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## INTRODUCTION

Based on agreements between the State of Washington and the Northwest Treaty Tribes, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) has been conducting pilot ${ }^{1}$ recreational mark-selective Chinook fisheries (MSFs) in the marine catch areas of Puget Sound since 2003. The goal of these fisheries is to allow increased angler opportunities on hatchery-raised, marked (adipose fin-clipped) salmon while limiting impacts on unmarked (adipose fin intact; typically wild origin) stocks of conservation concern, particularly ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook.

To monitor each fishery, WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implements one of the four following sampling designs: i) Full Murthy Estimate Design, ii) Reduced Murthy Estimate Design, iii) Aerial-Access Design or iv) Baseline Sampling Design. The design selected depends on area and season considerations, the magnitude of the fishery and State-Tribal agreements made prior to the start of the fishing season. For a complete description of the methods associated with these sampling designs, see WDFW's "Methods Report: Monitoring MarkSelective Recreational Chinook Fisheries in the Marine Catch Areas of Puget Sound (Areas 5 through 13)" (WDFW 2012a).

The first three of the above-mentioned sampling designs (all except Baseline Sampling) are characterized as comprehensive, "intensive" monitoring programs and have been tailored to reliably estimate the critical parameters needed for evaluating mark-selective fisheries (WDFW 2012a). In addition, PSSU has acquired and analyzed relevant data characterizing other aspects of the pilot MSFs, including descriptors of fishing effort, fishing success (catch [landed Chinook] per unit effort), recreational fishing methods, the length and age composition of encountered Chinook, and the overall intensity of our sampling efforts. As such, the data collected through these comprehensive monitoring programs allow biologists to produce weekly in-season estimates and timely finalized post-season estimates of effort, catch, total encounters and fishery impacts.

The fourth sampling design, Baseline Sampling, is a scaled-back monitoring program that is currently implemented in lower-magnitude MSFs (and year-round in non-selective sport fisheries) throughout Puget Sound. Table 1 presents a summary of the MSFs, by area and season in which PSSU has conducted Baseline Sampling, through the 2013-14 winter season. Samplers collect data on salmon catch (retained and released) and effort via dockside sampling and angler interviews and obtain on-water encounter rate data through distributing and collecting voluntary trip reports (VTR) from private anglers. In contrast to the three comprehensive monitoring programs, the data collected through Baseline Sampling does not allow for in-season or immediate post-season estimates of effort, landed catch, total encounters, or fishery impacts.

Between 2011 and 2013, an estimation framework was developed to estimate total Chinook encounters for a given MSF relying only on Baseline Sampling data and Catch Record Card (CRC) based Chinook harvest estimates (WDFW and NWIFC 2013). CRC harvest estimates are available approximately one year after the close of a fishery. Thus, while slightly delayed, this methodology allows for a full post-season analysis of Puget Sound Chinook MSFs that are

[^0]sampled on a Baseline level only, including total size/mark-status specific estimates of encounters and mortalities.

As of the date of this report, finalized CRC-based estimates of Chinook harvest were available through the close of the 2011 summer season. Contained in the following sections is a full analysis of fishery-total encounters and mortalities associated with the 11 Puget Sound Chinook MSFs that were sampled on a Baseline level only through the 2011 Summer season. Included area estimates of: $i$ ) the total number of Chinook salmon harvested (by size [legal or sublegal] and mark-status [marked or unmarked] group), ii) the total number of Chinook salmon released (by size and mark-status group), iii) the total number of Chinook salmon mortalities (by size and mark-status group), $i v$ ) comparisons of Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities with preseason expectations (based on Fishery Regulation Assessment Model [FRAM] predictions), v) sample rate information based on dockside sampling of harvested Chinook, and $v i$ ) the total mortality of marked and unmarked double index tag (DIT) CWT stocks. Estimates were derived using the estimation framework provided in WDFW and NWIFC (2013), referring to the decision support schematic to determine the estimator used.

