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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the summer of 2005, the third year of a recreational Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha ("Chinook") fishery that was limited to retention of marked (adipose clipped) hatchery Chinook salmon occurred in Marine Area 5 and the western portion of Marine Area 6 in Puget Sound. Objectives were: 1) increase recreational fishing opportunity while meeting conservation goals for Puget Sound Chinook salmon defined by the Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan; and 2) collect information necessary to enable evaluation and planning of future potential Chinook mark-selective fisheries. Marine Areas 5 and 6 are located in Washington waters of the Strait of Juan de Fuca. The Chinook Selective Fishery was scheduled to begin on July 1, 2005 and continue through August 10 ( 41 days) or until a ceiling catch of 3,500 Chinook was kept, whichever occurred first. The fishery started on July 1, 2005 and ran continuously for 41 days through August 10 without the quota being reached.

Chinook and coho catch and catch rates in 2005 were less than observed in 2003 and 2004. For the first time in the three years of the fishery, the Chinook ceiling was not reached and the fishery extended through the entire 41 day period. We estimated that anglers made 34,086 trips during the Chinook Selective Fishery (July 1 - August 10). Those anglers kept an estimated 2,078 Chinook, 3,723 coho salmon O. kisutch ("coho"), and 14,850 pink salmon O. gorbuscha ("pink"). Area 5 accounted for $88 \%$ of the effort ( 30,115 angler trips) and $80 \%$ of the Chinook kept $(1,669)$ for a rate of 0.06 Chinook kept per angler trip. Area 6 accounted for 3,971 angler trips and 408 Chinook kept for a higher catch rate of 0.10 Chinook kept per angler trip. Based on interviews, Area 5 anglers released an estimated 5,772 Chinook, 10,381 coho, 3,894 pink, and 118 other or unidentified salmon. Also based on interviews, Area 6 anglers released an estimated 636 Chinook, 50 coho, 10 pink, and 2 other or unidentified salmon.

During the Chinook Selective Fishery (July 1-August 10), samplers fishing from test boats landed 137 Chinook in Area 5 and 17 Chinook in Area 6. In Area 5, 98\% of the Chinook encountered and landed by the test boat were caught using downriggers, even though they were only fished $87 \%$ of the time. In Area 6, all the Chinook encountered and landed by the test boat were caught using downriggers, even though they were only fished $75 \%$ of the time. Utilizing other gear types resulted in fewer encounters and fewer biological samples for both areas than would have occurred if the test boats had used downriggers exclusively as they did in 2003.

During the Chinook Selective Fishery time period, 55\% of the legal-size fish caught by test boats were marked in Area 5 and $41 \%$ of the legal-size Chinook were marked in Area 6. The mark rate on sublegal-size Chinook was $47 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=64$ ) for Area 5, but no sublegal-size Chinook were caught by the test boat in Area 6. Chinook caught on test boats were larger in Area 6 than in Area 5. The percent of legal-size chinook (22" or larger) was significantly different ( $X^{2}=85.4, \rho$ < 0.0001 ) between Area 6 (100\%) and Area 5 (53\%).

Sixty-four Chinook were recorded on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR's) in Area 5 during the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, while 40 Chinook were recorded on VTR’s in Area 6. In Area 5, $45 \%$ of the fish recorded on VTR's were legal-size and 31\% of these were marked. In Area 6, $92 \%$ of the Chinook encountered were legal-size and $35 \%$ of these were marked.

Thirty-three double index coded wire tags were recovered in Areas 5 and 6 from July 1 through August 10. Based on the proportion of the catch that was sampled and the ratio of marked to unmarked double index coded wire tagged Chinook for each hatchery, we estimated that anglers caught and released 105 legal-size, unmarked double index tagged Chinook, and that the mortality of unmarked legal-size double index tagged Chinook due to this selective fishery was 11 fish.

Using the total number of Chinook encounters from the creel survey $(8,495)$ and apportioning into four categories of legal-size marked, legal-size unmarked, sublegal-size marked, and sublegal-size unmarked (as encountered on test boats in Area 5 and as encountered by test boats and anglers reporting their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports in Area 6) suggests that anglers released 665 legal-size and marked Chinook, or $30 \%$ of the fish they could have kept. We also estimated the number of encounters by assuming that anglers kept all Chinook that were legalsize and marked. For this second method, total encounters were estimated by dividing the number of legal-size marked fish that anglers retained by the weighted proportion of legal-size marked fish from the test boats (and a combination of test boat and VTR data in Area 6). The number of encounters in the remaining three categories was then obtained by multiplying the total encounters by the proportions for each corresponding category. Using this method, we estimated the total encounters at 6,240 Chinook. The true number of encounters likely lies between the two estimates of encounters, i.e. between 6,240 and 8,495 Chinook.

Using the encounters from the creel survey and a release mortality rate of $15 \%$ for legal-size fish and $20 \%$ for sublegal-size fish, we estimated the total mortalities of Chinook in the selective fishery at 3,197 , of which 785 were unmarked. Using the encounters estimated by assuming anglers kept all legal fish and a release mortality rate of $15 \%$ for legal-size fish and $20 \%$ for sublegal-size fish, we estimated total mortalities at 2,810 fish, of which 588 were unmarked fish.

Although we believe the true number of mortalities lies between our two estimates, we used the higher number to compare estimated mortalities against pre-season predictions of mortalities. Based on the estimated number of total encounters from the creel survey and apportioning them based on the test boat catch rates, we estimated the 2005 fishery resulted in the mortality of 413 unmarked legal-size Chinook and 372 unmarked sublegal-size Chinook. These estimates are well below the predicted mortalities of 1,701 unmarked legal-size Chinook and 975 unmarked sublegal-size Chinook as produced in the final pre-season run of the Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM; Model 2705, April 8, 2005), and suggests this fishery did not hinder nor jeopardize achievement of the overall conservation goals for Puget Sound Chinook.

Compliance with existing regulations, and the regulation prohibiting bringing unmarked salmon on board a vessel, was considered an integral part of a successful fishery. Only a few citations or warnings were issued for retention of unmarked Chinook, or for bringing an unmarked salmon on board a vessel.

In summary, the third year of the Area 5 and 6 Chinook selective fishery was successful with respect to the objective of increasing recreational fishing opportunity within conservation constraints for Puget Sound Chinook. Anglers were allowed to fish for and retain Chinook for 41 days in Areas 5 and 6, compared with only 10 days and 5 days in Area 5 in 2001 and 2002,
respectively. Angler effort in Area 5 was double the effort in 2002 during the same time frame. Based on data from the test fishery sampling during the Chinook Selective Fishery, half of the legal-size Chinook encountered were marked and could be retained by anglers.

The fishery was also successful with respect to the objective of implementing monitoring and sampling programs to obtain management information for evaluation and planning of potential future selective Chinook fisheries. Estimated encounters were less than pre-season predictions. Compliance with fishing regulations was good during the fishery. The estimated number of mortalities of unmarked double index coded wire tagged fish was negligible.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, abundant runs of hatchery salmon have been mixed with depressed runs of wild salmon in the Northwest in both marine and freshwater environments. Providing opportunities to harvest those abundant hatchery stocks while protecting wild stocks has been challenging. One tool for allowing harvest of abundant hatchery fish while limiting impacts on wild stocks is "Selective Fishing". In recreational selective fisheries, anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unclipped ("unmarked") fish. These unmarked fish are typically wild fish, but also include some unmarked hatchery fish. While selective coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch ("coho") fisheries have occurred in Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia at various times since 1998, and selective Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha ("Chinook") fisheries have occurred in freshwater areas since 2000, a selective Chinook fishery had not been conducted in marine waters prior to 2003.

During the summers of 2003, 2004, and 2005, a selective Chinook recreational fishery was implemented in waters of the Strait of Juan de Fuca with the objectives of: 1) increasing recreational fishing opportunity while meeting conservation goals for Puget Sound Chinook salmon defined by the Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan; and 2) collecting information necessary to enable evaluation and planning of future potential Chinook markselective fisheries. The Northwest Treaty Tribes and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) reached agreement to continue selective Chinook sport fishing in this area for the 2005 season. The 2005 fishery was scheduled for the same time and area as the 2003 and 2004 fisheries.

The 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery started on July 1, 2005 and ran continuously through August 10, 2005 in Marine Area 5 and the western portion of Marine Area 6. Marine Areas 5 and 6 (hereafter: Areas 5 and 6) are located in Washington waters of the Strait of Juan de Fuca, running from the Sekiu River easterly to Low Point, and from Low Point to approximately Whidbey Island, respectively (Figure 1). Chinook selective fishing in Area 6 was open only from Low Point easterly to Ediz Hook because the eastern portion of Area 6 has many more boat ramps and other access points, and would have required substantially more sampling effort to obtain precise estimates of harvest and effort. Additional closures to help achieve fishery objectives were established: 1) in the eastern half of Marine Area 4; 2) near the mouths of the Sekiu and Hoko rivers; 3) near the mouth of the Elwha River; and 4) in Port Angeles Harbor.

