PURPOSE

* 1. Resolve differences w/
proposed protocol
changes

2. Time permitting, continue post-recovery planning

DAY 1 AGENDA

1:00 Welcome, Check-in 1:30 Review/Adjust Agenda 1:45 Discuss Protocol Changes

3:00 Break

3:15 Discuss Protocol Changes

5:00 Public Comment

5:30 Close

DAY 2 AGENDA

8:00 Check-in

8:30 Protocol (Post-recovery?)

10:00 Break

10:15 Protocol (Post-recovery?)

12:00 Lunch

12:45 Protocol (Post-recovery?)

2:00 Public Comment

2:30 Close

POTENTIAL CHANGES

- 1. Protocol = additional measures
 for implementing the wolflivestock chapter of the Wolf

 Plan (p.1, line 13)
- 3) Separate "range riding" from

 "human presence" (pp. 7-10)

 (Why separating? Some concerns)

POTENTTAL CHANGES

4. Work w/ WDFW - best approach
for using fladry (p.11, line 364)

6. Attempting to collar a collar-less
pack after its 1st depredation
(p.11, line 388)

C. Promote a process to provide
reserve grazing areas

(p. 12, line 403)
Addressed in potential change #17

7.

3.

.

7. L

C

POTENTIAL CHANGES

- 7. Adding RCW reference for Dept. s lethal removal authority (p.20, 1ST P)
 - 8. Adding Wolf Plan guidance on situations for Tethal removal (p.20, 15 + 2 P)
 - 9. Dept. considers lethal removal case-by-case (p. 20, last sentence)

POTENTIAL CHANGES

10. Total # of depredations is not the only factor (p. 21, sect. 1, last bullet)

M. Non-lethals expected regardless of recovery/listing status
(p.21, sect. 2, last bullet)

12. Annual assessment - are removals jeopardizing wolf recovery? (p.21, sect. 5)

LAPOTENTIAL CHANGES

More flexibility in regions at or above local recovery objectives (b.21, sect. 647)

Time period for attempting active removal - what if it results in removing the pack?

(p.aa, middle P)

15. 10-month rolling window 3
evaluation period (p. 22, 4th P)

POTENTIAL CHANGES

16. Ground-based removal methods

preferred over helicopter

(p. 23, 2rd P)

In Defining chronic depredation Zones (p.23, last 2 P) (consider Dan's concerns)

18. Include lethal removal operations in annual report (p. 24, last full PP)

POTENTIAL CHANGES (19.) Manage for ungulate prey base according to Game Mant. Plan / herd plans (p.6, line 164) (p.23, 3MP) 20. Regular communication w/ community leaders and elected officials (p.6, line 176) (A) Data sharing (22) Needs when wolves interface w/ urban areas

POTENTIAL CHANGES

(23.) Minimiz wolf-livestock conflict (p5, line 142)

(24) Compensation?

Add ref. in pla

155aing a kill permit \$ 1 - Specify Dir." not Dept. - to an agent of the state? TAK -Remove example?

p1

Remove last sentence of 6. and add

The director has the authority under 2 wildlife (wolves) that are distroying or injuring & property, or when necessary for wildlife management director authorizes att wolf removals X . In regions at/above objective, the director has more Merbitt when considering lethal removal and determents, and may use any tooks/approaches in plan · When considering lethal removal, the director will consider humaneous of approaches and use department resources before the 155 nance of permit PC 13 p2

The director has the authority [PC13] under RCW 77.12.240 to lethally remove wildlife, (including wolves) that are destroying or injuring property, or when necessary & for wildlife management. The department... & 6. Under the wolf plan, only the director at authorizes wolf removals i (Add "must" to #2) 7. Except, in cegions at/above, ob) rotogot and may use any or approaches in Allen-



30 <> 25 IN/P0 x 30 IN/P0 63,5 cm x 76,2 cm 5.2 SQ FT/Pl² (0.48 m

3M

IS PROPOSED FRONT-OF-DOCUMENT LANGUAGE The director has the authority to vary from the protocol while remaining within the guidelines of the Wolf Plandeficience on For example, in areas where the local wolf pip is below the Status of the regional Component of the Statewide

Wolf recovery objectives, the director may be more conservative. In areas when the ... at or above, the director may be less resolvative (RCW 77.12)

P. (Same lang. as in plan P)

BYTH SON AN MY MINE IS SHOW AND BY PC 14 State IT Don My Sa State Dy IS IT My Da Da WACKING WE BY DO DO BY SHI AS 1. Refer to language in front? SEE PROPOSEA FRONT-OF-DOCUMENT LANGUAGE 2. Sec. 8, 2 1/P he final increment may take longer than 2 wks. PC5) FISKATAN THISTATISM In areas below the regional component at statewide recovery objs. the Pept shall

H I I deploy of attempt to collar

Prioritize?

p1

Dept. make deploying of collor following the depredation a

Keep wording

PC 21 86 96 54 55 86 06 94 75 89 1. Addressing mobile app capability WAG 2. No blackout period? 3. Data sharing conte update to WAG. 4. Better commo to public?

PC 21 · Share Jul 10 notes W/ WAG at next Mtg. (Email ahead PC 17 WX XX Dept. drafts... VI. Amend reference to Considering multiple plans?

And Parend and P V3. Add consideration ton * reserve systemention? (Not limited to federal) loption? 4. Adjust Thresholds? innovations

PCI-MAKING STATE WAY SHE #36. Keep language 2 add reserve concept? V7. What's causing these
Zones? Wildlife ASPERT V8. Dept: Availability of other lands? 9. Renting

PC17/2 10. For developing innovations devot provide options not requirements (intext)

PC 11 Ky My alk Steph Ay SK Sph AN My 1. P. Change jump-start to bolster" 2. Reference to RCW Chap. 77.12 for the implementation of these conflict mitigation # guide/ines

IPC19 SK DS AV M, LI SHIT SK DI SHIT 1. Remove sentence? 2. o... ungulates, and other prey..."? 3. "The Dept. will continue... 4. Move to 3rd P of 151 page? 5. Reference Wolf Plan p.116? Move Pinto Perference pages in Wolf Man?

Parking Lot
Compensation program
Oversight

1. Investigation of wolf shot on traildefinition of self-defense

2. Protocol applies to eastern WA, but if wo've inserted an exception for F. WA, what would the protocol apply to? 3. Dept. record mtgs?

PROPOSED ANNUAL MTGS.

