CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: September 18, 2023

TIME: 3:16 PM

WSR 23-19-072

PROPOSED	RULE	MAKING
----------	------	--------



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington [Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), P2020-03			
Original Notice				
Supplemental Noti	ce to WSR _			
☑ Continuance of WS	SR <u>23-15-07</u>	<u> 78 filed on July 17, 2023.</u>		
Preproposal Stater	ment of Inqu	uiry was filed as WSR 20-2	13-094	filed on June 17, 2020_ ; or
Expedited Rule Ma	kingPropo	osed notice was filed as W	/SR	; or
Proposal is exemp	t under RC	N 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.33	0(1); o	r
Proposal is exemp	t under RC	N		
Title of rule and other	r identifying	information: (describe sul	oject) F	ishway and Screening Rules – creating new WDFW
WAC chapter 220-67	'0 to implen	nent RCW chapter 77.57.		
				a change in the scheduled hearing date of
		ish and Wildlife Commiss ish and Wildlife Commiss		e new date of the hearing has been rescheduled to
Hearing location(s):			1011.	
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific) Comment:		Comment:
10/26-28/2023	9:00am	Natural Resource Building,	1111	Detailed information about Fish and Wildlife
			a, WA	Commission meetings can be found at
Data of intended ada	98501 https://wdfw.wa.gov/about/commission/meetings Date of intended adoption: 11/17/2023 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		<u>12023</u> (Note: This is NUT th		,
		Assistance for persons with disabilities:		
Name: Gabrielle Stilwa			Contact WDFW ADA Manager	
			Phone: (360) 902-2349	
		Fax: (360) 902-2946 Attn: Gabrielle Stilwater		
		-	TTY: (360) 902-2207	
		Email:	Email: adaprogram@dfw.wa.gov	
	https://public	cinput.com/fish-passage-		
<u>rules</u> By (date) <u>October 28, 2</u>	2023		Other:	
				te) <u>October 15, 2023</u>
Purnose of the propo	sal and ite a	anticinated effects includ		/ changes in existing rules: WDFW is proposing a
	new WAC chapter implementing RCW 77.57.			

In November 2018, the Southern Resident Orca (SRO) Task Force published its report identifying lack of prey as a key threat to Southern Resident Orcas. Recommendation number three of the 2018 SRO Task Force report endorsed agencies to apply and enforce laws that protect habitat. Specifically, the SRO Task Force noted that the Governor should direct WDFW to develop rules to fully implement RCW 77.57 The Washington State Legislature supported the SRO Task Force direction with the approval of the 2019 Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1109 (Laws of 2019, chapter 415), which became effective on May 21, 2019. In 2019, WDFW entered into an informal co-management agreement with Washington State Treaty Tribes to incorporate climate change science into policy. Additionally, the proposed rules are intended to be consistent with parallel WDFW rules for construction projects in state waters, WAC 220-660.

This proposal would create and populate a new chapter in WAC (220-670) that defines general passability and protection standards for new and existing fishways and water diversions. Consideration of incorporating climate change into the design of new water crossing structures is detailed within the standards as well. The proposal codifies current WDFW practices of using the agency's fish passage inventory and assessment guidance and Water Crossing Design Guidelines. These standards are the foundation for establishing compliance measures. Compliance measures detail technical assistance

support and voluntary compliance steps a structure owner may follow to correct a barrier fishway or water diversion. Compliance measures also establish the effects of non-compliance when a structure owner does not agree to a WDFW compliance request.

Reasons supporting proposal: The proposal was developed over the course of three years with input from WDFW staff, tribal partners, additional Washington State agencies, Washington State Association of Counties, Association of Washington Cities, nongovernmental agencies, small business economic impacts and cost-benefit analyses, and multiple staff work groups and public comment opportunities, including three public comment meetings on the pre-proposed draft proposal. The proposal defines important fishway and water diversion standards and WDFW administrative actions.

Although this proposal is rooted in restoring Southern Resident Orca populations, there are other reasons supporting this proposal. As the human population grows, land use policies that allow development in or near floodplains can lead to degradation and loss of functioning habitat necessary to support salmon and other fish species. Structures built to protect or support human development activities such as bridges, culverts, and water diversions often further impact fish habitat. In addition to effects of urbanization, transportation, agriculture, logging, mining and other forms of land use, many rivers have been straightened, diked, and cleared of complex habitat features. Converting natural habitats into lands and rivers that support human uses often degrades the health of the habitat and the fish that depend upon it.

