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Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.

Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013.
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## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of wild Chinook salmon in the marine environments of the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, wild stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of large-scale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting wild salmon populations. In such "mark-selective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly wild) salmon encountered ".

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine mark-selective Chinook fisheries in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a ,WDFW 2008a), markselective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented on a pilot basis in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both the summer and winter seasons. As of the close of the summer 2013 fishing season, pilot summer Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for eleven consecutive seasons, in Areas 9, 10, 11, and 13 for seven consecutive seasons and in Area 12 for two consecutive seasons. Additionally, pilot winter Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for eight consecutive seasons, in Areas 7, 9 and 10 for six consecutive seasons, in Areas 11 and 12 for four consecutive seasons and in Area 6 for one season ${ }^{2}$.

During the 2012-13 winter season (October 2012 through April 2013), WDFW implemented eight pilot Chinook MSFs in Areas 6, 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11 and 12. The seasons in each of the areas were as follows:

- Area 6 from December 1, 2012 through April 10, 2013;
- Area 7 from December 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013;
- Areas 8-1 and 8-2 from November 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013;
- Area 9 from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013;
- Area 10 from October 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013;
- Area 11 from February 1,2013 through April 30, 2013; and
- Area 12 from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013.

[^0]Consistent with the 2004 (and 2010 update) Puget Sound Chinook Harvest Management Plan (Puget Sound Indian Tribes and WDFW 2004 and 2010), a key goal of implementing each of these Chinook MSFs has been to provide meaningful opportunity to the recreational angling public while minimally impacting ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook salmon.

## Comprehensive Sampling and Monitoring Program

WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) was tasked with implementing a comprehensive sampling and monitoring program in Areas 6, 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9,10,11 and 12 to collect the data needed to evaluate each pilot Chinook MSF and its impact on unmarked salmon. Through statetribal agreement (WDFW and NWIFC 2012), we developed area-specific sampling plans consisting of several comprehensive and complementary sampling components, including dockside creel sampling, test fishing, on-water or aerial effort surveys, and angler-completed voluntary trip reports (VTRs). We tailored area-specific sampling plans so that we could reliably estimate the following critical parameters needed for evaluating MSFs:
i) the mark rate of the targeted Chinook population
ii) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and markstatus [marked or unmarked] group)
iii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group)
iv) the coded-wire tag (CWT) and/or DNA-based stock composition of marked and unmarked Chinook mortalities ${ }^{3}$
$v)$ the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks
In addition, we acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the pilot fisheries, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), the length composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts.

## Reporting Efficiencies

In July 2010, technical staffs from the WDFW Puget Sound Sampling Unit, Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC), and Puget Sound Treaty Tribes met to discuss potential reporting efficiencies in WDFW's Chinook MSF post-season reports. NWIFC and tribal representatives had initiated the idea for such a meeting, considering that WDFW had been submitting a separate post-season report for each area and season (since 2003) to the comanagers, resulting in redundancies between individual reports, particularly in the Methods section. Also, over the years we kept adding sections to the selective fishery annual reports, in response to individual tribal co-manager requests, and sustained those additions in each future report, resulting in ever-lengthening post-season reports. From both the WDFW and tribal technical perspectives, we needed to prioritize the most essential reporting elements and achieve efficiencies to streamline the selective fishery reporting work load.

[^1]Thus, at the July 2010 meeting the WDFW and tribal staffs worked on prioritizing the most essential elements (i.e., tables, figures, and appendices) needed in WDFW's annual post-season selective fishery reports in an effort to define reporting efficiencies. Based on these decisions (details available in a WDFW memo dated August 16, 2010 summarizing the July 2010 meeting), we began implementing reporting efficiencies starting with the 2009-10 winter Chinook MSF post-season report and continuing thereafter.

At the July 2010 meeting we also agreed that a key efficiency in the annual reporting process would be for WDFW staff to produce a centralized Methods Report. The Methods Report would be a stand-alone document that includes the details of each area's Chinook MSF study design (for both winter and summer fisheries), sampling procedures, data analysis methods, and all equations used to generate estimates and variances. Thus, we refer the reader to our Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for detailed descriptions of the diverse study designs and protocols used to monitor and evaluate the Chinook MSFs in Areas 6, 7, 8-1, 8-2, 9, 10, 11, and 12 during winter 2012-13.

In the following pages, we report the results generated through our monitoring activities during the 2012-13 winter Chinook MSFs. We report results based on our more efficient reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives, in which we focus on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text (unless text is needed to specify noteworthy in-season adjustments or other circumstances unique to the particular season). We present 2012-13 winter Chinook MSF results in separate chapters (1 through 7) by area, and within each chapter the data are presented in a series of tables and figures generally according to the following sequence: $i$ ) estimates of fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside creel survey data, including catch and effort total estimates, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results; $i i$ ) results from our recreational test fishery (where applicable); iii) results from our VTR collection efforts; $i v$ ) total mortality estimates of marked and unmarked DIT CWT stocks by hatchery and brood year; $v$ ) total fishery Chinook encounters and impacts-estimated based on creel survey and test fishery or VTR data-which we compare with pre-season expectations (based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions); vi) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook; and vii) historical Chinook encounters estimates for each area's winter Chinook MSF.

## RESULTS

## 1) Marine Area 6 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a winter Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6 for the first time from December 1, 2012 through April 10, 2013. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 6 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, aerial effort surveys and collection of VTRs from the angling public. Table 1.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 6 winter Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 through April 10, 2013. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), we present aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 6 (see WDFW 2012a, Aerial-Access Design). The four sites included in the Area 6 dockside sample frame are John Wayne Marina, Port Angeles Boat Haven, Ediz Hook and Coronet Ramp, which are assumed to be the highest-use access sites for Area 6 anglers. The Olympic Peninsula Derby took place from February 16-18 over portions of Marine Areas 6, 7 and 9. The proportions of effort and catch that occurred in each area were estimated based on dockside sampling efforts at designated weigh-in stations during the derby. These proportions were applied to total reported effort and catch in order to allocate them by area.

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 6 Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 6 effort (boats) captured in the foursite sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$. | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Season | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 1.11; $n=10$ surveys conducted out of $N=131$ days available in the season). Seasonwide sample fraction was calculated as the average sample fraction over the 10 individual aerial surveys. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., Coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data (Table 1.5) were used to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=65 \%, \mathrm{LU}=16 \%$, $\mathrm{SM}=13 \%, \mathrm{SU}=5 \%$ ) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Dec | 49 | 01-Dec | 02-Dec | 24 | 47 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 14 |
|  | 50 | 03-Dec | 09-Dec | 27 | 41 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 16 |
|  | 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 41 | 69 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 39 |
|  | 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 67 | 136 | 36 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 63 |
|  | 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 96 | 184 | 115 | 0 | 43 | 44 | 202 |
| Jan | 54/1 | 31-Dec | 06-Jan | 78 | 141 | 39 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 69 |
|  | 2 | 07-Jan | 13-Jan | 87 | 159 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 45 |
|  | 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 142 | 242 | 150 | 0 | 56 | 58 | 264 |
|  | 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 49 | 80 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 31 |
|  | 5 | 28-Jan | 03-Feb | 122 | 196 | 86 | 0 | 32 | 33 | 150 |
| Feb | 6 | 04-Feb | 10-Feb | 142 | 248 | 64 | 2 | 24 | 22 | 113 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 73 | 129 | 52 | 1 | 19 | 19 | 91 |
|  | 8 | 18-Feb | 24-Feb | 44 | 90 | 36 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 64 |
|  | 9 | 25-Feb | 03-Mar | 126 | 241 | 73 | 0 | 27 | 28 | 128 |
| Mar | 10 | 04-Mar | 10-Mar | 168 | 294 | 65 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 114 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 84 | 130 | 72 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 126 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 89 | 152 | 63 | 0 | 23 | 24 | 110 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 146 | 273 | 80 | 0 | 30 | 31 | 141 |
| Apr | 14 | 01-Apr | 07-Apr | 100 | 185 | 54 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 94 |
|  | 15 | 08-Apr | 10-Apr | 111 | 195 | 111 | 18 | 41 | 25 | 194 |
| Area 6 Season Sub-Total: |  |  |  | 1,814 | 3,230 | 1,177 | 21 | 438 | 431 | 2,067 |
| Olympic Pen. Derby Feb 16-18 2013 |  |  |  | 725 | 1,686 | 232 | 0 | 86 | 89 | 407 |
| Area 6 Season Total: |  |  |  | 2,539 | 4,916 | 1,409 | 21 | 524 | 520 | 2,474 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 31,990 | 91,497 | 25,970 | 18 | 67,054 | 4,668 | 86,159 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 179 | 302 | 161 | 4 | 259 | 68 | 294 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 7.0\% | 6.2\% | 11.4\% | 20.1\% | 49.4\% | 13.1\% | 11.9\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,188- \\ 2,890 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,323- \\ 5,509 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,093- \\ 1,725 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 13-29 | $\begin{gathered} 16- \\ 1,031 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 386-654 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,898- \\ & 3,049 \end{aligned}$ |



Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 April 10, 2013.


Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013.


Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013.


Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013.

Table 1.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 716 | 7 | 723 |
| Unmarked | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ |

Table 1.4 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia (13.7\%) | Fraser River Thompson River (2\%) | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River | 1 (2\%) | 1 |
|  | Georgia Strait (11.8\%) | Chemainus River | Nanaimo River | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cowichan River | Cowichan River | 5 (9.8\%) | 0 |
| Washington (80.4\%) | Northern Washington(11.8\%) | Friday Creek | Samish | 1 (2\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Nooksack R - NF 01.0120 | Kendall Creek | 1 (2\%) | 1 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (SAN) | Glenwood Springs | 2 (3.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Samish R - 03.0005 | Samish Hatchery | 2 (3.9\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Sol Duc R - 20.0096 | Lonesome Creek | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (29.4\%) | Purdy Creek - 16.0005 | George Adams | 8 (15.7\%) | 8 |
|  |  | Finch Creek - 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 7 (13.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (7.8\%) | Tulalip Creek - 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin | 2 (3.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wallace River - 07.0940 | Wallace River | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (2\%) | Cascade River - 03.1411 | Marblemount | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (21.6\%) | Big Soos Creek - 09.0072 | Soos Creek | 2 (3.9\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek - 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 4 (7.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek | 1 (2\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Voight Creek - 10.0428 | Voight Creek | 4 (7.8\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (5.9\%) | Chambers Creek - 12.0007 | Chambers Creek | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Creek - 11.0013C | Clear Creek | 1 (2\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek - 11.0017 | Kalama Creek | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
| Columbia River (3.9\%) | Upper Col River (2\%) | Similkameen River 490325 | Similkameen Hatchery | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  | Snake River (2\%) | NPT Hatchery | NPT Hatchery | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { California } \\ (2 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sacramento River (2\%) | Sac River at Discovery Park | Nimbus Fish Hatchery | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 51 | 17 |

Table 1.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 209 1-trip VTRs, 366 Angler Trips | 356 | 90 | 73 | 30 | 549 | 0.78 | 0.80 |
| Size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.65 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 0.05 <br> $(0.0002)$ $(0.0001)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 April 10, 2013. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,604 | 1,395 | 209 | 31 | 1,427 | 26,941 | 164 | $1105-1748$ | 12 |
| Legal UM | 405 | 21 | 385 | 58 | 79 | 104 | 10 | $59-99$ | 13 |
| Sublegal AD | 329 | 14 | 315 | 63 | 77 | 141 | 12 | $53-100$ | 15 |
| Sublegal UM | 135 | 0 | 135 | 27 | 27 | 33 | 6 | $16-38$ | 21 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 2 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 6 - 1 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

Table 1.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 442 | 307 | 135 | 25 |
|  | AD | 2,157 | 1,647 | 510 | 1,433 |
|  | Total | 2,599 | 1,954 | 645 | 1,458 |
|  | \% Marked | 83 | 84 | 79 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 541 | 405 | 135 | 21 |
|  | AD | 1,933 | 1,604 | 329 | 1,409 |
|  | Total | 2,474 | 2,009 | 464 | 1,430 |
|  | Marked | 78 | 80 | 71 | 99 |

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 95 | 1,638 | 1,733 | 106 | 1,503 | 1,609 |
| Released Legal | 43 | 103 | 146 | 58 | 31 | 89 |
| Released Sublegal | 27 | 102 | 129 | 27 | 63 | 90 |
| Landed Only | 25 | 1,433 | 1,458 | 21 | 1,409 | 1,430 |



Figure 1.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.886 | 1.4 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 6 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 1.2 | 0.114 | 0.8 |
|  | 2010 | 2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.039 | 0.3 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.018 | 0.1 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.005 | 0.1 |
| Kendall Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.018 | 0.1 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.039 | 0.3 |
|  | 2010 | 1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.020 | 0.1 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.041 | 0.3 |
| Total |  | 17 | 31.4 | 30.2 | 32.6 | 5.0 | 2.179 | 3.5 |

Table 1.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| December | 49-53 | 1 Dec - 30 Dec | 190 | 0 | 190 | 113 | 0 | 113 | 59.6\% |
| January | 54/1-5 | $31 \mathrm{Dec}-3 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 318 | 0 | 318 | 142 | 0 | 142 | 44.6\% |
| February | 6-9 | 4 Feb-3 Mar | 457 | 3 | 460 | 238 | 1 | 239 | 51.9\% |
| March | 10-13 | 4 Mar-31 Mar | 280 | 0 | 280 | 170 | 1 | 171 | 61.2\% |
| April | 14-15 | 1 Apr - 10 Apr | 164 | 18 | 182 | 60 | 4 | 64 | 35.1\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,409 | 21 | 1,430 | 723 | 6 | 729 | 51.0\% |

[^2]Table 1.11 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 6 effort captured in the four-site sample frame during the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.