Anglers were allowed to retain two marked (adipose fin clipped) Chinook salmon $\geq 22$ " ( 56 cm ) as part of their daily limit, and were required to immediately release, unharmed, any unmarked Chinook caught. Integral to the selective fishery was the same salmon handling regulation used in 2004. The 2005 regulation stated "It is illegal to bring a wild salmon, or a species of salmon, aboard a vessel if it is unlawful to retain those salmon. "Aboard a vessel" was defined as "inside the gunwale". During the Chinook Selective Fishery anglers were also allowed to retain pink $O$. gorbuscha ("pink"), sockeye O. nerka, and marked hatchery coho salmon.

The 2005 season was scheduled to run from July 1, 2005 through August 10, 2005 (41 days), or until a ceiling of 3,500 hatchery Chinook salmon was caught and retained by anglers. The
fishery was closed at 11:59 p.m., August 10, 2005 as scheduled, without the ceiling being reached.

Preliminary analyses of the 2003 and 2004 fisheries were completed and are reported by Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux (2005a, 2005b), and WDFW (2005). This report focuses on methods and results from 2005.


Figure 1. Location of the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery (shown in white) in Marine Areas 5 and 6.

## METHODS

Methods in 2005 were similar to those in 2003 and 2004; a detailed description of which is available in Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux (2005a, 2005b). We describe only changes to methods here, or methods that needed elaboration from those presented in the 2003 and 2004 reports.

## Access Site Size Determination

Between July 1 and August 10, four surveys were conducted by boat in Area 5, and seven surveys in Area 6, to determine the proportion of effort (or "size") for each access site.

## Angler Interviews

Samplers collected total lengths measured to the nearest millimeter from randomly selected Chinook. Samplers collected scales and lengths from 453 Chinook in Area 5 and from 133 Chinook in Area 6. Fifteen additional scale and length samples were collected in Area 6 by samplers that were not collecting data as part of the Murthy estimate.

Anglers on all boats were surveyed from a selected set of two docks or access points per area during a day; except that if some boats and anglers could not be surveyed, the boats were enumerated and harvest and effort data were expanded to account for the missed boats. During the Chinook Selective Fishery, only 33 boats were missed in Area 5 while 3,586 were interviewed, and no boats were missed in Area 6 while 779 were interviewed.

As time permitted, surveyors also randomly recorded the predominant (based on time) angling method used to encounter Chinook (kept and released) by the boat being interviewed if the boat had encountered Chinook according to the following categories: weight and bait (either mooching or trolling), downrigger trolling, trolling with divers, jigging, or other (e.g. fly fishing). Data was collected only for those boats that actually encountered Chinook. Test fishing boats used results of the angling method survey in order to more accurately represent the fishery (see Test Fishing).

## Test Fishing

One test boat fished out of Sekiu (Area 5) from July 1 through September 27, and one boat fished out of Port Angeles (Area 6) from July 1 through August 15. Only data collected between July 1 and August 10 were reported and analyzed in this report. Both areas were fished 35 of the 41 open days during the Chinook Selective Fishery.

Samplers attempted to capture Chinook from July 1 through August 10 through their choice of area to fish, depth, gear type and fishing methods. Samplers attempted to fish with gear types in the same proportion of time as anglers were encountering Chinook with each gear type as estimated from the angler interviews (see Angler Interviews).

Samplers measured both total and fork length on captured Chinook. Total length was used for all analyses in this report.

## Voluntary Trip Reports

Additional information on mark rates and the percentage of fish that were legal-size was obtained from Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR’s). In 2003 and 2004, VTR's were provided to any angler that wished to collect data. To increase the reliability of the VTR data, in 2005, only selected anglers were issued VTR's. Selected anglers were required to attend a class during which they received detailed information on salmon species identification and became familiar with the data forms, were instructed what data to collect, how to fill out the forms, and how to turn in the forms. Participating anglers recorded the date, number of anglers, target species, which Area they were fishing in, each Chinook or coho caught, whether the fish was kept or released, the species of fish, total length to nearest $1 / 8^{\text {th }}$ inch, and whether the fish was adipose fin clipped or not.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Effort and Catch

Chinook and coho catches and catch rates in 2005 were less than observed in 2003 and 2004. For the first time in the three years of the fishery, the Chinook ceiling was not reached and the fishery extended through the entire 41 day period. We estimated that anglers made 34,086 trips during the Chinook Selective Fishery (July 1 - August 10, statistical weeks 27 - 33; see Appendix A for dates associated with statistical weeks). Those anglers kept an estimated 2,078 Chinook, 3,723 coho and 14,850 pink (Table 1). Area 5 accounted for $88 \%$ of the effort (30,115 angler trips) and $80 \%$ of the Chinook kept $(1,669)$ for a rate of 0.06 Chinook kept per angler trip. Area 6 accounted for 3,971 angler trips and 408 Chinook kept for a higher catch rate of 0.10 Chinook kept per angler trip. Based on interviews, Area 5 anglers released an estimated 5,772 Chinook, 10,381 coho, 3,894 pink, and 118 other or unidentified salmon. Also based on interviews, Area 6 anglers released an estimated 636 Chinook, 50 coho, 10 pink, and 2 other or unidentified salmon. The total of 30,115 angler trips in Area 5 was more than double the effort observed during a similar period in 2002. From July 1 through August 9, 2002, anglers made 11,883 trips in Area 5 to catch 1,792 Chinook.

Despite the poor fishing, effort in Area 5 remained high throughout the first five weeks of the fishery before declining at the end of the season (Figure 2). In Area 6, effort was fairly constant, except for a sharp increase in late July (statistical week 31) when fishing improved (Figure 3). Chinook harvest was extremely low throughout the fishery except in mid- to late July (statistical week 30) in Area 5 (Figure 4) and Area 6 (statistical week 31; Figure 5). Anglers made 831 trips per day in 2005, compared to 820 per day in 2003 and 754 per day in 2004. A bonus limit of two additional pink salmon per day probably contributed to maintaining high angler effort throughout the 2005 fishery.

The number of Chinook kept per angler in Area 5 was very low throughout the fishery except during week 30 (Figure 6). The number of Chinook kept per angler in Area 6 was higher in the last half of the season than the first half the season (Figure 7), continuing a general trend observed in 2003 and 2004.

For Areas 5 and 6 combined, a total of 2,078 Chinook were kept during the Chinook Selective Fishery. Of this total, 2,025 were marked and 53 were unmarked (Table 2). One hundred of the kept marked fish were sublegal-size (5\%) and 30 of the kept unmarked fish were sub-legal size (57\%). A total of 6,408 Chinook were released during the fishery based on angler interviews and the appropriate expansions. We estimated that anglers encountered 7,442 Chinook in Area 5 and 1,044 in Area 6, for a total of 8,486 encounters. Angler interview data suggested that $31 \%$ of the fish were marked in Area 5 and 47\% were marked in Area 6. Approximately 90\% of the unmarked Chinook caught and released by anglers were caught in Area 5 (Table 3). Weekly sampling data and estimates are presented in Appendices B, C, D and E.

Table 1. Recreational salmon catch estimate during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005 based on angler interviews during creel surveys. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Fishery | Trips |  | Harvested |  |  | Released |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook | Coho | Pink | Unidentified or Other | Chinook | Coho | Pink |
| Area 5 | 11,968 | 30,115 | 1,669 | 3,710 | 14,609 | 118 | 5,772 | 10,381 | 3,894 |
| Area 6 | 2,116 | 3,971 | 408 | 13 | 241 | 2 | 636 | 50 | 10 |
| Total | 14,084 | 34,086 | 2,078 | 3,723 | 14,850 | 120 | 6,408 | 10,431 | 3,904 |



Figure 2. Angler effort in Marine Area 5, by week, for the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 3. Angler effort in Marine Area 6, by week, for the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 4. Catch of Chinook salmon from angler interviews in Marine Area 5, by week, for the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 5. Catch of Chinook salmon from angler interviews in Marine Area 6, by week, for the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 6. Catch per unit effort for kept Chinook salmon in Marine Area 5, by week, for the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 7. Catch per unit effort for kept Chinook salmon in Marine Area 6, by week, for the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.

Table 2. Creel survey estimates of Chinook kept and released, by mark status, during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

|  | Marked |  | Unmarked | Total | Marked |  | Unmarked |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kept | Kept | Kept | Released | Released | Released | Total | Totalased | Encounters |
| Area 5 | 1,620 | 49 | 1,669 | 542 | 4,664 | 566 | 5,772 | 7,442 |
| Area 6 | 404 | 4 | 408 | 85 | 549 | 3 | 636 | 1,044 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,025 | 53 | 2,078 | 627 | 5,213 | 568 | 6,408 | 8,486 |

Table 3. Summary of creel survey estimates of marked and unmarked Chinook catch and variances (in parentheses) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area | Chinook Kept |  |  | Chinook Released |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marked | Unmarked | Total | Marked | Unmarked | Unknown | Total |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 1,620 \\ (26,662) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ (268) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,669 \\ (26,930) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 542 \\ (4,526) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,664 \\ (135,221) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 566 \\ (16,642) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,772 \\ (156,388) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 404 \\ (14,938) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 408 \\ (14,941) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ (4,540) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 549 \\ (17,679) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 636 \\ (22,220) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 and 6 Combined | $\begin{gathered} 2,025 \\ (41,600) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ (270) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,078 \\ (41,871) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 627 \\ (9,066) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,213 \\ (152,900) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 568 \\ (16,643) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,408 \\ (178,608) \end{gathered}$ |

## Test Fisheries

Test boats attempted to replicate the fishing methods used by anglers encountering Chinook by utilizing fishing methods in the same proportions reported by anglers. Fishing was extremely slow in Area 6, and the number of Chinook encounters there was very low. During the Chinook Selective Fishery (July 1-August 10), samplers fishing from the test boats landed 137 Chinook in Area 5 (Table 4) and 17 Chinook in Area 6 (Table 5). The low sample size in Area 6 precluded calculation of weighted proportions of Chinook into legal-size marked, legal-size unmarked, sublegal-size marked, and sublegal-size unmarked categories.

