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## INTRODUCTION

In the marine environments of the Strait of Juan de Fuca and Puget Sound, abundant runs of hatchery Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) have been mixed with depressed runs of natural-origin Chinook salmon. Providing recreational anglers with opportunities to harvest abundant hatchery stocks while simultaneously protecting weaker, natural-origin stocks has proven to be a significant conservation and management challenge. The combination of largescale hatchery marking (i.e., fin clipping) programs and mark-selective harvest regulations makes it possible for anglers to pursue and harvest hatchery Chinook salmon while minimally impacting natural-origin salmon populations. In such "mark-selective fisheries" (MSFs), anglers are generally allowed to retain adipose-fin clipped ("marked") hatchery fish and are required to release unharmed any unclipped ("unmarked", predominantly natural-origin) salmon encountered ${ }^{1}$.

Since the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the first marine mark-selective Chinook salmon fishery in Marine Catch Areas 5 and 6 (Strait of Juan de Fuca) in 2003 based on state-tribal agreements (Thiesfeld and Hagen-Breaux 2005a ,WDFW 2008a), mark-selective Chinook salmon fishing regulations have been implemented in multiple Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas during both the summer and winter seasons. As of the close of the summer 2017 fishing season, summer Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 5 and 6 for fifteen consecutive seasons, in Areas 9, 10, 11, and 13 for eleven consecutive seasons and in Area 12 for six consecutive seasons, Area 7 for its second season. Additionally, winter Chinook MSFs have occurred in Areas 8-1 and 8-2 for twelve consecutive seasons, in Areas 7, and 9 for ten consecutive seasons, in Areas 11 and 12 for eight consecutive seasons, in Area 6 for five seasons and in Area 5 for its third season ${ }^{2}$.

During the 2017 summer season, May through September, WDFW implemented six markselective Chinook salmon fisheries in Areas 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13. The Chinook MSF seasons in each area were scheduled as follows:

- Areas 5 and 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2017;
- Area 7 from July 1 through July 31, 2017;
- Area 9 from July 16 through August 15, 2017;
- Area 10 from July 16 through August 15, 2017;
- Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2017;
- Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2017; and
- Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2017.

[^0]
## RESULTS <br> 1) Marine Area 5 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifteenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 from July 1 through August 15, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 5 throughout the season to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. During the summer 2017 mark-selective Chinook salmon fishery in Area 5 we maintained our enhanced VTR program to improve the return rate of VTRs, which provide estimates of Chinook salmon encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked). Table 1.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 1.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 5 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish ${ }^{1}$ | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish, reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| On-the-water Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | 2 weekend boat surveys and 2 weekday surveys were conducted during the 2017 Area 5 summer Chinook MSF. As in-season observations suggested that sites and effort patterns did not change substantially in 2017 compared to past years, we incorporated data from these surveys into recent average site weights to compute catch and effort estimates. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and <br> mark-status <br> (marked/unmarked) <br> composition of <br> encountered <br> Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions (LM = $15 \%, \mathrm{LU}=9 \%, \mathrm{SM}=50 \%, \mathrm{SU}=25 \%$; <br> Table 1.4) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 1.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| July | 27 | 1-Jul | 2-Jul | 549 | 1,330 | 141 | 3 | 541 | 360 | 1,045 |
|  | 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 956 | 2,250 | 251 | 0 | 965 | 647 | 1,863 |
|  | 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 743 | 1,564 | 308 | 0 | 1182 | 793 | 2,282 |
|  | 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 1110 | 2,514 | 593 | 4 | 2280 | 1525 | 4,402 |
|  | 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 1146 | 2,790 | 441 | 0 | 1693 | 1135 | 3,269 |
| August | 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 1043 | 2,422 | 229 | 0 | 881 | 591 | 1,700 |
|  | 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 1,528 | 3,888 | 292 | 0 | 1123 | 753 | 2,168 |
|  | 34 | 14-Aug | 15-Aug | 147 | 387 | 54 | 0 | 207 | 138 | 399 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 7,221 | 17,144 | 2,309 | 7 | 8,871 | 5,942 | 17,129 |
| Variance: <br> SE: <br> CV (\%): |  |  |  | 173,410 | 1,090,410 | 42,153 | 15 | 2,108,681 | 670,632 | 6,515,230 |
|  |  |  |  | 416 | 1044 | 205 | 4 | 1,452 | 819 | 2,552 |
|  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 9 | 58 | 16 | 14 | 15 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6,405- \\ 8,038 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15,098- \\ 19,191 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,907- \\ & 2,712 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0- \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,025- \\ & 11,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,337- \\ 7,547 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,126- \\ 22,132 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 1.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 741 | 36 | 777 |
| Unmarked | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 3}$ |

