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## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of wild Chinook salmon in the marine environments of the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, wild stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of large-scale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting wild salmon populations. In such "mark-selective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly wild) salmon encountered ${ }^{1}$.

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine mark-selective Chinook fishery in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a ,WDFW 2008a), markselective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented on a pilot basis in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both the summer and winter seasons. As of the close of the summer 2012 fishing season, pilot summer selective Chinook fisheries have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for ten years (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a, Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005b, WDFW 2008a, WDFW 2009a, WDFW 2010g, WDFW 2011a, WDFW 2012c) and in Areas 9, 10, 11, and 13 for six years (WDFW 2007a and 2007b, WDFW 2009b and 2009c, WDFW 2010e and 2010f, WDFW 2011a, WDFW 2012c). The 2012 summer mark-selective fisheries report is currently in preparation. Additionally, pilot winter selective Chinook fisheries have occurred in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for seven consecutive seasons beginning in the winter of 2005/2006 (WDFW 2008b, WDFW 2009d, WDFW 2010b, WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012b), Areas 7, 9 and 10 for five consecutive seasons beginning in the winter of 2007/2008 (WDFW 2009e, WDFW 2010a, WDFW 2010c, WDFW 2010d, WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012b), and in Areas 11 and 12 for three consecutive seasons beginning in the winter of 2009/2010 (WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012b).

During the 2011-12 winter season (October 2011 through April 2012), WDFW implemented seven pilot mark-selective Chinook fisheries in Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11 and 12. The 2011-12 winter Chinook MSF seasons in each of the areas were as follows:

- Area 7 from December 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012;
- Areas 8-1 and 8-2 from November 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012;
- Area 9 from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012;
- Area 10 from October 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012; and
- Areas 11 and 12 from February 1 through April 30, 2012.

[^0]Consistent with the 2004 (and 2010 update) Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (Puget Sound Indian Tribes and WDFW 2004 and 2010), a key goal of implementing each of these mark-selective Chinook fisheries has been to provide meaningful opportunity to the recreational angling public while minimally impacting ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon.

## Comprehensive Sampling and Monitoring Program

WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) was tasked with implementing a comprehensive sampling and monitoring program in Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9,10,11 and 12 to collect the data needed to evaluate each pilot mark-selective Chinook fishery and its impact on unmarked salmon. As per state-tribal agreement (e.g., WDFW and NWIFC 2011), we developed area-specific sampling plans consisting of several comprehensive and complementary sampling components, including dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water or aerial effort surveys, and angler-completed voluntary trip reports (VTRs). We tailored area-specific sampling plans so that we could reliably estimate the following critical parameters needed for evaluating mark-selective fisheries:
i) the mark rate of the targeted Chinook population
ii) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and markstatus [marked or unmarked] group)
iii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group)
iv) the coded-wire tag- (CWT) and/or DNA-based stock composition of marked and unmarked Chinook mortalities ${ }^{2}$
$v)$ the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks
In addition, we acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the pilot fisheries, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), the length and age composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts.

## Reporting Efficiencies

In July 2010, technical staffs from the WDFW Puget Sound Sampling Unit, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC), and Puget Sound Treaty Tribes met to discuss potential reporting efficiencies in WDFW's mark-selective Chinook fishery post-season reports. NWIFC and tribal representatives had initiated the idea for such a meeting, considering that we at WDFW had been submitting a separate post-season report for each area and season (since 2003) to the co-managers, resulting in redundancies between individual reports, particularly in the Methods section. Also, over the years we kept adding sections to the selective fishery annual reports, in response to individual tribal co-manager requests, and sustained those additions in each future report, resulting in ever-lengthening post-season reports. From both the WDFW and tribal technical perspectives, we needed to prioritize the most essential reporting elements and achieve efficiencies to streamline the selective fishery reporting work load.

[^1]Thus, at the July 2010 meeting the WDFW and tribal staffs worked on prioritizing the most essential elements (i.e., tables, figures, and appendices) needed in WDFW's annual post-season selective fishery reports in an effort to define reporting efficiencies. Based on these decisions (details available in a WDFW memo dated August 16, 2010 summarizing the July 2010 meeting), we began implementing reporting efficiencies starting with the 2009-10 winter markselective Chinook fisheries post-season report and continuing thereafter.

At the July 2010 meeting we also agreed that a key efficiency in the annual reporting process would be for WDFW staff to produce a centralized Methods Report. The Methods Report would be a stand-alone document that includes the details of each area's Chinook MSF study design (for both winter and summer fisheries), sampling procedures, data analysis methods, and all equations used to generate estimates and variances. Thus, we refer the reader to our Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for detailed descriptions of the diverse study designs and protocols used to monitor and evaluate the selective Chinook fisheries in Areas 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11, and 12 during winter 2011-12.

In the following pages, we report the results generated through our monitoring activities during the 2011-12 winter mark-selective Chinook fisheries. We report results based on our more efficient reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives, in which we focus on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text (unless text is needed to specify noteworthy in-season adjustments or other circumstances unique to the particular season). We present 2011-12 winter Chinook MSF results in separate chapters (1 through 6) by area, and within each chapter the data are presented in a series of tables and figures generally according to the following sequence: $i$ ) estimates of fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside creel survey data, including catch and effort total estimates, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results; ii) results from our recreational test fishery (where applicable); iii) results from our VTR collection efforts; $i v$ ) total mortality estimates of marked and unmarked DIT CWT stocks by hatchery and brood year; $v$ ) total fishery Chinook encounters and impactsestimated based on creel survey and test fishery or VTR data-which we compare with preseason expectations (based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions); vi) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook; and vii) historical Chinook encounters estimates for each area's winter mark-selective Chinook fishery.

## RESULTS

## 1) Marine Area 7 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifth consecutive winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 7 from December 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 7 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, aerial effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 7 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), we present aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 7 (see WDFW 2012a, Aerial-Access Design). Total salmon harvest and release estimates presented in this chapter include only Chinook salmon because no other salmon were reported as retained or released during the Area 7 winter fishery.

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 7 winter mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 7 effort (boats) captured in the foursite sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$. | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Season (5 months) | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 1.12; $n=22$ surveys conducted out of $N=152$ days available in the season). Seasonwide sample fraction was calculated as the average sample fraction over the 22 individual aerial surveys. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season (5 months) | We used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=40 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=21 \%$, $\mathrm{SM}=29 \%, \mathrm{SU}=10 \%$ ) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (5 months) | VTR data (Table 1.6) were not used for impact estimation steps due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample size of test fishery data. See comment in row above. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (5 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season (5 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 7 markselective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Dec | 49 | 01-Dec | 04-Dec | 400 | 881 | 236 | 0 | 224 | 208 | 667 |
|  | 50 | 05-Dec | 11-Dec | 349 | 708 | 134 | 0 | 127 | 118 | 378 |
|  | 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 294 | 581 | 113 | 0 | 107 | 99 | 319 |
|  | 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 132 | 262 | 30 | 0 | 29 | 27 | 85 |
|  | 53/1 | 26-Dec | 01-Jan | 107 | 210 | 59 | 0 | 56 | 52 | 167 |
| Jan | 2 | 02-Jan | 08-Jan | 186 | 343 | 71 | 0 | 67 | 62 | 200 |
|  | 3 | 09-Jan | 15-Jan | 81 | 165 | 42 | 0 | 40 | 37 | 119 |
|  | 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 26 | 47 | 26 | 0 | 25 | 23 | 74 |
|  | 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 142 | 284 | 71 | 0 | 67 | 62 | 200 |
| Feb | 6 | 30-Jan | 05-Feb | 330 | 654 | 47 | 0 | 45 | 42 | 133 |
|  | 7 | $06-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 12-Feb | 220 | 391 | 84 | 0 | 80 | 74 | 237 |
|  | 8 | $13-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 19-Feb | 189 | 328 | 41 | 0 | 39 | 36 | 115 |
|  | 9 | $20-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 26-Feb | 88 | 149 | 29 | 0 | 27 | 25 | 82 |
| Mar | 10 | 27-Feb | 04-Mar | 41 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 26 |
|  | 11 | 05-Mar | 11-Mar | 206 | 385 | 121 | 0 | 114 | 106 | 341 |
|  | 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 257 | 464 | 140 | 0 | 133 | 123 | 397 |
|  | 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 333 | 689 | 151 | 0 | 143 | 133 | 427 |
|  | 14 | 26-Mar | 01-Apr | 266 | 558 | 86 | 0 | 82 | 76 | 245 |
| Apr | 15 | 02-Apr | 08-Apr | 206 | 435 | 132 | 0 | 125 | 117 | 374 |
|  | 16 | 09-Apr | 15-Apr | 253 | 529 | 136 | 0 | 129 | 120 | 385 |
|  | 17 | 16-Apr | 22-Apr | 199 | 387 | 128 | 0 | 122 | 113 | 363 |
|  | 18 | 23-Apr | 29-Apr | 191 | 347 | 117 | 0 | 111 | 103 | 330 |
|  | 19 | 30-Apr | 30-Apr | 18 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 30 |
| Area 7 Season Sub-Total: |  |  |  | 4,514 | 8,893 | 2,013 | 0 | 1,908 | 1,772 | 5,694 |
| Resurrection Derby Dec 1-3, 2011 |  |  |  | 69 | 225 | 96 | 0 | 91 | 85 | 272 |
| Roche Harbor Derby Feb 3-4, 2012 |  |  |  | 85 | 293 | 93 | 0 | 88 | 82 | 263 |
| Anacortes Derby Mar 31 - Apr 1, 2012 |  |  |  | 375 | 1,125 | 211 | 0 | 200 | 186 | 597 |
| Area 7 Season Total: |  |  |  | 5,043 | 10,536 | 2,413 | 0 | 2,288 | 2,124 | 6,825 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 221,278 | 899,274 | 83,966 | 0 | 493,414 | 140,356 | 1,143,881 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 470 | 948 | 290 | 0 | 702 | 375 | 1,070 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 9.3\% | 9.0\% | 12.0\% | - | 30.7\% | 17.6\% | 15.7\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4,121- \\ 5,964 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8,677- \\ & 12,394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,845- \\ & 2,981 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 911- \\ 3,664 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,390- \\ & 2,859 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,729- \\ 8,922 \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.


Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.


Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.


Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

Table 1.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 879 | 20 | 899 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ |

Table 1.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia (15.6\%) | Fraser River - Thompson River (1.5\%) | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River | 2 (1.5\%) | 2 |
|  | Georgia Strait (14.1\%) | Chemainus River | Seaspring Salmon <br> Farm | 4 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cowichan River | Cowichan River | 14 (10.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Qualicum River | Big Qualicum River | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
| Washington (84.4\%) | Northern Washington(11.9\%) | Samish River 03.0005 | Samish | 7 (5.2\%) | 7 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (SAN) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Creek | 8 (5.9\%) | 8 |
|  | Hood Canal (5.2\%) | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams | 7 (5.2\%) | 7 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (20.7\%) | Wallace River 07.0940 | Wallace River | 19 (14.1\%) | 9 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 9 (6.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (31.9\%) | Baker River 03.0435 | Marblemount | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Skagit River 03.0176 | Marblemount | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cascade River 03.1411 | Marblemount | 41 (30.4\%) | 14 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (10.4\%) | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek | 4 (3\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Big Soos Creek 09.0072 | Soos Creek | 5 (3.7\%) | 5 |
|  |  | Green River 09.0001 | Icy Creek | 2 (1.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Icy Creek 09.0125 | Icy Creek | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | White River 10.0031 | White River | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (4.4\%) | Chambers Creek 12.0007 | Garrison | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Creek 11.0013C | Clear Creek | 1 (0.7\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Lakewood Hatchery | Lakewood | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Creek 15.0048 | Minter Creek | 1 (0.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek 11.0017 | Kalama Creek | 2 (1.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 135 | 57 |

Table 1.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.



Figure 1.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 1.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) compared to test fishing encounter data, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | $\begin{gathered} 48 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 72 \\ \text { Angler Trips } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 72 | 56 | 14 | 17 | 159 | 0.54 | 0.56 |
| Test Fishery | 76 Days, 152 Angler Trips | 60 | 32 | 44 | 15 | 151 | 0.69 | 0.65 |
| Test fishery size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.21 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.29 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 4}) \end{gathered}$ | $0.10$ |  |  |  |

We used Pearson's chi-square test to compare the Chinook size and mark-status composition of the Area 7 test fishery and VTR data. Results suggest a significant difference in composition between the two data sets ( $\chi^{2}=23.09, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), indicating that they should not be combined. Given the sufficient sample sizes in the test fishery data (and assuming higher data quality), we elected to use only this data as an estimate of the Chinook size/mark-status proportions needed for estimating total Chinook encounters and associated mortalities in the Area 7 mark-selective fishery.