Downriggers were the most commonly used method by anglers who encountered Chinook in both areas, followed by bait (Table 6); therefore, downriggers were the most commonly used method by samplers fishing from the test boats (Table 7). In Area 5, 98\% of the Chinook landed by the test boat were caught using downriggers (Table 8), even though they were only fished 87\% of the time. In Area 6, all the Chinook landed by the test boat were caught using downriggers (Table 8), even though they were only fished $75 \%$ of the time. Samplers caught only three Chinook using other gear types and all three fish were sublegal-size. Test fishing with other gear types resulted in fewer encounters and fewer biological samples for both areas than would have occurred if the samplers had used exclusively downriggers as they did in 2003.

During the Chinook Selective Fishery time period, $55 \%$ of the legal-size fish were marked in Area 5 and $41 \%$ of the legal-size Chinook were marked in Area 6 (Table 9). Based on these data, anglers could retain one of every two legal-size Chinook they encountered during the fishery. The mark rate on sublegal Chinook was $47 \%(n=64)$ for Area 5, but no sublegal Chinook were encountered in Area 6 (Table 9). The low sample size in Area 6 precluded meaningful comparison of mark rates between areas (Figure 8).

Chinook caught by test boats were larger in Area 6 than in Area 5 (Figures 9 and 10). The average size of fish in Area 5 was 61 cm with a minimum of 37 cm and a maximum of 101 cm (n $=137$ ), while the average size in Area 6 was 77 cm with a minimum of 63 cm and a maximum of $92 \mathrm{~cm}(\mathrm{n}=17)$. Despite the low sample size in Area 6, the percent of fish that were legal size (22" or larger) was significantly different ( $X^{2}=85.4, \rho<0.0001$ ) between Area 6 (100\%) and Area 5 (53\%).

Table 4. Catch data and calculations used to estimate weekly weighted mark rate and variance for Chinook salmon caught on the test boat during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 5, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Upper table shows the catch by week. Middle table shows the rates of marked and unmarked fish by week. Bottom table shows the weekly rate weighted (multiplied) by proportion of the total catch, and a season-long weighted mark rate (sum of the weekly data).

|  |  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Mark Status | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Legal | Marked | 0 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 40 |
|  | Unmarked | 1 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 33 |
| Sublegal | Marked | 0 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| Total |  | 1 | 5 | 26 | 63 | 28 | 5 | 9 | 137 |


| Weekly Rates | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Legal Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.667 | 0.500 | 0.576 | 0.556 | 0.800 | 0.286 |
| Sublegal Mark Rate |  | 1.000 | 0.550 | 0.367 | 0.500 |  | 0.500 |
| Combined Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.800 | 0.538 | 0.476 | 0.536 | 0.800 | 0.333 |
| Proportion Legal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.400 | 0.115 | 0.302 | 0.357 | 0.800 | 0.222 |
| Proportion Legal and Unmarked | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.115 | 0.222 | 0.286 | 0.200 | 0.556 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.400 | 0.423 | 0.175 | 0.179 | 0.000 | 0.111 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Unmarked | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.346 | 0.302 | 0.179 | 0.000 | 0.111 |


|  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season-long Weighted Rate | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |  |  |
| Proportion of Catch (from Creel) | 0.057 | 0.066 | 0.109 | 0.546 | 0.152 | 0.035 | 0.035 |  |  |
| Legal Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.055 | 0.315 | 0.084 | 0.028 | 0.010 | 0.54 | 0.152 |
| Sublegal Mark Rate |  | 0.066 | 0.060 | 0.200 | 0.076 |  | 0.018 | -- | -- |
| Combined Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.053 | 0.059 | 0.260 | 0.081 | 0.028 | 0.012 | 0.49 | 0.158 |
| Proportion Legal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.013 | 0.165 | 0.054 | 0.028 | 0.008 | 0.29 | 0.137 |
| Proportion Legal and Unmarked | 0.057 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.121 | 0.043 | 0.007 | 0.020 | 0.27 | 0.194 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.046 | 0.095 | 0.027 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.20 | 0.112 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Unmarked | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.038 | 0.165 | 0.027 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.23 | 0.116 |

## Draft 01-25-06

Table 5. Catch by week for Chinook salmon caught on the test boat during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  |  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Mark Status | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Legal | Marked | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Sublegal | Marked | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 17 |

Table 6. Predominate gear type used by anglers (\% of boat trips) to encounter Chinook (kept and released) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  | Area 5 |  |  |  |  | Area 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistical Week | Downrigger | Weight and Bait | Diver | Jig | Other | Downrigger | Weight and Bait | Diver | Jig |
| 27 | 73 | 19 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| 28 | 90 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 14 | 3 | 28 |
| 29 | 91 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 25 | 0 | 15 |
| 30 | 83 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 52 | 18 | 2 | 27 |
| 31 | 67 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 23 | 0 | 19 |
| 32 | 80 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 23 | 0 | 5 |
| 33 | 83 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 18 | 9 | 5 |
| Weighted Average | 82 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 19 | 2 | 17 |

Table 7. Percent of time that test boats fished various methods during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

| Statistical Week | Area 5 |  |  |  | Area 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downrigger | Weight and Bait | Diver | Jig | Downrigger | Weight and Bait | Diver | Jig |
| 27 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 29 | 76 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 67 | 13 | 7 | 13 |
| 30 | 83 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 65 | 14 | 0 | 21 |
| 31 | 91 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 61 | 26 | 0 | 13 |
| 32 | 85 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 89 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weighted Average | 87 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 75 | 13 | 1 | 11 |

Table 8. Percent of Chinook that test boats caught using various methods during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

| Statistical Week | Area 5 |  |  |  | Area 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downrigger | Weight and Bait | Diver | Jig | Downrigger | Weight and Bait | Diver | Jig |
| 27 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 28 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 32 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weighted Average | 98 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9. Summary of the number of marked and unmarked, legal-size and sublegal-size Chinook salmon caught by test boats during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  | Legal-size |  |  | Sublegal-size |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marked | Unmarked | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Marked } \end{gathered}$ | Marked | Unmarked | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Marked } \end{gathered}$ | Marked | Unmarked | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Marked } \end{gathered}$ |
| Area 5 | 40 | 33 | 55 | 30 | 34 | 47 | 70 | 67 | 51 |
| Area 6 | 7 | 10 | 41 | 0 | 0 | -- | 7 | 10 | 41 |
| Total | 47 | 43 | 52 | 30 | 34 | 47 | 77 | 77 | 50 |



Figure 8. Mark rate (\% adipose fin clipped) of legal-size Chinook caught by WDFW test boats in Marine Areas 5 and 6 during 2005. Sample sizes for Marine Area 5 are in parentheses (), while sample sizes for Marine Area 6 are in brackets []. The Chinook Selective Fishery occurred from July 1 through August 10, 2005 (statistical weeks 27 - 33). Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 9. Length frequency histograms of Chinook salmon caught by test fishing boats sampling from July 1 through August 10, 2005, in Marine Area 5.


Figure 10. Length frequency histograms of Chinook salmon caught by test fishing boats sampling from July 1 through August 10, 2005, in Marine Area 6.

## Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR’s)

Sixty-four Chinook were recorded on VTR's in Area 5 during the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery (Table 10), while 40 Chinook were recorded on VTR’s in Area 6 (Table 11). In Area 5, $45 \%$ of the fish recorded on VTR's were legal-size and 31\% of these were marked. However, because no catch was reported in week 33, we were unable to calculate a weighted mark rate. In Area 6, $93 \%$ of the Chinook encountered were legal-size and $33 \%$ of these were marked (Tables 11 and 12). It was difficult to discern any pattern in mark rate of legal-size fish from the VTR's (Figure 11). In Area 5, VTR’s generally showed a lower mark rate for legal-size fish than the test fishery (Figure 12). No trend was evident between the two methods for mark rates of legalsize Chinook in Area 6 (Figure 13).

## Coded Wire Tags

Samplers recovered 82 coded wire tags from harvested Chinook during the Chinook Selective Fishery (Appendix F). Of these, 69 percent were Puget Sound stocks, 19 percent were Columbia River stocks, 9 percent were Canadian stocks, and the remainder from elsewhere. Thirty-three double index coded wire tags were recovered in Areas 5 and 6 from July 1 through August 10 (Table 13). Fish from George Adams, Grovers Creek, and Samish hatcheries contributed the highest number of double index tags. We estimated that anglers caught and released 105 legalsize, unmarked double index tagged Chinook, and that the mortality of unmarked legal-size double index tagged Chinook due to this selective fishery was 11 fish (Table 14).