Table 1 Summary of Chinook MSFs in Puget Sound in which PSSU has conducted Baseline Sampling.

| Catch Area | Season | Year | Dates | Sampling Design |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Summer | 2008 | Jul 1 - Aug 9 | Baseline sampling, VTRs, CRC estimates |
|  |  | 2009 | Jul 1 - Aug 6 |  |
|  |  | 2010 | Jul 1 - Aug 15 |  |
|  |  | 2011 | Jul 1 - Aug 15 |  |
|  |  | 2012 | Jul 1 - Aug 15 |  |
|  |  | 2013 | Jul 1 - Aug 15 |  |
| $12 \text { (S of }$Ayock Pt) | Summer | 2012 | Jul 1 - Oct 15 |  |
|  |  | 2013 | Jul 1 - Oct 15 |  |
| 12 | Winter | 2009-10 | Feb 1-Apr 30 |  |
|  |  | 2010-11 | Feb 1 - Apr 30 |  |
|  |  | 2011-12 | Feb 1 - Apr 30 |  |
|  |  | 2012-13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 16 \text { - Dec } 31 \\ \& \text { Feb } 1 \text { - Apr } 30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | 2013-14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } 16 \text { - Dec } 31 \\ \text { \& Feb } 1 \text { - Apr } 30 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 13 | Summer | 2007 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |
|  |  | 2008 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |
|  |  | 2009 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |
|  |  | 2010 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |
|  |  | 2011 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |
|  |  | 2012 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |
|  |  | 2013 | May 1 - Sep 30 |  |

## 1 MARINE AREA 6

In this section, we present estimates of total encounters and mortalities for the Marine Area 6 summer MSFs in 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, which were monitored using baseline sampling. Information regarding sample sites, dockside sampling and interview data, and voluntary trip reports (VTR) related to these fisheries can be found in previous reports (WDFW 2009a, WDFW 2010a, WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012c). Table 1.1 provides CRC-based total Chinook harvest estimates and variances for each year, along with the recommended estimation method based on VTR sample sizes and the resulting precision of encounters estimates. Sample sizes of VTR encounters were sufficient in all four years, thus, total encounters estimates were estimated using the bias-corrected M2 approach (WDFW and NWIFC 2013).

Table 1.1 Summary of CRC-based total Chinook harvest estimates for the 2008-2011 Area 6 summer MSFs.

| Year | Total Chinook <br> Harvest | Variance | Estimation <br> Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 537 | 3,840 | M2 |
| 2009 | 2,372 | 29,988 | M2 |
| 2010 | 1,400 | 12,932 | M2 |
| 2011 | 3,320 | 79,356 | M2 |

### 1.1 Summer 2008

Table 1.1.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 9, 2008. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 81 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 133 |
| Dockside Harvest | 339 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 340 |

Table 1.1.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 9, 2008. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 615 | 535 | 80 | 12 | 547 | 4,102 | 64 | $422-673$ | 12 |
| Legal UM | 380 | 2 | 378 | 57 | 58 | 102 | 10 | $39-78$ | 17 |
| Sublegal AD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Sublegal UM | 15 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | $0-7$ | 71 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 2 - 7 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Table 1.1.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2108) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 9, 2008. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 856 | 571 | 285 | 11 |
|  | AD | 1,439 | 669 | 770 | 629 |
|  | Total | 2,295 | 1,240 | 1,055 | 640 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 63 | 54 | 73 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 395 | 380 | 15 | 2 |
|  | AD | 615 | 615 | 0 | 535 |
|  | Total | 1,011 | 995 | 15 | 537 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 61 | 62 | 0 | 100 |

Table 1.1.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2108) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 9, 2008. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 153 | 820 | 973 | 61 | 547 | 609 |
| Released Legal | 85 | 37 | 122 | 57 | 12 | 69 |
| Released Sublegal | 57 | 154 | 211 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Landed Only | 11 | 629 | 640 | 2 | 535 | 537 |

Marked Chinook Encounters



Unmarked Chinook Encounters



Figure 1.1.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2108) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 9, 2008. Error bars represent 95\% confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.1.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 9, 2008.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 535 | 2 | 537 | 345 | 5 | 350 | $65.4 \%$ |

Table 1.1.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 August 9, 2008. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { DITs } \\ \text { Obs'd } \end{gathered}$ | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2004 | 2 | 3.1 | 1.61 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.017 | 0.18 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2005 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.008 | 0.09 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2004 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.010 | 0.10 |
|  | 2005 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.014 | 0.12 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2004 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.009 | 0.09 |
|  | 2005 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.007 | 0.08 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2004 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.008 | 0.09 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2005 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.81 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.008 | 0.09 |
| Total |  | 9 | 13.8 | 7.27 | 14.4 | 1.4 | 0.081 | 0.85 |

### 1.2 Summer 2009

Table 1.2.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 6, 2009. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 117 | 53 | 10 | 12 | 192 |
| Dockside Harvest | 514 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 522 |