Table 1.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 2,712 | 2,359 | 353 | 53 | 2,412 | 87,916 | 297 | $1831-2993$ | 12 |
| Legal UM | 1,446 | 0 | 1,446 | 217 | 217 | 2,294 | 48 | $123-311$ | 22 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,989 | 54 | 1,935 | 387 | 441 | 6,575 | 81 | $282-600$ | 18 |
| Sublegal UM | 678 | 0 | 678 | 136 | 136 | 1,536 | 39 | $59-212$ | 29 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 , 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 9 1 - 3 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=\operatorname{marked}$ (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 2,949 | 779 | 2,170 | 8 |
|  | AD | 11,492 | 3,492 | 8,000 | 3,038 |
|  | Total | 14,441 | 4,271 | 10,170 | 3,046 |
|  | \% Marked | 80 | 82 | 79 | 100 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2,124 | 1,446 | 678 | 0 |
|  | AD | 4,701 | 2,712 | 1,989 | 2,413 |
|  | Total | 6,825 | 4,158 | 2,667 | 2,413 |
|  | \% Marked | 69 | 65 | 75 | 100 |

Table 1.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 558 | 4,858 | 5,416 | 353 | 2,853 | 3,206 |
| Released Legal | 116 | 220 | 336 | 217 | 53 | 270 |
| Released Sublegal | 434 | 1,600 | 2,034 | 136 | 387 | 523 |
| Landed Only | 8 | 3,038 | 3,046 | 0 | 2,413 | 2,413 |



Figure 1.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=\operatorname{marked}$ (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITsObs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
|  | 2008 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
|  | 2009 | 5 | 13.4 | 22.5 | 13.4 | 1.3 | 0.20 | 1.1 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 4 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 11.9 | 1.2 | 0.20 | 0.9 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 5.4 | 9.0 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.10 | 0.4 |
| Kendall Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 4 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 11.1 | 1.1 | 0.20 | 0.9 |
|  | 2009 | 4 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 0.20 | 0.9 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2007 | 4 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 10.7 | 1.1 | 0.20 | 0.9 |
|  | 2008 | 10 | 26.8 | 45.1 | 26.4 | 2.6 | 0.40 | 2.1 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 7 | 18.8 | 31.6 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 0.30 | 1.5 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 4 | 10.7 | 18.0 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 0.20 | 0.9 |
|  | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2008 | 8 | 21.4 | 36.1 | 21.5 | 2.2 | 0.40 | 1.7 |
|  | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Total |  | 57 | 152.8 | 256.9 | 155.4 | 15.5 | 2.70 | 12.3 |

Table 1.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| December | 49-53/1 | 1 Dec-1 Jan | 667 | 0 | 667 | 198 | 0 | 198 | 29.7\% |
| January | 2-5 | 2 Jan - 29 Jan | 210 | 0 | 210 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 31.4\% |
| February | 6-9 | 30 Jan - 26 Feb | 294 | 0 | 294 | 159 | 0 | 159 | 54.1\% |
| March | 10-14 | $27 \mathrm{Feb}-1$ Apr | 718 | 0 | 718 | 318 | 1 | 319 | 44.5\% |
| April | 15-19 | 2 Apr - 30 Apr | 524 | 0 | 524 | 158 | 0 | 158 | 30.2\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 2413 | 0 | 2413 | 899 | 1 | 900 | 37.3\% |

[^2]Table 1.12 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 7 effort captured in the four-site sample frame during the Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.

| Survey Date | Stratum | Aerial Survey Details |  |  | Dockside Sampling Details |  |  | Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start <br> Time | End <br> Time | Total <br> Boats, $\boldsymbol{m}_{i j}$ | Total <br> Boats, $\mathbf{S y}_{i j k}$ | Fishing <br> Boats | Active <br> Boats, $X_{i j}$ |  |
| 04-Dec | Weekend | 10:45 | 12:00 | 94 | 145 | 60 | 39 | 0.415 |
| 08-Dec | Weekday | 11:23 | 12:24 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 0.800 |
| 09-Dec | Weekend | 10:30 | 11:45 | 45 | 76 | 32 | 19 | 0.422 |
| 17-Dec | Weekend | 10:38 | 11:57 | 102 | 127 | 51 | 41 | 0.402 |
| 30-Dec | Weekend | 10:35 | 11:28 | 9 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 0.222 |
| 07-Jan | Weekend | 10:47 | 11:59 | 80 | 118 | 34 | 23 | 0.288 |
| 10-Jan | Weekday | 10:45 | 11:52 | 18 | 32 | 14 | 8 | 0.444 |
| 26-Jan | Weekday | 10:45 | 11:52 | 5 | 35 | 7 | 1 | 0.200 |
| 27-Jan | Weekend | 12:03 | 13:11 | 44 | 78 | 16 | 9 | 0.205 |
| 28-Jan | Weekend | 11:20 | 12:30 | 44 | 55 | 25 | 20 | 0.455 |
| 04-Feb | Weekend | 11:03 | 12:02 | 240 | 288 | 66 | 55 | 0.229 |
| 08-Feb | Weekday | 10:50 | 11:31 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 0.571 |
| 19-Feb | Weekend | 11:05 | 12:03 | 84 | 102 | 34 | 28 | 0.333 |
| 03-Mar | Weekend | 12:27 | 13:42 | 44 | 79 | 27 | 15 | 0.341 |
| 08-Mar | Weekday | 10:41 | 11:44 | 37 | 55 | 43 | 29 | 0.784 |
| 11-Mar | Weekend | 11:11 | 12:06 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 6 | 0.200 |
| 21-Mar | Weekday | 10:54 | 11:49 | 38 | 92 | 17 | 7 | 0.184 |
| 07-Apr | Weekend | 11:07 | 12:18 | 99 | 140 | 62 | 44 | 0.444 |
| 10-Apr | Weekday | 10:50 | 11:54 | 33 | 119 | 18 | 5 | 0.152 |
| 14-Apr | Weekend | 11:05 | 12:20 | 83 | 106 | 69 | 54 | 0.651 |
| 22-Apr | Weekend | 10:37 | 11:43 | 111 | 216 | 74 | 38 | 0.342 |
| 24-Apr | Weekday | 10:33 | 11:35 | 29 | 77 | 24 | 9 | 0.310 |
| Totals: <br> Mean: <br> St Dev: <br> CV(\%): |  |  |  | 1286 | 2053 | 712 | 464 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 58 | 93 | 32 | 21 | 0.382 |
|  |  |  |  | 53 | 65 | 22 | 17 | 0.185 |
|  |  |  |  | 90.1\% | 69.8\% | 68.2\% | 82.1\% | 48.5\% |

Table 1.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 7 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4,862 | 1,301 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 200 | 1,042 | 244 | 155 | 2,967 |
| 7 |  | 8,167 | 1,406 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 210 | 708 | 139 | 17 | 2,501 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2009 - <br> Apr 30, 2010 | 9,589 | 1,400 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 209 | 673 | 150 | 74 | 2,524 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2010- <br> Apr 30, 2011 | 11,814 | 2,368 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 354 | 1,988 | 521 | 531 | 5,776 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2011- <br> Apr 30, 2012 | 10,536 | 2,359 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 353 | 1,446 | 1,935 | 678 | 6,825 |

## 2) Marine Areas 8-1 \& 8-2 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a seventh consecutive winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Areas 8-1 and 8-2 from November 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 during the November-April season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTR's) from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Areas 8-1 and 8-2 winter mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., "size measures" or "weights" of sampled sites) versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers. | Month | A total of 6 boat surveys were conducted during the six-month fishery. The site size measures calculated from 2011-12 data were compared with the average size measures from previous seasons and did not vary significantly from the past average size measures per site. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (6 months) | We combined the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions (LM $=18 \%, \mathrm{LU}=8 \%, \mathrm{SM}=58 \%$, SU $=15 \%$ ) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates (see Table 2.6). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (6 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> Tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season (6 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^3]Table 2.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 8-1 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. <br> Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 01-Nov | 06-Nov | 241 | 505 | 52 | 0 | 191 | 73 | 315 |
|  | 46 | 07-Nov | 13-Nov | 84 | 152 | 27 | 0 | 98 | 37 | 161 |
|  | 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 28 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 12 | 51 |
|  | 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 49 | 28-Nov | 04-Dec | 98 | 193 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 33 |
| Dec | 50 | 05-Dec | 11-Dec | 26 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 33 |
|  | 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 93 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 27 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 53/1 | 26-Dec | 01-Jan | 16 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 32 |
| Jan | 2 | 02-Jan | 08-Jan | 11 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 09-Jan | 15-Jan | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 14 |
|  | 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 10 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 15 |
|  | 6 | 30-Jan | 05-Feb | 34 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 16 |
| Feb | 7 | 06-Feb | 12-Feb | 37 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 11 | 48 |
|  | 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 37 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 44 |
|  | 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 40 | 79 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 21 | 91 |
|  | 10 | 27-Feb | 04-Mar | 23 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 27 |
| Mar | 11 | 05-Mar | 11-Mar | 122 | 247 | 48 | 0 | 177 | 68 | 293 |
|  | 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 95 | 188 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 40 |
|  | 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 78 | 142 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 32 |
|  | 14 | 26-Mar | 01-Apr | 32 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 18 |
| Apr | 15 | 02-Apr | 08-Apr | 60 | 122 | 12 | 0 | 43 | 16 | 71 |
|  | 16 | 09-Apr | 15-Apr | 47 | 99 | 30 | 0 | 109 | 42 | 181 |
|  | 17 | 16-Apr | 22-Apr | 108 | 219 | 31 | 0 | 113 | 43 | 186 |
|  | 18 | 23-Apr | 29-Apr | 59 | 104 | 10 | 0 | 35 | 13 | 58 |
|  | 19 | 30-Apr | 30-Apr | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 |
| Area 8-1 Season Total: |  |  |  | 1,415 | 2,767 | 291 | 0 | 1,069 | 408 | 1,768 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 37,750 | 144,189 | 3,128 | 0 | 145,049 | 18,607 | 332,183 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 194 | 380 | 56 | 0 | 381 | 136 | 576 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 13.7\% | 13.7\% | 19.2\% | - | 35.6\% | 33.4\% | 32.6\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,034- \\ 1,796 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,023- \\ 3,511 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181- \\ & 400 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 323- \\ 1,816 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141- \\ 675 \end{gathered}$ | 638-2,897 |

Table 2.3 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 8-2 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.



Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.


Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.


Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

Harvested Chinook, Area 81 ( $\mathrm{n}=86$ )


Harvested Chinook, Area $82(\mathrm{n}=186)$


Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 April 30, 2012.

Table 2.4 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel) mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

Area 8-1

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 84 | 2 | 86 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |

Area 8-2

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 176 | 10 | 186 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ |

Table 2.5 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia (9\%) | Fraser River Thompson River (3\%) | Harrison River | Chehalis River | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (6\%) | Cowichan River | Cowichan River | 2 (6\%) | 0 |
| Washington (91\%) | Northern Washington (3\%) | East Sound Bay (SAN) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (6\%) | Finch Creek 16.0222 | Hoodsport | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams | 1 (3\%) | 1 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (27\%) | Wallace River 07.0940 | Wallace River | 6 (18\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 3 (9\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (21\%) | Cascade River 03.1411 | Marblemount | 7 (21\%) | 6 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (21\%) | Voight Creek Tr 10.0428 | Voights Creek | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 2 (6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Green River 09.0001 | Icy Creek | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek | 3 (9\%) | 3 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (12\%) | Chambers Creek12.0007 | Chambers Creek | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Creek 11.0013C | Clear Creek | 1 (3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek 11.0017 | Kalama Creek | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Lakewood Hatchery | Lakewood | 1 (3\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 33 | 12 |

Table 2.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011

- April 30, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR <br> Area 8-1 | $6 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 10$ <br> Angler Trips | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 0.55 | 0.50 |
| Private VTR <br> Area 8-2 | 18 1-trip VTRs, 27 Angler Trips | 10 | 3 | 34 | 7 | 54 | 0.81 | 0.77 |
| VTR Total | 24 1-trip VTRs, 37 Angler Trips | 12 | 5 | 38 | 10 | 65 | 0.77 | 0.71 |
| Combined size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.18 \\ (0.0024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.08 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.58 \\ (0.0038) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.15 \\ (0.0020) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Due to small sample sizes, we used the Freeman and Halton extension of the Fisher exact test to compare the Chinook size and mark-status composition of the Area 8-1 and 8-2 VTR data. Results suggested no significant difference in composition between the two data sets ( $\mathrm{p}=0.146$ ); thus, we combined VTR data from the two areas to provide one estimate of Chinook size/markstatus proportions. This combined set of proportions was used to estimate total Chinook encounters and associated mortalities for the mark-selective fisheries in Area 8-1 and 8-2 combined.