Table 1.4 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | $\begin{gathered} 66 \text { 1-trip } \\ \text { VTRs, } \\ 159 \\ \text { Angler } \\ \text { Trips } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 60 | 38 | 205 | 103 | 406 | 0.65 | 0.61 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.15 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.0005) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |



Figure 1.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.


Figure 1.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

Table 1.5 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River Thompson River (10.2\%) | Harrison R | Chehalis River H | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chilliwack R | Chilliwack River H | 3 (5.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Shuswap R Low | Shuswap River, Middle, | 2 (3.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (3.4\%) | Sandy Cv | Sandy Cove Seapen | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cowichan R | Cowichan River H | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
| WA | N Washington (3.4\%) | Kendall Cr 01.0406 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | N Washington Coast (3.4\%) | Sol Duc R 20.0096 | Lonesome Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Tsoo-Yess R 20.0015 | Makah NFH On Tsoo-Yess R | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Strait Of Juan De Fuca (3.4\%) | Hoko R 19.0148 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Elwha R 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (15.3\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 4 (6.8\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 5 (8.5\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (6.8\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 2 (3.4\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (8.5\%) | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | 4 (6.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (18.6\%) | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 4 (6.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2 (3.4\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 4 (6.8\%) | 0 |
|  |  | White R 10.0031 | White River Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (18.6\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 4 (6.8\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 3 (5.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 3 (5.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
| Col Riv. | Upper Columbia R (1.7\%) | Omak Pond | Chief Joseph Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Central Columbia River (1.7\%) | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr NFH | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Lower Columbia River(3.4\%) | Cowlitz R 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | McKenzie R 1 | McKenzie Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Snake River (1.7\%) | Magrudor Corridor | Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery | 1 (1.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Total |  | 59 | 13 |

Table 1.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \mathbf{\text { CI }}$ | CV <br> $(\mathbf{\%})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 2,531 | 2,202 | 329 | 49 | 2,252 | 44,717 | 211 | $1,837-2,666$ | 9 |
| Legal UM | 1,603 | 2 | 1,601 | 240 | 242 | 2,639 | 51 | $142-343$ | 21 |
| Sublegal AD | 8,649 | 107 | 8,542 | 1708 | 1,815 | 73,933 | 272 | $1,282-2,348$ | 15 |
| Sublegal UM | 4,346 | 4 | 4,341 | 868 | 873 | 22,146 | 149 | $581-1,164$ | 17 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 , 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 , 4 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 4 0 - 5 , 9 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Table 1.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), UM = unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 9,420 | 2,885 | 6,535 | 29 |
|  | AD | 11,703 | 5,055 | 6,648 | 4,398 |
|  | Total | 21,123 | 7,940 | 13,183 | 4,427 |
|  | \% Marked | 55 | 64 | 50 | 99 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 5,949 | 1,603 | 4,346 | 7 |
|  | AD | 11,180 | 2,531 | 8,649 | 2,309 |
|  | Total | 17,129 | 4,135 | 12,995 | 2,316 |

Table 1.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 1,766 | 6,047 | 7,813 | 1,115 | 4,067 | 5,182 |
| Released Legal | 430 | 319 | 749 | 240 | 49 | 290 |
| Released Sublegal | 1307 | 1,330 | 2,637 | 868 | 1708 | 2,577 |
| Landed Only | 29 | 4,398 | 4,427 | 7 | 2,309 | 2,316 |