## Encounters and Total Mortalities

We used two methods for estimating Chinook encountered in the fishery. The first method was based on applying the weighted proportions of marked and unmarked, legal and sublegal size Chinook to the sum of landed catch plus the creel interview reports of Chinook released. For Area 5, we only used the test boat catches to calculate the weighted proportions. Due to the small sample of fish caught by the test boat in Area 6, we combined the test boat and VTR data into a single data set, and calculated weighted proportions of marked and unmarked, legal and sublegal-size fish in Area 6 for this analysis (Table 15). Using the estimate of total Chinook encounters from the creel survey and apportioning encounters into the four categories of legalsize marked, legal-size unmarked, sublegal-size marked, and sublegal-size unmarked from the combined data set resulted in slightly fewer encounters in Area 6 of legal-size marked fish (398) than the estimated number retained in the creel survey (404). To remedy this situation, we set the number of encounters of legal-size marked fish in Area 6 at the estimated number of fish retained, or 404 fish. Due to this adjustment, the final number of encounters for area 6 is slightly higher than reported in the creel survey. Using these methods, we estimated that anglers encountered 8,495 Chinook in Areas 5 and 6 combined. We estimated that anglers released 665 legal-size and marked Chinook in Area 5 and zero legal-size and marked Chinook in Area 6 (Table 16). The 665 fish released in Area 5 suggests that anglers released $30 \%$ of the fish they could have kept. Given the poor overall fishing during the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery, we believe most anglers would have kept a greater percentage of the fish they caught and that the
calculated release rate of $30 \%$ is unrealistically high. Using this method, we estimated the total Chinook mortality during this fishery at 3,197 fish (Table 16).

The second method for estimating the number of encounters was based on the assumption that anglers kept all fish that were legal-size and marked. Total encounters were estimated by dividing the number of legal-size marked fish that anglers retained by the weighted proportion of legal-size marked fish from the test boats (and a combination of test boat and VTR data in Area 6). The number of encounters in the remaining three categories was then obtained by multiplying the total encounters by the proportions for each corresponding category. This method resulted in an estimate of 6,240 encounters (Table 17) compared to 8,495 encounters for the first method.

The first method produced a result that implied anglers were "sorting" their catch by releasing one-third of the fish that were legal to keep. The second method assumed that all retainable Chinook were kept. Given the extremely low catch rate of marked legal-size Chinook in this fishery (about one fish for every 16 anglers), it seems unlikely that extensive sorting was occurring. It is also unlikely that all legal-size and marked fish were kept; even in low success fisheries barely legal-size fish may be voluntarily released in hopes of landing a larger one. The true number of encounters likely lies between the two estimates, i.e. between 6,240 and 8,495 Chinook (Table 18).

The range of encounters resulting from the two methods produces a corresponding range of mortalities. Using the first method and a release mortality rate of $15 \%$ for legal size Chinook and $20 \%$ for sublegal-size Chinook, we estimated the total mortalities of Chinook in the selective fishery at 3,197 , which includes the harvest of 2,078 fish (Table 19). Based on the estimated 7,441 Chinook encounters in Area 5 from angler interviews, we estimated the total mortality of Chinook in this area at 2,689 fish, including the 1,669 harvested. Based on the estimated 1,054 encounters of Chinook in Area 6 from angler interviews, we estimated the total mortality of Chinook in this area at 508 fish, including the 408 harvested. Overall, we estimated the total mortality of unmarked fish at 785 fish, of which 372 were sublegal-size fish and 413 were legalsize fish.

Using the encounters estimated by assuming anglers kept all legal fish (method two) we estimated total mortalities at 2,810 fish, of which 588 were unmarked fish (Table 19). Of the unmarked fish, we estimated that 267 were sublegal-size and 322 were legal-size.

Although we believe the true number of mortalities lies between our two estimates, we used the higher number to compare estimated mortalities against pre-season predictions of mortalities. Based on the estimated number of total encounters from the creel survey and apportioning them based on the test boat catch rates, we estimated the 2005 fishery resulted in the mortality of 413 unmarked legal-size Chinook and 372 unmarked sublegal-size Chinook (Table 16). These estimates are well below the predicted mortalities of 1,701 unmarked legal-size Chinook and 975 unmarked sublegal-size Chinook as produced in the final pre-season run of the Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM; Model 2705, April 8, 2005), and suggests this fishery did not hinder nor jeopardize achievement of the overall conservation goals for Puget Sound Chinook.

Draft 01-25-06
Table 10. Catch by week for Chinook salmon caught by anglers reporting their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR's) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 5, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  |  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Mark Status | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Legal | Marked | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Sublegal | Marked | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | Unmarked | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| Total |  | 3 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 64 |

Draft 01-25-06
Table 11. Catch data and calculations used to estimate weekly weighted mark rate and variance for Chinook salmon caught by anglers reporting their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR’s) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Upper table shows the catch by week. Middle table shows the rates of marked and unmarked fish by week. Bottom table shows the weekly rate weighted (multiplied) by proportion of the total catch, and a season-long weighted mark rate (sum of the weekly data).

|  |  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Mark Status | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Legal | Marked | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 13 |
|  | Unmarked | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 24 |
| Sublegal | Marked | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 1 | 40 |


| Weekly Rates | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Legal Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.462 | 0.333 | 1.000 |
| Sublegal Mark Rate | 1.000 |  |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Combined Mark Rate | 0.667 | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.333 | 1.000 |
| Proportion Legal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.429 | 0.333 | 1.000 |
| Proportion Legal and Unmarked | 0.333 | 0.667 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.500 | 0.667 | 0.000 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Marked | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Unmarked | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |


|  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season-long Weighted Rate | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |  |  |
| Proportion of Catch (from Creel) | 0.029 | 0.074 | 0.022 | 0.162 | 0.529 | 0.103 | 0.081 |  |  |
| Legal Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.244 | 0.034 | 0.081 | 0.38 | 0.257 |
| Sublegal Mark Rate | 0.042 | -- | -- | -- | 0.750 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Combined Mark Rate | 0.020 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.265 | 0.034 | 0.081 | 0.42 | 0.257 |
| Proportion Legal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.227 | 0.034 | 0.081 | 0.37 | 0.252 |
| Proportion Legal and Unmarked | 0.010 | 0.049 | 0.022 | 0.162 | 0.265 | 0.069 | 0.000 | 0.58 | 0.257 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Marked | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.06 | 0.112 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Unmarked | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Table 12. Summary of the number of marked and unmarked, legal-size and sublegal-size Chinook salmon caught by volunteers reporting their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR's) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  | Legal-size |  |  | Sublegal-size |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marked | Unmarked | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Marked } \end{gathered}$ | Marked | Unmarked | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Marked } \end{gathered}$ | Marked | Unmarked | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Marked } \end{gathered}$ |
| Area 5 | 9 | 20 | 31 | 11 | 24 | 31 | 20 | 44 | 31 |
| Area 6 | 13 | 24 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 24 | 40 |
| Total | 22 | 44 | 33 | 14 | 24 | 37 | 36 | 68 | 35 |



Figure 11. Mark rate (\% adipose fin clipped) of legal-size Chinook caught by anglers submitting Voluntary Trip Reports for Marine Areas 5 and 6 during 2005. Sample sizes for Marine Area 5 are in parentheses (), while sample sizes for Marine Area 6 are in brackets []. The Chinook Selective Fishery occurred from July 1 through August 10, 2005 (statistical weeks 27 - 33). Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 12. Mark rate (\% adipose fin clipped) of legal-size Chinook salmon caught by the WDFW test boat and anglers recording their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR’s) in Marine Area 5 during 2005. Sample sizes for the test boat are in brackets [], while sample sizes for VTR's are in parentheses (). The Chinook Selective Fishery was from July 1 through August 10. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.


Figure 13. Mark rate (\% adipose fin clipped) of legal-size Chinook salmon caught by the WDFW test boat and anglers recording their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR's) in Marine Area 6 during 2004. Sample sizes for the test boat are in brackets [], while sample sizes for VTR's are in parentheses (). The Chinook Selective Fishery was from July 1 through August 10. Note the first and last weeks include only three days each.