Table 1.2.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 6, 2009. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 2,685 | 2,336 | 349 | 52 | 2,388 | 31,849 | 178 | $2,038-2,738$ | 7 |
| Legal UM | 1,216 | 0 | 1,216 | 182 | 182 | 743 | 27 | $129-236$ | 15 |
| Sublegal AD | 229 | 36 | 193 | 39 | 75 | 393 | 20 | $36-114$ | 26 |
| Sublegal UM | 275 | 0 | 275 | 55 | 55 | 263 | 16 | $23-87$ | 29 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 3 - 3 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Table 1.2.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 6, 2009. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 1,095 | 720 | 375 | 14 |
|  | AD | 1,911 | 846 | 1,065 | 736 |
|  | Total | 3,006 | 1,566 | 1,440 | 750 |
|  | \% Marked | 64 | 54 | 74 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1,491 | 1,216 | 275 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2,914 | 2,685 | 229 | 2,372 |
|  | \% Marked | 4,406 | 3,901 | 505 | 2,372 |

Table 1.2.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 6, 2009. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 196 | 1,002 | 1,198 | 237 | 2,463 | 2,700 |
| Released Legal | 107 | 53 | 160 | 182 | 52 | 235 |
| Released Sublegal | 75 | 213 | 288 | 55 | 39 | 94 |
| Landed Only | 14 | 736 | 750 | 0 | 2,372 | 2,372 |



Figure 1.2.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 6, 2009. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.2.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 6, 2009.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 2,372 | 0 | 2,372 | 539 | 0 | 539 | $22.7 \%$ |

Table 1.2.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 August 6, 2009. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 4.4 | 14.97 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 0.182 | 0.43 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2005 | 3 | 13.2 | 44.90 | 17.2 | 1.7 | 0.764 | 1.51 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 4.4 | 14.97 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.149 | 0.39 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2005 | 1 | 4.4 | 14.97 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.124 | 0.35 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2005 | 2 | 8.8 | 29.93 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 0.314 | 0.79 |
| Total |  | 8 | 35.2 | 119.73 | 39.5 | 3.9 | 1.533 | 3.47 |

### 1.3 Summer 2010

Table 1.3.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15,2010 . $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 179 | 61 | 5 | 3 | 248 |
| Dockside Harvest | 723 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 726 |

Table 1.3.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2010. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,603 | 1,394 | 208 | 31 | 1,425 | 13,686 | 117 | $1,196-1,655$ | 8 |
| Legal UM | 546 | 2 | 544 | 82 | 84 | 141 | 12 | $60-107$ | 14 |
| Sublegal AD | 45 | 4 | 41 | 8 | 12 | 24 | 5 | $2-22$ | 41 |
| Sublegal UM | 27 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 3 | $0-11$ | 58 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 6 - 1 , 7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Table 1.3.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 945 | 660 | 285 | 7 |
|  | AD | 1,737 | 912 | 825 | 793 |
|  | Total | 2,682 | 1,572 | 1,110 | 800 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 65 | 58 | 74 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 573 | 546 | 27 | 2 |
|  | AD | 1,647 | 1,603 | 45 | 1,398 |
|  | Total | 2,220 | 2,149 | 72 | 1,400 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 74 | 75 | 63 | 100 |

Table 1.3.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 162 | 1,016 | 1,178 | 89 | 1,438 | 1,526 |
| Released Legal | 98 | 58 | 156 | 82 | 31 | 113 |
| Released Sublegal | 57 | 165 | 222 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| Landed Only | 7 | 793 | 800 | 2 | 1,398 | 1,400 |



Figure 1.3.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2010. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.3.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2010.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 1,398 | 2 | 1,400 | 736 | 3 | 739 | $52.8 \%$ |

Table 1.3.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 August 15, 2010. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DITs } \\ & \text { Obs'd } \end{aligned}$ | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Big Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 1.9 | 1.69 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.017 | 0.13 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 1.9 | 1.69 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.017 | 0.13 |
|  | 2007 | 1 | 1.9 | 1.69 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.017 | 0.13 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 1.9 | 1.69 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.021 | 0.14 |
|  | 2007 | 7 | 13.3 | 11.86 | 13.4 | 1.3 | 0.121 | 0.92 |
| Grow | 2006 | 2 | 3.8 | 3.39 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.034 | 0.26 |
|  | 2007 | 3 | 5.7 | 5.08 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.051 | 0.39 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2007 | 2 | 3.8 | 3.39 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.034 | 0.26 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2007 | 5 | 9.5 | 8.47 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 0.089 | 0.67 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 2 | 3.8 | 3.39 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.034 | 0.26 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2007 | 3 | 5.7 | 5.08 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.051 | 0.39 |
| Total |  | 28 | 53.0 | 47.45 | 53.6 | 5.4 | 0.484 | 3.68 |