Table 1.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook salmon kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook salmon due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs | Est.AD | Var <br> $($ Est.AD $)$ | UM <br> DIT Enc | Est.UM | Var <br> $($ Est.UM $)$ | SE <br> $($ Est.UM $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernie Gobin Hatch | 2014 | 2 | 5.9 | 11.58 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.109 | 0.47 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 4 | 11.8 | 23.16 | 12 | 1.2 | 0.238 | 0.98 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2014 | 4 | 11.8 | 23.16 | 11.8 | 1.2 | 0.232 | 0.96 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3 | 5.79 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.056 | 0.24 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3 | 5.79 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.061 | 0.25 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 3 | 5.79 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ |

Table 1.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook salmon sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook salmon) for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 27-31 | 01 Jul - 30 Jul | 1,734 | 7 | 1,741 | 555 | 3 | 558 | 32.10\% |
| August | 32-34 | 31 Jul-15 Aug | 575 | 0 | 575 | 222 | 3 | 225 | 39.10\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 2,309 | 7 | 2,316 | 777 | 6 | 783 | 33.80\% |

[^1]Table 1.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 and the 2017 Baseline Non-Selective Coho fishery in Marine Area 5. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Coho AD | Pink | Sockeye | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 2-Jul | 35 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 23 | 34 |
| 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 22 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 73 | 46 |
| 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 26 | 9 | 0 | 29 | 86 | 41 |
| 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 75 | 132 | 0 | 32 | 150 | 55 |
| 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 94 | 148 | 0 | 21 | 225 | 55 |
| 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 62 | 81 | 0 | 53 | 632 | 72 |
| 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 90 | 58 | 7 | 52 | 705 | 55 |
| 34 | 14-Aug | 15-Aug | 76 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 66 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 480 | 450 | 7 | 286 | 1961 | 357 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 3,421 | 14,843 | 38 | 2,813 | 426,513 | 20,803 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 122 | 6 | 53 | 653 | 144 |
|  |  |  | 12 | 27 | 91 | 19 | 33 | 40 |
|  |  |  | 366-595 | 212-689 | 0-19 | 182-390 | 681-3,241 | 75-640 |

8/16 - 8/31 Non-Selective Baseline Coho Fishery

| Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pink | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK |  |  |
| 34 | 16-Aug |  | 236 | 32 | 0 | 27 | 343 | 70 |
| 35 | 21-Aug | 27-Aug | 353 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 269 | 219 |
| 36 | 28-Aug | 31-Aug | 1601 | 0 | 38 | 587 | 2507 | 1014 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 3}$ |



Figure 1.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 1.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure | Weekend <br> Anglers | Season Total <br> (unadjusted) <br> Size Measure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coho Resort | 19 | 0.09 | 12 | 0.04 |
| Curley's Resort | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ |
| Olalla Public Ramp | 0 | 0.00 | 49 | 0.16 |
| Olson's East Docks | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7}$ |
| Olson's Ramp \& Docks | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ |
| Olson's Resort | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |
| Olson's West Docks | 7 | 0.03 | 7 | 0.02 |
| Olson's South Dock | 3 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sekiu Beach General | 7 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Van Riper's North | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ |
| Van Riper's South | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3}$ |
| Total Anglers | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Table 1.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 5 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort (Angler -trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 5 - Aug 3, 2003 | 19,398 | 2,251 | 53 | 225 | 0 | 336 | 3,435 | 1,656 | 5,174 | 13,131 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2004 | 25,174 | 2,706 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 404 | 4,017 | 1,167 | 2,462 | 10,950 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2005 | 30,115 | 1,520 | 23 | 100 | 26 | 227 | 1,418 | 1,210 | 1,459 | 5,984 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 14, 18-21, 2006 | 23,177 | 3,105 | 10 | 196 | 7 | 464 | 3,125 | 1,010 | 2,212 | 10,129 |
| Jul 1-Aug 9, 2007 | 18,830 | 2,969 | 23 | 280 | 94 | 444 | 2,509 | 1,371 | 1,118 | 8,808 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 10, 2008 | 13,004 | 2,773 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 414 | 1,869 | 65 | 330 | 5,496 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 6, 2009 | 23,662 | 4,843 | 78 | 1,115 | 362 | 724 | 6,210 | 9,823 | 14,309 | 37,463 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2010 | 16,806 | 5,461 | 14 | 242 | 0 | 816 | 4,961 | 3,163 | 4,140 | 18,796 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2011 | 24,848 | 4,259 | 70 | 276 | 22 | 636 | 9,275 | 1,593 | 5,319 | 21,450 |
| Jul 1-Aug 15, 2012 | 21,074 | 5,437 | 9 | 242 | 9 | 812 | 4,617 | 3,105 | 4,765 | 18,996 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2013 | 25,725 | 7,473 | 77 | 933 | 81 | 1,117 | 7,188 | 8,173 | 8,702 | 33,743 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2014 | 23,310 | 4,684 | 41 | 401 | 8 | 700 | 3,005 | 3,707 | 7,359 | 19,905 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2015 | 21,313 | 4,434 | 35 | 316 | 17 | 663 | 7,562 | 14,302 | 8,445 | 35,774 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2016 | 14,684 | 3,113 | 2 | 230 | 0 | 465 | 1,248 | 14,903 | 6,122 | 26,083 |
| Jul 1 - Aug 15, 2017 | 17,144 | 2,202 | 2 | 107 | 4 | 329 | 1,601 | 8,542 | 4,341 | 17,129 |