Draft 01-25-06
Table 13. Observed harvested Chinook salmon with Double Index Tag (DIT) coded wire tags during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag code | Brood Year | Rearing Hatchery | Release Site | Release Agency | Fork Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06 | 8-Jul-05 | 210390 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 75 |
| 06 | 15-Jul-05 | 210390 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 82 |
| 06 | 8-Aug-05 | 210390 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 73 |
| 06 | 8-Aug-05 | 210390 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 79 |
| 05 | 2-Jul-05 | 210407 | 2002 | DUNGENESS HATCHERY | GRAY WOLF R 18.0048 | WDFW | 70 |
| 06 | 1-Jul-05 | 210479 | 2002 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 61 |
| 06 | 26-Jul-05 | 210483 | 2002 | NISQUALLY HATCHERY | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | NISQ | 74 |
| 05 | 20-Jul-05 | 210548 | 2003 | NISQUALLY HATCHERY | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | NISQ | 39 |
| 06 | 8-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 68 |
| 05 | 21-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 64 |
| 05 | 22-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 52 |
| 05 | 23-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 62 |
| 05 | 23-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 59 |
| 05 | 23-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 52 |
| 06 | 24-Jul-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 63 |
| 05 | 10-Aug-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 72 |
| 05 | 10-Aug-05 | 631371 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 61 |
| 05 | 6-Aug-05 | 631375 | 2001 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | WDFW | 86 |
| 05 | 23-Jul-05 | 631377 | 2001 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW | 84 |
| 05 | 7-Aug-05 | 631377 | 2001 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW | 72 |
| 05 | 21-Jul-05 | 631387 | 2002 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WDFW | 59 |
| 05 | 22-Jul-05 | 631414 | 2002 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | CASCADE R 03.1411 | WDFW | 56 |
| 05 | 22-Jul-05 | 631414 | 2002 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | CASCADE R 03.1411 | WDFW | 56 |
| 05 | 20-Jul-05 | 631546 | 2002 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | DEADHORSE CR 01.0495 | WDFW | 55 |
| 05 | 20-Jul-05 | 631774 | 2002 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW | 61 |
| 05 | 21-Jul-05 | 631774 | 2002 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW | 58 |
| 06 | 23-Jul-05 | 631774 | 2002 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW | 60 |
| 05 | 23-Jul-05 | 631776 | 2002 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 57 |
| 05 | 21-Jul-05 | 631784 | 2002 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | WDFW | 61 |
| 05 | 20-Jul-05 | 631789 | 2003 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.01 | WDFW | 42 |
| 05 | 23-Jul-05 | 636322 | 2001 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 67 |
| 06 | 25-Jul-05 | 636322 | 2001 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 83 |
| 06 | 4-Aug-05 | 636322 | 2001 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW | 83 |

Table 14. Observed number of double index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and the estimated mortality of unmarked double index tagged Chinook due to catch and release mortality, during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DIT <br> Tagged fish <br> Observed | Estimated Harvest of Marked DIT fish | Variance of Estimated Harvest of Marked DIT Fish | Estimated <br> Angler Releases of Unmarked DIT fish | Estimated Mortality of Unmarked DIT fish | Variance of Estimated Mortality of Unmarked DIT Fish | Standard Error of Estimated Mortality of Unmarked DIT Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dungeness | 2002 | 1 | 2.51 | 3.78 | 2.43 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.19 |
| George Adams | 2001 | 3 | 12.02 | 44.23 | 11.27 | 1.13 | 0.39 | 0.97 |
| George Adams | 2002 | 9 | 27.43 | 61.40 | 27.32 | 2.73 | 0.61 | 2.23 |
| Grovers Creek | 2001 | 4 | 8.25 | 9.74 | 8.26 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.59 |
| Grovers Creek | 2002 | 2 | 5.63 | 11.62 | 5.50 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.44 |
| Kendall Creek | 2002 | 1 | 3.65 | 9.67 | 3.71 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.32 |
| Kendall Creek | 2003 | 1 | 3.65 | 9.67 | 4.46 | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.38 |
| Marblemount | 2002 | 2 | 7.30 | 19.34 | 7.33 | 0.73 | 0.19 | 0.62 |
| Nisqually | 2002 | 1 | 6.17 | 31.93 | 6.92 | 0.69 | 0.40 | 0.63 |
| Nisqually | 2003 | 1 | 3.65 | 9.67 | 3.60 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.31 |
| Samish | 2001 | 2 | 6.08 | 13.13 | 5.94 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0.49 |
| Samish | 2002 | 3 | 9.13 | 20.87 | 9.23 | 0.92 | 0.21 | 0.75 |
| Soos Creek | 2001 | 1 | 2.43 | 3.46 | 2.21 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.17 |
| Soos Creek | 2002 | 1 | 3.65 | 9.67 | 3.81 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.32 |
| Wallace River | 2002 | 1 | 3.65 | 9.67 | 3.72 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.32 |
| Total |  | 33 | 105.19 |  | 105.70 | 10.57 |  |  |

Draft 01-25-06
Table 15. Catch data and calculations used to estimate weekly weighted mark rate and variance for Chinook salmon caught by the WDFW test boat and anglers reporting their catch on Voluntary Trip Reports (VTR’s) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Upper table shows the catch by week. Middle table shows the rates of marked and unmarked fish by week. Bottom table shows the weekly rate weighted (multiplied) by proportion of the total catch, and a season-long weighted mark rate (sum of the weekly data).

|  |  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | Mark Status | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Legal | Marked | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 20 |
|  | Unmarked | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 34 |
| Sublegal | Marked | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 3 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 18 | 4 | 57 |


| Weekly Rates | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| Legal Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.400 | 0.200 | 0.462 | 0.333 | 0.750 |
| Sublegal Mark Rate | 1.000 |  |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Combined Mark Rate | 0.667 | 0.250 | 0.400 | 0.200 | 0.500 | 0.333 | 0.750 |
| Proportion Legal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.400 | 0.200 | 0.429 | 0.333 | 0.750 |
| Proportion Legal and Unmarked | 0.333 | 0.750 | 0.600 | 0.800 | 0.500 | 0.667 | 0.250 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Marked | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Unmarked | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |


|  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season-long Weighted Rate | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |  |  |
| Proportion of Catch (from Creel) | 0.029 | 0.074 | 0.022 | 0.162 | 0.529 | 0.103 | 0.081 |  |  |
| Legal Mark Rate | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.244 | 0.034 | 0.061 | 0.40 | 0.159 |
| Sublegal Mark Rate | 0.029 | -- | -- | -- | 0.529 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Combined Mark Rate | 0.020 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.265 | 0.034 | 0.061 | 0.38 | 0.153 |
| Proportion Legal and Marked | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.032 | 0.227 | 0.034 | 0.061 | 0.38 | 0.153 |
| Proportion Legal and Unmarked | 0.010 | 0.055 | 0.013 | 0.129 | 0.265 | 0.069 | 0.020 | 0.56 | 0.156 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Marked | 0.020 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.112 |
| Proportion Sublegal and Unmarked | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Table 16. Calculations used to estimate encounters and total mortality of Chinook salmon during the 2005 Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10. Uses the number of encounters obtained from dockside creel estimates, and apportions those encounters into categories of legal marked, legal unmarked, sublegal marked and sublegal unmarked according to the proportions those fish were caught by test fishing in Area 5 and by a combination of test fishing and Voluntary Trip Report data in Area 6.

Chinook Mortalities in the Recreational Chinook Selective Fisheries in Areas 5 and 6
July 1 - August 10, 2005

| Area 5 |  |  | Kept Marked | Kept Unmarked |  | Released |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\quad$ Total Encounters (E) | 7,441 |  |  | 1,620 | + | 49 |
| V(E) | 183,318 |  |  | 26,662 | + | 268 |
|  |  | + | 156,388 |  |  |  |

Test fishing proportions are used to split total encounters into legal marked/legal un-marked/sub-legal marked/sub-legal unmarked

|  | Test Fishery | V(TF) | Encounters | Mortality |  |  |  |  | Release |  |  | VAR | StErr | LCI | UCI | \%SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Retained | V(Ret) | Rate | Mortality | Released | Rate | Mortality | Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% legal marked | 0.294 | 0.0189 | 2184 | 1520 | 23809 | 100\% | 1520 | 665 | 15\% | 100 | 1619 | 41103 | 203 | 1222 | 2017 | 0.125 |
| \% legal Unmarked | 0.274 | 0.0376 | 2039 | 23 | 93 | 100\% | 23 | 2016 | 15\% | 302 | 325 | 47218 | 217 | -100 | 751 | 0.668 |
| \% sub-legal marked | 0.199 | 0.0124 | 1480 | 100 | 449 | 100\% | 100 | 1380 | 20\% | 276 | 376 | 28040 | 167 | 48 | 704 | 0.445 |
| \% sub-legal unmarked | 0.234 | 0.0135 | 1738 | 26 | 108 | 100\% | 26 | 1712 | 20\% | 342 | 368 | 30368 | 174 | 27 | 710 | 0.473 |
|  | Total |  | 7,441 |  |  |  | 1,669 | 5,772 |  | 1,020 | 2,689 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Area 6 |  | Kept Marked | Kept Unmarked |  |  | Released |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Encounters (E) | 1,044 | 404 | + | 4 | + | 636 |
| V (E) | 37,161 | 14,938 | + | 3 | + | 22,220 |

Test fishing and VTR proportions are used to split total encounters into legal marked/legal un-marked/sub-legal marked/sub-legal unmarked

|  | Test Fishery \& VTR's | V(TF) | Encounters | Mortality |  |  |  |  | Release   <br> Mortality Release Total <br> Rate Mortality Mortality |  |  | VAR | StErr | LCI | UCI | \%SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Retained | V(Ret) | Rate | Mortality | Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% legal marked | 0.381 | 0.0233 | 398 | 404 | 14,938 | 100\% | 404 | 0 | 15\% | 0 | 404 | 11486 | 107 | 194 | 614 | 0.265 |
| \% legal Unmarked | 0.561 | 0.0244 | 586 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 586 | 15\% | 88 | 88 | 862 | 29 | 30 | 145 | 0.334 |
| \% sub-legal marked | 0.057 | 0.0125 | 60 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 60 | 20\% | 12 | 12 | 550 | 23 | -34 | 58 | 1.956 |
| \% sub-legal unmarked | 0.000 | 0.0000 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 100\% | 4 | 0 | 20\% | 0 | 4 | 864 | 29 | 30 | 145 | 0.334 |
|  | Total |  | 1,044 |  |  |  | 408 | 646 |  | 100 | 508 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Computation of Variance on Total Mortality