Table 1.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 10, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start Date | End Date | Released Salmon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unk } \\ \text { Salmon } \end{gathered}$ |
| 49 | 1-Dec | 2-Dec | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 3-Dec | 9-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 54/1 | 31-Dec | 6-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 7-Jan | 13-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 28-Jan | 3-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 4-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 8 | $18-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 24-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 25-Feb | 3-Mar | 3 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
| 10 | 4-Mar | 10-Mar | 3 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
| 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 1-Apr | 7-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 8-Apr | 10-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 6 Season Total: |  |  | 8 | 6 | 23 | 82 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & \text { 95\% CI: } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 28 | 27 | 252 | 3,241 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 16 | 57 |
|  |  |  | 70.1\% | 88.6\% | 68.0\% | 69.6\% |
|  |  |  | 0-18 | 0-16 | 0-54 | 0-193 |

Table 1.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for the Area 6 Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 6 | Dec 1, 2012 - <br> Apr 10, 2013 | 4,916 | 1,395 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 209 | 385 | 315 | 135 | 2,474 |

## 2) Marine Area 7 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive winter Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7 from December 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 7 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, aerial effort surveys, test fishing and collection of VTRs from the angling public. Table 2.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 7 winter Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), we present aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 7 (see WDFW 2012a, Aerial-Access Design). The four sites included in the Area 7 dockside sample frame are Washington Park Ramp, Bellingham Ramp, Coronet Ramp and Friday Harbor Marina on San Juan Island, which are assumed to be the highest-use access sites for Area 7 anglers. Due to safety concerns and in an effort to improve sampling efficiencies, we modified the flight path of Area 7 aerial surveys to exclude the area of open water north of Patos Island beginning in December 2012. An examination of flight survey data from previous years suggests that approximately $5 \%$ of the boats observed during flights were located in this area. Given the limited amount of effort occurring in this area we assumed the effect on effort and harvest estimates would be negligible. Beginning on April 12, 2013, the daily limit of marked Chinook was reduced to one fish (from two) per angler due to higher than projected legal encounters. Total salmon harvest and release estimates presented in this chapter include only Chinook salmon because no other salmon were reported as retained or released during the Area 7 winter fishery.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 7 Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 7 effort (boats) captured in the foursite sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$. | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Season | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 2.12; $n=16$ surveys conducted out of $N=151$ days available in the season). Seasonwide sample fraction was calculated as the average sample fraction over the 16 individual aerial surveys. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | We used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/mark-status proportions (LM $=60 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=21 \%$, SM $=14 \%, \mathrm{SU}=5 \%$; Table 2.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., Coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data (Table 2.6) were not used for impact estimation steps due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample size of test fishery data. See comment in row above. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) <br> Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^3]Table 2.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Dec | 49 | 01-Dec | 02-Dec | 42 | 80 | 42 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 77 |
|  | 50 | 03-Dec | 09-Dec | 128 | 225 | 54 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 100 |
|  | 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 68 | 113 | 30 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 55 |
|  | 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 148 | 319 | 189 | 0 | 71 | 90 | 350 |
|  | 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 252 | 519 | 324 | 0 | 121 | 154 | 598 |
| Jan | 54/1 | 31-Dec | 06-Jan | 173 | 379 | 154 | 0 | 58 | 73 | 285 |
|  | 2 | 07-Jan | 13-Jan | 238 | 480 | 152 | 0 | 57 | 72 | 281 |
|  | 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 340 | 689 | 376 | 0 | 141 | 179 | 695 |
|  | 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 171 | 340 | 173 | 0 | 65 | 82 | 320 |
|  | 5 | 28-Jan | 03-Feb | 258 | 495 | 169 | 0 | 63 | 80 | 313 |
| Feb | 6 | 04-Feb | 10-Feb | 318 | 647 | 234 | 3 | 88 | 109 | 433 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 369 | 772 | 135 | 0 | 51 | 64 | 250 |
|  | 8 | 18-Feb | 24-Feb | 106 | 198 | 38 | 0 | 14 | 18 | 70 |
|  | 9 | 25-Feb | 03-Mar | 152 | 306 | 135 | 0 | 50 | 64 | 250 |
| Mar | 10 | 04-Mar | 10-Mar | 386 | 783 | 288 | 0 | 108 | 137 | 533 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 116 | 253 | 81 | 0 | 30 | 39 | 150 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 165 | 367 | 79 | 0 | 29 | 37 | 146 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 438 | 1,025 | 136 | 0 | 51 | 64 | 251 |
| Apr | 14 | 01-Apr | 07-Apr | 101 | 177 | 46 | 0 | 17 | 22 | 85 |
|  | 15 | 08-Apr | 14-Apr | 90 | 148 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 49 |
|  | 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 41 | 66 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 33 |
|  | 17 | 22-Apr | 28-Apr | 86 | 194 | 30 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 55 |
|  | 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 20 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| Area 7 Season Sub-Total: |  |  |  | 4,205 | 8,613 | 2,916 | 3 | 1,090 | 1,383 | 5,391 |
| Resurrection Derby Dec 7-8, 2012 |  |  |  | 75 | 170 | 207 | 0 | 77 | 98 | 383 |
| Roche Harbor Derby Feb 7-9, 2013 |  |  |  | 100 | 339 | 196 | 0 | 73 | 93 | 362 |
| Anacortes Derby Mar 30-31, 2013 |  |  |  | 400 | 1,200 | 256 | 0 | 96 | 122 | 473 |
| Area 7 Season Total: |  |  |  | 4,780 | 10,322 | 3,575 | 3 | 1,336 | 1,696 | 6,609 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 106,859 | 520,635 | 139,704 | 3 | 464,384 | 80,151 | 639,633 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 327 | 722 | 374 | 2 | 681 | 283 | 800 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 6.8\% | 7.0\% | 10.5\% | 64.6\% | 51.0\% | 16.7\% | 12.1\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4,139 \\ 5,421 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,908- \\ & 11,736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,842- \\ 4,308 \end{gathered}$ | 0-6 | 0-2,672 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,141- \\ & 2,251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,042- \\ 8,177 \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 April 30, 2013.


Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

Table 2.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 1,609 | 49 | 1,658 |
| Unmarked | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 6 1}$ |

Table 2.4 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia(24.8\%) | Fraser River Thompson River (9.4\%) | Harrison River | Chehalis River H | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chilliwack R | Chilliwack River H | 10 (8.5\%) | 10 |
|  | Georgia Strait (15.4\%) | Cowichan River | Cowichan River H | 18 (15.4\%) | 0 |
| Washington(72.6\%) | Northern Washington(17.1\%) | Friday Creek - 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 10 (8.5\%) | 10 |
|  |  | Samish River - 03.0005 | Samish Hatchery | 2 (1.7\%) | 2 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (SAN) | Glenwood Springs | 4 (3.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Nooksack R - NF 01.0120 | Kendall Creek H | 4 (3.4\%) | 4 |
|  | Hood Canal (7.7\%) | Purdy Creek - 16.0005 | George Adams | 7 (6.0\%) | 7 |
|  |  | Finch Creek - 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 2 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (11.1\%) | Tulalip Creek - 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin H | 3 (2.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Wallace River - 07.0940 | Wallace River H | 7 (6\%) | 6 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Stillaguamish H | 2 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (18.8\%) | Cascade River - 03.1411 | Marblemount H | 22 (18.8\%) | 10 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (12.8\%) | Big Soos Creek - 09.0072 | Soos Creek H | 2 (1.7\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek H | 4 (3.4\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek - 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 3 (2.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Creek - TR10.0428 | Voights Creek H | 6 (5.1\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (5.1\%) | Chambers Creek - 12.0007 | Chambers Creek H | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Clear Creek - 11.0013C | Clear Creek H | 2 (1.7\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Kalama Creek - 11.0017 | Kalama Creek H | 3 (2.6\%) | 0 |
| Columbia River (2.6\%) | Central Col R (0.9\%) | Spring Creek - 29.0159 | Spring Creek NFH | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 |
|  | Lower Col R (1.7\%) | Fallert Creek - 27.0017 | Fallert Creek H | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | McKenzie River 1 | McKenzie Hatchery | 1 (0.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 117 | 58 |

Table 2.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates from the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat |  | ng Effort |  |  |  | gal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Days | Hrs Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 49 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 5 | 23.0 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 17 |
| 52 | 2 | 10.0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 53 | 3 | 16.0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| 54/1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 5 | 25.5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 15 |
| 4 | 4 | 21.5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 5 | 5 | 24.5 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 24 |
| 6 | 3 | 13.0 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 7 | 5 | 27.0 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 18 |
| 8 | 2 | 11.0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 9 | 3 | 13.5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| 10 | 4 | 19.0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 11 | 4 | 19.0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 12 | 3 | 16.0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 13 | 5 | 24.5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 14 | 5 | 27.5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 15 | 4 | 22.5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 16 | 2 | 11.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 5 | 26.5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 18 | 1 | 4.0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 70 | 355.0 | 108 | 37 | 25 | 9 | 179 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | 0.60 (0.0013) | 0.21 (0.0009) | 0.14 (0.0007) | 0.05 (0.0003) |  |
|  |  |  | 0.74 (0.0013) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.74 (0.0011) |  |  |  |  |



Figure 2.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 2.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 52 1-trip VTRs, 100 Angler Trips | 107 | 55 | 37 | 19 | 218 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.49 \\ (0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.17 \\ (0.001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ (0.000) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

We used Pearson's chi-square test to compare the Chinook size and mark-status composition of the Area 7 test fishery and VTR data. Results suggest no significant difference in composition between the two data sets ( $\chi^{2}=5.64, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p} 0.130$ ), indicating that they could be combined. However, given the sufficient sample sizes in the test fishery data (and assuming higher data quality), we elected to use only this data as an estimate of the Chinook size/mark-status proportions needed for estimating total Chinook encounters and associated mortalities in the Area 7 mark-selective fishery.

Table 2.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 April 30, 2013. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,988 | 3,469 | 518 | 78 | 3,547 | 141,294 | 376 | $2810-4284$ | 11 |
| Legal UM | 1,366 | 3 | 1,363 | 205 | 207 | 1,510 | 39 | $131-283$ | 19 |
| Sublegal AD | 923 | 106 | 817 | 163 | 269 | 2,016 | 45 | $181-357$ | 17 |
| Sublegal UM | 332 | 0 | 332 | 66 | 66 | 527 | 23 | $21-111$ | 35 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5 , 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 4 3 - 4 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

Table 2.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 2,685 | 740 | 1,945 | 7 |
|  | AD | 11,934 | 3,544 | 8,390 | 3,083 |
|  | Total | 14,619 | 4,284 | 10,335 | 3,090 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 82 | 83 | 81 | 100 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1,699 | 1,366 | 332 | 3 |
|  | AD | 4,911 | 3,988 | 923 | 3,575 |
|  | Total | 6,609 | 5,354 | 1,255 | 3,578 |
|  | \% Marked | 74 | 75 | 74 | 100 |

Table 2.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 506 | 4,984 | 5,490 | 274 | 3,816 | 4,090 |
| Released Legal | 110 | 223 | 333 | 205 | 78 | 282 |
| Released Sublegal | 389 | 1,678 | 2,067 | 66 | 163 | 230 |
| Landed Only | 7 | 3,083 | 3,090 | 3 | 3,575 | 3,578 |



Figure 2.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 2.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DITs } \\ & \text { Obs'd } \end{aligned}$ | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UM DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.025 | 0.2 |
|  | 2010 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.026 | 0.2 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2009 | 5 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 1.1 | 0.125 | 0.8 |
|  | 2010 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.052 | 0.3 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 3 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 0.069 | 0.5 |
|  | 2010 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.025 | 0.2 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 10 | 21.5 | 24.9 | 10.5 | 1.1 | 0.059 | 0.8 |
| Kendall Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.052 | 0.3 |
|  | 2010 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 0.047 | 0.3 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 3 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 0.073 | 0.5 |
|  | 2009 | 7 | 15.1 | 17.4 | 15.1 | 1.5 | 0.174 | 1.1 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.051 | 0.3 |
|  | 2010 | 10 | 21.5 | 24.9 | 22.3 | 2.2 | 0.268 | 1.6 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.055 | 0.3 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2009 | 1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.025 | 0.2 |
| Wallace River Hatchery | 2009 | 4 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 0.099 | 0.6 |
|  | 2010 | 2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 0.051 | 0.3 |
| Total |  | 58 | 124.9 | 144.2 | 114.8 | 11.5 | 1.273 | 8.4 |

Table 2.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1} /$ Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 7 thent Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| December | 49-53 | 1 Dec - 30 Dec | 846 | 0 | 846 | 388 | 1 | 389 | 46.0\% |
| January | 54/1-5 | $31 \mathrm{Dec}-3 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 1,024 | 0 | 1,024 | 383 | 0 | 383 | 37.4\% |
| February | 6-9 | $4 \mathrm{Feb}-3 \mathrm{Mar}$ | 739 | 3 | 742 | 392 | 1 | 393 | 53.0\% |
| March | 10-13 | 4 Mar-31 Mar | 840 | 0 | 840 | 467 | 1 | 468 | 55.7\% |
| April | 14-18 | 1 Apr - 30 Apr | 126 | 0 | 126 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 22.2\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 3,575 | 3 | 3,578 | 1,658 | 3 | 1,661 | 46.4\% |

[^4]Table 2.12 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 7 effort captured in the four-site sample frame during the Area 7 Chinook MSF from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.


Table 2.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 7 Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Feb } 1-\text { Feb } \\ 29,2008 \end{gathered}$ | 4,862 | 1,301 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 200 | 1,042 | 244 | 155 | 2,967 |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Feb 1-Apr } \\ 15,2009 \end{gathered}$ | 8,167 | 1,406 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 210 | 708 | 139 | 17 | 2,501 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2009 - <br> Apr 30, 2010 | 9,589 | 1,400 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 209 | 673 | 150 | 74 | 2,524 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2010 - <br> Apr 30, 2011 | 11,814 | 2,368 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 354 | 1,988 | 521 | 531 | 5,776 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2011 Apr 30, 2012 | 10,536 | 2,359 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 353 | 1,446 | 1,935 | 678 | 6,825 |
| 7 | Dec 1, 2012 - <br> Apr 30, 2013 | 10,322 | 3,469 | 3 | 106 | 0 | 518 | 1,363 | 817 | 332 | 6,609 |

## 3) Marine Areas 8-1 \& 8-2 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented an eighth consecutive winter Chinook MSF in Marine Areas 8-1 and 8-2 from November 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 during the November-April season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, and collection of VTRs from the angling public. Table 3.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In the following section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 winter Chinook MSF from November 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013.

Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Areas 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., "size measures" or "weights" of sampled sites) versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers. | Month | A total of 4 boat surveys were conducted during the six-month fishery. The results of these surveys were incorporated into multi-year site-weight averages. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., Coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (6 months) | We combined the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions (LM $=14 \%, \mathrm{LU}=4 \%, \mathrm{SM}=45 \%$, SU $=37 \%$; Table 3.6) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (6 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> Tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season (6 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 8-1 Chinook MSF from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 01-Nov | 04-Nov | 55 | 116 | 54 | 0 | 192 | 170 | 416 |
|  | 46 | 05-Nov | 11-Nov | 88 | 153 | 36 | 0 | 129 | 114 | 279 |
|  | 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 131 | 277 | 70 | 0 | 246 | 217 | 532 |
| Dec | 49 | 26-Nov | 02-Dec | 44 | 83 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 22 | 53 |
|  | 50 | 03-Dec | 09-Dec | 51 | 100 | 10 | 0 | 36 | 32 | 78 |
|  | 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 36 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 47 | 95 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 33 | 81 |
|  | 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 67 | 110 | 12 | 0 | 41 | 36 | 89 |
| Jan | 54/1 | 31-Dec | 06-Jan | 63 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 07-Jan | 13-Jan | 13 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 39 | 68 | 22 | 0 | 79 | 70 | 171 |
|  | 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 28-Jan | 03-Feb | 29 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | 6 | 04-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 37 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 61 | 119 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 26 |
|  | 8 | 18-Feb | 24-Feb | 23 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 18 |
|  | 9 | 25-Feb | 03-Mar | 99 | 198 | 27 | 0 | 96 | 85 | 207 |
| Mar | 10 | 04-Mar | 10-Mar | 41 | 77 | 10 | 0 | 36 | 32 | 78 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 16 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 24 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 24 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 23 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | 14 | 01-Apr | 07-Apr | 9 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 15 | 08-Apr | 14-Apr | 11 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 22 | 53 |
|  | 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 48 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 22 | 53 |
|  | 17 | 22-Apr | 28-Apr | 19 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 8-1 Season Total: |  |  |  | 1,081 | 2,046 | 282 | 0 | 996 | 881 | 2,158 |
| Variance: <br> SE: <br> CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 20,598 | 78,350 | 2,551 | 0 | 195,724 | 122,560 | 734,665 |
|  |  |  |  | 144 | 280 | 51 | 0 | 442 | 350 | 857 |
|  |  |  |  | 13.3\% | 13.7\% | 17.9\% | - | 44.4\% | 39.7\% | 39.7\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 799- \\ 1,362 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,497- \\ & 2,594 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183- \\ & 381 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 128- \\ 1,863 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 195- \\ 1,567 \end{gathered}$ | 478-3,838 |

Table 3.3 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 8-2 Chinook MSF from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 01-Nov | 04-Nov | 160 | 331 | 33 | 0 | 117 | 103 | 253 |
|  | 46 | 05-Nov | 11-Nov | 178 | 334 | 28 | 0 | 99 | 87 | 214 |
|  | 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 28 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 17 |
|  | 48 | 19-Nov | $25-\mathrm{Nov}$ | 77 | 155 | 20 | 0 | 71 | 63 | 154 |
| Dec | 49 | 26-Nov | 02-Dec | 33 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 50 | 03-Dec | 09-Dec | 59 | 118 | 9 | 0 | 32 | 29 | 70 |
|  | 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 29 | 43 | 8 | 0 | 28 | 25 | 60 |
|  | 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 37 | 53 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 21 | 52 |
|  | 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 165 | 307 | 26 | 0 | 90 | 80 | 196 |
| Jan | 54/1 | 31-Dec | 06-Jan | 130 | 244 | 26 | 0 | 93 | 82 | 201 |
|  | 2 | 07-Jan | 13-Jan | 64 | 122 | 18 | 0 | 63 | 56 | 136 |
|  | 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 83 | 143 | 9 | 0 | 31 | 27 | 66 |
|  | 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 23 | 44 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 20 | 50 |
|  | 5 | 28-Jan | 03-Feb | 49 | 89 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 26 |
| Feb | 6 | 04-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 86 | 153 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 21 | 51 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 134 | 240 | 13 | 0 | 47 | 42 | 103 |
|  | 8 | $18-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 24-Feb | 66 | 105 | 17 | 0 | 59 | 52 | 128 |
|  | 9 | $25-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 03-Mar | 123 | 213 | 13 | 0 | 47 | 42 | 102 |
| Mar | 10 | 04-Mar | 10-Mar | 136 | 252 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 37 | 91 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 54 | 85 | 15 | 0 | 53 | 47 | 115 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 93 | 171 | 11 | 0 | 38 | 34 | 83 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 154 | 267 | 9 | 0 | 31 | 28 | 68 |
| Apr | 14 | 01-Apr | 07-Apr | 49 | 94 | 19 | 0 | 66 | 58 | 143 |
|  | 15 | 08-Apr | 14-Apr | 79 | 154 | 30 | 0 | 105 | 93 | 228 |
|  | 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 118 | 216 | 14 | 0 | 51 | 45 | 111 |
|  | 17 | 22-Apr | 28-Apr | 124 | 219 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 25 | 62 |
|  | 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 8-2 Season Total: |  |  |  | 2,332 | 4,260 | 362 | 0 | 1,283 | 1,135 | 2,780 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variance: } \\ & \text { SE: } \\ & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & \mathbf{9 5 \%} \text { CI: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 47,428 | 164,739 | 2,992 | 0 | 307,799 | 193,713 | 1,145,283 |
|  |  |  |  | 218 | 406 | 55 | 0 | 555 | 440 | 1,070 |
|  |  |  |  | 9.3\% | 9.5\% | 15.1\% | - | 43.2\% | 38.8\% | 38.5\% |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,906- \\ 2,759 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,465- \\ 5,056 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 255- \\ 470 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 196- \\ 2,371 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 272- \\ 1,998 \end{gathered}$ | 683-4,878 |



Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.



Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 8-1 (left panel) and 8-2 (right panel) Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

Table 3.4 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel) Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

Area 8-1

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 79 | 4 | 83 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |

Area 8-2

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 123 | 6 | 129 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ |

Table 3.5 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia (12.5\%) | Fraser River - Thompson River (12.5\%) | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River H | 1 (12.5\%) | 1 |
| Washington (75\%) | Hood Canal (12.5\%) | Purdy Creek - 16.0005 | George Adams H | 1 (12.5\%) | 1 |
|  | Skagit River (12.5\%) | Cascade River - 03.1411 | Marblemount | 1 (12.5\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (37.5\%) | Gorst Creek - 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 2 (25\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Creek Tr 10.0428 | Voights Creek H | 1 (12.5\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (12.5\%) | Kalama Creek - 11.0017 | Kalama Creek H | 1 (12.5\%) | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Columbia } \\ & \text { River } \\ & (12.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Lower Columbia River (12.5\%) | Cowlitz River - 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon H | 1 (12.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 8 | 2 |

Table 3.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs during the Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sub | gal | Totals | Mar | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR <br> Area 8-1 | 4 1-trip VTRs, 9 Angler Trips | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 0.38 | 1.00 |
| Private VTR Area 8-2 | 15 1-trip VTRs, 24 Angler Trips | 2 | 2 | 22 | 10 | 36 | 0.67 | 0.50 |
| VTR Total | 19 1-trip VTRs, 33 Angler Trips | 7 | 2 | 22 | 18 | 49 | 0.59 | 0.78 |
| Combined size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.14 \\ (0.0026) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.0008) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.45 0.37 <br> $(0.0052)$ $(0.0048)$ |  |  |  |  |

Due to small sample sizes, we used the Freeman and Halton extension of the Fisher exact test to compare the Chinook size and mark-status composition of the Area 8-1 and 8-2 VTR data. Results suggested a significant difference in composition between the two data sets ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). However, due to the small sample sizes in each area and their close proximity to each other, we elected to combine the two VTR data sets to provide a single estimate of Chinook size/markstatus proportions. This combined set of proportions was used to estimate total Chinook encounters and associated mortalities for the mark-selective fisheries in Area 8-1 and 8-2 combined.

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel)
Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

## Area 8-1

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 308 | 268 | 40 | 6 | 274 | 2,969 | 54 | $167-381$ | 20 |
| Legal UM | 88 | 0 | 88 | 13 | 13 | 100 | 10 | $0-33$ | 76 |
| Sublegal AD | 969 | 14 | 955 | 191 | 205 | 6,784 | 82 | $43-366$ | 40 |
| Sublegal UM | 793 | 0 | 793 | 159 | 159 | 4,725 | 69 | $24-293$ | 43 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 4 - 8 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

Area 8-2

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 397 | 346 | 52 | 8 | 353 | 3,730 | 61 | $234-473$ | 17 |
| Legal UM | 113 | 0 | 113 | 17 | 17 | 164 | 13 | $0-43$ | 75 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,248 | 17 | 1,231 | 246 | 263 | 10,645 | 103 | $61-465$ | 39 |
| Sublegal UM | 1,021 | 0 | 1,021 | 204 | 204 | 7,457 | 86 | $35-374$ | 42 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 7 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 7 - 1 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 5,044 | 444 | 4,600 | 36 |
|  | AD | 13,754 | 2,319 | 11,435 | 2,018 |
|  | Total | 18,798 | 2,763 | 16,035 | 2,054 |
|  | \% Marked | 73 | 84 | 71 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2,016 | 202 | 1,814 | 0 |
|  | AD | 2,923 | 706 | 2,217 | 644 |
|  | Total | 4,939 | 907 | 4,032 | 644 |
|  | \% Marked | 59 | 78 | 55 | 100 |

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 1,019 | 4,451 | 5,470 | 393 | 1,095 | 1,488 |
| Released Legal | 63 | 146 | 209 | 30 | 14 | 44 |
| Released Sublegal | 920 | 2,287 | 3,207 | 363 | 437 | 800 |
| Landed Only | 36 | 2,018 | 2,054 | 0 | 644 | 644 |



Figure 3.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the combined Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.084 | 0.3 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.019 | 0.1 |
| Total |  | 2 | 6.8 | 16.3 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.104 | 0.4 |

Table 3.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 8-1 (upper panel) and 8-2 (lower panel) Chinook MSFs from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

## Area 8-1

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| November | 45-48 | 1 Nov-25 Nov | 160 | 0 | 160 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 29.3\% |
| December | 49-53 | 26 Nov - 30 Dec | 39 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 15.2\% |
| January | 54/1-5 | 31 Dec - 3 Feb | 22 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 31.4\% |
| February | 6-9 | 4 Feb-3 Mar | 33 | 0 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 30.4\% |
| March | 10-13 | 4 Mar - 31 Mar | 13 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 30.2\% |
| April | 14-18 | 1 Apr - 30 Apr | 14 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 65.2\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 282 | 0 | 282 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 29.5\% |

Area 8-2

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| November | 45-48 | 1 Nov-25 Nov | 83 | 0 | 83 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 39.6\% |
| December | 49-53 | 26 Nov-30 Dec | 49 | 0 | 49 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 54.7\% |
| January | 54/1-5 | $31 \mathrm{Dec}-3 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 62 | 0 | 62 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 22.4\% |
| February | 6-9 | 4 Feb - 3 Mar | 50 | 0 | 50 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 18.0\% |
| March | 10-13 | 4 Mar - 31 Mar | 46 | 0 | 46 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 45.2\% |
| April | 14-18 | $1 \mathrm{Apr}-30 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 71 | 0 | 71 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 35.3\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 362 | 0 | 362 | 129 | 0 | 129 | 35.6\% |

${ }^{1}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWTs, from all sites sampled during the winter 2012-13 Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs (the sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the Area).

Table 3.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the Areas 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSF from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = Unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

AREA 8-1
AREA 8-2

| Week | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | End Date | Released Salmon |  | Retained Salmon |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho UK | Cutthroat | Coho UM | Coho UK | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Dolly Varden | Unk Salmon |
| 45 | 01-Nov | 04-Nov | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 79 |
| 46 | 05-Nov | 11-Nov | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 28 |
| 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 49 | 26-Nov | 02-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 03-Dec | 09-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 54/1 | 31-Dec | 06-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| 2 | 07-Jan | 13-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 28-Jan | 03-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 04-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 18-Feb | 24-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 25-Feb | 03-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 04-Mar | 10-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| 14 | 01-Apr | 07-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 08-Apr | 14-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 22-Apr | 28-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 11 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 25 | 14 | 23 | 12 | 182 |
| Variance: |  |  | 82 | 33 | 3 | 27 | 123 | 52 | 84 | 109 | 1,048 |
| Standard Error: |  |  | 9 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 32 |
| CV (\%): |  |  | 81\% | 81\% | 67\% | 88\% | 45\% | 51\% | 41\% | 89\% | 18\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  | 0-29 | 0-18 | 0-6 | 0-16 | 3-47 | 0-28 | 5-41 | 0-32 | 119-245 |

Table 3.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 during on-the-water surveys conducted from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Area | Site Name | Total <br> Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Camano Island State Park Ramp | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 4}$ |
| $8-1$ | Coronet Bay Ramp | 1 | 0.030 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Coupeville Ramp | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Maple Grove Ramp | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 1}$ | Oak Harbor Marina/Ramp | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0 3}$ |
| $8-1$ | Private | 4 | 0.121 |
| Area 8-1 Total Anglers |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Bayside Marina/Drystack | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Camano Isl. State Park | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 1}$ |
| $8-2$ | Coupeville Ramp | 1 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Dagmar's Landing | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.011 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Edmonds Dry Storage | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 2}$ |
| $8-2$ | Edmonds Marina | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 1}$ |  |
| $8-2$ | Everett Marina | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 0.022 |
| $\mathbf{8 - 2}$ | Everett Ramp | 3 | 0.191 |
| $8-2$ | Kayak State Park Ramp | 1 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7 3}$ |
| $8-2$ | Langley Marina/Ramp | 2 | 0.034 |
| $8-2$ | Marysville Public Ramp | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | 0.011 |
|  | Area 8-2 Total Anglers |  | 0.022 |

