or when necessary for wildlife management or research.

   Under the Wolf Plan, only

   • The director authorizes all wolf removals.

   • In regions at or above objective, the director has more flexibility when considering lethal removal and deterrents, and may use any tools/approaches in plan.

   • When considering lethal removal, the director will consider humaneness of approaches and use department resources before the issuance of permit.
The director has the authority under RCW 77.12.240 to lethally remove wildlife, (including wolves) that are destroying or injuring property, or when necessary for wildlife management. The department...

6. Under the wolf plan, only the director authorizes wolf removals.

7. Except, in regions at/above a state listed state.

The director may use any tool/approach in the plan. is not defined by the protocol and may use any of the tools/approaches in the Wolf Plan.
PROPOSED FRONT-OF-DOCUMENT LANGUAGE

The director has the authority to vary from the protocol while remaining within the guidelines depending on the Wolf Plan. Depending on the status of the regional component of the Statewide wolf recovery objectives, the director may be more conservative. In areas where the population is at or above, the director may be less restrictive (RCW 77.12)

☑ (Same lang. as in plan?)
1. Refer to language in front? See proposed front-of-document language.

2. Sec. 8, 2nd IP
   "excluding final."
   [-] ?
   (Separate sentence?)

   The final increment may take longer than 2 wks.
In areas below the regional component of statewide recovery obs, the Dept. shall attempt to collar...

Prioritize?
PC 5

Dept. make

Add:

Dept. make deploying a collar following the 1st depredation a priority
Keep wording
1. Addressing mobile app capability update/WAG
2. No blackout period?
3. Data sharing update to WAG?
4. Better commo to public?
- Share Jul 10 notes w/ WAG at next mtg. (Email ahead of time)
Dept. drafts...

1. Amend reference to considering multiple plans?

2. Remove 2nd P?

3. Add consideration for reserve sys? Temp option? (Not limited to federal)

4. Adjust thresholds?

5. Have an innovations meeting?
6. Keep language & add reserve concept?

7. What's causing these zones? [wildlife]

8. Dept: Availability of other lands?

9. Renting private land?
10. For developing innovations, provide options, not requirements (intent)
1. Change "jump-start" to "bolster".

2. Add reference to RCW Chap. 77.12 for the implementation of these conflict mitigation guidelines.
1. Remove sentence?
2. "... ungulates, and other prey..."?
3. "The Dept. will continue..."
4. Move to 3rd IP of 1st page?
5. Reference Wolf Plan
   p. 116? Move IP into protocol?
Reference pages in Wolf Plan?
Parking Lot

- Compensation program
- Oversight
1. Investigation of wolf shot on trail—definition of self-defense

2. Protocol applies to eastern WA, but if we've inserted an exception for E. WA, what would the protocol apply to?

3. Dept. record mtgs?
PROPOSED ANNUAL MTGS.

- **Jan**: Legislature
- **May**: Calving
- **Aug**: Grazing, Hunting
- **Nov**: 2nd Wed. (Wed.-Thu. mtg)