2020 Willapa Bay North of Falcon Advisory Group Discussion  
April 16, 2020  
Zoom webinar 10 a.m. – 11 a.m.

WDFW Staff: Chad Herring, Barbara McClellan, Jody Pope, James Losee, Kyle Adicks  
Advisors: Andy Mitby (phone), Mara Zimmerman, Francis Estalilla, Greg McMillan, Lance Gray, Marlisa Dugan, Ross Barkhurst (phone), Tim Hamilton, Bob Lake (phone)  
Public: 2 Individuals

Chad Herring:  
- Thanked Zoom staff for facilitating meeting  
- Opening statements  
- PFMC Ocean fisheries  
  - Non-treaty (NT) 54,000 Chinook, 28,500 coho  
  - NT Area 2 will open June 20  
  - Treaty 35,000 Chinook, 16,500 coho

Barbara McClellan: Walk through fishery package that will be filed in the CR-102. Documents were posted online and sent via email to advisors.

Advisor Comments:  
- What is the release percentage, encounter data, for the release of unmarked coho?  
- Too much deception by the agency  
- The unruly fishery in the Naselle is over exaggerated. Is there a significant issue with enforcement on this section? No there is not.  
- You've wiped out the fishery in the Nemah and Naselle just to move them to the commercial fishery.  
- The commercial fishery is not a viable fishery. Will PDR a list of staff who made the decision on this fishery. I won't PDR this request if you send it to me.  
- Would like to see spawner survey data for 2019 coho.  
- How the ocean determines release of wild coho seems crazy.  
- Made a request to have no salmon fishing in South or Middle Nemah River. Doesn't make sense to have fishing in the South Fork Nemah.  
- If staff feels they have maximized fishing time, then just move along with this package  
- Found the discussion regarding model inputs to be a good discussion and look forward to that  
- The CR-102 changes would require a CR-102 supplemental.  
- The season was preconceived from the start. It ignored the policy and comments.  
- To get commercial fishing out front, you had to limit opportunity in the Naselle River.  
- This is not conservation and it's inappropriate.  
- Oppose this model and fishery package especially without a buffer  
- Wants to know who made this decision?  
- Page 27 in the PFMC documents show the rates for drop-off and release mortality for the ocean  
- Thought the rules for the advisory group was to advise the agency
• This fishery is not good for the commercial sector
• Think that it was a good idea to close the section in the Naselle to help broodstock egg take and the orcas. You listened to property owners along the river.
• Advisors provide comment and aren’t here to manage the fishery. If the group can’t work together, feel that we should disband this advisory group. Its unproductive.
• Hate the way we have used the policy. The policy ruins the fishery for everyone.
• Requested a conservation buffer but didn’t get one
• There is room for improvement for coho. The policy has a chance to work if we can fix it.
• If we get rain, hope the agency is open to in-season management with the possibility to additional fishing time in both the commercial and recreational
• Its overdue that we manage for conservation. We need agency representation in the ocean discussions for terminal fisheries.
• Oppose closing any recreational opportunity
• Oppose the Nemah closing Oct 1.
• Cannot have a WAC without a stakeholder process

Public Comment:
• Lyle Cabe
  o Oppose closing the recreational opportunity in the Naselle