### 1.4 Summer 2011

Table 1.4.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15,2011 . AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 126 | 60 | 25 | 18 | 229 |
| Dockside Harvest | 926 | 2 | 28 | 4 | 960 |

Table 1.4.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2011. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,681 | 3,202 | 479 | 72 | 3,274 | 80,275 | 283 | $2,719-3,830$ | 9 |
| Legal UM | 1,753 | 7 | 1,746 | 262 | 269 | 1,618 | 40 | $190-348$ | 15 |
| Sublegal AD | 730 | 97 | 634 | 127 | 224 | 1,393 | 37 | $150-297$ | 17 |
| Sublegal UM | 526 | 14 | 512 | 102 | 116 | 733 | 27 | $63-169$ | 23 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 , 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 1 5 - 4 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Table 1.4.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2011. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 1,226 | 836 | 390 | 8 |
|  | AD | 2,740 | 1,355 | 1,385 | 1,179 |
|  | Total | 3,966 | 2,191 | 1,775 | 1,187 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 69 | 62 | 78 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2,279 | 1,753 | 526 | 21 |
|  | AD | 4,411 | 3,681 | 730 | 3,299 |
|  | Total | 6,690 | 5,434 | 1,256 | 3,320 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 66 | 68 | 58 | 99 |

Table 1.4.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2011. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 211 | 1,542 | 1,753 | 385 | 3,498 | 3,883 |
| Released Legal | 125 | 86 | 211 | 262 | 72 | 334 |
| Released Sublegal | 78 | 277 | 355 | 102 | 127 | 229 |
| Landed Only | 8 | 1,179 | 1,187 | 21 | 3,299 | 3,320 |



Figure 1.4.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2011. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.4.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 - August 15, 2011.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 3,299 | 21 | 3,320 | 983 | 6 | 989 | $29.8 \%$ |

Table 1.4.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 6 Chinook MSF from July 1 August 15, 2011. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { DITs } \\ \text { Obs'd } \end{gathered}$ | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 2 | 6.7 | 15.82 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.156 | 0.56 |
|  | 2008 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.91 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.079 | 0.28 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2007 | 6 | 20.1 | 47.47 | 20.3 | 2.0 | 0.484 | 1.70 |
|  | 2008 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.74 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 0.235 | 0.84 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 7 | 23.5 | 55.38 | 23.5 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.97 |
|  | 2008 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.91 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.31 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2008 | 2 | 6.7 | 15.82 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.57 |
| Kendall Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.91 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.28 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2007 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.74 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.86 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 3 | 10.1 | 23.74 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.84 |
| Spring Creek NFH | 2008 | 1 | 3.4 | 7.91 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.41 |
| Total |  | 30 | 100.7 | 237.36 | 103.0 | 10.3 | 2.5 | 8.63 |

## 2 MARINE AREA 12

In this section, we present estimates of total encounters and mortalities for the Marine Area 12 winter MSFs in 2009-10 and 2010-2011, which were monitored using baseline sampling. Information regarding sample sites, dockside sampling and interview data, and voluntary trip reports (VTR) related to these fisheries can be found in previous reports (WDFW 2011a, WDFW 2012b). Table 2.1 provides CRC-based total Chinook harvest estimates and variances for each year, along with the recommended estimation method based on VTR sample sizes and the resulting precision of encounters estimates. Sample sizes of VTR encounters were insufficient in both years, requiring the estimation of total encounters using the M1 approach (WDFW and NWIFC 2013). Table 2.2 provides the counts of retained and released salmon from dockside interview data required to produce M1 estimates of total Chinook encounters.

Table 2.1 Summary of CRC-based total Chinook harvest estimates for the 2009-10 and 2010-2011 Area 12 winter MSFs.

| Year | Total Chinook <br> Harvest | Variance | Estimation <br> Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2009-10$ | 252 | 1,026 | M1 |
| $2010-11$ | 435 | 6,489 | M1 |

Table 2.2 Number of Chinook retained and released by mark-status, number of other salmon (positively identified species other than Chinook) released and number of salmon of unidentified species released, from dockside interviews conducted during Baseline Sampling.

| Year | Chinook Retained |  | Chinook Released |  |  | Other Salmon <br> Released | Unidentified Salmon <br> Released |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AD | UM | AD | UM | UNK |  | 4 |
| $2009-10$ | 121 | 0 | 132 | 39 | 97 | 1 | 0 |
| $2010-11$ | 78 | 1 | 77 | 29 | 48 | 0 | 4 |