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 8-1 and 8-2 Chinook MSFs. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | $\mathbf{L U}$ | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 8-1 | Oct 1, 2005- <br> Apr 30, 2006 | 3,976 | 303 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 45 | 188 | 763 | 575 | 1,914 |
| 8-1 | Oct 1, 2006 - <br> Apr 30, 2007 | 3,454 | 278 | 8 | 37 | 4 | 42 | 118 | 1,437 | 857 | 2,781 |
| 8-1 | Nov1, 2007 - <br> Apr 30, 2008 | 3,288 | 638 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 95 | 304 | 1,345 | 577 | 3,000 |
| 8-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan 1, } 2009- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2009 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2,518 | 396 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 59 | 45 | 1,443 | 909 | 2,870 |
| 8-1 | Nov 1, 2009 - <br> Apr 30, 2010 | 3,192 | 273 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 41 | 45 | 595 | 269 | 1,234 |
| 8-1 | Nov 1, 2010 - <br> Apr 30, 2011 | 2,398 | 87 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 91 | 69 | 283 |
| 8-1 | Nov 1, 2011 - <br> Apr 30, 2012 | 2,767 | 284 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 136 | 1,027 | 272 | 1,768 |
| 8-1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Nov 1, } 2012 \text { - } \\ \text { Apr 30,2013 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2,046 | 268 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 40 | 88 | 955 | 793 | 2,158 |
| 8-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1, } 2005- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2006 \end{aligned}$ | 8,521 | 735 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 106 | 618 | 1,706 | 876 | 4,116 |
| 8-2 | Oct 1, 2006 - <br> Apr 30, 2007 | 7,848 | 766 | 18 | 95 | 3 | 113 | 183 | 10,486 | 5,407 | 17,071 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2007 - <br> Apr 30, 2008 | 5,678 | 795 | 15 | 74 | 3 | 114 | 181 | 942 | 303 | 2,428 |
| 8-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan 1, } 2009- \\ & \text { Apr 30, } 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 5,946 | 495 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 74 | 18 | 1,557 | 468 | 2,641 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2009 Apr 30, 2010 | 6,732 | 814 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 122 | 164 | 1,300 | 487 | 2,902 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2010 Apr 30, 2011 | 3,505 | 111 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 122 | 88 | 363 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2011 Apr 30, 2012 | 5,197 | 470 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 70 | 223 | 1,683 | 450 | 2,925 |
| 8-2 | Nov 1, 2012 Apr 30, 2013 | 4,260 | 346 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 52 | 113 | 1,231 | 1,021 | 2,780 |

## 4) Marine Area 9 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive winter Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9 from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16- April 15, 2013. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, aerial effort surveys, test fishing and collection of VTRs from the angling public. Table 4.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In the following section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 winter Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16-April 30, 2013. In addition to the major components of the results described previously (page 3), we present the aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the sample fraction in Area 9 (see WDFW 2012a, Aerial-Access Design). The four sites included in the Area 9 dockside sample frame are Port Townsend Ramp, Kingston Ramp, Everett Ramp and Edmonds Ramp, which are assumed to be the highest-use access sites for Area 9 anglers. The Olympic Peninsula Derby took place from February 16-18 over portions of Marine Areas 6, 7 and 9. The proportions of effort and catch that occurred in each area were estimated based on dockside sampling efforts at designated weigh-in stations during the derby. These proportions were applied to total reported effort and catch in order to allocate them by area. Beginning on March 6, 2013, the daily limit of marked Chinook was reduced to one fish (from two) per angler due to higher than projected legal encounters.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 winter Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 9 effort (boats) captured in the four-site sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$ ). | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Month | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table 4.12; $n=16$ surveys conducted out of $N=120$ days available in the season). Mean sample fractions were calculated for each time stratum (Nov \& Jan-Apr) and used to calculate estimates of Chinook encounters and mortality. |
| Test <br> Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Although there were no significant differences in size/mark-status composition between the Nov. and Jan.-Apr. test fishery data, we calculated encounter and mortality estimates separately for each time stratum due to differences in the aerial sample fraction between the two strata. We used proportions of $\mathrm{LM}=29 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=9 \%$, $\mathrm{SM}=45 \%$ and $\mathrm{SU}=17 \%$ for the Nov. stratum and $\mathrm{LM}=30 \%, \mathrm{LU}=7 \%, \mathrm{SM}=46 \%$ and $\mathrm{SU}=18 \%$ for the Jan.-Apr. stratum (see Table 4.5). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip <br> Reports <br> (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., Coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data (Table 4.6) were not used for impact estimation steps due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample size of the test fishery data. See comment in row above. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^5]Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. <br> Chinook <br> Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 1-Nov | 4-Nov | 211 | 397 | 123 | 0 | 218 | 118 | 458 |
|  | 46 | 5-Nov | 11-Nov | 372 | 687 | 248 | 0 | 440 | 237 | 925 |
|  | 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 62 | 88 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 53 |
|  | 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 406 | 839 | 243 | 5 | 432 | 228 | 908 |
|  | 49 | 26-Nov | 30-Nov | 165 | 320 | 89 | 1 | 159 | 84 | 334 |
| November Total: |  |  |  | 1,216 | 2,331 | 718 | 6 | 1,274 | 681 | 2,678 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 9,033 | 32,595 | 6,866 | 14 | 139,560 | 31,134 | 320,621 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 95 | 181 | 83 | 4 | 374 | 176 | 566 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 7.8\% | 7.7\% | 11.5\% | 63.2\% | 29.3\% | 25.9\% | 21.1\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1,029- \\ 1,402 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,977- \\ & 2,685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 556- \\ 881 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0-13 | $\begin{gathered} 541- \\ 2,006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 335- \\ 1,027 \end{gathered}$ | 1,569-3,788 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan - } \\ \text { Apr } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 16-Jan | 20-Jan | 294 | 582 | 190 | 12 | 365 | 171 | 737 |
|  | 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 211 | 386 | 156 | 0 | 300 | 150 | 606 |
|  | 5 | 28-Jan | 3-Feb | 179 | 326 | 95 | 0 | 182 | 91 | 369 |
|  | 6 | 4-Feb | 10-Feb | 211 | 372 | 58 | 0 | 112 | 56 | 226 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 208 | 384 | 14 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 54 |
|  | 8 | 18-Feb | 24-Feb | 60 | 106 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 36 |
|  | 9 | 25-Feb | 3-Mar | 67 | 109 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 18 |
|  | 10 | 4-Mar | 10-Mar | 173 | 320 | 23 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 89 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 46 | 70 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 59 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 110 | 194 | 11 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 43 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 262 | 491 | 42 | 0 | 81 | 40 | 163 |
|  | 14 | 1-Apr | 7-Apr | 119 | 230 | 28 | 0 | 53 | 27 | 107 |
|  | 15 | 8-Apr | 14-Apr | 80 | 129 | 49 | 0 | 94 | 47 | 190 |
|  | 16 | 15-Apr | 15-Apr | 6 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 12 |
| Olympic Peninsula Derby; 2/16-2/18 |  |  |  | 328 | 762 | 119 | 0 | 228 | 115 | 462 |
| January - April Total: |  |  |  | 2,355 | 4,470 | 817 | 12 | 1,568 | 774 | 3,171 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 72,429 | 278,620 | 44,728 | 95 | 334,888 | 47,155 | 867,322 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 269 | 528 | 211 | 10 | 579 | 217 | 931 |
| CV (\%) : |  |  |  | 11.4\% | 11.8\% | 25.9\% | 79.8\% | 36.9\% | 28.0\% | 29.4\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,828- \\ & 2,883 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,435 \\ 5,504 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 402- \\ & 1,231 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0-31 | $\begin{aligned} & 434- \\ & 2,702 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 349- \\ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | 1,346-4,996 |
| Area 9 Season Total: |  |  |  | 3,571 | 6,801 | 1,535 | 18 | 2,842 | 1,455 | 5,849 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 81,462 | 311,215 | 51,594 | 110 | 474,448 | 78,289 | 1,187,943 |
| Standard Error: |  |  |  | 285 | 558 | 227 | 10 | 689 | 280 | 1090 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 8.0\% | 8.2\% | 14.8\% | 57.5\% | 24.2\% | 19.2\% | 18.6\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,011- \\ 4,130 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 5,707- } \\ 7,894 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,090- \\ 1,980 \end{gathered}$ | 0-39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,491- \\ & 4,192 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 907- \\ 2,003 \end{gathered}$ | 3,713-7,986 |



Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013.


Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16-April 15, 2013.


Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013.


Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013.

Table 4.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 (left panel) and January 16 - April 15, 2013 (right panel).

| Mark <br> Type | November \# Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 133 | 6 | 139 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ |


| Mark <br> Type | January - April \# Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 164 | 0 | 164 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ |

Table 4.4 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia | Fraser River - <br> Thompson River (7.1\%) | Chilliwack R | Chilliwack River H | 1 (7.1\%) | 1 |
| (21.4\%) | Georgia Strait (14.3\%) | Cowichan River | Cowichan River H | 2 (14.3\%) | 0 |
| Washington (78.6\%) | Northern Washington (14.3\%) | Nooksack R -NF 01.0120 | Kendall Creek H | 1 (7.1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Friday Creek - 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 1 (7.1\%) | 1 |
|  | Skagit River (14.3\%) | Cascade River - 03.1411 | Marblemount H | 2 (14.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (50\%) | Big Soos Creek -09.0072 | Soos Creek H | 1 (7.1\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Grovers Creek Hatchery | Grovers Creek H | 3 (21.4\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Gorst Creek - 15.0216 | Gorst Rearing Pond | 1 (7.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Creek TR10.0428 | Voights Creek H | 2 (14.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 14 | 7 |



Figure 4.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 4.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Month | Stat Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days | Hours Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Nov | 45 | 2 | 6.8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 46 | 4 | 13.0 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 23 |
|  | 47 | 3 | 10.8 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 13 |
|  | 48 | 1 | 5.3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 |
|  | 49 | 4 | 15.8 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 26 |
|  | Total | 14 | 51.8 | 23 | 7 | 35 | 13 | 78 |
|  | Size/mark-status composition: <br> Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29(0.0027) \\ & 0.77(0.0062) \\ & 0.74(0.0025) \end{aligned}$ | 0.09 (0.0011) | 0.45 (0.0032) | 0.17 (0.0018) |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan - } \\ \text { Apr } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 5 | 22.3 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 9.0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 20.1 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 18 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 20.5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 34.1 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 5 | 29 |
|  | 8 | 2 | 9.2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 9 | 2 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 21.8 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
|  | 11 | 3 | 10.9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
|  | 12 | 4 | 18.9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
|  | 13 | 3 | 17.3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 14 | 2 | 10.4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
|  | 15 | 2 | 7.3 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
|  | 16 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 45 | 208.5 | 37 | 9 | 57 | 22 | 125 |
|  | Size/mark-status composition: <br> Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.30(0.0017) \\ & 0.80(0.0035) \\ & 0.75(0.0015) \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 (0.0005) | 0.46 (0.0020) | 0.18 (0.0012) |  |
| Season <br> Total | Grand Total | 59 | 260.3 | 60 | 16 | 92 | 35 | 203 |
|  | Legal size mark rate: Overall mark rate: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.30(0.0010) \\ & 0.79(0.0022) \\ & 0.75(0.0009) \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 (0.0004) | 0.45 (0.0012) | 0.17 (0.0007) |  |

${ }^{1}$ Note: Results of a Chi-Square test between Nov and Jan-Apr size/mark-status proportions suggest no significant difference. Therefore, encounters from the two time periods were combined and season total proportions were used to estimate total Chinook encounters and associated impacts.

Table 4.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| November 1-30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Boat VTR | 17 1-trip VTRs, 34 Angler Trips | 12 | 1 | 43 | 21 | 77 | 0.71 | 0.92 |
| November size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.0017) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \\ (0.0032) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ (0.0026) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| January 16 - April 15, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Boat VTR | $42 \text { 1-trip VTRs, } 69$ <br> Angler Trips | 32 | 9 | 41 | 16 | 97 | 0.74 | 0.78 |
| Jan-April size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.33 \\ (0.0023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ (0.0009) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.41 \\ (0.0025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.16 \\ (0.0014) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

We used Pearson's chi-square test to compare size/mark-status proportions in the test fishery between two separate time periods during the Area 9 winter MSF season (Nov. 1-30 vs. Jan 16Apr 15). Results indicated no significant difference in proportions between the two time strata $\left(\chi^{2}=0.222, d f=3\right.$, $p$-value $=0.974$ ), suggesting that they may be combined. We next compared private fleet VTR data between each time period. Results of the Pearson chi-square analysis indicated a significant difference in VTR proportions between the two time periods ( $\chi^{2}=13.89$, $\mathrm{df}=3$, p -value $=0.003$ ). Given these results, we elected to use test fishery data only. However, even though there was no significant difference between the two time strata, we calculated encounter and mortality estimates separately for each stratum due to differences in the aerial sample fraction between the two strata.

Table 4.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,728 | 1,504 | 225 | 34 | 1,537 | 55,418 | 235 | $1,076-1,999$ | 15 |
| Legal UM | 469 | 0 | 469 | 70 | 70 | 434 | 21 | $29-111$ | 30 |
| Sublegal AD | 2,648 | 31 | 2,617 | 523 | 554 | 11,584 | 108 | $343-765$ | 19 |
| Sublegal UM | 1,004 | 18 | 986 | 197 | 215 | 2,469 | 50 | $118-313$ | 23 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 8 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 , 9 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 5 9 - \mathbf { 2 , 8 9 6 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 1,246 | 281 | 965 | 17 |
|  | AD | 5,939 | 1,334 | 4,605 | 1,161 |
|  | Total | 7,185 | 1,615 | 5,570 | 1,178 |
|  | \% Marked | 83 | 83 | 83 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1,473 | 469 | 1,004 | 18 |
|  | AD | 4,376 | 1,728 | 2,648 | 1,535 |
|  | Total | 5,849 | 2,197 | 3,652 | 1,553 |
|  | \% Marked | 75 | 79 | 73 | 99 |

Table 4.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 250 | 2,166 | 2,416 | 286 | 2,092 | 2,378 |
| Released Legal | 40 | 84 | 124 | 70 | 34 | 104 |
| Released Sublegal | 193 | 921 | 1,114 | 197 | 523 | 721 |
| Landed Only | 17 | 1,161 | 1,178 | 18 | 1,535 | 1,553 |



Figure 4.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 4.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 3 | 15.4 | 63.4 | 15.3 | 1.5 | 0.632 | 1.4 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 5.1 | 21.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.051 | 0.2 |
| Kendall Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 5.1 | 21.1 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.198 | 0.4 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 5.1 | 21.1 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.228 | 0.5 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2009 | 1 | 5.1 | 21.1 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.232 | 0.5 |
| Total |  | 7 | 35.9 | 148.0 | 33.5 | 3.4 | 1.340 | 3.0 |

Table 4.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| November | 45-49 | 1 Nov-30 Nov | 718 | 6 | 724 | 139 | 0 | 139 | 19.2\% |
| January | 3-5 | 16 Jan - 3 Feb | 441 | 12 | 453 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 17.9\% |
| February | 6-9 | 4 Feb - 3 Mar | 205 | 0 | 205 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 21.5\% |
| March | 10-13 | 4 Mar-31 Mar | 91 | 0 | 91 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 28.5\% |
| April | 14-16 | $1 \mathrm{Apr}-15 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 80 | 0 | 80 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 16.3\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,535 | 18 | 1,553 | 303 | 0 | 303 | 19.5\% |