E = Encounters
PPN Test = Proportions legal marked or legal unmarked or sub-legal marked or sub-legal unmarked from test fishery
$\mathrm{sfm}=$ Selective Fishery Mortality Rate
Variance $=(1$-sfm $) \wedge 2 * \mathrm{~V}($ Ret $)+(\mathrm{E} \wedge 2 * \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{TF})+\mathrm{V}($ Tot Enc) $*$ PPN Test $\wedge 2) *$ sfm^2

Table 17. Estimated encounters of Chinook in the Area 5 and 6 Chinook selective fishery in 2005, assuming that anglers retained all legal-size marked Chinook. Total encounters were estimated by dividing the number of legal-size marked fish that anglers retained by the weighted proportion of legal-size marked fish from the test boats (and a combination of test boat and VTR data in Area 6). The number of encounters in the remaining three categories was then obtained by multiplying the total encounters by the proportions for each corresponding category. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

|  |  | Legal- <br> size <br> Marked | Legal-size <br> Unmarked | Sublegal- <br> size <br> Marked | Sublegal- <br> size <br> Unmarked | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 5 | 0.294 | 0.274 | 0.199 | 0.234 |  |
| Proportions | 6 | 0.381 | 0.561 | 0.057 | 0.000 |  |
| Estimated Encounters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | 1,520 | 1,419 | 1,030 | 1,209 |

Table 18. Comparison of estimated encounters of Chinook in the Area 5 and 6 Chinook selective fishery in 2005. Method 1 assumes that the number of encounters estimated by creel survey is accurate and uses the proportion of legal-size marked, legal-size unmarked, sublegal-size marked, and sublegal-size marked fish as encountered by test fishing in Area 5 and a combination of test fishing and volunteer reporting in area 6 . Method 2 assumes that anglers did not release any legal-size marked fish, and total encounters were estimated by dividing the number of legal-size marked fish that anglers retained by the weighted proportion of legal-size marked fish from the test boats (and a combination of test boat and VTR data in Area 6). The number of encounters in the remaining three categories was then obtained by multiplying the total encounters by the proportions for each corresponding category. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Method | Area | Legalsize Marked Kept | Legalsize <br> Marked <br> Released | Legal-size <br> Unmarked Kept | Legal-size <br> Unmarked <br> Released | Sublegalsize Marked Kept | Sublegalsize <br> Marked <br> Released | Sublegalsize Unmarked Kept | Sublegalsize <br> Unmarked Released | Total Encountered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total | 5 | 1,520 | 665 | 23 | 2,016 | 100 | 1,380 | 26 | 1,712 | 7,441 |
| encounters from Creel | 6 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 586 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 0 | 1,054 |
| Surveys | Total | 1,924 | 665 | 23 | 2,602 | 100 | 1,440 | 30 | 1,712 | 8,495 |
| 2. Total encounters | 5 | 1,520 | 0 | 23 | 1,396 | 100 | 929 | 26 | 1,183 | 5,177 |
| from legalsize marked | 6 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 594 | 0 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 1,063 |
| fish retained | Total | 1,924 | 0 | 23 | 1,990 | 100 | 990 | 30 | 1,183 | 6,240 |

Table 19. Comparison of estimated mortalities of Chinook in the Area 5 and 6 Chinook selective fishery in 2005. Method 1 assumes that the number of encounters estimated by creel survey is accurate and uses the proportion of legal-size marked, legal-size unmarked, sublegal-size marked, and sublegal-size marked fish as encountered by test fishing in Area 5 and a combination of test fishing and volunteer reporting in area 6 . Method 2 assumes that anglers did not release any legal-size marked fish, and apportions the remaining categories by the same proportions used in method 1 . Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Method | Area | Legalsize Marked Kept | Legalsize Marked Released | Legal-size Unmarked Kept | Legal-size <br> Unmarked <br> Released | Sublegalsize Marked Kept | Sublegalsize Marked Released | Sublegalsize Unmarked Kept | Sublegalsize <br> Unmarked Released | Total <br> Encountered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Total encounters | 5 | 1,520 | 100 | 23 | 302 | 100 | 276 | 26 | 342 | 2,689 |
| from Creel | 6 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 508 |
| Surveys | Total | 1,924 | 100 | 23 | 390 | 100 | 288 | 30 | 342 | 3,197 |
| 2. Total encounters | 5 | 1,520 | 0 | 23 | 209 | 100 | 186 | 26 | 237 | 2,301 |
| from legalsize marked | 6 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 509 |
| fish retained | Total | 1,924 | 0 | 23 | 298 | 100 | 198 | 30 | 237 | 2,810 |

## COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS

Compliance with existing regulations, and the regulation prohibiting bringing unmarked salmon on board a vessel, was considered an integral part of a successful fishery. Between July 1 and August 10, officers contacted 499 anglers in Area 5 and 228 anglers in Area 6. From those contacts, five citations and no warnings were issued for retention of unmarked Chinook, all in Area 5. Two citations and one warning were issued for bringing an unmarked salmon on board a vessel. Also, out of 592 Chinook sampled by creel surveyors, only 19 were unmarked (3.2\%) which expands to an estimated 53 unmarked fish retained ( 23 legal-size and 30 sublegal-size). Although the number of unmarked fish retained is up slightly from previous years, it is still well below the 613 unmarked legal-size fish used in the FRAM model for 2005, and well below the $8 \%$ rate of unmarked encounters used for modeling purposes. Applying an $8 \%$ illegal retention rate of unmarked legal-size encounters to the lowest estimate of unmarked legal-size encounters in 2005 predicts that anglers would have retained 169 unmarked fish. We believe the slightly higher retention of unmarked fish in 2005 versus 2004 is a result of the extremely low catch rate on Chinook and anglers switching their target species to pink salmon and then incorrectly identifying small Chinook as pink salmon. Additional educational and enforcement efforts will be necessary in 2006 and especially in 2007 to ensure that anglers are correctly identifying their salmon. Nonetheless, from the perspective of protecting wild Chinook and ensuring proper handling during release, the high compliance rate suggests that conservation objectives were obtained in 2005. Although this study was not designed to obtain an unbiased estimate of compliance, these data suggest a high level of compliance in the fishery.

## SUMMARY

Total Chinook catch was down considerably from previous years and the quota was not reached for the first time. Catch per unit effort was very poor except for one or two weeks of fishing. Despite the poor success on Chinook, angler effort remained high throughout the duration of the fishery. A bonus limit of two additional pink salmon per day probably contributed to keeping angler effort high during the fishery.

This third year of the Area 5 and 6 Chinook selective fishery was successful with respect to the objective of increasing recreational fishing opportunity within conservation constraints for Puget Sound Chinook. Anglers were allowed to fish for and retain Chinook for 41 days in Areas 5 and 6, compared with only 10 days and 5 days of non-selective fishing in Area 5 in 2001 and 2002, respectively. Angler effort in Area 5 in 2005 was double the effort in 2002 during the same time frame. Based on data from the test fishery sampling during the Chinook Selective Fishery, half of the legal-size Chinook encountered were marked and could be retained by anglers.

Measured impacts of the fishery were less than pre-season expectations. Estimated encounters were less than pre-season predictions. Compliance with fishing regulations was good during the fishery. The estimated number of mortalities of unmarked double index coded wire tagged fish was negligible. The fishery was also successful with respect to the objective of implementing monitoring and sampling programs to obtain management information for evaluation and planning of potential future selective Chinook fisheries.
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Appendix A. 2005 statistical weeks used by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
2005 Statistical Weeks (Monday - Sunday)

| Stat. <br> Mon | Week <br> No. | Calendar Dates |  | Julian Dates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start | End | Start | End |
| Jan | 1 | 01-Jan | 02-Jan | 1 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 03-Jan | 09-Jan | 3 | 9 |
| 1 | 3 | 10-Jan | 16-Jan | 10 | 16 |
|  | 4 | 17-Jan | 23-Jan | 17 | 23 |
|  | 5 | 24-Jan | 30-Jan | 24 | 30 |
| Feb | 6 | 31-Jan | 06-Feb | 31 | 37 |
|  | 7 | 07-Feb | 13-Feb | 38 | 44 |
| 2 | 8 | 14-Feb | 20-Feb | 45 | 51 |
|  | 9 | 21-Feb | 27-Feb | 52 | 58 |
| Mar | 10 | 28-Feb | 06-Mar | 59 | 65 |
|  | 11 | 07-Mar | 13-Mar | 66 | 72 |
| 3 | 12 | 14-Mar | 20-Mar | 73 | 79 |
|  | 13 | 21-Mar | 27-Mar | 80 | 86 |
| Apr | 14 | 28-Mar | 03-Apr | 87 | 93 |
|  | 15 | 04-Apr | 10-Apr | 94 | 100 |
| 4 | 16 | 11-Apr | 17-Apr | 101 | 107 |
|  | 17 | 18-Apr | 24-Apr | 108 | 114 |
|  | 18 | 25-Apr | 01-May | 115 | 121 |
| May | 19 | 02-May | 08-May | 122 | 128 |
|  | 20 | 09-May | 15-May | 129 | 135 |
| 5 | 21 | 16-May | 22-May | 136 | 142 |
|  | 22 | 23-May | 29-May | 143 | 149 |
| June | 23 | 30-May | 05-Jun | 150 | 156 |
|  | 24 | 06-Jun | 12-Jun | 157 | 163 |
| 6 | 25 | 13-Jun | 19-Jun | 164 | 170 |
|  | 26 | 20-Jun | 26-Jun | 171 | 177 |