### 2.1 Winter 2009-10

Table 2.1.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2010. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 20 |
| Dockside Harvest | 117 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 121 |

Table 2.1.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 April 30, 2010. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 419 | 244 | 176 | 26 | 270 | 1,243 | 35 | $201-339$ | 13 |
| Legal UM | 79 | 0 | 79 | 12 | 12 | 184 | 14 | $0-38$ | 115 |
| Sublegal AD | 270 | 8 | 262 | 52 | 61 | 389 | 20 | $22-99$ | 32 |
| Sublegal UM | 51 | 0 | 51 | 10 | 10 | 325 | 18 | $0-45$ | 178 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 1 - 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |

Table 2.1.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 287 | 57 | 230 | 5 |
|  | AD | 343 | 88 | 255 | 77 |
|  | Total | 630 | 145 | 485 | 82 |
|  | \% Marked | 54 | 61 | 53 | 94 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 129 | 79 | 51 | 0 |
|  | AD | 689 | 419 | 270 | 252 |
|  | Total | 818 | 498 | 321 | 252 |
|  | \% Marked | 84 | 84 | 84 | 100 |

Table 2.1.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 59 | 133 | 192 | 22 | 331 | 353 |
| Released Legal | 8 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 26 | 38 |
| Released Sublegal | 46 | 51 | 97 | 10 | 52 | 62 |
| Landed Only | 5 | 77 | 82 | 0 | 252 | 252 |



Figure 2.1.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2010. Error bars represent 95\% confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 2.1.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2010.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 252 | 0 | 252 | 121 | 0 | 121 | $48.0 \%$ |

Table 2.1.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2010. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 2 | 4.2 | 4.51 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.044 | 0.30 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2007 | 6 | 12.5 | 13.53 | 12.6 | 1.3 | 0.138 | 0.91 |
| Samish Hatchery | 2007 | 4 | 8.3 | 9.02 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 0.095 | 0.62 |
| Total |  | 12 | 25.0 | 27.06 | 25.3 | 2.5 | 0.277 | 1.82 |

### 2.2 Winter 2010-11

Table 2.2.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2011. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 6 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 23 |
| Dockside Harvest | 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 79 |

Table 2.2.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 April 30, 2011. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 676 | 424 | 252 | 38 | 462 | 7,114 | 84 | $296-627$ | 18 |
| Legal UM | 154 | 6 | 148 | 22 | 28 | 448 | 21 | $0-69$ | 76 |
| Sublegal AD | 370 | 6 | 364 | 73 | 78 | 928 | 30 | $19-138$ | 39 |
| Sublegal UM | 84 | 0 | 84 | 17 | 17 | 719 | 27 | $0-69$ | 160 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 4 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 4 - 7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

Table 2.2.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2011. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 291 | 51 | 240 | 4 |
|  | AD | 360 | 100 | 260 | 87 |
|  | Total | 651 | 151 | 500 | 91 |
|  | \% Marked | 55 | 66 | 52 | 96 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 237 | 154 | 84 | 6 |
|  | AD | 1,045 | 676 | 370 | 429 |
|  | Total | 1,283 | 829 | 454 | 435 |
|  | \% Marked | 81 | 81 | 81 | 99 |

Table 2.2.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2011. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 60 | 145 | 205 | 45 | 540 | 585 |
| Released Legal | 8 | 6 | 14 | 22 | 38 | 60 |
| Released Sublegal | 48 | 52 | 100 | 17 | 73 | 90 |
| Landed Only | 4 | 87 | 91 | 6 | 429 | 435 |



Figure 2.2.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2011. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 2.2.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2011.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 429 | 6 | 435 | 78 | 1 | 79 | $18.2 \%$ |

Table 2.2.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 12 Chinook MSF from February 1 - April 30, 2011. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 5.5 | 24.81 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 0.244 | 0.49 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2007 | 1 | 5.5 | 24.81 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.253 | 0.50 |
|  | 2008 | 1 | 5.5 | 24.81 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 0.246 | 0.50 |
| Total |  | 3 | 16.5 | 74.44 | 16.5 | 1.7 | 0.743 | 1.49 |