[^6]Table 4.12 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of Area 9 effort captured in the four-site sample frame during the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.

| Survey Date | Stratum | Aerial Survey Details |  |  | Dockside Sampling Details |  |  | Sample <br> Fraction, $f_{i j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Start <br> Time | End <br> Time | Total <br> Boats, $m_{i j}$ | Total Boats, $\boldsymbol{S y}_{i j k}$ | Sampled <br> Boats | Active <br> Boats, $X_{i j}$ |  |
| 10-Nov | Weekend | 10:44 | 11:37 | 115 | 158 | 66 | 48 | 0.417 |
| 16-Nov | Weekend | 10:42 | 11:25 | 19 | 31 | 13 | 8 | 0.421 |
| November Summary Statistics |  | Totals |  | 134 | 189 | 79 | 56 |  |
|  |  | Mean |  | 67 | 95 | 40 | 28 | 0.419 |
|  |  | St Dev |  | 67.9 | 90.0 | 37.5 | 28.3 | 0.003 |
|  |  | CV(\%) |  | 101.3\% | 95.2\% | 94.9\% | 101.0\% | 0.6\% |
| 10-Feb | Weekend | 15:06 | 15:36 | 17 | 230 | 27 | 2 | 0.118 |
| $19-\mathrm{Feb}$ | Weekday | 10:44 | 11:18 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 0.545 |
| $23-\mathrm{Feb}$ | Weekend | 10:22 | 10:43 | 9 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 0.222 |
| 27-Feb | Weekday | 10:35 | 11:10 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0.125 |
| 2-Mar | Weekend | 10:24 | 10:57 | 12 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 0.250 |
| 8-Mar | Weekend | 11:12 | 11:36 | 16 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 0.500 |
| 9-Mar | Weekend | 14:22 | 14:49 | 22 | 43 | 29 | 15 | 0.682 |
| 15-Mar | Weekend | 10:15 | 10:48 | 13 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 0.154 |
| 17-Mar | Weekend | 10:45 | 11:11 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 0.364 |
| 22-Mar | Weekend | 14:20 | 14:53 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0.400 |
| 24-Mar | Weekend | 10:25 | 10:55 | 35 | 51 | 25 | 17 | 0.486 |
| 6-Apr | Weekend | 10:15 | 10:42 | 38 | 38 | 13 | 13 | 0.342 |
| 9-Apr | Weekday | 10:13 | 10:40 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 0.231 |
| 12-Apr | Weekend | 10:40 | 11:15 | 13 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 0.154 |
| Jan - AprSummary Statistics |  | Totals |  | 223 | 530 | 142 | 80 |  |
|  |  | Mean |  | 16 | 38 | 10 | 6 | 0.327 |
|  |  | St Dev |  | 9.6 | 56.5 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 0.177 |
|  |  | CV(\%) |  | 60.5\% | 149.3\% | 95.8\% | 94.7\% | 54.1\% |

Table 4.13 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 9 Chinook MSF from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Chum | Cutthroat Trout | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coho } \\ & \text { AD } \end{aligned}$ | Coho UM | Coho UK | Cutthroat Trout | Unk <br> Salmon |
| 45 | 1-Nov | 4-Nov | 0 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 97 |
| 46 | 5-Nov | 11-Nov | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 429 |
| 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 49 | 26-Nov | 30-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 3 | 16-Jan | 20-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 5 | 28-Jan | 3-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 6 | 4-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 23 |
| 7 | 11-Feb | $17-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 | 18 -Feb | 24-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 25-Feb | 3-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 4-Mar | 10-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 14 | 1-Apr | 7-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 15 | 8-Apr | 14-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 15-Apr | 15-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 9 Season Total: |  |  | 5 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 51 | 20 | 41 | 4 | 872 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variance: } \\ & \text { Standard Error: } \\ & \text { CV (\%): } \\ & \mathbf{9 5 \%} \text { CI: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 11 | 4 | 43 | 4 | 420 | 64 | 291 | 4 | 26,013 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 161 |
|  |  |  | 71.7\% | 57.7\% | 39.1\% | 57.7\% | 40.1\% | 39.5\% | 41.4\% | 57.7\% | 18.5\% |
|  |  |  | 0-11 | 0-8 | 4-30 | 0-8 | 11-91 | 5-36 | 8-75 | 0-8 | $\begin{gathered} 556- \\ 1,188 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table 4.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jan 16 - Apr 15, 2008 | 6,887 | 1,333 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 195 | 304 | 1,288 | 375 | 3,570 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Nov 1-30, } 2008 \& \\ \text { Jan } 16-A p r ~ 15,2009 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7,064 | 871 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 130 | 158 | 3,520 | 2,837 | 7,545 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Nov 1-30, } 2009 \text { \& } \\ \text { Jan } 16-\text { Apr 15, } 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6,823 | 1,450 | 18 | 106 | 10 | 217 | 353 | 2,166 | 615 | 4,934 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov 1-30, } 2010 \& \\ \text { Jan 16-Apr 15, } 2011 \end{gathered}$ | 4,425 | 428 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 64 | 117 | 583 | 422 | 1,618 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Nov 1-30, } 2011 \& \\ \text { Jan } 16-A p r ~ 15,2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4,361 | 421 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 63 | 140 | 1,433 | 548 | 2,642 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov 1-30, } 2012 \& \\ & \text { Jan } 16 \text { - Apr 15, } 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 6,801 | 1,504 | 0 | 31 | 18 | 225 | 469 | 2,617 | 986 | 5,849 |

## 5) Marine Area 10 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a sixth consecutive winter Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10 from October 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of VTRs from the angling public. Table $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In the following section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 winter Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013.

Table 5.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 winter Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 7 boat surveys were conducted during the four-month fishery. The results of these surveys were incorporated into multi-year site-weight averages. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Chinook length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook encounters | Fish encounter | Season (4 months) | Season-total test fishery data were combined with private VTR data to provide a single estimate of size/mark-status proportions for use in the estimation of total Chinook encounters and associated impacts; $\mathrm{LM}=7 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=1 \%$, $\mathrm{SM}=62 \%$, $\mathrm{SU}=29 \%$. (See Tables 5.5 and 5.6). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for nonChinook species (e.g., Coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season (4 months) | Size/mark-status compositions of private VTR and test fishing data were compared using Pearson's chisquare test. Results indicated no significant difference; thus, we combined the two datasets in order to improve the precision of estimates (see above; Tables 5.5 and 5.6). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (4 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season <br> (4 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{\text {T }}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 5.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Oct | 41 | 1-Oct | 7-Oct | 983 | 1,769 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 21 | 68 |
|  | 42 | 8-Oct | 14-Oct | 452 | 752 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 43 | 15-Oct | 21-Oct | 158 | 280 | 17 | 0 | 166 | 80 | 263 |
|  | 44 | 22-Oct | 28-Oct | 188 | 330 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 41 |
| Nov | 45 | 29-Oct | 4-Nov | 342 | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 46 | 5-Nov | 11-Nov | 103 | 172 | 7 | 0 | 68 | 33 | 108 |
|  | 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 18 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 75 | 149 | 11 | 0 | 114 | 55 | 180 |
| Dec | 49 | 26-Nov | 2-Dec | 28 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 42 | 20 | 66 |
|  | 50 | 3-Dec | 9-Dec | 65 | 106 | 28 | 0 | 275 | 132 | 435 |
|  | 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 36 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 40 | 131 |
|  | 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 51 | 95 | 11 | 0 | 105 | 50 | 166 |
|  | 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 208 | 341 | 11 | 0 | 110 | 53 | 174 |
| Jan | 54/1 | 31-Dec | 6-Jan | 131 | 256 | 5 | 0 | 53 | 26 | 84 |
|  | 2 | 7-Jan | 13-Jan | 58 | 77 | 11 | 0 | 114 | 55 | 181 |
|  | 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 61 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 43 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 28-Jan | 31-Jan | 22 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 10 Season Total: |  |  |  | 3,023 | 5,321 | 121 | 0 | 1,201 | 575 | 1,897 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 130,624 | 472,906 | 987 | 0 | 150,818 | 33,301 | 373,113 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 361 | 688 | 31 | 0 | 388 | 182 | 611 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 12.0\% | 12.9\% | 26.1\% | - | 32.3\% | 31.7\% | $32.2 \%$ |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,314- \\ 3,731 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3,973- \\ 6,669 \end{gathered}$ | 59-182 | - | 440-1,962 | 218-933 | 700-3,094 |



Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 January 31, 2013.


Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013.


Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013.


Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013.

Table 5.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

Table 5.4 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British <br> Columbia <br> $(100 \%)$ | Fraser R - Thompson R <br> $(100 \%)$ | Chilliwack River | Chilliwack River H | $1(100 \%)$ | 1 |

Table 5.5 Composition of test fishery Chinook encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hours <br> Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 41 | 3 | 11.3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 42 | 4 | 18.0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 19 |
| 43 | 3 | 12.4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 44 | 3 | 15.5 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 31 |
| 45 | 2 | 9.5 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 20 |
| 46 | 1 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 47 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 1 | 5.8 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 23 |
| 49 | 1 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 50 | 2 | 8.1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| 51 | 3 | 15.6 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 34 |
| 52 | 3 | 10.3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 53 | 4 | 17.7 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 5 | 30 |
| $54 / 1$ | 4 | 13.5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 6 | 27.6 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 25 |
| 3 | 2 | 9.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ |
| Size/mark-status composition: | $0.08(0.000)$ | $0.02(0.000)$ | $0.59(0.001)$ | $0.31(0.001)$ |  |  |  |



Figure 5.5 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook encountered by test fishers during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 5.6 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat and charter boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 32 1-trip VTRs, 50 Angler Trips | 7 | 1 | 86 | 32 | 126 | 0.74 | 0.88 |
| Size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.06 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.68 \\ (0.0017) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.0015) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

We used Pearson's chi-square test to compare the size/mark-status composition of the private VTR and test fishery datasets. Results were not significant ( $\chi^{2}=3.26, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.353$ ), suggesting that the two datasets can be combined into one pooled season-total estimate of size/mark-status proportions. Based on these results, and in order to achieve more precise estimates due to a low proportion of LM fish, we elected to use the pooled totals of the VTR and test fishery data ( $\mathrm{LM}=26, \mathrm{LU}=5, \mathrm{SM}=222, \mathrm{SU}=103$ ) to estimate the size/mark status proportions needed to produce the Chinook encounter and mortality estimates in the Area 10 winter markselective fishery.

Table 5.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 January 31, 2013. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 139 | 121 | 18 | 3 | 123 | 1,068 | 33 | $59-187$ | 27 |
| Legal UM | 27 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | $0-8$ | 53 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,183 | 0 | 1,183 | 237 | 237 | 5,889 | 77 | $86-387$ | 32 |
| Sublegal UM | 549 | 0 | 549 | 110 | 110 | 1,324 | 36 | $38-181$ | 33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 5 - 6 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

Table 5.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 3,706 | 681 | 3,025 | 27 |
|  | AD | 10,959 | 2,244 | 8,715 | 1,952 |
|  | Total | 14,665 | 2,925 | 11,740 | 1,979 |
|  | \% Marked | 75 | 77 | 74 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 575 | 27 | 549 | 0 |
|  | AD | 1,321 | 139 | 1,183 | 121 |
|  | Total | 1,897 | 165 | 1,732 | 121 |
|  | \% Marked | 70 | 84 | 68 | 100 |

Table 5.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 731 | 3,836 | 4,567 | 114 | 360 | 474 |
| Released Legal | 99 | 141 | 240 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Released Sublegal | 605 | 1,743 | 2,348 | 110 | 237 | 346 |
| Landed Only | 27 | 1,952 | 1,979 | 0 | 121 | 121 |



Figure 5.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 5.10 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.5 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.022 | 0.1 |
| Total |  | 1 | 3.5 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.022 | 0.1 |

Table 5.11 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| October | 41-44 | 1 Oct - 28 Oct | 24 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8.4\% |
| November | 45-48 | 29 Oct - 25 Nov | 18 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 49.2\% |
| December | 49-53 | 26 Nov - 30 Dec | 62 | 0 | 62 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 32.4\% |
| January | 54/1-5 | 31 Dec-31 Jan | 17 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 17.8\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 121 | 0 | 121 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 28.2\% |

[^7]Table 5.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook) in the Area 10 Chinook MSF from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status.

| Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho <br> AD | Coho UM | Chum | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK | Chum | Unk Salmon |
| 41 | 1-Oct | 7-Oct | 378 | 499 | 40 | 60 | 48 | 311 | 7 | 1,897 |
| 42 | 8-Oct | 14-Oct | 208 | 163 | 0 | 40 | 39 | 189 | 0 | 985 |
| 43 | $15-\mathrm{Oct}$ | 21-Oct | 8 | 67 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 296 |
| 44 | $22-\mathrm{Oct}$ | 28-Oct | 3 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 662 |
| 45 | $29-$ Oct | 4-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 56 |
| 46 | 5-Nov | 11-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 42 |
| 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| 49 | 26-Nov | 2-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 |
| 50 | 3-Dec | 9-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 815 |
| 54/1 | 31-Dec | 6-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 |
| 2 | 7-Jan | 13-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 3 | 14-Jan | 20-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4 | 21-Jan | 27-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 28-Jan | 31-Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 10 Season Total: |  |  | 597 | 754 | 45 | 123 | 87 | 702 | 7 | 5,267 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \text { CI: }$ |  |  | 72,525 | 32,648 | 44 | 1,757 | 609 | 49,977 | 20 | 357,248 |
|  |  |  | 269 | 181 | 7 | 42 | 25 | 224 | 4 | 598 |
|  |  |  | 45\% | 24\% | 15\% | 34\% | 28\% | 32\% | 60\% | 11\% |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 70- \\ 1,125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400- \\ & 1,109 \end{aligned}$ | 32-59 | $\begin{aligned} & 41- \\ & 205 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38- \\ & 135 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 264- \\ 1,140 \end{gathered}$ | 0-16 | $\begin{gathered} 4,095- \\ 6,438 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table 5.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 10 during on-the-water surveys conducted from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Total Anglers (less 'Tengu' Derby anglers) ${ }^{1}$ | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Total Anglers (with 'Tengu' Derby anglers) ${ }^{1}$ | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14th Street Ramp | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 39 | 0.099 | 82 | 0.183 |
| Bremerton Yacht Club | 5 | 0.013 | 5 | 0.011 |
| Brownsville Marina | 20 | 0.051 | 20 | 0.045 |
| Des Moines Marina | 4 | 0.010 | 4 | 0.009 |
| Eagle Harbor Marina | 13 | 0.033 | 13 | 0.029 |
| Eagle Harbor Public Ramp | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Edmonds Boat Sling | 23 | 0.058 | 23 | 0.051 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 15 | 0.038 | 15 | 0.034 |
| Edmonds Marina | 58 | 0.147 | 58 | 0.130 |
| Elliott Bay Marine | 14 | 0.035 | 14 | 0.031 |
| Everett Ramp | 6 | 0.015 | 6 | 0.013 |
| Evergreen Park Ramp | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 1 | 0.003 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Harbor Island Marina | 2 | 0.005 | 4 | 0.009 |
| Kingston Guest Dock | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Kingston Marina | 8 | 0.020 | 8 | 0.018 |
| Kingston Ramp | 20 | 0.051 | 20 | 0.045 |
| Manchester Ramp | 14 | 0.035 | 16 | 0.036 |
| Mukilteo Ramp | 3 | 0.008 | 3 | 0.007 |
| Point Defiance Ramp | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Port of Seattle Dock | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.007 |
| Private | 16 | 0.041 | 16 | 0.036 |
| Private-Lake WA | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Pt. Defiance Boathouse | 3 | 0.008 | 3 | 0.007 |
| Redondo Ramp | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Seacrest Pier | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Shilshole Marina | 31 | 0.078 | 31 | 0.069 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 76 | 0.192 | 76 | 0.170 |
| Simpson Marina | 6 | 0.015 | 6 | 0.013 |
| Winslow Marina | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Yarrow Bay Marina | 2 | 0.005 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Area 10 Total Anglers | 395 | 1.000 | 447 | 1.000 |

${ }^{1}$ See WDFW 2012a for detailed methods descriptions on calculating Area 10 site size measures during the Tengu Derby period (Tengu Derby occurs on Sundays only, extending from the middle of October through late December).