| Stat. <br> Mon | Week <br> No. | Calendar Dates |  | Julian Dates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start | End | Start | End |
| Jul | 27 | 27-Jun | 03-Jul | 178 | 184 |
|  | 28 | 04-Jul | 10-Jul | 185 | 191 |
| 7 | 29 | 11-Jul | 17-Jul | 192 | 198 |
|  | 30 | 18-Jul | 24-Jul | 199 | 205 |
|  | 31 | 25-Jul | 31-Jul | 206 | 212 |
| Aug | 32 | 01-Aug | 07-Aug | 213 | 219 |
|  | 33 | 08-Aug | 14-Aug | 220 | 226 |
| 8 | 34 | 15-Aug | 21-Aug | 227 | 233 |
|  | 35 | 22-Aug | 28-Aug | 234 | 240 |
| Sep | 36 | 29-Aug | 04-Sep | 241 | 247 |
|  | 37 | 05-Sep | 11-Sep | 248 | 254 |
| 9 | 38 | 12-Sep | 18-Sep | 255 | 261 |
|  | 39 | 19-Sep | 25-Sep | 262 | 268 |
| Oct | 40 | 26-Sep | 02-Oct | 269 | 275 |
|  | 41 | 03-Oct | 09-Oct | 276 | 282 |
| 10 | 42 | 10-Oct | 16-Oct | 283 | 289 |
|  | 43 | 17-Oct | 23-Oct | 290 | 296 |
|  | 44 | 24-Oct | 30-Oct | 297 | 303 |
| Nov | 45 | 31-Oct | 06-Nov | 304 | 310 |
|  | 46 | 07-Nov | $13-\mathrm{Nov}$ | 311 | 317 |
| 11 | 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 318 | 324 |
|  | 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 325 | 331 |
| Dec | 49 | 28-Nov | 04-Dec | 332 | 338 |
|  | 50 | 05-Dec | 11-Dec | 339 | 345 |
| 12 | 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 346 | 352 |
|  | 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 353 | 359 |
|  | 53 | 26-Dec | 31-Dec | 360 | 365 |

Appendix B. Sample rates for the 2005 Area 5 and 6 Chinook Selective fisheries, July 1 August 10, 2005.

| Week | Area 5 |  |  | Area 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Chinook Sampled | Estimated <br> Chinook <br> Retained | Sample <br> Rate | Number of Chinook Sampled | Estimated <br> Chinook <br> Retained | Sample <br> Rate |
| 27 | 38 | 95 | 0.399 | 6 | 12 | 0.504 |
| 28 | 23 | 110 | 0.209 | 18 | 30 | 0.596 |
| 29 | 58 | 182 | 0.319 | 6 | 9 | 0.681 |
| 30 | 250 | 912 | 0.274 | 36 | 66 | 0.545 |
| 31 | 47 | 253 | 0.186 | 35 | 216 | 0.162 |
| 32 | 24 | 58 | 0.412 | 19 | 42 | 0.455 |
| 33 | 21 | 59 | 0.353 | 13 | 33 | 0.392 |
| Total | 461 | 1,669 | 0.276 | 133 | 408 | 0.326 |

Appendix C1. Weekly sampling data from creel surveys conducted during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 5, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Kept Chinook Sampled | 38 | 23 | 58 | 250 | 47 | 24 | 21 | 461 |
| Kept Chinook Marked | 37 | 23 | 58 | 241 | 43 | 23 | 19 | 444 |
| Released Chinook | 160 | 135 | 193 | 901 | 168 | 55 | 40 | 1,652 |
| Released Chinook Unmarked | 118 | 117 | 164 | 736 | 123 | 49 | 35 | 1,342 |
| Released Chinook Marked | 27 | 11 | 13 | 71 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 149 |
| Released Chinook Unknown Mark Status | 15 | 7 | 16 | 94 | 21 | 4 | 4 | 161 |
| Mark Rate (\%) | 35 | 23 | 30 | 30 | 35 | 33 | 35 | 30 |
| Catch Proportion ${ }^{1}$ | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |
| Weighted Mark Rate (\%) | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 16.1 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 30.5 |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |

1. The weekly estimated harvest of Chinook divided by the estimated season total Chinook harvest (see Appendix D).

Appendix C2. Weekly sampling data from creel surveys conducted during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

|  | Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | Total |
| Kept Chinook Sampled | 6 | 18 | 6 | 36 | 35 | 19 | 13 | 133 |
| Kept Chinook Marked | 6 | 18 | 5 | 34 | 34 | 19 | 13 | 129 |
| Released Chinook | 22 | 31 | 22 | 55 | 47 | 21 | 7 | 205 |
| Released Chinook Unmarked | 21 | 29 | 20 | 54 | 44 | 18 | 7 | 193 |
| Released Chinook Marked | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| Released Chinook Unknown Mark Status | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mark Rate (\%) | 25 | 40 | 25 | 38 | 44 | 55 | 65 | 41 |
| Catch Proportion ${ }^{1}$ | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.53 | 0.10 | 0.08 |  |
| Weighted Mark Rate (\%) | 0.7 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 23.6 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 44.9 |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 |

1. The weekly estimated harvest of Chinook divided by the estimated season total Chinook harvest (see Appendix E).

Appendix D. Weekly creel survey estimates of marked and unmarked Chinook catch and variances (in parentheses) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 5, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Statistical Week | Chinook Kept |  |  | Chinook Released |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marked | Unmarked | Total | Marked | Unmarked | Unknown | Total |
| 27 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 93 \\ (157) \end{gathered}$ | $3$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 95 \\ (160) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 71 \\ (453) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 309 \\ (1,459) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42 \\ (140) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 423 \\ (2,052) \end{gathered}$ |
| 28 | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ (241) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ (241) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 477 \\ (2,929) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 532 \\ (3,089) \end{gathered}$ |
| 29 | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ (1,603) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ (1,603) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (160) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 475 \\ (6,698) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (141) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 542 \\ (6,999) \end{gathered}$ |
| 30 | $\begin{gathered} 892 \\ (18,674) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 912 \\ (18,759) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 282 \\ (3,462) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,459 \\ (61,782) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 353 \\ (15,557) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,093 \\ (80,801) \end{gathered}$ |
| 31 | $\begin{gathered} 233 \\ (5,625) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (164) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 253 \\ (5,789) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111 \\ (351) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 705 \\ (60,902) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ (656) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 906 \\ (61,910) \end{gathered}$ |
| 32 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { (58) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ (59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127 \\ (309) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \\ (329) \end{array}$ |
| 33 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ (306) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (319) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ (1,142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (1,208) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 1,620 \\ (26,662) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ (268) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,669 \\ (26,930) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 542 \\ (4,526) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,664 \\ (135,221) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 566 \\ (16,642) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,772 \\ (156,388) \end{gathered}$ |

Appendix E. Weekly creel survey estimates of marked and unmarked Chinook catch and variances (in parentheses) during the Chinook Selective Fishery in Marine Area 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