## 2) Marine Area 6 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifteenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6 from July 1 through August 15, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with intensive efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. We maintained our enhanced VTR program to improve the return rate of voluntary trip reports, which provide estimates of Chinook salmon encounter rates by size class (legal or sublegal) and mark status (ad-marked or unmarked).

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). While these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 2.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 6 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fisherywide trends.

Table 2.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 6 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Angler Interviews (Baseline Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimates become available VTR data will be used in the estimation of total Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark group (LM $=61 \%$, LU $=11 \%, \mathrm{SM}=23 \%, \mathrm{SU}=3 \%$; Table 2.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 2.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark status.

| Stat <br> Wk | Start | End | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chin } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Chin } \\ \text { UM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Chin } \\ & \text { UNK } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Pink | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chin } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Chin } \\ \text { UM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chin } \\ \text { UK } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coho UM | Coho UNK | Pink | UNK |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 2-Jul | 166 | 368 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 66 | 36 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 207 | 407 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 82 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 272 | 540 | 194 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 63 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 289 | 560 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 47 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 138 | 270 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 199 | 375 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 46 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 125 | 234 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 34 | 14-Aug | 15-Aug | 33 | 61 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total |  |  | 1429 | 2815 | 1029 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 38 | 404 | 344 | 119 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 17 | 7 |

Table 2.3 Number of total length samples from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6 .

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal-size | Total |
| Marked | 923 | 10 | 933 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 3}$ |



Figure 2.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 2.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

Table 2.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No. } \\ \text { DITs } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA | N Washington (12.2\%) | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 4 (9.8\%) | 0 |
|  | Strait Of Juan De Fuca (4.9\%) | Elwha R 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | 2 (4.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (31.7\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 8 (19.5\%) | 5 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 5 (12.2\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (9.8\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 4 (9.8\%) | 3 |
|  | Skagit River (4.9\%) | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (14.6\%) | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 3 (7.3\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (14.6\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 2 (4.9\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 3 (7.3\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
| Col. Riv | Cen Columbia River (2.4\%) | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr NFH | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
| OR | S Oregon Coast (2.4\%) | Elk R | Elk R Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
| CA | Cen California Coast (2.4\%) | Mare Island Net Pen | Feather R Hatchery | 1 (2.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 41 | 12 |