Table 5.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 winter Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec 1, } 2007- \\ \text { Jan 31, } 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2,544 | 539 | 21 | 96 | 0 | 80 | 163 | 1,860 | 361 | 3,120 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec 1, } 2008- \\ \text { Jan 31, } 2009 \end{gathered}$ | 2,029 | 247 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 1,010 | 462 | 1,796 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1, } 2009 \text { - } \\ & \text { Jan } 312010 \end{aligned}$ | 5,560 | 354 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 53 | 83 | 2,531 | 898 | 3,962 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 1, } 2010 \text { - } \\ & \text { Jan 31, } 2011 \end{aligned}$ | 4,461 | 150 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 53 | 814 | 740 | 1,792 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 1, } 2011 \text { - } \\ & \text { Jan 31, } 2012 \end{aligned}$ | 4,615 | 227 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 34 | 183 | 2,870 | 1,230 | 4,573 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 1, } 2012 \text { - } \\ & \text { Jan 31, } 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 5,321 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 1,183 | 549 | 1,897 |

## 6) Marine Area 11 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fourth consecutive winter Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11 from February 1 through April 30, 2013. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, and collection of VTRs from the angling public. Table 6.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In the following section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 winter Chinook MSF from February 1 through April 30, 2013.

Table 6.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 winter Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
|  | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 5 boat surveys were conducted during the threemonth fishery. The results of these surveys were incorporated into multi-year site-weight averages. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook | Encounter data for non-Chinook species (e.g., Coho) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season <br> (3 months) | VTR data were used in the estimation of total Area 11 Chinook encounters by size/mark group (LM=33\%, $\mathrm{LU}=9 \%, \mathrm{SM}=51 \%, \mathrm{SU}=7 \%$; Table 6.5) and associated impacts. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook encounters and mortalities, by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook | N/A | Season (3 months) | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season <br> (3 months) | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 6.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Feb | 5 | 1-Feb | 3-Feb | 106 | 159 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 16 |
|  | 6 | 4-Feb | 10-Feb | 43 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 74 | 120 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 11 |
|  | 8 | 18-Feb | 24-Feb | 56 | 86 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
|  | 9 | 25-Feb | 3-Mar | 159 | 259 | 29 | 0 | 43 | 14 | 86 |
| Mar | 10 | 4-Mar | 10-Mar | 124 | 184 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 18 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 52 | 77 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 19 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 97 | 147 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 36 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 149 | 309 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| Apr | 14 | $1-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 7-Apr | 79 | 123 | 23 | 0 | 35 | 11 | 69 |
|  | 15 | 8-Apr | 14-Apr | 94 | 162 | 28 | 0 | 42 | 13 | 84 |
|  | 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 115 | 183 | 33 | 0 | 50 | 16 | 99 |
|  | 17 | 22-Apr | 28-Apr | 156 | 263 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 9 | 59 |
|  | 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 11 Season Total: |  |  |  | 1,316 | 2,141 | 171 | 0 | 259 | 83 | 513 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 14,975 | 29,742 | 1,116 | 0 | 8,595 | 648 | 17,001 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 122 | 172 | 33 | 0 | 93 | 25 | 130 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 9.3\% | 8.1\% | 19.5\% | - | 35.7\% | 30.8\% | 25.4\% |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,076- \\ & 1,555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,803- \\ & 2,479 \end{aligned}$ | 106-237 | - | 78-441 | 33-132 | 258-769 |



Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013.


Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013.

Table 6.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 40 | 6 | 46 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |

Table 6.4 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to doubleindex tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Washington <br> $(100 \%)$ | Southern Puget Sound <br> $(25 \%)$ | Clear Cr -11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | $1(100 \%)$ | 1 |

Table 6.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs during the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/markstatus proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 50 1-trip VTRs, 79 Angler Trips | 29 | 8 | 44 | 6 | 87 | 0.84 | 0.78 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.33 \\ (0.0026) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.09 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.51 \\ (0.0029) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 171 | 149 | 22 | 3 | 152 | 992 | 31 | $90-214$ | 21 |
| Legal UM | 47 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 3 | $1-13$ | 41 |
| Sublegal AD | 260 | 22 | 237 | 47 | 70 | 296 | 17 | $36-104$ | 25 |
| Sublegal UM | 35 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 3 | $1-13$ | 46 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 - 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Table 6.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 526 | 106 | 420 | 4 |
|  | AD | 2,053 | 383 | 1,670 | 333 |
|  | Total | 2,579 | 489 | 2,090 | 337 |
|  | \% Marked | 80 | 78 | 80 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 83 | 47 | 35 | 0 |
|  | AD | 431 | 171 | 260 | 171 |
|  | Total | 513 | 218 | 295 | 171 |
|  | \% Marked | 84 | 78 | 88 | 100 |

Table 6.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 103 | 691 | 794 | 14 | 222 | 236 |
| Released Legal | 15 | 24 | 39 | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| Released Sublegal | 84 | 334 | 418 | 7 | 47 | 55 |
| Landed Only | 4 | 333 | 337 | 0 | 171 | 171 |



Figure 6.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1512) and estimated total Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. Error bars represent approximate 95\% confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 6.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc. } \end{aligned}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2010 | 1 | 3.7 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.107 | 0.3 |
| Total |  | 1 | 3.7 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.107 | 0.3 |

Table 6.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook sampled / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

|  | Time per |  |  | $\text { ted } \mathbf{R}$ hinoc | ined |  | $r$ of mpled | nook | Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| February | 5-9 | 1 Feb-3 Mar | 41 | 0 | 41 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 24.7\% |
| March | 10-13 | 4 Mar-31 Mar | 27 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 47.9\% |
| April | 14-18 | $1 \mathrm{Apr}-30 \mathrm{Apr}$ | 104 | 0 | 104 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 22.2\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 171 | 0 | 171 | 46 | 0 | 46 | 26.9\% |

Table 6.11 Fishery total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the area 11 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2013. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Month | Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Released Salmon |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Coho UK | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmonid |
| Feb | 5 | 1-Feb | 3-Feb | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 4-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8 | $18-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 24-Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9 | 25-Feb | 3-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 10 | 4-Mar | 10-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| Apr | 14 | 1-Apr | 7-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 15 | 8-Apr | 14-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17 | 22-Apr | 28-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area 11 Season Total: |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 173 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathrm{CI}:$ |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 40 | 161 | 27,393 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 166 |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | 89\% | 89\% | 96\% |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | 0-20 | 0-39 | 0-497 |

Table 6.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted in Area 11 during on-the-water surveys conducted from February 1 - April 30, 2013. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Total Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 8}$ |
| Dockton Ramp | 1 | 0.014 |
| Foss Harbor Marina | 11 | 0.149 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 1}$ |
| Narrows Marina | 4 | 0.054 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 7}$ |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 4}$ |
| Private | 5 | 0.068 |
| Solo Point | 2 | 0.027 |
| Tacoma Outboard Association | 3 | 0.041 |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ |

Table 6.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 winter Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Angler-trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2010 | 3,096 | 315 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 47 | 80 | 114 | 10 | 580 |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2011 | 1,515 | 78 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 87 | 322 | 241 | 752 |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2012 | 1,937 | 170 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 142 | 630 | 182 | 1,153 |
| Feb 1 - Apr 30, 2013 | 2,141 | 149 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 47 | 237 | 35 | 513 |

## 7) Marine Area 12 Winter Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fourth consecutive winter Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12 from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 April 30, 2013. Data collection methods used to monitor the Area 12 Chinook MSF included dockside angler interviews (with catch sampling) and voluntary trip reports provided by private anglers. From these activities, we were able to estimate catch rates (CPUE), mark rates (based on VTRs), and landed-catch composition (age, length, and CWT). Additionally, we described relative catch and effort patterns throughout the season based on the assumption that baselinesampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

WDFW dockside samplers conducted "Baseline Sampling" at selected access sites during the 2012-13 winter Chinook MSF in Area 12. Complete details of these methods are presented in a separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). Briefly, Baseline Sampling is opportunistic in nature, with overall sampling effort allocated across space and time in a manner that maximizes the number of angler interviews obtained per sample effort. The Area 12 baseline sample frame included 16 different access sites (Table 7.1), and a total of 133 site visits during the six-month season. Site visits ranged from short (e.g., "no effort" samples) to full-day (8+ hours) sampling events. When present, samplers interviewed all anglers exiting the Area 12 fishery at the selected access site. The interview and catch-sampling procedures employed were identical to those used in other MSFs. Thus, Area 12 samplers acquired information about: 1) angling effort (boat and angler trips, trip length), 2) encounters composition (retained and/or released) by species and mark status (marked vs. unmarked, Chinook and Coho salmon only), and 3) landed Chinook size (fork and total length) and age (scales were collected and ultimately read) composition. Samplers also inspected landed Chinook and Coho salmon for CWTs using wand detectors and acquired snouts when tags were present; resulting tag data were used to estimate the CWT-based composition (unexpanded) of landed catch.

In contrast to the intensive "Murthy" survey design employed in other areas, Area 12 sampling results could not be used to produce fishery-total estimates of effort, encounters (retained catch + releases), and unmarked-DIT Chinook impacts. It should be noted, however, that Area 12 baseline sampling observations will ultimately (one to two years from the close of the fishery) be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to estimate catch and effort at the fishery-total level, by month. Thus, while these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

In this section we report results from monitoring the Area 12 winter Chinook MSF based on our efficient, streamlined reporting format agreed-to between state and tribal technical representatives (in July 2010), which is focused on presenting data tables and figures rather than interpretive text. Results are presented in a series of tables and figures according to the following sequence: $i$ ) the intensity (spatial and temporal coverage) of sampling efforts is described; and $i i$ ) observed data on fishery characteristics obtained from the dockside baseline sampling efforts, including catch and effort observations, Chinook length-frequency data, and CWT recovery results.

Table 7.1 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31 , 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013.

| Location | Number of Site-Days Sampled per Month |  |  |  |  |  | Total SiteDays | \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | October | November | December | February | March | April |  |  |
| Hood Canal Public Ramp (Tacoma Light) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 9.0\% |
| Misery Point Ramp | 12 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 64 | 48.1\% |
| Pleasant Harbor Marina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2.3\% |
| Pleasant Harbor Boat Ramp (WDFW) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 20 | 15.0\% |
| Point Whitney Ramp | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2.3\% |
| Quilcene Bay Ramp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.8\% |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 8.3\% |
| Seabeck Harbor (Marina) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.8\% |
| Tahuya Ramp | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3.8\% |
| Union Ramp | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1.5\% |
| Private Residence | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.5\% |
| Hood Canal Marina (Union) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.8\% |
| Triton Cove | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.8\% |
| Hoodsport Shore | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.8\% |
| Big Beef Beach | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.5\% |
| Dewatto Creek Watch | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3.0\% |
| Grand Total | 29 | 5 | 17 | 25 | 40 | 17 | 133 | 100.0\% |

Table 7.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status.

| Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Chum | Cutthroat Trout | Unknown Salmon |
|  |  |  |  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |
| 41 | 1-Oct | 7-Oct | 46 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 42 | 8-Oct | 14-Oct | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 43 | 15-Oct | 21-Oct | 11 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 22 -Oct | $28-\mathrm{Oct}$ | 11 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 29-Oct | 4-Nov | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 5-Nov | 11-Nov | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 47 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 19-Nov | 25-Nov | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 26-Nov | 2-Dec | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 3-Dec | 9-Dec | 4 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 10-Dec | 16-Dec | 6 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 17-Dec | 23-Dec | 7 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 24-Dec | 30-Dec | 36 | 72 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 31-Dec | 31-Dec | 6 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1-Feb | 3-Feb | 32 | 71 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 4-Feb | $10-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 27 | 48 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 7 | 11-Feb | 17-Feb | 76 | 180 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | $18-\mathrm{Feb}$ | $24-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 11 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | $25-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 3-Mar | 33 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 4-Mar | 10-Mar | 27 | 51 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 11-Mar | 17-Mar | 18 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 18-Mar | 24-Mar | 15 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 13 | 25-Mar | 31-Mar | 21 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 14 | 1-Apr | 7-Apr | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 8-Apr | 14-Apr | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 15-Apr | 21-Apr | 42 | 98 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 22-Apr | $28-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 13 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 18 | 29-Apr | 30-Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 470 | 947 | 182 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 439 | 85 | 191 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 50 | 1 |



Figure 7.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook landed per angler trip) during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook encounters (number retained and released) during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook sampled in dockside angler interviews during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 April 30, 2013.