Table 2.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel) markselective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.
Area 8-1

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 326 | 284 | 42 | 6 | 290 | 3,476 | 59 | $175-406$ | 20 |
| Legal UM | 136 | 0 | 136 | 20 | 20 | 114 | 11 | $0-41$ | 52 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,033 | 7 | 1,027 | 205 | 212 | 4,990 | 71 | $74-351$ | 33 |
| Sublegal UM | 272 | 0 | 272 | 54 | 54 | 542 | 23 | $9-100$ | 43 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0}-\mathbf{7 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

## Area 8-2

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 540 | 470 | 70 | 11 | 480 | 4,771 | 69 | $345-616$ | 14 |
| Legal UM | 225 | 2 | 223 | 33 | 36 | 295 | 17 | $2-69$ | 48 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,710 | 27 | 1,683 | 337 | 363 | 11,305 | 106 | $155-572$ | 29 |
| Sublegal UM | 450 | 0 | 450 | 90 | 90 | 1,331 | 36 | $18-162$ | 41 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 8 - 1 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Table 2.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 5,474 | 669 | 4,805 | 67 |
|  | AD | 13,348 | 2,273 | 11,075 | 1,978 |
|  | Total | 18,822 | 2,942 | 15,880 | 2,045 |
|  | \% Marked | 71 | 77 | 70 | 97 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1,083 | 361 | 722 | 2 |
|  | AD | 3,610 | 866 | 2,743 | 787 |
|  | Total | 4,693 | 1,227 | 3,465 | 789 |
|  | \% Marked | 77 | 71 | 79 | 100 |

Table 2.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 1,121 | 4,337 | 5,458 | 200 | 1,346 | 1,546 |
| Released Legal | 93 | 144 | 237 | 54 | 17 | 71 |
| Released Sublegal | 961 | 2,215 | 3,176 | 144 | 542 | 686 |
| Landed Only | 67 | 1,978 | 2,045 | 2 | 787 | 789 |



Figure 2.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 2.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 markselective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Area | Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| 8-1 | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.10 | 0.3 |
| 8-1 | Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 6.8 | 16.6 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.20 | 0.6 |
| 8-1 | Marblemount Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.10 | 0.3 |
| 8-2 | Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| 8-2 | George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| 8-2 | Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.10 | 0.4 |
| 8-2 | Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.10 | 0.4 |
| 8-2 | Marblemount Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.2 |
| 8-2 | Wallace River Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Total |  |  | 12 | 35 | 68.6 | 34.6 | 3.5 | 0.78 | 2.8 |

Table 2.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel) mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

## Area 8-1

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| November | 45-48 | 1 Nov-27 Nov | 92 | 0 | 92 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 14.1\% |
| December | 49-53/1 | 28 Nov-1 Jan | 11 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 47.0\% |
| January | 2-5 | 2 Jan - 29 Jan | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 26.8\% |
| February | 6-9 | 30 Jan - 26 Feb | 35 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 43.4\% |
| March | 10-14 | $27 \mathrm{Feb}-1$ Apr | 63 | 0 | 63 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 36.5\% |
| April | 15-19 | 2 Apr - 30 Apr | 83 | 0 | 83 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 32.5\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 291 | 0 | 291 | 85 | 0 | 85 | 29.2\% |

## Area 8-2

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| November | 45-48 | 1 Nov-27 Nov | 95 | 0 | 95 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 29.5\% |
| December | 49-53/1 | 28 Nov-1 Jan | 39 | 0 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 55.9\% |
| January | 2-5 | 2 Jan-29 Jan | 42 | 0 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 21.2\% |
| February | 6-9 | 30 Jan - 26 Feb | 36 | 0 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 38.7\% |
| March | 10-14 | $27 \mathrm{Feb}-1 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 109 | 0 | 109 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 33.9\% |
| April | 15-19 | $2 \mathrm{Apr}-30 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 174 | 2 | 177 | 76 | 1 | 77 | 43.6\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 496 | 2 | 499 | 186 | 1 | 187 | 37.5\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the winter 2011-12 Area 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries (i.e., the sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the Area).

Table 2.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the Area 8-1 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UK $=$ unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Retained Chum | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Chum | Coho AD | Coho UK | Pink | Trout | Unknown Salmon |
| 45 | 1-Nov | 6-Nov | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 8 |
| 46 | 7-Nov | 13-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 28-Nov | 4-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 5-Dec | 11-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53/1 | 26-Dec | 1-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2-Jan | 8-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 9-Jan | 15-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 30-Jan | 5-Feb | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 6-Feb | 12 -Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | $20-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 26-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 9-Apr | 15-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 16-Apr | 22-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 23-Apr | 29-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 30-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 8-1 Season Total: |  |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 8 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & \text { 95\% CI: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 673 | 52 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 7 |
|  |  |  | - | - | 71\% | - | - | 85\% | 92\% |
|  |  |  | - | - | 0-25 | - | - | 0-82 | 0-22 |

Table 2.13 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the Area 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UK $=$ unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Retained Chum | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Chum | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UK | Pink | Trout | Unknown Salmon |
| 45 | 1-Nov | 6-Nov | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 69 |
| 46 | 7-Nov | 13-Nov | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 28-Nov | 4-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 50 | 5-Dec | 11-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 53/1 | 26-Dec | 1-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 2 | 2-Jan | 8-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 9-Jan | 15-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 30-Jan | 5-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 7 | 6-Feb | 12-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 9-Apr | 15-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 16-Apr | 22-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 23-Apr | 29-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 19 | 30-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 8-2 Season Total: |  |  | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 159 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 10 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 1,252 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 35 |
|  |  |  | 30\% | 86\% | 67\% | 62\% | 68\% | - | 22\% |
|  |  |  | 4-16 | 0-13 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-16 | - | 90-229 |

Table 2.14 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 during on-the-water surveys conducted from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Area | Site Name | Total <br> Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Camano Island State Park Ramp | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Maple Grove Ramp | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Oak Harbor Marina/Ramp | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 7}$ |
| $8-1$ | Private | 4 | 0.067 |
| $8-1$ | LaConner Marina/Moorage | 2 | 0.033 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Utsalady Ramp | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Everett Ramp | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Coupeville Ramp | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 3}$ |
|  | Area 8-1 Total Anglers | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Bayside Marina/Drystack | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Camano Isl. State Park | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Dagmar's Landing | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 7}$ |
| $8-2$ | Ebby Waterfront Park | 2 | 0.024 |
| $8-2$ | Everett Marina | 6 | 0.073 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Everett Ramp | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0 0}$ |
| $8-2$ | Langley Marina/Ramp | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.037 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Mukilteo St. Park Ramp | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.049 |
| $8-2$ | Possession Waterfront Beach Park | 2 | 0.024 |
| $8-2$ | Private | 3 | 0.037 |
| $8-2$ | Sandy Point Marina/Ramp | 1 | 0.012 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Tulalip Marina/Ramp | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 9}$ |
|  | Area 8-2 Total Anglers | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |

Table 2.15 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 8-1 and 8-2 winter mark-selective Chinook fisheries. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 8-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1, } 2005- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2006 \end{aligned}$ | 3,976 | 303 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 45 | 188 | 763 | 575 | 1,914 |
| 8-1 | Oct 1, 2006 - <br> Apr 30, 2007 | 3,454 | 278 | 8 | 37 | 4 | 42 | 118 | 1,437 | 857 | 2,781 |
| 8-1 | Nov1, 2007 - <br> Apr 30, 2008 | 3,288 | 638 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 95 | 304 | 1,345 | 577 | 3,000 |
| 8-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan 1, } 2009- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2009 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2,518 | 396 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 59 | 45 | 1,443 | 909 | 2,870 |
| 8-1 | Nov 1, 2009 - <br> Apr 30, 2010 | 3,192 | 273 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 41 | 45 | 595 | 269 | 1,234 |
| 8-1 | Nov 1, 2010 - Apr 30, 2011 | 2,398 | 87 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 91 | 69 | 283 |
| 8-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov 1, } 2011 \text { - } \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2012 \end{aligned}$ | 2,767 | 284 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 136 | 1,027 | 272 | 1,768 |
| 8-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1, } 2005- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2006 \end{aligned}$ | 8,521 | 735 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 106 | 618 | 1,706 | 876 | 4,116 |
| 8-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1, } 2006- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 7,848 | 766 | 18 | 95 | 3 | 113 | 183 | 10,486 | 5,407 | 17,071 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2007 - <br> Apr 30, 2008 | 5,678 | 795 | 15 | 74 | 3 | 114 | 181 | 942 | 303 | 2,428 |
| 8-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan 1, } 2009- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2009 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5,946 | 495 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 74 | 18 | 1,557 | 468 | 2,641 |
| 8-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov 1, } 2009- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6,732 | 814 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 122 | 164 | 1300 | 487 | 2,902 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2010 - <br> Apr 30, 2011 | 3,505 | 111 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 122 | 88 | 363 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2011 Apr 30, 2012 | 5,197 | 470 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 70 | 223 | 1683 | 450 | 2,925 |

## 3) Marine Area 9 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifth consecutive winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 9 from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16- April 15, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, aerial effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 3.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16-April 30, 2012. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), we present the aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 9 (see WDFW 2012a, Aerial-Access Design).

Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 winter mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 9 effort (boats) captured in the four-site sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ ). | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Month | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 3.12; $n=18$ surveys conducted out of $N=121$ days available in the season). Mean sample fractions were calculated for each time stratum (Nov \& Jan-Apr) and used to calculate estimates of Chinook encounters and mortality. |
| Test <br> Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season <br> (4 months) | Due to significant differences in size/markstatus composition between the Nov. and Jan.Apr. test fishery data, we calculated encounter and mortality estimates separately for each time stratum. We used proportions of $\mathrm{LM}=12 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=4 \%, \mathrm{SM}=62 \%$ and $\mathrm{SU}=22 \%$ for the Nov. stratum and $\mathrm{LM}=25 \%, \mathrm{LU}=7 \%, \mathrm{SM}=49 \%$ and SU=19\% for the Jan.-Apr. stratum (see Table 3.5). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip <br> Reports <br> (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season <br> (4 months) | We elected to use stratum-specific test fishery data only to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the Area 9 Chinook encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (4 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season (4 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End <br> Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 1-Nov | 6-Nov | 543 | 1,111 | 95 | 0 | 530 | 221 | 846 |
|  | 46 | 7-Nov | 13-Nov | 218 | 339 | 25 | 0 | 139 | 58 | 223 |
|  | 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 110 | 204 | 25 | 0 | 139 | 58 | 223 |
|  | 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 15 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 12 | 45 |
|  | 49 | 28-Nov | 30-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| November Total: |  |  |  | 885 | 1,684 | 149 | 0 | 836 | 349 | 1,335 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & 95 \% \text { CI: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 50,791 | 178,283 | 791 | 0 | 80,117 | 12,865 | 187,689 |
|  |  |  |  | 225 | 422 | 28 | 0 | 283 | 113 | 433 |
|  |  |  |  | 25\% | 25\% | 19\% | - | 34\% | 32\% | 32\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 443- \\ & 1,327 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 856- \\ 2,511 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94- \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 282- \\ 1,391 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127- \\ 572 \end{gathered}$ | 486-2,184 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan - } \\ \text { Apr } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 121 | 223 | 42 | 0 | 91 | 47 | 179 |
|  | 6 | 30-Jan | 5-Feb | 245 | 455 | 45 | 0 | 97 | 50 | 192 |
|  | 7 | 6-Feb | 12-Feb | 131 | 240 | 18 | 0 | 39 | 20 | 77 |
|  | 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 199 | 427 | 39 | 0 | 84 | 44 | 167 |
|  | 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 61 | 101 | 15 | 0 | 32 | 17 | 64 |
|  | 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 96 | 145 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 34 |
|  | 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 87 | 127 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 34 |
|  | 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 50 | 117 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 26 |
|  | 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 107 | 168 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 38 |
|  | 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 6 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 13 |
|  | 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 114 | 219 | 60 | 3 | 129 | 64 | 256 |
|  | 16 | 9-Apr | 15-Apr | 257 | 429 | 53 | 0 | 114 | 59 | 226 |
| January - April Total: |  |  |  | 1,482 | 2,678 | 305 | 3 | 659 | 339 | 1,307 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 54,244 | 177,327 | 4,500 | 5 | 46,833 | 7,883 | 124,022 |
|  |  |  |  | 233 | 421 | 67 | 2 | 216 | 89 | 352 |
|  |  |  |  | 16\% | 16\% | 22\% | 74\% | 33\% | 26\% | 27\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,025- \\ & 1,938 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,852 \\ & 3,503 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174- \\ & 437 \end{aligned}$ | 0-7 | $\begin{gathered} 235- \\ 1,084 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165- \\ 513 \end{gathered}$ | 617-1,997 |
| Area 9 Season Total: |  |  |  | 2,367 | 4,361 | 455 | 3 | 1,496 | 688 | 2,642 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 105,035 | 355,610 | 5,291 | 5 | 126,949 | 20,749 | 311,710 |
|  |  |  |  | 324 | 596 | 73 | 2 | 356 | 144 | 558 |
|  |  |  |  | 14\% | 14\% | 16\% | 74\% | 24\% | 21\% | 21\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,731- \\ & 3,002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,193- \\ 5,530 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 312- \\ 598 \end{gathered}$ | 0-7 | $\begin{gathered} 798- \\ 2,194 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 406- \\ 971 \end{gathered}$ | 1,548-3,736 |



Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012.


Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 130, 2011 and January 16-April 15, 2012.


Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 130, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012.


Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 April 15, 2012.