Table 2.5 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 52 1-trip <br> VTRs, 92 <br> Angler Trips | 93 | 17 | 35 | 4 | 149 | 0.86 | 0.85 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.62 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.03 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 2.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Location | Site-Days Sampled per Month |  | Total <br> Site- <br> Days | \% of <br> Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July (1-31) | August (1-15) | 4 | 15 |
| Cornet Bay Public Ramp | 11 | 0 | 1 | $0.51 \%$ |
| Deception Pass State Park Beach | 1 | $0.90 \%$ |  |  |
| Ediz Hook, Port Angeles Public Ramp | 24 | 13 | 37 | $33.33 \%$ |
| Everett Ramp | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.90 \%$ |
| Fort Casey Public Ramp and Shore | 2 | 0 | 2 | $1.80 \%$ |
| Fort Worden Ramp | 2 | 0 | 2 | $1.80 \%$ |
| Freshwater Bay Ramp | 13 | 4 | 17 | $15.32 \%$ |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.90 \%$ |
| Point Wilson Beach | 1 | 2 | 3 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Port Angeles West Ramp | 20 | 7 | 27 | $24.32 \%$ |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 4 | 0 | 4 | $3.60 \%$ |
| Washington Park Launch Ramp | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.90 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## 3) Marine Area 7 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented the second consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7 from July 1 through July 30, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 7 throughout the season to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 3.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 7 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 3.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 7 Chinook MSF monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample <br> Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside <br> Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for two-week estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| Aerial Surveys | Fraction of Area 7 effort (boats) captured in the foursite sample frame via creel surveys (Sample Fraction, $f_{i j}$. | Total boat counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of fishing boats in the area. | Boats | Season | The sample fraction was calculated for individual aerial survey dates (see Table $3.12 n=5$ surveys conducted out of $N=31$ days available in the season). Seasonwide sample fraction was calculated as the average sample fraction over the 5 individual aerial surveys. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook salmon | Chinook salmon length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook salmon encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Only test fishing proportions (LM $=30 \%, \mathrm{LU}=22 \%, \mathrm{SM}=30 \%, \mathrm{SU}=$ $17 \%$; Table 3.13) were used in the Area 7 summer selective fishery. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | VTR data (Table 3.4) was not combined with test fishing data due to test fishing and VTR datasets not being significant. See comment in row above. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire <br> tag (CWT) <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

[^2]Table 3.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2017 Summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| July | 27 | 1-Jul | 2-Jul | 838 | 2,002 | 649 | 0 | 840 | 958 | 2,447 |
|  | 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 2054 | 4,332 | 1433 | 0 | 1855 | 2113 | 5,401 |
|  | 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 1946 | 3,393 | 826 | 0 | 1069 | 1218 | 3113 |
|  | 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 1442 | 3,371 | 269 | 22 | 348 | 375 | 1014 |
|  | 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 1623 | 3805 | 248 | 0 | 322 | 366 | 936 |
|  | 32 | 31-Jul | 31-Jul | 120 | 267 | 22 | 0 | 28 | 32 | 82 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  | 8023 | 17,170 | 3447 | 22 | 4462 | 5063 | 12,993 |
| Bellingham Derby |  |  |  | 164 | 365 | 168 | 0 | 217 | 248 | 633 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 8,187 | 17,535 | 3,615 | 22 | 4,680 | 5,310 | 13,627 |
| Variance: <br> SE: <br> CV (\%): |  |  |  | 1,369,172 | 6,399,772 | 389,203 | 306 | 8,082,462 | 4,218,624 | 24,822,653 |
|  |  |  |  | 1170 | 2530 | 624 | 17 | 2,843 | 2054 | 4,982 |
|  |  |  |  | 14 | 14 | 17 | 81 | 61 | 39 | 37 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5,894- \\ & 10,481 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12,577- \\ 22,493 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2,392- } \\ 4,838 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0- \\ 56 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0- \\ 10,252 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,285- \\ & 9,336 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,861- \\ & 23,392 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Table 3.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the Area 7 Chinook MSF

| Marked <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> Size | Total |
| Marked | 528 | 1 | 529 |
| Unmarked | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 2}$ |

Table 3.4 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on VTRs, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. AD $=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 7 1-trip <br> VTRs, 44 <br> Angler Trips | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.91 \\ (0.0083) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ (0.0083) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |



Figure 3.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.


Figure 3.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (number of Chinook salmon landed per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7


Figure 3.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (number retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.