Table 7.3 Summary of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013.

| Mark Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 166 | 6 | 172 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ |

Table 7.4 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | Number <br> DITs |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| British <br> Columbia <br> $(33.3 \%)$ | Fraser R - Thompson <br> $\mathrm{R}(33.3 \%)$ | Harrison River | Chehalis River H | $1(33.3 \%)$ | 0 |
| Washington <br> $(66.7 \%)$ | Northern Washington <br> $(33.3 \%)$ | Friday Cr -03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | $1(33.3 \%)$ | 1 |
|  | Hood Canal (33.3\%) | Finch Cr -16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | $1(33.3 \%)$ | 0 |

Table 7.5 Total Chinook encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs during the Area 12 Chinook MSF from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 - April 30, 2013, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 23 1-trip VTRs, 45 Angler Trips | 21 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 75 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.28 \\ (0.0027) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.69 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2 9}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
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## APPENDICES

Appendix A. 1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 8-1 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/1/2012 | 45 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2298 |
| 11/2/2012 | 45 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2996 |
| 11/3/2012 | 45 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Coupeville Public Ramp | 0.0939 |
| 11/5/2012 | 46 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2298 |
| 11/9/2012 | 46 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2298 |
| 11/10/2012 | 46 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2996 |
| 11/13/2012 | 47 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.0886 |
| 11/16/2012 | 47 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.0886 |
| 11/17/2012 | 47 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2996 |
| 11/19/2012 | 48 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2996 |
| 11/24/2012 | 48 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2996 |
| 11/25/2012 | 48 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2298 |
| 11/28/2012 | 49 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2690 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2996 |
| 12/1/2012 | 49 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Utsalady Ramp; Camano Is | 0.0515 |
| 12/2/2012 | 49 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2713 |
| 12/5/2012 | 50 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.2443 |
| 12/7/2012 | 50 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1811 |
| 12/8/2012 | 50 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2713 |
| 12/12/2012 | 51 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1811 |
| 12/15/2012 | 51 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2713 |
| 12/16/2012 | 51 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1811 |
| 12/19/2012 | 52 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2713 |
| 12/22/2012 | 52 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1811 |
| 12/23/2012 | 52 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2713 |
| 12/27/2012 | 53 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.2713 |
| 12/28/2012 | 53 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1511 | Utsalady Ramp; Camano Is | 0.0515 |
| 1/3/2013 | 1 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1168 |
| 1/5/2013 | 1 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1294 |
| 1/6/2013 | 1 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1612 |
| 1/8/2013 | 2 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1612 |
| 1/11/2013 | 2 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1294 |
| 1/12/2013 | 2 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Utsalady Ramp; Camano Is | 0.0687 |
| 1/16/2013 | 3 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1168 |


| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/18/2013 | 3 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1612 |
| 1/19/2013 | 3 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1168 |
| 1/24/2013 | 4 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.1612 |
| 1/25/2013 | 4 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1168 |
| 1/26/2013 | 4 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1294 |
| 1/30/2013 | 5 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4682 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1294 |
| 2/1/2013 | 5 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.0790 |
| 2/3/2013 | 5 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1361 |
| 2/7/2013 | 6 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2259 |
| 2/8/2013 | 6 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.0790 |
| 2/10/2013 | 6 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2259 |
| 2/12/2013 | 7 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1361 |
| 2/16/2013 | 7 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1361 |
| 2/17/2013 | 7 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1361 |
| 2/19/2013 | 8 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2259 |
| 2/23/2013 | 8 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2259 |
| 2/24/2013 | 8 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.1361 |
| 2/27/2013 | 9 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.443 | Utsalady Ramp; Camano Is | 0.0727 |
| 3/2/2013 | 9 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/3/2013 | 9 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/6/2013 | 10 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 3/8/2013 | 10 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/9/2013 | 10 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 3/13/2013 | 11 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 3/15/2013 | 11 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/17/2013 | 11 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 3/21/2013 | 12 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/22/2013 | 12 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 3/24/2013 | 12 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/28/2013 | 13 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Maple Grove Ramp; Camano Is | 0.2000 |
| 3/30/2013 | 13 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 3/31/2013 | 13 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 4/2/2013 | 14 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.0647 |
| 4/5/2013 | 14 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 4/6/2013 | 14 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 4/9/2013 | 15 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| 4/12/2013 | 15 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $4 / 14 / 2013$ | 15 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.0647 |
| $4 / 18 / 2013$ | 16 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| $4 / 19 / 2013$ | 16 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| $4 / 20 / 2013$ | 16 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| $4 / 23 / 2013$ | 17 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Oak Harbor Marina \& Public Ramp | 0.0647 |
| $4 / 27 / 2013$ | 17 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| $4 / 28 / 2013$ | 17 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |
| $4 / 29 / 2013$ | 18 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.4824 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.1690 |

Appendix A. 2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 8-2 markselective Chinook fishery from November 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $11 / 1 / 2012$ | 45 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 2 / 2012$ | 45 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0805 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 3 / 2012$ | 45 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 5 / 2012$ | 46 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 9 / 2012$ | 46 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 10 / 2012$ | 46 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0805 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 13 / 2012$ | 47 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 16 / 2012$ | 47 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 17 / 2012$ | 47 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0805 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 19 / 2012$ | 48 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 24 / 2012$ | 48 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0805 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 25 / 2012$ | 48 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $11 / 28 / 2012$ | 49 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.3107 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5660 |
| $12 / 1 / 2012$ | 49 | Bayside Marine | 0.0764 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 2 / 2012$ | 49 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0994 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 5 / 2012$ | 50 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.0967 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 7 / 2012$ | 50 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0994 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 8 / 2012$ | 50 | Bayside Marine | 0.0764 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 12 / 2012$ | 51 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0994 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 15 / 2012$ | 51 | Bayside Marine | 0.0764 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 16 / 2012$ | 51 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.0967 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 19 / 2012$ | 52 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0994 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 22 / 2012$ | 52 | Bayside Marine | 0.0764 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| $12 / 23 / 2012$ | 52 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0994 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |


| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/27/2012 | 53 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.0967 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| 12/28/2012 | 53 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.0967 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6848 |
| 1/3/2013 | 1 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/5/2013 | 1 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/6/2013 | 1 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/8/2013 | 2 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/11/2013 | 2 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/12/2013 | 2 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/16/2013 | 3 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/18/2013 | 3 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/19/2013 | 3 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/24/2013 | 4 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/25/2013 | 4 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/26/2013 | 4 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 1/30/2013 | 5 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2144 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6134 |
| 2/1/2013 | 5 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/3/2013 | 5 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/7/2013 | 6 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/8/2013 | 6 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0533 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/10/2013 | 6 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/12/2013 | 7 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/16/2013 | 7 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/17/2013 | 7 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/19/2013 | 8 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/23/2013 | 8 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0533 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/24/2013 | 8 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 2/27/2013 | 9 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.1763 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.6529 |
| 3/2/2013 | 9 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/3/2013 | 9 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/6/2013 | 10 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/8/2013 | 10 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/9/2013 | 10 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/13/2013 | 11 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/15/2013 | 11 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/17/2013 | 11 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/21/2013 | 12 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| 3/22/2013 | 12 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $3 / 24 / 2013$ | 12 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $3 / 28 / 2013$ | 13 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $3 / 30 / 2013$ | 13 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $3 / 31 / 2013$ | 13 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 2 / 2013$ | 14 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 5 / 2013$ | 14 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 6 / 2013$ | 14 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 9 / 2013$ | 15 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 12 / 2013$ | 15 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 14 / 2013$ | 15 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 18 / 2013$ | 16 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 19 / 2013$ | 16 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 20 / 2013$ | 16 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 23 / 2013$ | 17 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 27 / 2013$ | 17 | Dagmar's Landing; Forklift Launch | 0.0598 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 28 / 2013$ | 17 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |
| $4 / 29 / 2013$ | 18 | Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 0.2214 | Norton Street (Everett) Ramp | 0.5400 |

Appendix A. 3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 10 markselective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $10 / 1 / 2012$ | 41 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1167 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 5 / 2012$ | 41 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2389 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 6 / 2012$ | 41 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2389 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 11 / 2012$ | 42 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2389 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 12 / 2012$ | 42 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1167 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1031 |
| $10 / 13 / 2012$ | 42 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2389 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 18 / 2012$ | 43 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2389 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 19 / 2012$ | 43 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1167 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 21 / 2012$ | 43 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0519 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.1593 |
| $10 / 23 / 2012$ | 44 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1167 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 27 / 2012$ | 44 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.0381 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.5033 |
| $10 / 28 / 2012$ | 44 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.7046 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.1593 |
| $11 / 1 / 2012$ | 45 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.087 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| $11 / 2 / 2012$ | 45 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0217 |
| $11 / 3 / 2012$ | 45 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0217 |


| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/5/2012 | 46 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0217 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/9/2012 | 46 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.087 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/10/2012 | 46 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/13/2012 | 47 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/16/2012 | 47 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.087 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/17/2012 | 47 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0217 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/19/2012 | 48 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 11/24/2012 | 48 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.087 |
| 11/25/2012 | 48 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.8505 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.0634 |
| 11/28/2012 | 49 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1957 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.6957 |
| 12/1/2012 | 49 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2119 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/2/2012 | 49 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.6505 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.095 |
| 12/5/2012 | 50 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2119 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/7/2012 | 50 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2119 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/8/2012 | 50 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1904 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/12/2012 | 51 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1904 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/15/2012 | 51 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1415 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1904 |
| 12/16/2012 | 51 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.6505 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.1283 |
| 12/19/2012 | 52 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1904 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/22/2012 | 52 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1904 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/23/2012 | 52 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.6505 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.074 |
| 12/27/2012 | 53 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.1558 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 12/28/2012 | 53 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.1904 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.3004 |
| 1/3/2013 | 1 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.2191 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/5/2013 | 1 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/6/2013 | 1 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/8/2013 | 2 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.2191 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/11/2013 | 2 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/12/2013 | 2 | Manchester Public Ramp | 0.2191 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/16/2013 | 3 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/18/2013 | 3 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/19/2013 | 3 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.0965 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/24/2013 | 4 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1409 | Edmonds Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift) | 0.0965 |
| 1/25/2013 | 4 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1409 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/26/2013 | 4 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |
| 1/30/2013 | 5 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.2784 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.2651 |

Appendix A. 4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the Area 11 markselective Chinook fishery from February 1, 2013 through April 30, 2013.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/1/2013 | 5 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/3/2013 | 5 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1016 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/7/2013 | 6 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/8/2013 | 6 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/10/2013 | 6 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/12/2013 | 7 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1016 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 |
| 2/16/2013 | 7 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/17/2013 | 7 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/19/2013 | 8 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/23/2013 | 8 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/24/2013 | 8 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1016 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 2/27/2013 | 9 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2930 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5493 |
| 3/2/2013 | 9 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/3/2013 | 9 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0412 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/6/2013 | 10 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0412 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/8/2013 | 10 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/9/2013 | 10 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/13/2013 | 11 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/15/2013 | 11 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/17/2013 | 11 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0412 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/21/2013 | 12 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/22/2013 | 12 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/24/2013 | 12 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/28/2013 | 13 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/30/2013 | 13 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.3730 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 3/31/2013 | 13 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.0412 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5418 |
| 4/2/2013 | 14 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/5/2013 | 14 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1452 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/6/2013 | 14 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/9/2013 | 15 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1452 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/12/2013 | 15 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1452 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/14/2013 | 15 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/18/2013 | 16 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/19/2013 | 16 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1452 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| 4/20/2013 | 16 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |


| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $4 / 23 / 2013$ | 17 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| $4 / 27 / 2013$ | 17 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| $4 / 28 / 2013$ | 17 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1452 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |
| $4 / 29 / 2013$ | 18 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2487 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5304 |

Appendix B. 1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 6 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2012-April 10, 2013.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\underset{(\mathbf{c m})}{\mathrm{FL}}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 23-Dec-12 | 181398 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 53 | 70151 | AD |
| 6 | 28-Dec-12 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635767 | 54 | 70152 | AD |
| 6 | 29-Dec-12 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR - 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 57 | 70146 | AD |
| 6 | 29-Dec-12 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR - 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635298 | 71 | 70145 | AD |
| 6 | 4-Jan-13 | 181397 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 55 | 61831 | AD |
| 6 | 18-Jan-13 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 63 | 65024 | AD |
| 6 | 19-Jan-13 | 181590 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181588 | 67 | 65025 | AD |
| 6 | 19-Jan-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 61 | 70391 | AD |
| 6 | 26-Jan-13 | 635469 | 2009 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 73 | 65027 | AD |
| 6 | 27-Jan-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 70153 | AD |
| 6 | 1-Feb-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 60 | 70154 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Feb-13 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635089 | 65 | 70155 | AD |
| 6 | 10-Feb-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 71 | 32638 | AD |
| 6 | 11-Feb-13 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR - 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635298 | 69 | 70156 | AD |
| 6 | 12-Feb-13 | 634875 | 2008 | SIMILKAMEEN R - 490325 | SIMILKAMEEN H | WDFW |  | 84 | 65029 | AD |
| 6 | 12-Feb-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 71628 | AD |
| 6 | 14-Feb-13 | 210906 | 2009 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 58 | 65030 | AD |
| 6 | 14-Feb-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 71 | 65031 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Feb-13 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 66 | 65033 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Feb-13 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR - 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 63 | 65032 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Feb-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 70147 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Feb-13 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635767 | 55 | 70238 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Feb-13 | 636069 | 2010 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 59 | 70148 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Feb-13 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR - 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 62 | 65382 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Feb-13 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR - 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 66 | 65034 | AD |
| 6 | 17-Feb-13 | 634782 | 2008 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 73 | 70150 | AD |
| 6 | 17-Feb-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 70 | 71629 | AD |
| 6 | 17-Feb-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 69 | 70149 | AD |
| 6 | 17-Feb-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 60 | 65359 | AD |
| 6 | 27-Feb-13 | 210915 | 2009 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 66 | 70157 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Mar-13 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R - 03.0005 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635284 | 75 | 70158 | AD |
| 6 | 4-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 70159 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Mar-13 | 186334 | 2010 | R-CHEMAINUS R | H-NANAIMO RIVER H | CDFO |  | 57 | 63597 | AD |
| 6 | 13-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 70 | 70160 | AD |
| 6 | 14-Mar-13 | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 56 | 63595 | AD |
| 6 | 14-Mar-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 61575 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Mar-13 | 181394 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 59 | 70195 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 15-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 61 | 70193 | AD |
| 6 | 15-Mar-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 57 | 70194 | AD |
| 6 | 23-Mar-13 | 210827 | 2008 | SOL DUC R - 20.0096 | LONESOME CR H | QUIL |  | 81 | 61838 | AD |
| 6 | 25-Mar-13 | 68768 | 2010 | SAC R AT DISC PARK | NIMBUS FISH H | CDFW |  | 59 | 70163 | AD |
| 6 | 25-Mar-13 | 181398 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 56 | 70164 | AD |
| 6 | 27-Mar-13 | 181396 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 61 | 71660 | AD |
| 6 | 30-Mar-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 79 | 70166 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Apr-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 64 | 70171 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Apr-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 60 | 70170 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Apr-13 | 220200 | 2009 | NPT HATCHERY | NPT HATCHERY | NEZP |  | 67 | 70176 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Apr-13 | 635283 | 2010 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 53 | 70179 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Apr-13 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R - 03.0005 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635284 | 70 | 70177 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Apr-13 | 635683 | 2010 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW | 635682 | 60 | 70175 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Apr-13 | 635692 | 2010 | CLEAR CR - 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 210957 | 54 | 70178 | UM |