## 3 MARINE AREA 13

In this section, we present estimates of total encounters and mortalities for the Marine Area 13 summer MSFs in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, which were monitored using baseline sampling. Information regarding sample sites, dockside sampling and interview data, and voluntary trip reports (VTR) related to these fisheries can be found in previous reports (WDFW 2007a, WDFW 2009b, WDFW 2010b, WDFW 2011b, WDFW 2012c). Table 3.1 provides CRC-based total Chinook harvest estimates and variances for each year, along with the recommended estimation method based on VTR sample sizes and the resulting precision of encounters estimates. Sample sizes of VTR encounters were sufficient in 2008 and 2010, allowing us to use the bias-corrected M2 approach. In 2007, 2009 and 2011, however, VTR sample sizes were insufficient, requiring us to estimate total encounters using the M1 approach. (WDFW and NWIFC 2013). Table 3.2 provides the counts of retained and released salmon from dockside interview data required to produce M1 estimates of total Chinook encounters. No DIT coded wire tags were recovered during the 2010 summer MSF in Marine Area 13.

Table 3.1 Summary of CRC-based total Chinook harvest estimates for the 2007-2011 Area 13 summer MSFs.

| Year | Total Chinook <br> Harvest | Variance | Estimation <br> Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 2,876 | 113,855 | M1 |
| 2008 | 1,335 | 15,262 | M2 |
| 2009 | 1,268 | 12,176 | M1 |
| 2010 | 667 | 8,776 | M2 |
| 2011 | 1,022 | 26,944 | M1 |

Table 3.2 Number of Chinook retained and released by mark-status, number of other salmon (positively identified species other than Chinook) released and number of salmon of unidentified species released, from dockside interviews conducted during Baseline Sampling.

| Year | Chinook Retained |  | Chinook Released |  |  | Other Salmon <br> Released | Unidentified Salmon <br> Released |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AD | $\mathbf{U M}$ | $\mathbf{A D}$ | $\mathbf{U M}$ | UNK |  | 17 |
| 2007 | 359 | 10 | 197 | 165 | 362 | 28 | 3 |
| 2009 | 52 | 1 | 47 | 18 | 52 | 28 | 2 |
| 2011 | 126 | 1 | 35 | 22 | 47 | 631 |  |

### 3.1 Summer 2007

Table 3.1.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2007. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 12 | 11 | 28 | 4 | 55 |
| Dockside Harvest | 346 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 369 |

Table 3.1.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2007. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,792 | 2,697 | 1,095 | 164 | 2,861 | 121,147 | 348 | $2,179-3,543$ | 12 |
| Legal UM | 1,726 | 78 | 1,648 | 247 | 325 | 14,618 | 121 | $88-562$ | 37 |
| Sublegal AD | 2,133 | 101 | 2,032 | 406 | 508 | 26,568 | 163 | $188-827$ | 32 |
| Sublegal UM | 971 | 0 | 971 | 194 | 194 | 23,651 | 154 | $0-496$ | 79 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 , 8 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 0 1 8 - 4 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Table 3.1.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 3907) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2007. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 964 | 454 | 510 | 37 |
|  | AD | 5,158 | 2,583 | 2,575 | 2,428 |
|  | Total | 6,122 | 3,037 | 3,085 | 2,465 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 84 | 85 | 83 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2,697 | 1,726 | 971 | 78 |
|  | AD | 5,925 | 3,792 | 2,133 | 2,798 |
|  | Total | 8,622 | 5,518 | 3,104 | 2,876 |
|  | \% Marked | 69 | 69 | 69 | 97 |

Table 3.1.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 3907) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2007. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 202 | 3,088 | 3,290 | 519 | 3,369 | 3,888 |
| Released Legal | 63 | 145 | 208 | 247 | 164 | 412 |
| Released Sublegal | 102 | 515 | 617 | 194 | 406 | 601 |
| Landed Only | 37 | 2,428 | 2,465 | 78 | 2,798 | 2,876 |



Figure 3.1.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 3907) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2007. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.1.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2007.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| Season Total | 2,798 | 78 | 2,876 | 359 | 10 | 369 | 12.8\% |

Table 3.1.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2007. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UM DIT } \\ \text { Enc. } \end{gathered}$ | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 7.8 | 52.95 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 0.514 | 0.72 |
| 这 | 2004 | 2 | 15.6 | 105.91 | 15.8 | 1.6 | 1.083 | 1.47 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2004 | 1 | 7.8 | 52.95 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 0.525 | 0.72 |
| Grovers Creek Hatchery | 2003 | 1 | 7.8 | 52.95 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 0.466 | 0.68 |
| Chilliwack River Hatchery | 2005 | 1 | 7.8 | 52.95 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.546 | 0.74 |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2004 | 2 | 15.6 | 105.91 | 15.4 | 1.5 | 1.027 | 1.43 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2005 | 1 | 7.8 | 52.95 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 0.556 | 0.75 |
| Total |  | 12 | 70.1 | 476.58 | 69.8 | 7.0 | 4.717 | 6.51 |