Figure 3.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area

Table 3.5 Summary of CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. The field "Number DITs" indicates the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River - Thompson River (13.5\%) | Shuswap R Middle | Shuswap River, Middle, | 1 (2.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Chilliwack R | Chilliwack River H | 2 (5.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Shuswap R Low | Shuswap River, Middle, | 2 (5.4\%) | 0 |
|  | Georgia Strait (8.1\%) | Cowichan R | Cowichan River H | 3 (8.1\%) | 0 |
| WA | N Washington (21.6\%) | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | 5 (13.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | 3 (8.1\%) | 0 |
|  | Hood Canal (21.6\%) | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 2 (5.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 6 (16.2\%) | 5 |
|  | N Puget Sound (5.4\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 2 (5.4\%) | 2 |
|  | Skagit River (2.7\%) | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (2.7\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (16.2\%) | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.7\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 4 (10.8\%) | 1 |
|  | S Puget Sound (10.8\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 3 (8.1\%) | 3 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 1 (2.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 37 | 12 |

Table 3.6 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook salmon kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook salmon due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs | Est. <br> AD | var(Est. <br> AD) | UM DIT <br> Enc | Est.U <br> M | var(Est. <br> UM) | SE(Est. <br> UM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Creek <br> Hatchery | 2014 | 3 | 20.5 | 119.67 | 20.8 | 2.1 | 1.23 | 1.92 |
| George Adams <br> Hatchery | 2014 | 5 | 34.2 | 199.45 | 34.2 | 3.4 | 1.997 | 3.16 |
| Grovers Cr <br> Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 6.8 | 39.89 | 7 | 0.7 | 0.422 | 0.65 |
| Soos Creek <br> Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 6.8 | 39.89 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 0.397 | 0.63 |
| Wallace R <br> Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 6.8 | 39.89 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 0.412 | 0.64 |
| Wallace R <br> Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 39.891 | 6.32 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3 2}$ |

Table 3.7 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 4,147 | 3,608 | 539 | 81 | 3,689 | 483,063 | 695 | $2,327-5,051$ | $19 \%$ |
| Legal UM | 2,962 | 22 | 2941 | 441 | 463 | 54697 | 234 | $4-921$ | $51 \%$ |
| Sublegal AD | 4,147 | 7 | 4,140 | 828 | 835 | 153,937 | 392 | $66-1,604$ | $47 \%$ |
| Sublegal UM | 2370 | 0 | 2370 | 474 | 474 | 72,050 | 268 | $0-1,000$ | $57 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3 , 7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 4 8 - 7 , 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |

Table 3.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | 2064 | 1157 | 907 | 12 |
|  | AD | 2,116 | 1,079 | 1,037 | 938 |
|  | Total | 4,180 | 2,236 | 1,944 | 950 |
|  | \% Marked | 51 | 48 | 53 | 99 |
| Estimated (Creel) Encounters | UM | 5,332 | 2962 | 2370 | 22 |
|  | AD | 8,294 | 4,147 | 4,147 | 3,615 |
|  | Total | 13,627 | 7,110 | 6,517 | 3,637 |
|  | \% Marked | 61 | 58 | 64 | 99 |

Table 3.9 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 365 | 1,213 | 1,578 | 937 | 4524 | 5461 |
| Released Legal | 172 | 68 | 240 | 441 | 81 | 522 |
| Released Sublegal | 181 | 207 | 388 | 474 | 828 | 1302 |
| Landed Only | 12 | 938 | 950 | 22 | 3615 | 3637 |



Figure 3.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates

Table 3.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook salmon sampled / Estimated retained Chinook salmon) for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained <br> Chinook |  |  |  | Number of Chinook <br> sampled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stat <br> Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | $27-31$ | 1 Jul - 31 Jul | 3615 | 22 | 3637 | 529 | 3 | 532 | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 , 6 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ |

Table 3.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start <br> Date | End <br> Date | Kept Salmon |  | Released <br> Salmon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sockeye | Coho <br> Unk |  |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 2-Jul | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 14 | 0 | 17 |
| 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 32 | 31-Jul | 31-Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Season Total: |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |
| Variance: |  |  | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ |
| Standard Error: |  | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |  |
| CV (\%): |  | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |  |
| 95\% CI: |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.12 Summary of aerial survey and dockside data used to estimate the fraction of effort captured in the three-site sample frame during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. See Methods Report (WDFW 2012a) for computational details and notation.