Table 3.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 (left panel) and January 16 April 15, 2012 (right panel).

| Mark <br> Type | November \# Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 34 | 2 | 36 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |


| Mark <br> Type | January - April \# Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 120 | 11 | 131 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ |

Table 3.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington (100\%) | Northern Washington (4.8\%) | Samish River 03.0005 | Samish | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 |
|  | Hood Canal (9.5\%) | Finch Creek 16.0222 | Hoodsport | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Creek 16.0005 | George Adams | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (23.8\%) | Wallace River 07.0940 | Wallace River | 4 (19\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (28.6\%) | Cascade River 03.1411 | Marblemount | 6 (28.6\%) | 3 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (33.3\%) | Big Soos Creek 09.0072 | Soos Creek | 2 (9.5\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Icy Creek 09.0125 | Icy Creek | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Voight Creek 10.0414 | Voights Creek | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | White River 10.0031 | White River | 1 (4.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 21 | 11 |



Figure 3.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15,2012 . The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 3.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Month | Stat Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 4 | 20.9 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 29 |
|  | 46 | 3 | 10.5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 11 |
|  | 47 | 3 | 15.3 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 27 |
|  | 48 | 2 | 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 26 |
|  | 49 | 2 | 10.3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
|  | Total | 14 | 62.8 | 13 | 4 | 66 | 24 | 107 |
|  | Size/mark-status composition: <br> Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.12(0.0010) \\ & 0.76(0.0112) \\ & 0.74(0.0018) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 (0.0003) | 0.62 (0.0022) | 0.22 (0.0016) |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan - } \\ \text { Apr } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 1 | 4.4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 16.5 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 12 |
|  | 6 | 5 | 24.7 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 23 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 35.1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 22 |
|  | 8 | 3 | 16.3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 9 | 4 | 18.1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 10 |
|  | 10 | 2 | 8.8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 11 | 2 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 2 | 6.7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 13 | 6 | 24.5 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 22 |
|  | 14 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 15 | 4 | 21.7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
|  | 16 | 6 | 31.7 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
|  | Total | 48 | 230.7 | 32 | 9 | 64 | 25 | 130 |
|  | Size/mark-status composition: <br> Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.25(0.0014) \\ & 0.78(0.0043) \\ & 0.74(0.0015) \end{aligned}$ | $0.07(0.0005)$ | $0.49 \text { (0.0019) }$ | 0.19 (0.0012) |  |
| Season <br> Total | Grand Total | 62 | 293.5 | 45 | 13 | 130 | 49 | 237 |
|  | Size/mark-status composition ${ }^{1}$ : <br> Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.19 \text { (0.0007) } \\ & 0.78 \text { (0.0031) } \\ & 0.74(0.0008) \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 (0.0002) | 0.55 (0.0010) | 0.21 (0.0007) |  |

[^4]Table 3.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) compared to test fishing encounter data, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/markstatus proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| November 1-30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat VTR | 0 1-trip VTRs, 0 Angler Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Private Boat VTR | 14 1-trip VTRs, 25 Angler Trips | 3 | 2 | 28 | 27 | 60 | 0.52 | 0.60 |
| Test Fishery | 14 Days, 28 Angler Trips | 13 | 4 | 66 | 24 | 107 | 0.74 | 0.76 |
| November size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 0}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.62 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 2}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| January 16 - April 15, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter Boat VTR | 7 1-trip VTRs, 21 Angler Trips | 8 | 6 | 28 | 4 | 46 | 0.78 | 0.57 |
| Private Boat VTR | 33 1-trip VTRs, 56 Angler Trips | 18 | 5 | 55 | 19 | 97 | 0.75 | 0.78 |
| Test Fishery | 48 Days, 96 Angler Trips | 32 | 9 | 64 | 25 | 130 | 0.74 | 0.78 |
| Jan-April size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.25 \\ (0.0014) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.49 \\ (0.0019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.19 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

We used Pearson's chi-square test to compare size/mark-status proportions in the test fishery between two separate time periods during the Area 9 winter MSF season (Nov. 1-30 vs. Jan 16Apr 15). Results indicated a significant difference in proportions between the two time strata ( $\chi^{2}=7.84, \mathrm{df}=3$, $p$-value $=0.049$ ), suggesting that they should not be combined. We next compared private fleet VTR data and test fishery data within each time stratum. Results of the Pearson chi-square analysis indicated a significant difference between the VTR and test fishery proportions within the Nov time stratum ( $\chi^{2}=10.02, \mathrm{df}=3$, p -value $=0.018$ ), but no difference between the two data sets within the Jan-Apr time stratum $\left(\chi^{2}=1.80, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}\right.$-value $=0.614$ ). Given these mixed results, we elected to use stratum-specific test fishery data only to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the Area 9 Chinook encounter and mortality estimates.

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 484 | 421 | 63 | 9 | 430 | 4,988 | 71 | $292-569$ | 16 |
| Legal UM | 140 | 0 | 140 | 21 | 21 | 49 | 7 | $0-16$ | 33 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,467 | 34 | 1,433 | 287 | 321 | 4,448 | 67 | $190-451$ | 21 |
| Sublegal UM | 551 | 3 | 548 | 110 | 113 | 747 | 27 | $59-166$ | 24 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 6 - 1 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 1,322 | 322 | 1,000 | 16 |
|  | AD | 5,885 | 1,375 | 4,510 | 1,196 |
|  | Total | 7,207 | 1,697 | 5,510 | 1,212 |
|  | \% Marked | 82 | 81 | 82 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 691 | 140 | 551 | 3 |
|  | AD | 1,951 | 484 | 1,467 | 455 |
|  | Total | 2,642 | 624 | 2,018 | 458 |
|  | \% Marked | 74 | 78 | 73 | 99 |

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 263 | 2,185 | 2,448 | 134 | 751 | 885 |
| Released Legal | 47 | 87 | 134 | 21 | 9 | 30 |
| Released Sublegal | 200 | 902 | 1,102 | 110 | 287 | 396 |
| Landed Only | 16 | 1,196 | 1,212 | 3 | 455 | 458 |



Figure 3.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 3 | 8.2 | 14.3 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 0.10 | 0.6 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.10 | 0.2 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.10 | 0.2 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 0.10 | 0.4 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Total |  | 11 | 30.2 | 52.6 | 30.3 | 3.0 | 0.50 | 2.4 |

Table 3.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| November | 45-49 | 1 Nov-30 Nov | 149 | 0 | 149 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 24.1\% |
| January | 4-5 | 16 Jan - 29 Jan | 42 | 0 | 42 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 33.4\% |
| February | 6-9 | 30 Jan - 26 Feb | 117 | 0 | 117 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 43.7\% |
| March | 10-14 | $27 \mathrm{Feb}-1 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 34 | 0 | 34 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 29.5\% |
| April | 15-16 | 2 Apr - 15 Apr | 113 | 3 | 116 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 48.4\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 455 | 3 | 458 | 167 | 0 | 167 | 36.5\% |

[^5]Table 3.12 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 9 effort captured in the four-site sample frame during the Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.

| Survey <br> Date | Stratum | Aerial Survey Details |  |  | Dockside Sampling Details |  |  | Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start <br> Time | End <br> Time | Total <br> Boats, $\boldsymbol{m}_{i j}$ | Total <br> Boats, Sy $_{i j k}$ | Fishing Boats | Active <br> Boats, $X_{i j}$ |  |
| 05-Nov | Weekend | 10:35 | 11:05 | 62 | 94 | 35 | 23 | 0.371 |
| 06-Nov | Weekend | 12:17 | 12:41 | 44 | 111 | 58 | 23 | 0.523 |
| 08-Nov | Weekday | 10:31 | 11:01 | 5 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 0.400 |
| 13-Nov | Weekend | 10:23 | 10:55 | 21 | 42 | 10 | 5 | 0.238 |
| 17-Nov | Weekday | 10:16 | 10:39 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0.059 |
| 20-Nov | Weekend | 10:28 | 11:00 | 37 | 65 | 14 | 8 | 0.216 |
| November Summary Statistics |  | Totals |  | 186 | 347 | 125 | 62 |  |
|  |  | Mean |  | 31 | 58 | 21 | 10 | 0.301 |
|  |  | St Dev |  | 21 | 39 | 22 | 10 | 0.163 |
|  |  | CV(\%) |  | 66.7\% | 68.2\% | 103.6\% | 97.9\% | 54.3\% |
| 27-Jan | Weekend | 11:45 | 12:01 | 32 | 54 | 22 | 13 | 0.406 |
| 28-Jan | Weekend | 10:58 | 11:16 | 14 | 23 | 18 | 11 | 0.786 |
| 04-Feb | Weekend | 10:45 | 11:00 | 100 | 133 | 65 | 49 | 0.490 |
| 08-Feb | Weekday | 10:30 | 10:50 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1.333 |
| 19-Feb | Weekend | 10:44 | 11:01 | 85 | 116 | 41 | 30 | 0.353 |
| 03-Mar | Weekend | 12:03 | 12:24 | 18 | 24 | 8 | 6 | 0.333 |
| 08-Mar | Weekday | 10:22 | 10:38 | 26 | 35 | 20 | 15 | 0.577 |
| 11-Mar | Weekend | 10:51 | 11:06 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0.222 |
| 21-Mar | Weekday | 10:34 | 10:50 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0.286 |
| 07-Apr | Weekend | 10:44 | 11:03 | 65 | 84 | 35 | 27 | 0.415 |
| $10-\mathrm{Apr}$ | Weekday | 10:27 | 10:46 | 14 | 30 | 13 | 6 | 0.429 |
| 14-Apr | Weekend | 10:38 | 11:05 | 100 | 111 | 42 | 38 | 0.380 |
| Jan - Apr <br> Summary Statistics |  | Totals |  | 473 | 629 | 272 | 203 |  |
|  |  | Mean |  | 39 | 52 | 23 | 17 | 0.501 |
|  |  | St Dev |  | 37 | 46 | 20 | 16 | 0.300 |
|  |  | CV(\%) |  | 94.8\% | 88.7\% | 86.2\% | 91.8\% | 59.9\% |

Table 3.13 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 9 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Chum | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Chum | Unk Salmon |
| 45 | 1-Nov | 6-Nov | 5 | 10 | 60 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 274 |
| 46 | 7-Nov | 13-Nov | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 49 | 28-Nov | 30-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 30-Jan | 5-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 6-Feb | 12-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 9-Apr | 15-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 9 Season Total: |  |  | 12 | 10 | 73 | 5 | 35 | 10 | 5 | 374 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & \text { 95\% CI: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 106 | 8 | 627 | 12 | 954 | 49 | 12 | 16,718 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 3 | 25 | 4 | 31 | 7 | 4 | 129 |
|  |  |  | 88.6\% | 28.6\% | 34.3\% | 70.5\% | 88.6\% | 70.5\% | 70.2\% | 34.6\% |
|  |  |  | 0-32 | 4-16 | 24-122 | 0-12 | 0-95 | 0-24 | 0-12 | 120-627 |

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jan 16 - Apr 15, 2008 | 6,887 | 1,333 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 195 | 304 | 1,288 | 375 | 3,570 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov 1-30, } 2008 \& \\ & \text { Jan } 16-\text { Apr } 15,2009 \end{aligned}$ | 7,064 | 871 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 130 | 158 | 3,520 | 2,837 | 7,545 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov 1-30, } 2009 \text { \& } \\ \text { Jan } 16 \text { - Apr 15, } 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 6,823 | 1,450 | 18 | 106 | 10 | 217 | 353 | 2,166 | 615 | 4,934 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov 1-30, } 2010 \& \\ \text { Jan } 16-\text { Apr } 15,2011 \end{gathered}$ | 4,425 | 428 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 64 | 117 | 583 | 422 | 1,618 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov 1-30, } 2011 \& \\ & \text { Jan } 16-\text { Apr } 15,2012 \end{aligned}$ | 4,361 | 421 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 63 | 140 | 1,433 | 548 | 2,642 |

## 4) Marine Area 10 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifth consecutive winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 10 from October 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 4.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In the following section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 winter markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { On-the- } \\ & \text { water } \\ & \text { Surveys } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 10 boat surveys were conducted during the four-month fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season <br> (4 months) | Season-total test fishery data were combined with pooled (charter and private) VTR data to provide a single estimate of size/mark-status proportions for use in the estimation of total Chinook encounters and associated impacts; $\mathrm{LM}=6 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=4 \%, \mathrm{SM}=63 \%, \mathrm{SU}=27 \%$. (See Tables 4.5 and 4.6). |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season <br> (4 months) | Size/mark-status composition was compared between charter and private fleet VTR data using Pearson's chi-square test. Results indicated no significant difference; thus, we combined the two VTR datasets for comparison with test fishing data (see above; Tables 4.5 and 4.6). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season <br> (4 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season <br> (4 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^6]Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Oct | 40 | 1-Oct | 2-Oct | 526 | 999 | 26 | 5 | 309 | 147 | 486 |
|  | 41 | 3-Oct | 9-Oct | 502 | 896 | 9 | 4 | 105 | 47 | 165 |
|  | 42 | 10-Oct | 16-Oct | 285 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 43 | 17-Oct | 23-Oct | 115 | 217 | 8 | 0 | 100 | 49 | 158 |
|  | 44 | 24-Oct | 30-Oct | 116 | 232 | 5 | 0 | 56 | 28 | 89 |
| Nov | 45 | 31-Oct | 6-Nov | 103 | 184 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 35 |
|  | 46 | 7-Nov | 13-Nov | 65 | 116 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 35 |
|  | 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 61 | 112 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 35 |
|  | 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 47 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 35 |
| Dec | 49 | 28-Nov | 4-Dec | 164 | 245 | 54 | 0 | 651 | 320 | 1,025 |
|  | 50 | 5-Dec | 11-Dec | 124 | 176 | 48 | 0 | 581 | 285 | 914 |
|  | 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 166 | 289 | 36 | 0 | 430 | 211 | 677 |
|  | 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 117 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 53 | 26-Dec | 1-Jan | 58 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 77 | 38 | 122 |
| Jan | 2 | 2-Jan | 8-Jan | 77 | 123 | 27 | 0 | 320 | 157 | 504 |
|  | 3 | 9-Jan | 15-Jan | 42 | 89 | 5 | 5 | 60 | 24 | 94 |
|  | 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 49 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 126 | 62 | 199 |
|  | 6 | 30-Jan | 31-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 10 Season Total: |  |  |  | 2,624 | 4,615 | 242 | 14 | 2,904 | 1,413 | 4,573 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 47,790 | 124,208 | 5,992 | 31 | 1,206,399 | 232,455 | 2,962,879 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 219 | 352 | 77 | 6 | 1,098 | 482 | 1,721 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 8\% | 8\% | 32\% | 40\% | 38\% | 34\% | 38\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,196- \\ 3,053 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,925- \\ 5,306 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 90-393 | 3-25 | 751-5,057 | 468-2,358 | 1,199-7,947 |



Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.


Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.


Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.


Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.

Table 4.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 45 | 3 | 48 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |

Table 4.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| British <br> Columbia <br> $(12.5 \%)$ | Fraser R - Thompson R <br> $(12.5 \%)$ | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River | $1(12.5 \%)$ | 1 |
| Washington <br> $(87.5 \%)$ | Northern Puget Sound <br> $(25 \%)$ | Wallace River <br> 07.0940 | Wallace River <br> $(37.5 \%)$ | Mid Puget Sound <br> $(12.5 \%)$ | Cascade River <br> 03.1411 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound <br> $(12.5 \%)$ | Minte River <br> 10.0031 | Marblemount | $3(25 \%)$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | White River | $1(12.5 \%)$ | 0 |  |

Table 4.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours <br> Fished | AD | $\mathbf{U M}$ | $\mathbf{A D}$ | $\mathbf{U M}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 5 | 19.5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 17 |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 5 | 21.2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 26 |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 4 | 13.7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 5 | 18.1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 3 | 11.4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 4 | 13.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 1 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 5 | 22.3 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 11 | 34 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 5 | 18.4 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 22 |
| $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 6 | 26.6 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 10 | 38 |
| $\mathbf{5 2}$ | 4 | 17.0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 16 |
| $\mathbf{5 3 / 1}$ | 1 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 | 9.8 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 4 | 13.0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 17 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 2 | 7.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: | $0.05(0.0002)$ | $0.04(0.0002)$ | $0.60(0.0010)$ | $0.31(0.0009)$ |  |  |  |



Figure 4.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 4.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat and charter boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) compared to test fishing encounter data, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 January 31, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/markstatus proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Dat | Effort and |  |  | Sub | gal | Totals | Mar | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Size | AD | UM | AD | UM | Totals | Overall | Legal |
| Charter VTR | 2 1-trip VTRs, 4 Angler Trips | 2 | 3 | 32 | 6 | 43 | 0.79 | 0.40 |
| Private VTR | 31 1-trip VTRs, 48 Angler Trips | 11 | 6 | 102 | 40 | 159 | 0.71 | 0.65 |
| Pooled VTR | 52 Angler Trips | 13 | 9 | 134 | 46 | 202 | 0.73 | 0.59 |
| Test Fishery | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \text { Days, } 120 \\ & \text { Angler Trips } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 9 | 143 | 73 | 237 | 0.65 | 0.57 |
| Pooled Totals | 172 Angler Trips | 25 | 18 | 277 | 119 | 439 | 0.69 | 0.58 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

To first determine whether charter and private fleet VTR datasets could be combined, we compared the size/mark-status composition using Pearson's chi-square test. Results were not significant $\left(\chi^{2}=3.45, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}\right.$-value $=0.327$ ), suggesting that the two datasets can be combined into one "pooled VTR" dataset. We next used Pearson's chi-square test to compare the size/mark-status composition of the pooled VTR and test fishery datasets. Results were not significant $\left(\chi^{2}=3.69, \mathrm{df}=3\right.$, p -value $=0.297$ ), suggesting that the two datasets can be combined
into one pooled season-total estimate of size/mark-status proportions. Based on these results, we elected to use the pooled totals of the VTR and test fishery data to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the Chinook encounter and mortality estimates in the Area 10 winter mark-selective fishery.

Table 4.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 260 | 227 | 34 | 5 | 232 | 5,718 | 76 | $83-380$ | 33 |
| Legal UM | 188 | 5 | 183 | 27 | 32 | 171 | 13 | $6-58$ | 41 |
| Sublegal AD | 2,886 | 15 | 2,870 | 574 | 589 | 47,659 | 218 | $161-1017$ | 37 |
| Sublegal UM | 1,240 | 9 | 1,230 | 246 | 255 | 9,066 | 95 | $69-442$ | 37 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 5 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 6 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 8 - 1 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 3,791 | 736 | 3,055 | 37 |
|  | AD | 10,717 | 2,172 | 8,545 | 1,889 |
|  | Total | 14,508 | 2,908 | 11,600 | 1,926 |
|  | \% Marked | 74 | 75 | 74 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1,427 | 188 | 1,240 | 14 |
|  | AD | 3,146 | 260 | 2,886 | 242 |
|  | Total | 4,573 | 448 | 4,125 | 256 |
|  | \% Marked | 69 | 58 | 70 | 95 |

Table 4.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 755 | 3,735 | 4,490 | 288 | 821 | 1,108 |
| Released Legal | 107 | 137 | 244 | 27 | 5 | 33 |
| Released Sublegal | 611 | 1,709 | 2,320 | 246 | 574 | 820 |
| Landed Only | 37 | 1,889 | 1,926 | 14 | 242 | 256 |



Figure 4.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 4.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 5 | 20.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 10 | 40.3 | 9.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| Total |  | 3 | 15 | 60.4 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 |

Table 4.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| October | 40-44 | 1 Oct - 30 Oct | 47 | 9 | 56 | 16 | 2 | 18 | 31.9\% |
| November | 45-48 | 31 Oct - 27 Nov | 7 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 53.6\% |
| December | 49-53/1 | 28 Nov-1 Jan | 145 | 0 | 145 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 15.2\% |
| January | 2-6 | 2 Jan-31 Jan | 42 | 5 | 47 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 14.8\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 242 | 14 | 256 | 48 | 3 | 51 | 19.9\% |

[^7]Table 4.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 January 31, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status.

| Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  | eased | mon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Pink | Chum | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Coho UK | Pink | Chum | Cutthroat Trout | Unk Salmon |
| 40 | 1-Oct | 2-Oct | 131 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,587 |
| 41 | 3-Oct | $9-\mathrm{Oct}$ | 72 | 95 | 0 | 6 | 49 | 18 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 950 |
| 42 | 10-Oct | 16-Oct | 15 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 854 |
| 43 | 17-Oct | $23-\mathrm{Oct}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 44 | 24 -Oct | 30-Oct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 31-Oct | 6-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 77 |
| 46 | 7-Nov | 13-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 47 | 14-Nov | 20-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 48 | 21-Nov | 27-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 49 | 28-Nov | 4-Dec | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 5-Dec | 11-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 51 | 12-Dec | 18-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 52 | 19-Dec | 25-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 53 | 26-Dec | 1-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2-Jan | 8-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 9-Jan | 15-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 4 | 16-Jan | 22-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 23-Jan | 29-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 30-Jan | 31-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 10 Season Total: |  |  | 221 | 191 | 2 | 26 | 74 | 36 | 62 | 9 | 218 | 49 | 3,687 |
| Variance: |  |  | 1,245 | 1,008 | 1 | 90 | 1,130 | 314 | 333 | 72 | 44,462 | 616 | 252,879 |
| Standard Error: |  |  | 32 | 35 | 32 | 1 | 9 | 34 | 18 | 18 | 8 | 211 | 25 |
| CV (\%): |  |  | 16\% | 17\% | 51\% | 37\% | $46 \%$ | 49\% | 29\% | 90\% | 97\% | 51\% | 14\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 152- \\ & 290 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 128-253 | 0-5 | 7-44 | 8-140 | 2-71 | 27-98 | 0-26 | 0-631 | 0-97 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,701- \\ & 4,672 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Table 4.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 10 during on-the-water surveys conducted from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Total Anglers (less 'Tengu' Derby anglers) ${ }^{1}$ | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Total Anglers (with 'Tengu' Derby anglers) ${ }^{1}$ | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 69 | 0.110 | 123 | 0.178 |
| Bremerton Yacht Club | 10 | 0.016 | 10 | 0.014 |
| Browns Point Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Brownsville Marina | 22 | 0.035 | 22 | 0.032 |
| Des Moines Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Eagle Harbor Marina | 4 | 0.006 | 4 | 0.006 |
| Edmonds Boat Sling | 34 | 0.054 | 34 | 0.049 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 51 | 0.081 | 51 | 0.074 |
| Edmonds Guest Dock | 5 | 0.008 | 5 | 0.007 |
| Edmonds Marina | 96 | 0.152 | 96 | 0.139 |
| Edmonds Marine Beach | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Elliott Bay Marine | 21 | 0.033 | 21 | 0.030 |
| Everett Ramp | 3 | 0.005 | 3 | 0.004 |
| First Ave South Public Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Harbor Island Marina | 5 | 0.008 | 9 | 0.013 |
| Jim Clark Marina | 4 | 0.006 | 4 | 0.006 |
| Kingston Marina | 8 | 0.013 | 8 | 0.012 |
| Kingston Ramp | 32 | 0.051 | 32 | 0.046 |
| Manchester Ramp | 19 | 0.030 | 19 | 0.027 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Point Defiance Ramp | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Port Madison Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Poulsbo Ramp/Marina | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Private | 24 | 0.038 | 24 | 0.035 |
| Seacrest Boathouse (Lloyds) | 3 | 0.005 | 6 | 0.009 |
| Shilshole Marina | 46 | 0.073 | 46 | 0.067 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 154 | 0.244 | 154 | 0.223 |
| Tyee Marina | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Winslow City Ramp | 2 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.003 |
| Area 10 Total Anglers | 630 | 1.000 | 691 | 1.000 |

[^8]Table 4.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler-trips) | LM |  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU |  | SU | Encounters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 1, 2007- <br> Jan 31, 2008 |  | 539 | 21 | 96 | 0 | 80 | 163 | 1,860 | 361 | 3,120 |
| Dec 1, 2008- <br> Jan 31, 2009 | 2,029 | 247 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 1,010 | 462 | 1,796 |
| Oct 1, 2009- <br> Jan 31 2010 | 5,560 | 354 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 53 | 83 | 2,531 | 898 | 3,962 |
| Oct 1, 2010- <br> Jan 31, 2011 | 4,461 | 150 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 53 | 814 | 740 | 1,792 |
| Oct 1, 2011- <br> Jan 31, 2012 | 4,615 | 227 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 34 | 183 | 2,870 | 1,230 | 4,573 |

## 5) Marine Area 11 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a third consecutive winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 11 from February 1 through April 30, 2012. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 through April 30, 2012.

Table 5.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 winter markselective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
|  | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 6 boat surveys were conducted during the threemonth fishery. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (3 months) | VTR data were used in the estimation of total Area 11 Chinook encounters by size/mark group (LM=17\%, $\mathrm{LU}=12 \%, \mathrm{SM}=55 \%, \mathrm{SU}=16 \%)$ and associated impacts (see Table 5.5). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (3 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season (3 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 5.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD $=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Feb | 6 | 1-Feb | 5-Feb | 134 | 208 | 22 | 0 | 81 | 40 | 143 |
|  | 7 | 6-Feb | 12-Feb | 92 | 143 | 16 | 0 | 59 | 29 | 104 |
|  | 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 71 | 109 | 18 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 118 |
|  | 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 71 | 104 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 12 | 44 |
| Mar | 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 146 | 213 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 14 | 51 |
|  | 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 124 | 162 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 14 | 51 |
|  | 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 63 | 90 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 29 |
|  | 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 103 | 147 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 29 |
|  | 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 51 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 71 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 9-Apr | 15-Apr | 88 | 139 | 21 | 0 | 77 | 38 | 136 |
|  | 17 | 16-Apr | $22-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 90 | 154 | 17 | 0 | 65 | 32 | 114 |
|  | 18 | 23-Apr | $29-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 151 | 247 | 46 | 0 | 174 | 86 | 307 |
|  | 19 | 30-Apr | 30-Apr | 12 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 26 |
| Area 11 Season Total: |  |  |  | 1,265 | 1,937 | 174 | 0 | 656 | 324 | 1,153 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 22,264 | 50,995 | 1,101 | 0 | 32,820 | 5,253 | 87,328 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 149 | 226 | 33 | 0 | 181 | 72 | 296 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 11.8\% | 11.7\% | 19.1\% | - | 27.6\% | 22.4\% | 25.6\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 973- \\ 1,558 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,495- \\ & 2,380 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109- \\ & 239 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline 300- \\ 1,011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182- \\ & 466 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 574- \\ 1,732 \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.


Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 April 30, 2012.


Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 April 30, 2012.


Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

Table 5.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 45 | 1 | 46 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |

Table 5.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Washington <br> $(100 \%)$ | Northern Washington <br> $(25 \%)$ | Samish River <br> 03.0005 | Samish | $1(25 \%)$ | 1 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound <br> $(25 \%)$ | Finch Creek <br> 16.0222 | Wallace River <br> 07.0940 | Hoodsport | $1(25 \%)$ |
|  | Southern Puget Sound <br> $(25 \%)$ | Lakewood Hatchery | Lakewood | $1(25 \%)$ | 0 |

Table 5.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 69 1-trip VTRs, 103 Angler Trips | 29 | 21 | 94 | 27 | 171 | 0.72 | 0.58 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.17 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.55 \\ (0.0015) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 5.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 196 | 170 | 25 | 4 | 174 | 1,171 | 34 | $107-241$ | 20 |
| Legal UM | 142 | 0 | 142 | 21 | 21 | 47 | 7 | $8-35$ | 32 |
| Sublegal AD | 634 | 4 | 630 | 126 | 130 | 1,143 | 34 | $64-196$ | 26 |
| Sublegal UM | 182 | 0 | 182 | 36 | 36 | 126 | 11 | $14-58$ | 31 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 - 4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Table 5.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 552 | 117 | 435 | 3 |
|  | AD | 2,044 | 389 | 1,655 | 339 |
|  | Total | 2,596 | 506 | 2,090 | 342 |
|  | \% Marked | 79 | 77 | 79 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 324 | 142 | 182 | 0 |
|  | AD | 829 | 196 | 634 | 174 |
|  | Total | 1,153 | 337 | 816 | 174 |
|  | \% Marked | 72 | 58 | 78 | 100 |

Table 5.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 107 | 694 | 801 | 58 | 304 | 361 |
| Released Legal | 17 | 24 | 41 | 21 | 4 | 25 |
| Released Sublegal | 87 | 331 | 418 | 36 | 126 | 162 |
| Landed Only | 3 | 339 | 342 | 0 | 174 | 174 |



Figure 5.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 5.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.10 | 0.3 |
| Total |  | 1 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.10 | 0.3 |

Table 5.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| February | 6-9 | $1 \mathrm{Feb}-26 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 62 | 0 | 62 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 27.6\% |
| March | 10-14 | $27 \mathrm{Feb}-1 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 24 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 16.4\% |
| April | 15-19 | 2 Apr - 30 Apr | 88 | 0 | 88 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 28.4\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 174 | 0 | 174 | 46 | 0 | 46 | 26.4\% |

Table 5.11 Fishery total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. AD = marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Month | Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Released Salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Cutthroat Trout |
| Feb | 6 | 1-Feb | 5-Feb | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 6-Feb | 12-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  | 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 9-Apr | $15-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17 | 16-Apr | $22-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 23-Apr | $29-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 19 | 30-Apr | $30-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 11 Season Total: |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%) : <br> 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 60\% | - | 61\% | 67\% |
|  |  |  |  | 0-5 | - | 0-6 | 0-11 |

Table 5.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 11 during on-the-water surveys conducted from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Total Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 3}$ |
| Breakwater Marina | 2 | 0.022 |
| Day Island Marina | 2 | 0.022 |
| Dockton Ramp | 2 | 0.022 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 2 | 0.022 |
| Foss Harbor Marina | 8 | 0.088 |
| Fox Island Ramp | 2 | 0.022 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 3 | 0.033 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 1}$ |
| Manchester Ramp | 2 | 0.022 |
| Narrows Marina | 4 | 0.044 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 7}$ |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1 8}$ |
| Private | 5 | 0.055 |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ |

Table 5.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2010 | 3,096 | 315 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 80 | 114 | 10 | 580 |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2011 | 1,515 | 78 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 87 | 322 | 241 | 752 |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2012 | 1,937 | 170 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 142 | 630 | 182 | 1,153 |

## 6) Marine Area 12 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a third consecutive winter mark-selective Chinook fishery (MSF) in Marine Area 12 from February 1 through April 30, 2012. Data collection methods used to monitor the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery included dockside angler interviews (with catch sampling) and voluntary trip reports provided by private anglers. From these activities, we were able to estimate catch rates (i.e., CPUE), mark rates (based on VTRs), and landed-catch composition (age, length, and CWT). Additionally, we described relative catch and effort patterns over the three-month (February 1 - April 30, 2012) season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

WDFW dockside samplers conducted "Baseline Sampling" at selected Area 12 access sites during the 2012 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery in Area 12. Complete details of the Baseline Sampling methods are presented in a separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). Briefly, Baseline Sampling is opportunistic in nature, with overall sampling effort allocated across space and time in a manner that maximizes the number of angler interviews obtained per sample effort. The Area 12 baseline sample frame included 11 different access sites (Table 6.1), and a total of 154 site visits during the three-month season. Site visits ranged from short (e.g., "no effort" samples) to full-day (8+ hours) sampling events. When present, samplers interviewed all anglers exiting the Area 12 fishery at the selected access site. The interview and catch-sampling procedures employed in Area 12 were identical to those used in other markselective fisheries. Thus, Area 12 samplers acquired information about: 1) angling effort (boat and angler trips, trip length), 2) encounters composition (retained and/or released) by species and mark status (marked vs. unmarked, Chinook and coho salmon only), and 3) landed Chinook size (fork and total length) and age (scales were collected and ultimately read) composition. Samplers also inspected landed Chinook and coho salmon for CWTs using wand detectors and acquired snouts when tags were present; resulting tag data were used to estimate the CWT-based composition (unexpanded) of landed catch.

In contrast to the intensive survey design (i.e., the "Murthy" design) employed in other areas, Area 12 sampling results could not be used to produce fishery-total estimates of effort, encounters (retained catch + releases), and unmarked-DIT Chinook impacts. It should be noted, however, that Area 12 baseline sampling observations will ultimately (one to two years from the close of the fishery) be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to estimate catch and effort at the fishery-total level, by month. Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

In this section we report results from monitoring the Area 12 winter mark-selective Chinook fishery based on our efficient, streamlined reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives (in July 2010), which is focused on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text. Results are presented in a series of tables and figures according to the following sequence: $i$ ) the intensity (i.e., spatial and temporal coverage) of sampling efforts is described; and $i i$ ) observed data on fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside baseline sampling efforts are reviewed, including catch and effort observations, Chinook lengthfrequency data, and CWT recovery results.

Table 6.1 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

| Location | Number of Site-Days Sampled <br> per Month |  | Total Site- <br> Days | \% of Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | February | March |  |  |  |
| Saltwater Park Ramp | 7 | 2 | 5 | 14 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Misery Point Ramp | 20 | 20 | 17 | 57 | $37.0 \%$ |
| Pleasant Harbor Boat Ramp (WDFW) | 7 | 4 | 8 | 19 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Point Whitney Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Quilcene Bay Ramp | 6 | 1 | 8 | 15 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Salisbury County Park Ramp | 10 | 16 | 7 | 33 | $21.4 \%$ |
| Seabeck Marina | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Tahuya Ramp | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Union Ramp | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Triton Cove State Park | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 6.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Start Date | End Date | Effort |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retained Fish } \\ \hline \text { Chinook } \end{gathered}$ |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers |  |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |
| Feb | 6 | 1-Feb | 5-Feb | 41 | 77 | 19 | 0 | 52 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 6-Feb | 12-Feb | 29 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 8 | 13-Feb | 19-Feb | 46 | 95 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9 | 20-Feb | 26-Feb | 9 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 10 | 27-Feb | 4-Mar | 36 | 73 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 5-Mar | 11-Mar | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 12-Mar | 18-Mar | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13 | 19-Mar | 25-Mar | 7 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14 | 26-Mar | 1-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | 15 | 2-Apr | 8-Apr | 15 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 9-Apr | 15-Apr | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17 | 16-Apr | 22-Apr | 20 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 23-Apr | 29-Apr | 15 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 19 | 30-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 232 | 451 | 80 | 0 | 137 | 45 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 4 |



Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 April 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 April 30, 2012. Note: displayed values are sample observations (i.e., summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

Table 6.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 77 | 1 | 78 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ |

Table 6.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 12 markselective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| British <br> Columbia <br> $(7.1 \%)$ | Fraser R - Thompson <br> R (7.1\%) | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River | $1(7.1 \%)$ | 1 |
| Washington <br> $(92.9 \%)$ | Hood Canal (64.3\%) | Finch Creek <br> 16.0222 | Northern Puget Sound <br> $(7.1 \%)$ | Wallace River <br> 07.0940 | Mid Puget Sound <br> $(7.1 \%)$ |
|  | Scy Creek <br> 09.0125 | Wallace River | $1(7.1 \%)$ | 1 |  |

Table 6.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (i.e., adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 23 1-trip VTRs, 38 Angler Trips | 22 | 4 | 41 | 7 | 74 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \\ (0.0029) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.05 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.55 \\ (0.0034) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
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## APPENDICES

Appendix A. 1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 8-1 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012.

| Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/1/2011 | 45 | 0.269 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 11/27/2011 | 48 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/1/2011 | 45 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 11/27/2011 | 48 | 0.269 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 11/5/2011 | 45 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/1/2011 | 49 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/5/2011 | 45 | 0.111 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/1/2011 | 49 | 0.244 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/6/2011 | 45 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/3/2011 | 49 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/6/2011 | 45 | 0.269 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 12/3/2011 | 49 | 0.181 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 11/8/2011 | 46 | 0.082 | Coupeville Public Ramp | 12/4/2011 | 49 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/8/2011 | 46 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/4/2011 | 49 | 0.271 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp |
| 11/12/2011 | 46 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/8/2011 | 50 | 0.271 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp |
| 11/12/2011 | 46 | 0.285 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 12/8/2011 | 50 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/13/2011 | 46 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/9/2011 | 50 | 0.271 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp |
| 11/13/2011 | 46 | 0.111 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/9/2011 | 50 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/17/2011 | 47 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/11/2011 | 50 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/17/2011 | 47 | 0.269 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 12/11/2011 | 50 | 0.181 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 11/19/2011 | 47 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/12/2011 | 51 | 0.244 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/19/2011 | 47 | 0.285 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 12/12/2011 | 51 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/20/2011 | 47 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/17/2011 | 51 | 0.271 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp |
| 11/20/2011 | 47 | 0.111 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/17/2011 | 51 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/21/2011 | 48 | 0.082 | Coupeville Public Ramp | 12/18/2011 | 51 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/21/2011 | 48 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/18/2011 | 51 | 0.244 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/26/2011 | 48 | 0.285 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 12/21/2011 | 52 | 0.151 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/26/2011 | 48 | 0.229 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/21/2011 | 52 | 0.181 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Date }\end{array}$ | Week | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Site } \\ \text { Size }\end{array}$ | Location | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Date }\end{array}$ | Week | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Site } \\ \text { Size }\end{array}$ | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $12 / 23 / 2011$ | 52 | 0.244 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Norton Street (Everett) } \\ \text { Ramp }\end{array}$ | $1 / 21 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.117 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Norton Street (Everett) } \\ \text { Ramp }\end{array}$ |
| $12 / 23 / 2011$ | 52 | 0.151 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Camano Island State Park } \\ \text { Public Ramp }\end{array}$ | $1 / 22 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.468 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Camano Island State Park } \\ \text { Public Ramp }\end{array}$ |
| $12 / 24 / 2011$ | 52 | 0.151 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Camano Island State Park } \\ \text { Public Ramp }\end{array}$ | $1 / 22 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.117 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Norton Street (Everett) } \\ \text { Ramp }\end{array}$ |
| $12 / 24 / 2011$ | 52 | 0.181 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Maple Grove Ramp; } \\ \text { Camano Is }\end{array}$ | $1 / 26 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.468 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Camano Island State Park } \\ \text { Public Ramp }\end{array}$ |
| $12 / 27 / 2011$ | 53 | 0.151 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Camano Island State Park } \\ \text { Public Ramp }\end{array}$ | $1 / 26 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.168 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Maple Grove Ramp; } \\ \text { Camano Is }\end{array}$ |
| $12 / 27 / 2011$ | 53 | 0.271 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Oak Harbor Marina \& } \\ \text { Public Ramp }\end{array}$ | $1 / 27 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.051 | Coupeville Public Ramp |$]$| Cark |
| :--- |


| Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/19/2012 | 8 | 0.226 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 3/17/2012 | 12 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 2/19/2012 | 8 | 0.443 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/21/2012 | 13 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/21/2012 | 9 | 0.443 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/21/2012 | 13 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 2/21/2012 | 9 | 0.073 | Utsalady Ramp; Camano Is | 3/23/2012 | 13 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/25/2012 | 9 | 0.443 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/23/2012 | 13 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 2/25/2012 | 9 | 0.136 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 3/25/2012 | 13 | 0.065 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp |
| 2/26/2012 | 9 | 0.443 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/25/2012 | 13 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/26/2012 | 9 | 0.226 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 3/27/2012 | 14 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/28/2012 | 10 | 0.226 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 3/27/2012 | 14 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 2/28/2012 | 10 | 0.443 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/30/2012 | 14 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 3/2/2012 | 10 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 3/30/2012 | 14 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/2/2012 | 10 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/31/2012 | 14 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/3/2012 | 10 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 3/31/2012 | 14 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 3/3/2012 | 10 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/2/2012 | 15 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/8/2012 | 11 | 0.065 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 4/2/2012 | 15 | 0.169 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/8/2012 | 11 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/6/2012 | 15 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/10/2012 | 11 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/6/2012 | 15 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 3/10/2012 | 11 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 4/7/2012 | 15 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/11/2012 | 11 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/7/2012 | 15 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 3/11/2012 | 11 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 4/10/2012 | 16 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/13/2012 | 12 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/10/2012 | 16 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 3/13/2012 | 12 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 4/13/2012 | 16 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/16/2012 | 12 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/13/2012 | 16 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |
| 3/16/2012 | 12 | 0.169 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/14/2012 | 16 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/17/2012 | 12 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/14/2012 | 16 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 / 19 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp | $4 / 24 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.169 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp |
| $4 / 19 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.169 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp | $4 / 24 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp |
| $4 / 20 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp | $4 / 27 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp |
| $4 / 20 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; <br> Camano Is | $4 / 27 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; <br> Camano Is |
| $4 / 22 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp | $4 / 29 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; <br> Camano Is |
| $4 / 22 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.200 | Maple Grove Ramp; <br> Camano Is | $4 / 29 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.482 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp |

Appendix A. 2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 8-2 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2011 through April 30, 2012.

| Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/1/2011 | 45 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 11/27/2011 | 48 | 0.081 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 11/1/2011 | 45 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/1/2011 | 49 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/5/2011 | 45 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/1/2011 | 49 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/5/2011 | 45 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/3/2011 | 49 | 0.083 | Bayside Marine |
| 11/6/2011 | 45 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/3/2011 | 49 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp |
| 11/6/2011 | 45 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/4/2011 | 49 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/8/2011 | 46 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/4/2011 | 49 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/8/2011 | 46 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp | 12/8/2011 | 50 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/12/2011 | 46 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/8/2011 | 50 | 0.104 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 11/12/2011 | 46 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/9/2011 | 50 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/13/2011 | 46 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/9/2011 | 50 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp |
| 11/13/2011 | 46 | 0.081 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 12/11/2011 | 50 | 0.104 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 11/17/2011 | 47 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/11/2011 | 50 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/17/2011 | 47 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/12/2011 | 51 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/19/2011 | 47 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/12/2011 | 51 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/19/2011 | 47 | 0.081 | Dagmar's Landing; <br> Forklift Launch | 12/17/2011 | 51 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/20/2011 | 47 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/17/2011 | 51 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/20/2011 | 47 | 0.081 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 12/18/2011 | 51 | 0.104 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 11/21/2011 | 48 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp | 12/18/2011 | 51 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/21/2011 | 48 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/21/2011 | 52 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/26/2011 | 48 | 0.311 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 12/21/2011 | 52 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 11/26/2011 | 48 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 12/23/2011 | 52 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 11/27/2011 | 48 | 0.566 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp | 12/23/2011 | 52 | 0.104 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |


| Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/24/2011 | 52 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 1/22/2012 | 4 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 12/24/2011 | 52 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 1/26/2012 | 5 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 12/27/2011 | 53 | 0.101 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 1/26/2012 | 5 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 12/27/2011 | 53 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 1/27/2012 | 5 | 0.047 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 12/30/2011 | 53 | 0.104 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 1/27/2012 | 5 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 12/30/2011 | 53 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 1/28/2012 | 5 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 12/31/2011 | 53 | 0.104 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 1/28/2012 | 5 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 12/31/2011 | 53 | 0.661 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/1/2012 | 6 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/4/2012 | 2 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/1/2012 | 6 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 1/4/2012 | 2 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/3/2012 | 6 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 1/6/2012 | 2 | 0.047 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 2/3/2012 | 6 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/6/2012 | 2 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/4/2012 | 6 | 0.062 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 1/7/2012 | 2 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/4/2012 | 6 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/7/2012 | 2 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/8/2012 | 7 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/10/2012 | 3 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/8/2012 | 7 | 0.062 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 1/10/2012 | 3 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/11/2012 | 7 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 1/14/2012 | 3 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/11/2012 | 7 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/14/2012 | 3 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/12/2012 | 7 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/15/2012 | 3 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/12/2012 | 7 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 1/15/2012 | 3 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/15/2012 | 8 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 1/17/2012 | 4 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/15/2012 | 8 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/17/2012 | 4 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/18/2012 | 8 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/21/2012 | 4 | 0.188 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 2/18/2012 | 8 | 0.062 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 1/21/2012 | 4 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/19/2012 | 8 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 1/22/2012 | 4 | 0.732 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 2/19/2012 | 8 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/21/2012 | 9 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 3/21/2012 | 13 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/21/2012 | 9 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/23/2012 | 13 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 2/25/2012 | 9 | 0.062 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 3/23/2012 | 13 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/25/2012 | 9 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 3/25/2012 | 13 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 2/26/2012 | 9 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 3/25/2012 | 13 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 2/26/2012 | 9 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/27/2012 | 14 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 2/28/2012 | 10 | 0.530 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 3/27/2012 | 14 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 2/28/2012 | 10 | 0.161 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/30/2012 | 14 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/2/2012 | 10 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 3/30/2012 | 14 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/2/2012 | 10 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 3/31/2012 | 14 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/3/2012 | 10 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 3/31/2012 | 14 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/3/2012 | 10 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/2/2012 | 15 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/8/2012 | 11 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/2/2012 | 15 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/8/2012 | 11 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/6/2012 | 15 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/10/2012 | 11 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/6/2012 | 15 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 3/10/2012 | 11 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 4/7/2012 | 15 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/11/2012 | 11 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/7/2012 | 15 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/11/2012 | 11 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/10/2012 | 16 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/13/2012 | 12 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/10/2012 | 16 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/13/2012 | 12 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 4/13/2012 | 16 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/16/2012 | 12 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/13/2012 | 16 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/16/2012 | 12 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/14/2012 | 16 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |
| 3/17/2012 | 12 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/14/2012 | 16 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch |
| 3/17/2012 | 12 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 4/19/2012 | 17 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp |
| 3/21/2012 | 13 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 4/19/2012 | 17 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $4 / 20 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp | $4 / 24 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; <br> Forklift Launch |
| $4 / 20 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp | $4 / 27 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp |
| $4 / 22 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp | $4 / 27 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.219 | Camano Island State Park <br> Public Ramp |
| $4 / 22 / 2012$ | 17 | 0.059 | Dagmar's Landing; <br> Forklift Launch | $4 / 29 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.047 | Bayside Marine |
| $4 / 24 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp | $4 / 29 / 2012$ | 18 | 0.598 | Norton Street (Everett) <br> Ramp |

Appendix A. 3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/1/2011 | 40 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 10/27/2011 | 44 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/1/2011 | 40 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp | 10/28/2011 | 44 | 0.126 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) |
| 10/2/2011 | 40 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 10/28/2011 | 44 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/2/2011 | 40 | 0.1204 | Kingston Public Ramp | 10/29/2011 | 44 | 0.042 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/4/2011 | 41 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 10/29/2011 | 44 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/4/2011 | 41 | 0.1204 | Kingston Public Ramp | 11/1/2011 | 45 | 0.6957 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/7/2011 | 41 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp | 11/1/2011 | 45 | 0.087 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/7/2011 | 41 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 11/5/2011 | 45 | 0.6957 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/8/2011 | 41 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp | 11/5/2011 | 45 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/8/2011 | 41 | 0.126 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 11/6/2011 | 45 | 0.0543 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/12/2011 | 42 | 0.1204 | Kingston Public Ramp | 11/6/2011 | 45 | 0.8261 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/12/2011 | 42 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp | 11/8/2011 | 46 | 0.087 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/14/2011 | 42 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp | 11/8/2011 | 46 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/14/2011 | 42 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 11/12/2011 | 46 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/15/2011 | 42 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 11/12/2011 | 46 | 0.6957 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/15/2011 | 42 | 0.126 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 11/13/2011 | 46 | 0.8261 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/20/2011 | 43 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 11/13/2011 | 46 | 0.0543 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/20/2011 | 43 | 0.126 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 11/17/2011 | 47 | 0.6957 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 10/21/2011 | 43 | 0.4575 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 11/17/2011 | 47 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/21/2011 | 43 | 0.042 | Manchester Public Ramp | 11/19/2011 | 47 | 0.087 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 10/23/2011 | 43 | 0.8898 | Armeni Public Ramp | 11/19/2011 | 47 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/23/2011 | 43 | 0.0678 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 11/20/2011 | 47 | 0.8261 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 10/27/2011 | 44 | 0.2514 | Armeni Public Ramp | 11/20/2011 | 47 | 0.0543 | Shilshole Public Ramp |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/21/2011 | 48 | 0.0217 | Kingston Public Ramp | 12/21/2011 | 52 | 0.223 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 11/21/2011 | 48 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/23/2011 | 52 | 0.2269 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) |
| 11/26/2011 | 48 | 0.0217 | Kingston Public Ramp | 12/23/2011 | 52 | 0.0932 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 11/26/2011 | 48 | 0.1957 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/24/2011 | 52 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 11/27/2011 | 48 | 0.0543 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/24/2011 | 52 | 0.1047 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 11/27/2011 | 48 | 0.8261 | Armeni Public Ramp | 12/27/2011 | 53 | 0.0932 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 12/1/2011 | 49 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/27/2011 | 53 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/1/2011 | 49 | 0.223 | Kingston Public Ramp | 12/30/2011 | 53 | 0.2269 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) |
| 12/3/2011 | 49 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 12/30/2011 | 53 | 0.0932 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 12/3/2011 | 49 | 0.2269 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 12/31/2011 | 53 | 0.2269 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) |
| 12/4/2011 | 49 | 0.095 | Manchester Public Ramp | 12/31/2011 | 53 | 0.223 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 12/4/2011 | 49 | 0.7019 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/4/2012 | 2 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/8/2011 | 50 | 0.223 | Kingston Public Ramp | 1/4/2012 | 2 | 0.273 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 12/8/2011 | 50 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/6/2012 | 2 | 0.1164 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| 12/9/2011 | 50 | 0.2269 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 1/6/2012 | 2 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/9/2011 | 50 | 0.0932 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/7/2012 | 2 | 0.0942 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) |
| 12/11/2011 | 50 | 0.7019 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/7/2012 | 2 | 0.2567 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 12/11/2011 | 50 | 0.0454 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 1/10/2012 | 3 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/12/2011 | 51 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/10/2012 | 3 | 0.2567 | Manchester Public Ramp |
| 12/12/2011 | 51 | 0.1047 | Manchester Public Ramp | 1/14/2012 | 3 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/17/2011 | 51 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/14/2012 | 3 | 0.273 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 12/17/2011 | 51 | 0.223 | Kingston Public Ramp | 1/15/2012 | 3 | 0.273 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| 12/18/2011 | 51 | 0.7019 | Armeni Public Ramp | 1/15/2012 | 3 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/18/2011 | 51 | 0.1169 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/17/2012 | 4 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| 12/21/2011 | 52 | 0.3522 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 1/17/2012 | 4 | 0.0942 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1 / 21 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.1164 | Armeni Public Ramp | $1 / 26 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $1 / 21 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $1 / 27 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp |
| $1 / 22 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.2597 | Shilshole Public Ramp | $1 / 27 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.1164 | Armeni Public Ramp |
| $1 / 22 / 2012$ | 4 | 0.1164 | Armeni Public Ramp | $1 / 28 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.273 | Kingston Public Ramp |
| $1 / 26 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.273 | Kingston Public Ramp | $1 / 28 / 2012$ | 5 | 0.0942 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. <br> fork lift) |

Appendix A. 4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from February 1, 2012 through April 30, 2012.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Site Size | Location | Sample <br> Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/1/2012 | 6 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 2/26/2012 | 9 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/1/2012 | 6 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 2/28/2012 | 10 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/3/2012 | 6 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 2/28/2012 | 10 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/3/2012 | 6 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/2/2012 | 10 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/4/2012 | 6 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/2/2012 | 10 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/4/2012 | 6 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/3/2012 | 10 | 0.0414 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 2/8/2012 | 7 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/3/2012 | 10 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/8/2012 | 7 | 0.0522 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 3/8/2012 | 11 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/11/2012 | 7 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/8/2012 | 11 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/11/2012 | 7 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/10/2012 | 11 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/12/2012 | 7 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/10/2012 | 11 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/12/2012 | 7 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/11/2012 | 11 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/15/2012 | 8 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/11/2012 | 11 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/15/2012 | 8 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/13/2012 | 12 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/18/2012 | 8 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/13/2012 | 12 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/18/2012 | 8 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/16/2012 | 12 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/19/2012 | 8 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/16/2012 | 12 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/19/2012 | 8 | 0.0522 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 3/17/2012 | 12 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/21/2012 | 9 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/17/2012 | 12 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/21/2012 | 9 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/21/2012 | 13 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/25/2012 | 9 | 0.649 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 3/21/2012 | 13 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 2/25/2012 | 9 | 0.2796 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 3/23/2012 | 13 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 2/26/2012 | 9 | 0.0522 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 3/23/2012 | 13 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse |


| Sample Date | Week | Site <br> Size | Location | Sample Date | Week | Site Size | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/25/2012 | 13 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/13/2012 | 16 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/25/2012 | 13 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 4/13/2012 | 16 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 3/27/2012 | 14 | 0.055 | Armeni Public Ramp | 4/14/2012 | 16 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/27/2012 | 14 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/14/2012 | 16 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 3/30/2012 | 14 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 4/19/2012 | 17 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 3/30/2012 | 14 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/19/2012 | 17 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 3/31/2012 | 14 | 0.5973 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/20/2012 | 17 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 3/31/2012 | 14 | 0.289 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 4/20/2012 | 17 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 4/2/2012 | 15 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/22/2012 | 17 | 0.2178 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 4/2/2012 | 15 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 4/22/2012 | 17 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 4/6/2012 | 15 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/24/2012 | 18 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 4/6/2012 | 15 | 0.2178 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 4/24/2012 | 18 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse |
| 4/7/2012 | 15 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/27/2012 | 18 | 0.2178 | Gig Harbor Ramp |
| 4/7/2012 | 15 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 4/27/2012 | 18 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 4/10/2012 | 16 | 0.2178 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 4/29/2012 | 18 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp |
| 4/10/2012 | 16 | 0.4957 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 4/29/2012 | 18 | 0.2481 | Point Defiance Boathouse |