### 3.2 Summer 2008

Table 3.2.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2008. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 31 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 42 |
| Dockside Harvest | 169 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 170 |

Table 3.2.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2008. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\mathbf{\%})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,525 | 1,327 | 198 | 30 | 1,357 | 16,833 | 130 | $1,103-1,611$ | 10 |
| Legal UM | 197 | 0 | 197 | 30 | 30 | 214 | 15 | $1-58$ | 49 |
| Sublegal AD | 246 | 8 | 238 | 48 | 55 | 535 | 23 | $10-101$ | 42 |
| Sublegal UM | 98 | 0 | 98 | 20 | 20 | 192 | 14 | $0-47$ | 70 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0 - 1 , 7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

Table 3.2.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2108) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2008. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 650 | 205 | 445 | 16 |
|  | AD | 3,357 | 957 | 2,400 | 899 |
|  | Total | 4,007 | 1,162 | 2,845 | 915 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 84 | 82 | 84 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 295 | 197 | 98 | 0 |
|  | AD | 1,771 | 1,525 | 246 | 1,335 |
|  | Total | 2,067 | 1,722 | 344 | 1,335 |
|  | \% Marked | 86 | 89 | 71 | 100 |

Table 3.2.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2108) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2008. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 134 | 1,432 | 1,566 | 49 | 1,412 | 1,462 |
| Released Legal | 29 | 53 | 82 | 30 | 30 | 59 |
| Released Sublegal | 89 | 480 | 569 | 20 | 48 | 67 |
| Landed Only | 16 | 899 | 915 | 0 | 1,335 | 1,335 |



Figure 3.2.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2108) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2008. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.2.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2008.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 1,335 | 0 | 1,335 | 170 | 0 | 170 | $12.7 \%$ |

Table 3.2.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2008. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2004 | 1 | 7.9 | 53.82 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.551 | 0.74 |
| Total |  | 1 | 7.9 | 53.82 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.551 | 0.74 |

### 3.3 Summer 2009

Table 3.3.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2009. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 13 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 36 |
| Dockside Harvest | 49 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 53 |

Table 3.3.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2009. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 2,011 | 1,172 | 839 | 126 | 1,298 | 17,831 | 134 | $1,036-1,560$ | 10 |
| Legal UM | 495 | 24 | 471 | 71 | 95 | 4,938 | 70 | $0-232$ | 74 |
| Sublegal AD | 1,299 | 72 | 1,227 | 245 | 317 | 9,919 | 100 | $122-512$ | 31 |
| Sublegal UM | 320 | 0 | 320 | 64 | 64 | 7,695 | 88 | $0-236$ | 137 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 3 - \mathbf { 2 , 1 5 5 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Table 3.3.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2009. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 588 | 208 | 380 | 17 |
|  | AD | 3,554 | 1,174 | 2,380 | 998 |
|  | Total | 4,142 | 1,382 | 2,760 | 1,015 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 86 | 85 | 86 | 98 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 815 | 495 | 320 | 24 |
|  | AD | 3,310 | 2,011 | 1,299 | 1,244 |
|  | Total | 4,125 | 2,507 | 1,618 | 1,268 |
|  | \% Marked | 80 | 80 | 80 | 98 |

Table 3.3.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2009. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 122 | 1,547 | 1,669 | 159 | 1,615 | 1,774 |
| Released Legal | 29 | 73 | 102 | 71 | 126 | 197 |
| Released Sublegal | 76 | 476 | 552 | 64 | 245 | 309 |
| Landed Only | 17 | 998 | 1,015 | 24 | 1,244 | 1,268 |



Figure 3.3.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2309) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2009. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.3.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2009.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 1,244 | 24 | 1,268 | 52 | 1 | 53 | $4.2 \%$ |

Table 3.3.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2009. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | DITs <br> Obs'd | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2006 | 1 | 23.9 | 548.46 | 26.4 | 2.6 | 6.671 | 2.58 |
| Total |  | 1 | 23.9 | 548.46 | 26.4 | 2.6 | 6.671 | 2.58 |

### 3.4 Summer 2010

Table 3.4.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2010. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 21 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 28 |
| Dockside Harvest | 62 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 64 |