Fishway barriers limit fish life from accessing spawning and rearing habitat. Barriers can negatively affect streambed movement and large wood movement, prevent fish from moving up or downstream, concentrate predators, impact water temperature, and effects other natural ecological functions. In some cases, the effects associated with barriers can be as impactful as the barrier itself Culverts are generally designed to last 50 to 100 years. Designing culverts to be resilient to future changes in stream conditions can reduce the risks of culvert failure and the creation of barriers to migrating fish. Culverts and bridges built to accommodate higher stream flows are less likely to fail and block fish, which reduces future maintenance and repair costs. Improperly designed water diversions can reduce the amount of useable fish habitat. In addition, unscreened withdrawal points can trap fish in conveyance structures that pump water from its source to its final destination, leading to injury or death.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCWs 77.04.012, 77.12.047, 77.57.010, 77.57.030, 77.57.040, 77.57.060, 77.57.070, and 43.05.100; ESHB 1109 (Laws of 2019, chapter 415)

Statute being implemented: Chapter 77.57 - Fishways, Flow, and Screening

Is rule necessary because of a:	
Federal Law?	🖾 No
Federal Court Decision?	🖾 No
State Court Decision?	🖂 No
If ves. CITATION:	

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None at this time

Type of proponent: □ Private □ Public ⊠ Governmental **Name of proponent:** (person or organization) WDFW, Habitat Program, Fish Passage Division

Name of agency personnel responsible for:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting:	Gabrielle Stilwater	1111 Washington St. SE Olympia, WA 98501	564-999-0768	
Implementation:	Gabrielle Stilwater	1111 Washington St. SE Olympia, WA 98501	564-999-0768	
Enforcement:	Kelly Still	1111 Washington St. SE Olympia, WA 98501	360-902-2605	

Yes

🖂 No

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u>? If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:

Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under <u>RCW 34.05.328</u> ?				
Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:				
	ame:	i by t	sontaoting.	
	Address:			
	hone:			
	ax:			
	TY:			
	mail:			
	ther:			
 No: Please explain: Although these proposed rules are not significant legislative rules implementing RCW 77.57, WDFW voluntarily completed a cost-benefit analysis to provide greater scrutiny of the rules' potential impact. A preliminary cost-benefit analysis can be obtained by contacting Gabrielle Stilwater, address: P.O. Box 43200, Olympia, WA 98504-3200, Email: <u>FishPassageRules@dfw.wa.gov</u>, Fax: 360) 902-2946 Attn: Gabrielle Stilwater, Website: https://wdfw.wa.gov/species-habitats/habitat-recovery/fish-passage/rule-making. 				
	Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impa Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assis			
	cation of exemptions:			
chapter 19.8	This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <u>chapter 19.85 RCW</u>). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide published by ORIA</u> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):			
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description:				
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by <u>RCW 34.05.313</u> before filing the notice of this proposed rule.				
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt und			
	a referendum.		, ,	
□ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt und	er R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:	
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)	-	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)	
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)	
		-	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)	
	(Incorporation by reference)	_	(Set or adjust fees)	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)	
	(Correct or clarify language)		 ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) 	
□ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt und	er R		
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt und			
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule:				
(2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.				
The rule proposal is fully exempt (<i>skip section 3</i>). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.				
The rule proposal is partially exempt (<i>complete section 3</i>). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule				
proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using <u>this template from ORIA</u>): The rule proposal is not exempt <i>(complete section 3)</i> . No exemptions were identified above.				
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.				
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?				
 No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here: For the Small Business Economic Impact Statement (SBEIS), please refer to the original CR-102 form, WSR 23-15-078. The SBEIS has not changed and will not be refiled with this Continuance. 				
·	•			

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

 Name: Gabrielle Stilwater

 Address:
 P.O. Box 43200 Olympia, WA 98504-3200

 Phone: 564-999-0768

 Fax: (360) 902-2946 Attn: Gabrielle Stilwater

 TTY: (360) 902-2207

 Email: FishPassageRules@dfw.wa.gov

 Other: https://wdfw.wa.gov/species-habitats/habitat-recovery/fish-passage/rule-making

 Date: September 18, 2023

 Name: Scott Bird

Title: Rules Coordinator