| RULE-MAKING ORDER  | CR-103E (July 2011)<br>(Implements RCW 34.05.350)     |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife   | Emergency Rule Only                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Effective date of rule:<br>Emergency Rules 16-136         □ Immediately upon filing.         ☑ Later (specify) 6:00 p.m. June 6, 2016         Any other findings required by other provisions of law as precond         □ Yes       ☑ No         If Yes, explain:  | ition to adoption or effectiveness of rule?           |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Purpose: The purpose of this rule-making is to provide for Treaty Indiprotecting salmon listed as threatened or endangered under the Endan federal court orders governing Washington's relationship with Treaty In relationship with Oregon.</li> <li>Citation of existing rules affected by this order:<br/>Repealed: WAC 220-32-05100V<br/>Amended:</li> </ul>  | gered Species Act. This rule-making implements        |  |  |  |  |
| Suspended:         RCW 77.04.012, 77.04.020, 77.04.130, 77.12.045, and 77.12.047   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Other authority : United States v. Oregon, Civil No. 68-513-KI (D. Or.), Order Adopting 2008-2017 United States v. Oregon         Management Agreement (Aug. 12, 2008) (Doc. No. 2546); Northwest Gillnetters Ass'n v. Sandison, 95 Wn.2d 638, 628 P.2d         800 (1981); Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission policies concerning Columbia River fisheries; 40 Stat. 515 (Columbia         River Compact).         EMERGENCY RULE         Under RCW 34.05.350 the agency for good cause finds:         ☑       That immediate adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule is necessary for the preservation of the public health, safety, or general welfare, and that observing the time requirements of notice and opportunity to comment upon adoption of a permanent rule would be contrary to the public interest.         □       That state or federal rule or a federal deadline for state receipt of federal funds requires immediate adoption of a rule.         □       That in order to implement the requirements or reductions in appropriations enacted in any budget for fiscal year 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, or 2013, which necessitates the need for the immediate adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, and that observing the time requirements of notice and opportunity to comment upon adoption of a permanent rule would be contrary to the fiscal needs or requirements of the agency.         Reasons for this finding:       (See Attachment) |   |  |  |  |  |
| Date adopted:       June 6, 2016         NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)         J W Unsworth   |   |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNATURE De Ath   | DATE: June 06, 2016<br>TIME: 2:49 PM<br>WSR 16-13-031 |  |  |  |  |
| TITLE<br>Director  |   |  |  |  |  |

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

| Note: If any category is left blank, it will be calculated as zero.<br>No descriptive text.  |            |  |         |                               |  |
|--|------------|--|---------|-------------------------------|--|
| Count by whole WAC sections only, from the WAC number through the history note.<br>A section may be counted in more than one category. |            |  |         |                               |  |
| The number of sections adopted in order to comply with:  |            |  |         |                               |  |
| Federal statute:   | New        |  | Amended | Repealed <u>1</u>             |  |
| Federal rules or standards:<br>Recently enacted state statutes:  | New<br>New |  | Amended | Repealed <u>1</u><br>Repealed |  |
| Recently enacted state statutes.   | new        |  |         |                               |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
| The number of sections adopted at the request of a nongovernmental entity:   |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  | New        |  | Amended | Repealed                      |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
| The number of sections adopted in the agency's own initiative:   |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  | New        |  | Amended | Repealed 1                    |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
| The number of sections adopted in order to clarify, streamline, or reform agency procedures:   |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  | New        |  | Amended | Repealed                      |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
| The number of sections adopted using:  |            |  |         |                               |  |
| Negotiated rule making:  | New        |  | Amended | Repealed                      |  |
| Pilot rule making:   | New        |  | Amended | Repealed                      |  |
| Other alternative rule making:   | New        |  | Amended | Repealed                      |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |
|  |            |  |         |                               |  |

## REPEALER

The following section of the Washington Administrative Code is repealed effective 6:00 p.m. June 6, 2016:

WAC 220-32-05100V Columbia River salmon seasons above Bonneville Dam. (16-118)

**Reasons for this finding:** Discontinues the sale of fish caught in the Zone 6 Columbia River tribal fisheries and the sale of fish caught in Yakama Nation tributary fisheries. The area downstream of Bonneville Dam (SMCRA 1E1) remains closed to sales of fish. Treaty fisheries are approaching the allocated harvest limit of upriver spring Chinook based on a runsize of 184,000 fish. The season is consistent with the 2008-2017 Management Agreement and the associated Biological Opinion. Rule is consistent with action of the Columbia River Compact on June 6, 2016. Conforms state rules with tribal rules. There is insufficient time to promulgate permanent regulations.

The Yakama, Warm Springs, Umatilla, and Nez Perce Indian Tribes have treaty fishing rights in the Columbia River and inherent sovereign authority to regulate their fisheries. Washington and Oregon also have some authority to regulate fishing by treaty Indians in the Columbia River, authority that the states exercise jointly under the Congressionally-ratified Columbia River Compact. <u>Sohappy v. Smith</u>, 302 F. Supp. 899 (D. Or. 1969). The Tribes and the States adopt parallel regulations for Treaty Indian fisheries under the supervision of the federal courts. A court order sets the current parameters. <u>United States v. Oregon</u>, Civil No. 68-513-KI (D. Or.), Order Adopting 2008-2017 United States v. Oregon Management Agreement (Aug. 12, 2008) (Doc. No. 2546). Some salmon and steelhead stocks in the Columbia River are listed as threatened or endangered under the federal Endangered Species Act. On May 5, 2008, the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a biological opinion under 16 U.S.C. § 1536 that allows for some incidental take of these species in the fisheries as described in the 2008-2017 <u>U.S. v. Oregon</u> Management Agreement.

Columbia River fisheries are monitored very closely to ensure consistency with court orders and Endangered Species Act guidelines. Because conditions change rapidly, the fisheries are managed almost exclusively by emergency rule. As required by court order, the Washington and Oregon Departments of Fish and Wildlife convene public hearings and invite tribal participation when considering proposals for new emergency rules affecting treaty fishing rights. <u>Sohappy</u>, 302 F. Supp. at 912. WDFW and ODFW then adopt regulations reflecting agreements reached. (16-136, 6/6/2016)