Table 3.4.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2010. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 743 | 646 | 97 | 14 | 661 | 9,189 | 96 | $473-849$ | 15 |
| Legal UM | 106 | 21 | 85 | 13 | 34 | 307 | 18 | $0-68$ | 52 |
| Sublegal AD | 106 | 0 | 106 | 21 | 21 | 149 | 12 | $0-45$ | 58 |
| Sublegal UM | 35 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 7 | 50 | 7 | $0-21$ | 100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0 - 9 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Table 3.4.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 560 | 160 | 400 | 12 |
|  | AD | 3,364 | 989 | 2,375 | 860 |
|  | Total | 3,924 | 1,149 | 2,775 | 872 |
|  | $\%$ Marked | 86 | 86 | 86 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 141 | 106 | 35 | 21 |
|  | AD | 849 | 743 | 106 | 646 |
|  | Total | 990 | 849 | 141 | 667 |
|  | \% Marked | 86 | 88 | 75 | 97 |

Table 3.4.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2010. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 115 | 1,397 | 1,512 | 41 | 682 | 723 |
| Released Legal | 23 | 62 | 85 | 13 | 14 | 27 |
| Released Sublegal | 80 | 475 | 555 | 7 | 21 | 28 |
| Landed Only | 12 | 860 | 872 | 21 | 646 | 667 |



Figure 3.4.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1010) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2010. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.4.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2010.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 646 | 21 | 667 | 62 | 2 | 64 | $9.6 \%$ |

### 3.5 Summer 2011

Table 3.5.1 Summary of VTR encounters and harvested Chinook sampled during dockside sampling for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2011. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data Type | LM | LU | SM | SU | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VTR Encounters | 12 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| Dockside Harvest | 125 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 127 |

Table 3.5.2 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2011. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 1,271 | 1,006 | 266 | 40 | 1,046 | 28,304 | 168 | $716-1,375$ | 16 |
| Legal UM | 276 | 8 | 268 | 40 | 48 | 659 | 26 | $0-99$ | 53 |
| Sublegal AD | 258 | 8 | 250 | 50 | 58 | 1,111 | 33 | $0-123$ | 57 |
| Sublegal UM | 56 | 0 | 56 | 11 | 11 | 979 | 31 | $0-73$ | 280 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 5 - 1 , 5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Table 3.5.3 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook encounters for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2011. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 568 | 148 | 420 | 11 |
|  | AD | 4,711 | 1,591 | 3,120 | 1,384 |
|  | Total | 5,279 | 1,739 | 3,540 | 1,395 |
|  | \% Marked | 89 | 91 | 88 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 332 | 276 | 56 | 8 |
|  | AD | 1,529 | 1,271 | 258 | 1,014 |
|  | Total | 1,861 | 1,547 | 314 | 1,022 |
|  | \% Marked | 82 | 82 | 82 | 99 |

Table 3.5.4 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated total Chinook mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2011. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 116 | 2,109 | 2,225 | 59 | 1,104 | 1,163 |
| Released Legal | 21 | 101 | 122 | 40 | 40 | 80 |
| Released Sublegal | 84 | 624 | 708 | 11 | 50 | 61 |
| Landed Only | 11 | 1,384 | 1,395 | 8 | 1,014 | 1,022 |



Figure 3.5.1 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 1811) and estimated Chinook encounters and mortalities for the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2011. Error bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 3.5.5 Season total sample rate (Total retained Chinook sampled during baseline sampling / Estimated retained Chinook) in the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 - September 30, 2011.

| Time period | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook Sampled |  |  | Sample <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total | Rate |  |
| Season Total | 1,014 | 8 | 1,022 | 126 | 1 | 127 | $12.4 \%$ |

Table 3.5.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the Area 13 Chinook MSF from May 1 September 30, 2011. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DITs } \\ & \text { Obs'd } \end{aligned}$ | AD DIT Harvest |  | UM DIT Enc. | UM DIT Mortality |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Est. | var(Est.) |  | Est. | var(Est.) | SE(Est.) |
| Marblemount Hatchery | 2008 | 1 | 8.0 | 56.71 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.551 | 0.74 |
| Total |  | 1 | 8.0 | 56.71 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.551 | 0.74 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As stated in state-tribal agreement documents (e.g., WDFW and NWIFC 2009): "The purpose of the 'pilot' fishery is to collect information necessary to enable evaluation and planning of potential future mark-selective fisheries. The 'pilot' fishery provides a basis for determining if the data needed to estimate critical parameters can be collected and if the sample sizes needed to produce these estimates with agreed levels of precision can be realistically obtained."