Appendix B. 1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 | 18-Feb-12 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 |  | 12484 | AD |
| 07 | 18-Feb-12 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 |  | 12485 | AD |
| 07 | 18-Feb-12 | 634797 | 2008 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634798 |  | 12486 | AD |
| 07 | 18-Feb-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  |  | 12487 | AD |
| 07 | 18-Feb-12 | 634794 | 2009 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634793 |  | 12488 | AD |
| 07 | 18-Feb-12 | 634797 | 2008 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634798 |  | 12489 | AD |
| 07 | 19-Feb-12 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 |  | 12490 | AD |
| 07 | 07-Jan-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 61 | 13542 | AD |
| 07 | 21-Apr-12 | 634797 | 2008 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634798 | 75 | 14805 | AD |
| 07 | 21-Apr-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 80 | 14806 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 76 | 28872 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 69 | 28873 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 67 | 28874 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 63 | 28876 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Apr-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 59 | 28877 | AD |
| 07 | 07-Apr-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 28878 | AD |
| 07 | 21-Apr-12 | 180492 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 56 | 28883 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Dec-11 | 634797 | 2008 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634798 | 69 | 42566 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Dec-11 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 65 | 42567 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Dec-11 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 55 | 42568 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Dec-11 | 634373 | 2007 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 634374 | 80 | 42569 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Dec-11 | 180469 | 2008 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 75 | 42571 | AD |
| 07 | 11-Dec-11 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 61 | 42572 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Dec-11 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 60 | 42582 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Dec-11 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 69 | 42583 | AD |
| 07 | 07-Jan-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 64 | 42584 | AD |
| 07 | 10-Jan-12 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 62 | 42585 | AD |
| 07 | 27-Jan-12 | 180480 | 2008 | R-CHILLIWACK R | CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180483,180482,180481 | 78 | 42586 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 77 | 42587 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 210860 | 2008 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 63 | 42588 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 210278 | 2007 | BAKER R 03.0435 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 80 | 42589 | AD |
| 07 | 05-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 62 | 42590 | AD |
| 07 | 11-Feb-12 | 210842 | 2008 | SKAGIT R 03.0176 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 42961 | AD |
| 07 | 27-Mar-12 | 180889 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 58 | 42962 | AD |
| 07 | 13-Apr-12 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 64 | 42963 | AD |
| 07 | 14-Apr-12 | 186046 | 2009 | R-CHEMAINUS R | SEASPRING SALMON | CDFO |  | 63 | 42964 | AD |
| 07 | 22-Apr-12 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 82 | 42965 | AD |
| 07 | 29-Apr-12 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 62 | 42966 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 | 17-Dec-11 | 180889 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 60 | 43001 | AD |
| 07 | 23-Dec-11 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 70 | 43002 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 73 | 43003 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Mar-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 79 | 43004 | AD |
| 07 | 23-Mar-12 | 180888 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 64 | 43005 | AD |
| 07 | 30-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 69 | 43006 | AD |
| 07 | 21-Apr-12 | 180492 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 68 | 43007 | AD |
| 07 | 16-Dec-11 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 57 | 43019 | AD |
| 07 | 16-Dec-11 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 57 | 43020 | AD |
| 07 | 16-Dec-11 | 634373 | 2007 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 634374 | 81 | 43021 | AD |
| 07 | 06-Jan-12 | 634298 | 2007 | GREEN R 09.0001 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 77 | 43022 | AD |
| 07 | 05-Feb-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 68 | 43023 | AD |
| 07 | 13-Jan-12 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 55 | 43024 | AD |
| 07 | 27-Jan-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 77 | 43025 | AD |
| 07 | 27-Jan-12 | 180492 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 64 | 43026 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Feb-12 | 635081 | 2008 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 82 | 43027 | AD |
| 07 | 26-Feb-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 68 | 43028 | AD |
| 07 | 06-Apr-12 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 635293 | 60 | 43029 | AD |
| 07 | 06-Apr-12 | 634278 | 2008 | CHAMBERS CR 12.0007 | GARRISON H | WDFW |  | 65 | 43030 | AD |
| 07 | 14-Apr-12 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 61 | 43031 | AD |
| 07 | 07-Apr-12 | 634271 | 2007 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634270 | 62 | 43032 | AD |
| 07 | 14-Apr-12 | 210860 | 2008 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR H | NISQ |  | 64 | 43033 | AD |
| 07 | 29-Apr-12 | 180482 | 2008 | R-CHILLIWACK R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO | 180483,180480,180481 | 64 | 43036 | AD |
| 07 | 05-Feb-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 67 | 43050 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 57 | 43078 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 43079 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 634794 | 2009 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634793 | 55 | 43080 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 55 | 43081 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 69 | 43082 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 180968 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 60 | 43083 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 58 | 43084 | AD |
| 07 | 03-Feb-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 53 | 43085 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 82 | 43101 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 73 | 43102 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 186307 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 61 | 43104 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 73 | 43108 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 67 | 43109 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 69 | 43113 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 66 | 43114 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 186046 | 2009 | R-CHEMAINUS R | SEASPRING SALMON | CDFO |  | 59 | 43115 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 87 | 43116 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634393 | 2008 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR H | WDFW |  | 61 | 43117 | UM |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 60 | 43118 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 86 | 43119 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 65 | 43120 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 67 | 43121 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 80 | 43122 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 69 | 43124 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 57 | 43125 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 67 | 43126 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 78 | 43128 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 78 | 43129 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 55 | 43130 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 64 | 43131 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 74 | 43133 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 43134 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 180492 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 68 | 43135 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 634373 | 2007 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 634374 | 76 | 43139 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 58 | 43140 | AD |
| 07 | 31-Mar-12 | 186047 | 2009 | R-CHEMAINUS R | SEASPRING SALMON | CDFO |  | 53 | 43145 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634794 | 2009 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634793 | 60 | 43147 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 62 | 43148 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 73 | 43149 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Apr-12 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 58 | 43150 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 73 | 43315 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Mar-12 | 634298 | 2007 | GREEN R 09.0001 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 70 | 54790 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Dec-11 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 54 | 60275 | AD |
| 07 | 11-Mar-12 | 210851 | 2008 | WHITE R 10.0031 | WHITE RIVER H | MUCK |  | 63 | 60280 | UM |
| 07 | 16-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 60281 | AD |
| 07 | 25-Mar-12 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD HATCHERY | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | 64 | 60282 | AD |
| 07 | 13-Apr-12 | 210822 | 2008 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 634796 | 59 | 60284 | AD |
| 07 | 14-Apr-12 | 634794 | 2009 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR H | WDFW | 634793 | 59 | 60285 | AD |
| 07 | 14-Apr-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 70 | 60286 | AD |
| 07 | 22-Apr-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 63 | 60287 | AD |
| 07 | 21-Apr-12 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 56 | 60288 | AD |
| 07 | 22-Apr-12 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 71 | 60289 | AD |
| 07 | 29-Apr-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 70 | 60290 | AD |
| 07 | 17-Dec-11 | 634373 | 2007 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 634374 | 70 | 62166 | AD |
| 07 | 23-Dec-11 | 180493 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 60 | 62167 | AD |
| 07 | 01-Dec-11 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 67 | 62183 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathbf{c m}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 | 18-Dec-11 | 180888 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 71 | 62235 | AD |
| 07 | 13-Jan-12 | 634873 | 2008 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 634872 | 59 | 62236 | AD |
| 07 | 13-Jan-12 | 210856 | 2008 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 62 | 62237 | AD |
| 07 | 24-Mar-12 | 186047 | 2009 | R-CHEMAINUS R | SEASPRING SALMON | CDFO |  | 60 | 62240 | AD |
| 07 | 25-Mar-12 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 59 | 62241 | AD |
| 07 | 25-Mar-12 | 180492 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 62 | 62242 | AD |
| 07 | 25-Mar-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 58 | 62243 | AD |
| 07 | 25-Mar-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 66 | 62245 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 64 | 62389 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 68 | 62390 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 62391 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 180968 | 2009 | R-COWICHAN R | COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 60 | 62392 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 54 | 62393 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 181379 | 2009 | R-BIG QUALICUM R | BIG QUALICUM RIVER H | CDFO |  | 59 | 62394 | AD |
| 07 | 04-Feb-12 | 210840 | 2008 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 71 | 62395 | AD |
| 07 | 12-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 62462 | AD |
| 07 | 08-Apr-12 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 72 | 63721 | AD |

Appendix B. 2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Areas 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2011 - April 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Appendix B. 3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2011 and January 16 - April 15, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09 | 15-Apr-12 | 210906 | 2009 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 64 | 58474 | AD |
| 09 | 19-Feb-12 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 635293 | 56 | 60278 | AD |
| 09 | 28-Jan-12 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635284 | 64 | 62754 | AD |
| 09 | 04-Feb-12 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR H | WDFW |  | 62 | 62755 | AD |
| 09 | 23-Mar-12 | 634864 | 2008 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 634865 | 62 | 62757 | AD |
| 09 | 03-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 63719 | AD |
| 09 | 05-Feb-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 66 | 63720 | AD |
| 09 | 08-Apr-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 71 | 63722 | AD |
| 09 | 08-Apr-12 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR H | SUQ | 635089 | 60 | 63771 | AD |
| 09 | 05-Nov-11 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST REARING POND | SUQ |  | 53 | 66783 | AD |
| 09 | 18-Nov-11 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 61 | 66784 | AD |
| 09 | 04-Feb-12 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 58 | 66791 | AD |
| 09 | 19-Nov-11 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 64 | 66857 | AD |
| 09 | 14-Apr-12 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK H | WDFW | 635298 | 56 | 66861 | AD |
| 09 | 15-Apr-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 65 | 66862 | AD |
| 09 | 15-Apr-12 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 60 | 66863 | AD |
| 09 | 15-Apr-12 | 634284 | 2007 | VOIGHT CR 10.0414 | VOIGHTS CR H | WDFW |  | 80 | 66864 | AD |
| 09 | 01-Nov-11 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 63 | 67426 | AD |
| 09 | 06-Nov-11 | 634844 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW | 634845 | 59 | 67427 | AD |
| 09 | 06-Nov-11 | 210850 | 2008 | WHITE R 10.0031 | WHITE RIVER H | MUCK |  | 68 | 67429 | AD |
| 09 | 15-Nov-11 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 76 | 67430 | AD |

Appendix B. 4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 19-Nov-11 | 634395 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 75 | 54800 | AD |
| 10 | 23-Oct-11 | 180898 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180895,180896 | 59 | 66782 | AD |
| 10 | 03-Dec-11 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 70 | 66858 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Jan-12 | 210913 | 2009 | WHITE R 10.0031 | WHITE RIVER H | MUCK |  | 47 | 66860 | UM |
| 10 | 01-Oct-11 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 62 | 67312 | AD |
| 10 | 01-Oct-11 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 61 | 67314 | AD |
| 10 | 02-Oct-11 | 635090 | 2009 | MINTER CR 15.0048 | MINTER CR H | WDFW |  | 40 | 67317 | UM |
| 10 | 04-Dec-11 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 66 | 67318 | AD |

Appendix B. 5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> (cm) | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 14-Apr-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 09490 |
| 11 | 14-Apr-12 | 635284 | 2009 | SAMISH R 03.0005 | SAMISH H | WDFW | 635285 | 67 | 54047 |
| 11 | $24-$ Apr-12 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R H | WDFW |  | 70 | 54048 |
| 11 | $29-A p r-12$ | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD HATCHERY | LAKEWOOD H | WDFW |  | AD |  |

Appendix B. 6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2012.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\underset{(\mathrm{cm})}{\mathrm{FL}}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 04-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 51921 | AD |
| 12 | 06-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 77 | 51922 | AD |
| 12 | 04-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 51924 | AD |
| 12 | 07-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 74 | 56656 | AD |
| 12 | 07-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 56657 | AD |
| 12 | 07-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 71 | 56658 | AD |
| 12 | 18-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 56987 | AD |
| 12 | 26-Feb-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 56989 | AD |
| 12 | 06-Apr-12 | 634781 | 2008 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 76 | 56990 | AD |
| 12 | 12-Feb-12 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD HATCHERY | LAKEWOOD HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 77 | 60715 | AD |
| 12 | 04-Mar-12 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635293 | 57 | 62617 | AD |
| 12 | 10-Feb-12 | 634780 | 2008 | ICY CR 09.0125 | ICY CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 74 | 62756 | AD |
| 12 | 21-Apr-12 | 631427 | 2008 | LAKEWOOD HATCHERY | LAKEWOOD HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 75 | 62758 | AD |
| 12 | 27-Apr-12 | 180896 | 2009 | CHILLIWACK R | CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 180895,180898 | 70 | 62759 | AD |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to winter mark-selective fisheries in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches $[56 \mathrm{~cm}$ ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Though the necessary tissue samples have been collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are presently unavailable for Puget Sound/Strait of Juan de Fuca mark-selective fisheries. In the present report, methods for producing CWT-based (unexpanded) estimates of the stock composition of marked Chinook harvest are provided.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the winter 2011-12 Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery (i.e., the four sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates, derby samples, and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in Area 7).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Note: Results of a Chi-Square test between Nov and Jan-Apr size/mark-status proportions suggest that the data should not be combined. Therefore, season total proportions were not used to estimate total Chinook encounters and associated impacts. Rather, estimates were calculated separately for the Nov and Jan-Apr time strata, using each stratum's respective proportions.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the winter 2011-12 Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery (i.e., the sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the Area).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the four-month winter Area 10 fishery (i.e., the two selected sites per sampling day for creel [Murthy] estimates, plus the fish sampled as part of baseline [non-Murthy] sampling in the Area).

[^8]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ See WDFW 2012a for detailed methods descriptions on calculating Area 10 site size measures during the Tengu Derby period (Tengu Derby occurs on Sundays only, extending from the middle of October through late December).