|  | Chinook Kept |  |  | Chinook Released |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Marked | Unmarked | Total | Marked | Unmarked | Unknown | Total |
| 27 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46 \\ (421) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51 \\ (438) \end{gathered}$ |
| 28 | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { (50) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (96) \end{gathered}$ |
| 29 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (23) \end{gathered}$ |
| 30 | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ (128) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (130) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105 \\ (165) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108 \\ (174) \end{gathered}$ |
| 31 | $\begin{gathered} 216 \\ (14,221) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 216 \\ (14,221) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ (4,509) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 243 \\ (16,154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 313 \\ (20,663) \end{gathered}$ |
| 32 | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (387) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ (390) \end{gathered}$ |
| 33 | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (154) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (437) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (437) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 404 \\ (14,938) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 408 \\ (14,941) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ (4,540) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 549 \\ (17,679) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 636 \\ (22,220) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Appendix F. Recoveries of coded wire tags from Chinook salmon during the Chinook Selective Fisheries in Marine Areas 5 and 6, July 1 through August 10, 2005.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tagcode | Recovery Mark | Fork Length (cm) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { Year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rearing Hatchery | Release Site | Release Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 062763 | AD Fin Clp | 74 | 785 | 2002 | FEATHER R HATCHERY | BENICIA | CDWR |
| 05 | Jul 102005 | 090119 | AD Fin Clp | 82 |  | 2000 | WILLAMETTE HATCHERY | BLIND SL (LWR COL R) | ODFW |
| 05 | Jul 42005 | 183224 | AD Fin Clp | 80 | -- | 2001 | H-CLAYOQUOT | R-KENNEDY R LOW | CDFO |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 185527 | AD Fin Clp | 60 | 650 | 2002 | H-NANAIMO R | R-NANAIMO R | CDFO |
| 05 | Jul 302005 | 185660 | AD Fin Clp | 49 | 514 | 2003 | H-COWICHAN R | R-COWICHAN R UP | CDFO |
| 06 | Aug 82005 | 210390 | AD Fin Clp | 73 | 742 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |
| 06 | Aug 82005 | 210390 | AD Fin Clp | 79 | 811 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |
| 06 | Jul 82005 | 210390 | AD Fin Clp | 75 | 800 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |
| 06 | Jul 152005 | 210390 | AD Fin Clp | 82 | 861 | 2001 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |
| 05 | Aug 102005 | 210402 | AD Fin Clp | 70 | 755 | 2001 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | BAKER R 03.0435 | WDFW |
| 06 | Aug 72005 | 210406 | AD Fin Clp | 80 | 831 | 2001 | LUMMI SEA PONDS | SLATER SLOUGH 1.0156 | LUMM |
| 05 | Jul 22005 | 210407 | AD Fin Clp | 70 | 716 | 2002 | DUNGENESS HATCHERY | GRAY WOLF R 18.0048 | WDFW |
| 06 | Jul 12005 | 210479 | AD Fin Clp | 61 | 635 | 2002 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |
| 06 | Jul 262005 | 210483 | AD Fin Clp | 70 | 742 | 2002 | NISQUALLY HATCHERY | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | NISQ |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 210485 | AD Fin Clp | 69 | 719 | 2002 | COWSKULL ACCLIM POND | COWSKULL ACCLIM POND | PUYA |
| 05 | Jul 82005 | 210506 | AD Fin Clp | 59 | -- | 2002 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | NISQ |
| 05 | Jul 242005 | 210506 | AD Fin Clp | 60 | 703 | 2002 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | NISQ |
| 06 | Jul 242005 | 210506 | AD Fin Clp | 67 | 715 | 2002 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | NISQ |
| 06 | Jul 252005 | 210506 | AD Fin Clp | 78 | 783 | 2002 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | NISQ |
| 06 | Jul 302005 | 210506 | AD Fin Clp | 70 | 713 | 2002 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | NISQ |
| 05 | Jul 312005 | 210506 | AD Fin Clp | 55 | -- | 2002 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | NISQ |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 210508 | AD Fin Clp | 64 | 678 | 2002 | LUMMI SEA PONDS | LUMMI SEA PONDS | LUMM |
| 05 | Jul 122005 | 210509 | AD Fin Clp | 81 | 821 | 2002 | LUMMI SEA PONDS | NOOKSACK R 01.0120 | LUMM |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 210509 | AD Fin Clp | 70 | 730 | 2002 | LUMMI SEA PONDS | NOOKSACK R 01.0120 | LUMM |
| 05 | Jul 292005 | 210511 | Unmarked | 52 | 560 | 2002 | WHITE RIVER HATCHERY | WHITE R 10.0031 | MUCK |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 210548 | AD Fin Clp | 39 | 425 | 2003 | NISQUALLY HATCHERY | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | NISQ |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 612659 | AD Fin Clp | 53 | 561 |  |  |  | Nez Perce |
| 05 | Jul 142005 | 630399 | AD Fin Clp | 69 | 740 | 2000 | PORTAGE BAY HATCHERY | PORTAGE BAY/SHIP CNL | UW |
| 06 | Aug 82005 | 630783 | AD Fin Clp | 68 | 698 | 2000 | MCALLISTER HATCHERY | MCALLISTER CR11.0324 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 92005 | 630865 | AD Fin Clp | 66 | 704 | 2001 | GORST CR REARING PND | GORST CR 15.0216 | SUQ |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 630890 | AD Fin Clp | 74 | 786 | 2001 | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | SNAKE R-LOWR 33.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631007 | AD Fin Clp | 53 | 571 | 2002 | TURTLE ROCK HATCHERY | COLUMBIA R - GENERAL | WDFW |
| 05 | Aug 102005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 72 | 773 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Aug 102005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 61 | 688 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 06 | Jul 82005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 68 | 712 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 64 | 696 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 52 | 563 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 62 | 634 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 59 | 612 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 52 | 771 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 06 | Jul 242005 | 631371 | AD Fin Clp | 63 | 654 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Aug 62005 | 631375 | AD Fin Clp | 86 | 883 | 2001 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | WDFW |
| 05 | Aug 72005 | 631377 | AD Fin Clp | 72 | 751 | 2001 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631377 | AD Fin Clp | 84 | 878 | 2001 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW |

Appendix F. Continued.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tagcode | Recovery Mark | Fork Length (cm) | Total Length (mm) | Brood Year | Rearing Hatchery | Release Site | Release Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 631387 | AD Fin Clp | 59 | 656 | 2002 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631414 | AD Fin Clp | 56 | 582 | 2002 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | CASCADE R 03.1411 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631414 | AD Fin Clp | 56 | 595 | 2002 | MARBLEMOUNT HATCHERY | CASCADE R 03.1411 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 242005 | 631436 | AD Fin Clp | 65 | 673 | 2002 | GORST CR REARING PND | GORST CR 15.0216 | SUQ |
| 06 | Jul 262005 | 631436 | AD Fin Clp | 72 | 741 | 2002 | GORST CR REARING PND | GORST CR 15.0216 | SUQ |
| 05 | Jul 162005 | 631545 | AD Fin Clp | 63 | 660 | 2002 | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | SNAKE R-LOWR 33.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 631546 | AD Fin Clp | 55 | 583 | 2002 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | DEADHORSE CR 01.0495 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631548 | AD Fin Clp | 67 | 696 | 2002 | WELLS HATCHERY | WELLS DAM- CHIEF JOE | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631552 | AD Fin Clp | 75 | 777 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631553 | AD Fin Clp | 65 | 720 | 2002 | GORST CR REARING PND | GORST CR 15.0216 | SUQ |
| 05 | Jul 2 | 631555 | AD Fin Clp | 57 | 603 | 2002 | BIG BEEF CR | BIG BEEF CR HATCHERY | WDFW |
| 06 | Aug 42005 | 631558 | AD Fin Clp | 75 | 782 | 2002 | MINTER HATCHERY | MINTER CR 15.0048 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631585 | AD Fin Clp | 66 | 680 | 2002 | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | SNAKE R-LOWR 33.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 12005 | 631587 | AD Fin Clp | 89 | 920 | 2002 | DRYDEN POND | WENATCHEE R 45.0030 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 162005 | 631771 | AD Fin Clp | 73 | 763 | 2002 | PORTAGE BAY HATCHERY | PORTAGE BAY/SHIP CNL | UW |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 631774 | AD Fin Clp | 61 | 652 | 2002 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 631774 | AD Fin Clp | 58 | 633 | 2002 | SAMISH HATCHE | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW |
| 06 | Jul 232005 | 631774 | AD Fin Clp | 60 | 624 | 2002 | SAMISH HATCHERY | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 631776 | Unmarked | 57 | 594 | 2002 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 631777 | AD Fin Clp | 62 | 653 | 2002 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | FINCH CR 16.0222 | WDFW |
| 06 | Jul 292005 | 631777 | AD Fin Clp | 71 | 752 | 2002 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | FINCH CR 16.0222 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631780 | AD Fin Clp | 59 | 619 | 2002 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 222005 | 631780 | AD Fin Clp | 54 | 573 | 2002 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 262005 | 631780 | AD Fin Clp | 65 | 682 | 2002 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 22005 | 631781 | AD Fin Clp | 60 | 610 | 2002 | TUMWATER FALLS HATCH | DESCHUTES R 13.0028 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 631784 | AD Fin Clp | 61 | 638 | 2002 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 631789 | AD Fin Clp | 42 | 447 | 2002 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.01 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 202005 | 631799 | AD Fin Clp | 56 | 591 | 2002 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WDFW |
| 05 | Aug 102005 | 631887 | AD Fin Clp | 60 | 633 | 2002 | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | EAST SOUND BAY-ORCAS | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 162005 | 631887 | AD Fin Clp | 50 | 540 | 2002 | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | EAST SOUND BAY-ORCAS | WDFW |
| 05 | Aug 102005 | 631898 | AD Fin Clp | 56 | 584 | 2002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCH | COWLITZR 26.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 162005 | 631969 | AD Fin Clp | 55 | 582 | 2002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCH | COWLITZR 26.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 172005 | 631974 | AD Fin Clp | 60 | 626 | 2002 | COWLITZ SALMON HATCH | COWLITZR 26.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 12005 | 632167 | AD Fin Clp | 53 | 562 | 2002 | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | SNAKE R-LOWR 33.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 212005 | 632167 | AD Fin Clp | 62 | 651 | 2002 | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | SNAKE R-LOWR 33.0002 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 242005 | 632167 | AD Fin Clp | 50 | 535 | 2002 | LYONS FERRY HATCHERY | SNAKE R-LOWR 33.0002 | WDFW |
| 06 | Aug 42005 | 636322 | AD Fin Clp | 83 | 842 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 05 | Jul 232005 | 636322 | AD Fin Clp | 67 | 692 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |
| 06 | Jul 252005 | 636322 | AD Fin Clp | 83 | 831 | 2002 | GEORGE ADAMS HATCHRY | PURDY CR 16.0005 | WDFW |