Appendix B. 2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 7 mark-selective Chinook fishery from December 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 8-Dec-12 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 43263 | AD |
| 7 | 14-Dec-12 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 69 | 43251 | AD |
| 7 | 16-Dec-12 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 74 | 43254 | AD |
| 7 | 22-Dec-12 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR - 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 56 | 43241 | AD |
| 7 | 22-Dec-12 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 82 | 43255 | AD |
| 7 | 27-Dec-12 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR - 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 70 | 43035 | AD |
| 7 | 27-Dec-12 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 43252 | AD |
| 7 | 27-Dec-12 | 634794 | 2009 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW | 634793 | 75 | 43256 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Dec-12 | 186310 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 56 | 43264 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Dec-12 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635089 | 65 | 43265 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Dec-12 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 62 | 43266 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Dec-12 | 636069 | 2010 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 50 | 43267 | AD |
| 7 | 29-Dec-12 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 64 | 43351 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Dec-12 | 90388 | 2009 | MCKENZIE R 1 | MCKENZIE HATCHERY | ODFW |  | 61 | 43253 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Dec-12 | 635683 | 2010 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW | 635682 | 55 | 43369 | AD |
| 7 | 3-Jan-13 | 181397 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 57 | 43247 | AD |
| 7 | 4-Jan-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 75 | 43354 | AD |
| 7 | 5-Jan-13 | 634787 | 2008 | FALLERT CR - 27.0017 | FALLERT CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 78 | 28891 | AD |
| 7 | 5-Jan-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 57 | 32637 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 6-Jan-13 | 635769 | 2010 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 63 | 43355 | AD |
| 7 | 11-Jan-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 43268 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jan-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 66 | 43269 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jan-13 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 66 | 43270 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jan-13 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR - 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 66 | 43271 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jan-13 | 54278 | 2009 | SPRING CR - 29.0159 | SPRING CR NFH | FWS | 54283 | 79 | 43352 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jan-13 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 72 | 43353 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jan-13 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR - 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 62 | 43364 | AD |
| 7 | 15-Jan-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | NA | 12491 | AD |
| 7 | 17-Jan-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0018 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 53 | 43154 | AD |
| 7 | 18-Jan-13 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR - 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635298 | 64 | 43370 | AD |
| 7 | 19-Jan-13 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 84 | 43272 | AD |
| 7 | 20-Jan-13 | 181396 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 64 | 43371 | AD |
| 7 | 23-Jan-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 43273 | AD |
| 7 | 23-Jan-13 | 210905 | 2009 | CLEAR CR - 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635096 | 63 | 43274 | AD |
| 7 | 25-Jan-13 | 180888 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 70 | 43275 | AD |
| 7 | 26-Jan-13 | 181399 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 55 | 43356 | AD |
| 7 | 26-Jan-13 | 210958 | 2010 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 64 | 43365 | AD |
| 7 | 27-Jan-13 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 61 | 43372 | AD |
| 7 | 1-Feb-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0020 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 66 | 43373 | AD |
| 7 | 1-Feb-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 70 | 74001 | AD |
| 7 | 2-Feb-13 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635293 | 62 | 43357 | AD |
| 7 | 2-Feb-13 | 181592 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181588,181590 | 60 | 43358 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635767 | 55 | 62246 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 63 | 74002 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 181588 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181590 | 72 | 74003 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R - 03.0005 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635284 | 69 | 74401 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 181588 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181590 | 56 | 74402 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 636069 | 2010 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 57 | 74403 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 65 | 74404 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635282 | 2010 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635679 | 53 | 74405 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 65 | 74406 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 63 | 74407 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0024 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 62 | 74409 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Feb-13 | 181398 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 57 | 74410 | AD |
| 7 | 9 -Feb-13 | 636069 | 2010 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 54 | 43360 | AD |
| 7 | $9-\mathrm{Feb}-13$ | 181590 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181588 | 63 | 74411 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Feb-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0025 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 54 | 74412 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Feb-13 | 181584 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181592,181588,181590 | 58 | 74413 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Feb-13 | 181584 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181592,181588,181590 | 62 | 74414 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 9-Feb-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0026 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 58 | 74415 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Feb-13 | 181590 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181588 | 62 | 74416 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Feb-13 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635089 | 63 | 74417 | AD |
| 7 | 10-Feb-13 | 634769 | 2008 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635082 | 77 | 65028 | AD |
| 7 | 10-Feb-13 | 181592 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181588,181590 | 64 | 74004 | AD |
| 7 | 10-Feb-13 | 181396 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 71 | 74005 | AD |
| 7 | 16-Feb-13 | 634794 | 2009 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW | 634793 | 64 | 43359 | AD |
| 7 | 16-Feb-13 | 181399 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 60 | 62456 | AD |
| 7 | 17-Feb-13 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR - 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 70 | 74051 | AD |
| 7 | 1-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 58 | 65360 | AD |
| 7 | 3-Mar-13 | 181396 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | NA | 74006 | AD |
| 7 | 3-Mar-13 | 210973 | 2010 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 54 | 74007 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Mar-13 | 210958 | 2010 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | STILLAGUAMISH HATCH | STIL |  | 61 | 74008 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Mar-13 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635293 | 65 | 32640 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Mar-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 78 | 32641 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Mar-13 | 210906 | 2009 | WHITEHORSE SPRINGS | WHITEHORSE POND | STIL |  | 70 | 43361 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Mar-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0019 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 57 | 43363 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Mar-13 | 180493 | 2009 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 81 | 74009 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Mar-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 77 | 74010 | AD |
| 7 | 15-Mar-13 | 181588 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181590 | 53 | 43248 | AD |
| 7 | 16-Mar-13 | 181396 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 64 | 74011 | AD |
| 7 | 17-Mar-13 | 181397 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 59 | 62453 | AD |
| 7 | 17-Mar-13 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 64 | 74052 | AD |
| 7 | 22-Mar-13 | 210912 | 2009 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635089 | 72 | 43379 | AD |
| 7 | 23-Mar-13 | 635366 | 2009 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635367 | 64 | 43008 | AD |
| 7 | 23-Mar-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 53 | 43009 | AD |
| 7 | 23-Mar-13 | 181398 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 67 | 43380 | AD |
| 7 | 24-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 68 | 74012 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Mar-13 | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR - 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635692 | 55 | 74351 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Mar-13 | 635282 | 2010 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635679 | 59 | 74352 | AD |
| 7 | 29-Mar-13 | 181398 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 62 | 74353 | AD |
| 7 | 29-Mar-13 | 181395 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 70 | 74354 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635590 | 2010 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 56 | 74054 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 78 | 74055 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635683 | 2010 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW | 635682 | 60 | 74056 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 636069 | 2010 | EAST SOUND BAY (SAN) | GLENWOOD SPRINGS | COOP |  | 67 | 74057 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635086 | 2009 | CHAMBERS CR - 12.0007 | CHAMBERS CR H | WDFW |  | 71 | 74058 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635293 | 75 | 74059 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR - 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 67 | 74060 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635292 | 2009 | WALLACE R - 07.0940 | WALLACE R HATCHERY | WDFW | 635293 | 68 | 74061 | AD |


| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 66 | 74062 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 181397 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 58 | 74063 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635768 | 2010 | PURDY CR - 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635767 | 55 | 74064 | AD |
| 7 | 30-Mar-13 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR - 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635298 | 67 | 74067 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635769 | 2010 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 62 | 62188 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 181398 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 66 | 74013 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635285 | 2009 | SAMISH R - 03.0005 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635284 | 70 | 74069 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 66 | 74070 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 181470 | 2010 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | 62 | 74072 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635573 | 2009 | CASCADE R - 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW | 635574 | 68 | 74074 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR - TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 62 | 74075 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0022 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 59 | 74076 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 210923 | 2009 | TULALIP CR - 07.0001 | BERNIE GOBIN HATCH | TULA |  | 79 | 74077 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 181584 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181592,181588,181590 | 66 | 74078 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 181394 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO |  | 51 | 74079 | AD |
| 7 | 31-Mar-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0023 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 53 | 74356 | AD |
| 7 | 11-Apr-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR - 03.0021 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 66 | 62182 | AD |
| 7 | 28-Apr-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR - 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 69 | 74014 | AD |

Appendix B. 3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Areas 8-1 and 8-2 mark-selective Chinook fisheries from November 1, 2012 - April 30, 2013.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 3-Nov-12 | 181592 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181588,181590 | 56 | 28893 | AD |
| 81 | 25-Nov-12 | 210973 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 62 | 62041 | AD |
| 81 | 23-Dec-12 | 635769 | 2010 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 61 | 43051 | AD |
| 81 | 19-Feb-13 | 635767 | 2010 | PURDY CR 16.0005 | GEORGE ADAMS H | WDFW | 635768 | 89 | 62044 | AD |
| 82 | 8-Dec-12 | 634295 | 2009 | COWLITZ R 26.0002 | COWLITZ SALMON H | WDFW |  | 62 | 72534 | AD |
| 82 | 27-Dec-12 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 65 | 72535 | AD |
| 82 | 6-Mar-13 | 210928 | 2009 | KALAMA CR 11.0017 | KALAMA CR HATCHERY | NISQ |  | 72 | 62045 | AD |
| 82 | 13-Apr-13 | 210972 | 2010 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 63 | 72656 | AD |

Appendix B. 4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 9 mark-selective Chinook fishery from November 1-30, 2012 and January 16 - April 15, 2013.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 1-Nov-12 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 57 | 72531 | AD |
| 9 | 1-Nov-12 | 210916 | 2009 | GORST CR 15.0216 | GORST CR REARING PND | SUQ |  | 65 | 72532 | AD |
| 9 | 5-Nov-12 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 60 | 72533 | AD |
| 9 | 10-Nov-12 | 181588 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181584,181592,181590 | 58 | 66955 | AD |
| 9 | 25-Nov-12 | 635288 | 2009 | VOIGHT CR TR 10.0428 | VOIGHTS CR HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 64 | 62792 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jan-13 | 181396 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 59 | 66956 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jan-13 | 635297 | 2009 | BIG SOOS CR 09.0072 | SOOS CREEK HATCHERY | WDFW | 635298 | 60 | 72536 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jan-13 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | NA | 62793 | AD |
| 9 | 8-Feb-13 | 210963 | 2010 | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | GROVERS CR HATCHERY | SUQ | 635695 | 59 | 71897 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Feb-13 | 635683 | 2010 | NOOKSACK R -NF 01.0120 | KENDALL CR HATCHERY | WDFW | 635682 | 61 | 70212 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Feb-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 60 | 70239 | AD |
| 9 | 17-Feb-13 | 635369 | 2009 | CASCADE R 03.1411 | MARBLEMOUNT H | WDFW |  | 68 | 63591 | AD |
| 9 | 15-Mar-13 | 181395 | 2010 | R-COWICHAN R | H-COWICHAN RIVER H | CDFO |  | 66 | 72655 | AD |
| 9 | 24-Mar-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 58 | 72537 | AD |

Appendix B. 5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 10 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1, 2012 - January 31, 2013.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 21-Oct-12 | 181584 | 2010 | R-CHILLIWACK R | H-CHILLIWACK RIVER H | CDFO | 181679,181592,181588,181590 | 56 | 72641 | AD |

Appendix B. 6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 11 mark-selective Chinook fishery from February 1 - April 30, 2013.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> (cm) | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $26-F e b-13$ | 210957 | 2010 | CLEAR CR 11.0013C | CLEAR CREEK H | NISQ | 635692 | 59 | 42230 |

Appendix B. 7 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the winter Area 12 mark-selective Chinook fishery from October 1 - December 31, 2012 and February 1 April 30, 2013.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT Codes | FL <br> (cm) | Label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery <br> Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 2-Mar-13 | 635697 | 2010 | FRIDAY CR 03.0017 | SAMISH HATCHERY | WDFW | 635698 | 57 | 42064 |
| 12 | 3-Mar-13 | 181471 | 2010 | R-HARRISON R | H-CHEHALIS RIVER H | CDFO |  | AD |  |
| 12 | 3-Mar-13 | 635291 | 2009 | FINCH CR 16.0222 | HOODSPORT HATCHERY | WDFW |  | 42065 | AD |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to winter Chinook MSFs in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches [ 56 cm ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.
    ${ }^{2}$ For information regarding effort, harvest and impacts estimates related to these fisheries, see the references listed at the end of this report, or visit: http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/search.php?Cat=Fishing / Shellfishing\&SubCat=Selective Fishing. The 2013 summer mark-selective fisheries report is currently in preparation.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Though the necessary tissue samples have been collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are presently unavailable for Puget Sound/Strait of Juan de Fuca mark-selective fisheries. In the present report, methods for producing CWT-based (unexpanded) estimates of the stock composition of marked Chinook harvest are provided.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWTs, from all sites sampled during the winter 2012-13 Area 6 Chinook MSF (the four sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates, derby samples, and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in Area 6).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWTs, from all sites sampled during the winter 2012-13 Area 7 Chinook MSF (the four sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates, derby samples, and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in Area 7).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWTs, from all sites sampled during the winter 2012-13 Area 9 Chinook MSF (the sample-frame sites included in the creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling in the Area).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Number of retained Chinook sampled includes all retained Chinook inspected for CWTs, from all sites sampled during the four-month winter Area 10 fishery (i.e., the two selected sites per sampling day for creel [Murthy] estimates, plus the fish sampled as part of baseline [non-Murthy] sampling in the Area).

