This is the original WAG agenda for September 30 – October 1, 2015. It was amended to include a discussion of the existing checklist. As a result, the sessions on “process design” and “additions to and sequencing of topics” will be covered at the next WAG meeting.
Each stakeholder group already has many threats facing their way of life. The conflict over wolves exists and is amplified within this larger sphere of threats. WAG and WDFW explored these threats for all three groups.
Each stakeholder group already has many threats facing their way of life. The conflict over wolves exists and is amplified within this larger sphere of threats. WAG and WDFW explored and shared these threats for all three groups.
Within the context of these threats, WAG and WDFW explored opportunities for mutual support and collaboration.
WAG and WDFW brainstormed benefits and goals of a pilot project to develop individual livestock producer preventative plans.
WAG and WDFW explored what these plans might look like and what they need to consider going forward.
WAG and WDFW developed a draft process for the individual producer plan, including ensuring that producers not on WAG have equal opportunity to participate.
WAG and WDFW noted that quality preventive measures were important. The “taco bell menu” reference was made to recognize that while there are a standard suite of tools, they need to be customized to the realities and unique nature of each producer, where applicable.
WAG and WDFW developed a draft set of principles and considerations for developing and implementing the individual producer plan.
The “components” flipchart outlines what one producer is doing currently. The “Improvements?” flipchart lists potential improvements and practical considerations in making improvements.
WAG and WDFW had an honest discussion about their concerns and challenges. They discussed quality, cost, incentives, equity, cultural differences, and humility in going forward.
WAG and WDFW explored one producer’s operation. They also went through the existing checklist to see where there were gaps in their shared understanding and where potential changes may be needed, as well as what was realistic and practical.