Figure 3.6 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook salmon encountered by test fishers during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 3.13 Composition of test fishery Chinook salmon encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Stat Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hrs Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 28 | 2 | 13.00 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 29 | 5 | 32.02 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 30 | 4 | 27.77 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| 31 | 4 | 28.97 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 32 | 1 | 6.87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 16 | 108.63 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 23 |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.17 |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: |  |  | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data ( $\mathrm{df}=3$, p -value $=0.01$ ). Due to the assumption that test fishing data is more accurate, only test fishing numbers were used in the estimate.

Table 3.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 7 Summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. LM $=$ legal-sized marked, $\mathrm{LU}=$ legal-sized unmarked, $\mathrm{SM}=$ sublegal-sized marked, $\mathrm{SU}=$ sublegal-sized unmarked.

| Area | Season Dates | Effort (Anglertrips) | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| 7 | Jul 1, 2016 - Jul 31, 2016 | 9,553 | 1,292 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 193 | 1,485 | 1443 | 637 | 5,092 |
| 7 | Jul 1, 2017 - Jul 31, 2017 | 17,535 | 3,608 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 539 | 2,941 | 4140 | 2370 | 13,627 |

## 4) Marine Area 9 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a eleventh consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9 from July 16 through August 15, 2017. Due to harvest quota as agreed to at North of Falcon in 2017, in-season action was taken and the Area 9 summer fishery was closed on July 30. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 9 throughout the season to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 4.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 4.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 9 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel <br> Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by daytype strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (Monday-Thursday) for sampling. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 4 boat surveys ( 2 weekday and 2 weekend) were conducted during the two week fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook salmon | Chinook salmon length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of nonChinook salmon encounters | Fish encounter | Season | Given sufficient sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=46$ ) of fish caught in the test fishery, we used the test fishery data only to estimate the size/markstatus proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=32 \%, \mathrm{LU}=7 \%, \mathrm{SM}=$ $50 \%, \mathrm{SU}=12 \%$; Table 4.13) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data $(\mathrm{LM}=36 \%, \mathrm{LU}=4 \%, \mathrm{SM}=51 \%, \mathrm{SU}=9 \%$; Table 4.12) were not significantly different than those of the test fishery data. However, VTR data were not used in impact estimation due to the assumed higher data quality and sufficient sample sizes of the test fishery data. |
| Overall Fishery Impacts Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 4.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.


Table 4.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 1045 | 38 | 1083 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 3}$ |

Table 4.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | $\begin{gathered} \text { Georgia Strait } \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 |
| WA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hood Canal } \\ (30.8 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 12 (18.5\%) | 8 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 8 (12.3\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound$(6.2 \%)$ | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 1 (1.5\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 3 (4.6\%) | 1 |
|  | Mid Puget <br> Sound (46.2\%) | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 7 (10.8\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | 2 (3.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 13 (20\%) | 13 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 5 (7.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 3 (4.6\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (13.8\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 4 (6.2\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 4 (6.2\%) | 0 |
| Col. Riv. | Upper Columbia R (1.5\%) | Similkameen R 490325 | Similkameen Hatchery | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 65 | 29 |



Figure 4.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.


Figure 4.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM, model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 4.5 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook salmon kept by anglers and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook salmon due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood Year | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { DITs } \\ \text { Obs } \end{array}$ | Est.AD | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.AD) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UM } \\ & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Enc } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Est.UM | $\operatorname{var}$ (Est.UM) | SE(Est.UM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernie Gobin Hatch | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.201 | 0.45 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 4 | 20.2 | 81.45 | 20.4 | 2 | 0.837 | 1.83 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2014 | 8 | 40.3 | 162.89 | 40.3 | 4 | 1.631 | 3.61 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.201 | 0.45 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2014 | 12 | 60.5 | 244.34 | 62.2 | 6.2 | 2.585 | 5.57 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 10.1 | 40.72 | 10.1 | 1 | 0.406 | 0.9 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 0.46 |
| Total |  | 29 | 146.2 | 590.48 | 148.2 | 14.8 | 6.07 | 13.27 |

Table 4.6 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook salmon sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook salmon) in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 29-31 | 16 Jul - 31 Jul | 5,455 | 3 | 5,458 | 1083 | 0 | 1083 | 19.80\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 5,455 | 3 | 5,458 | 1083 | 0 | 1083 | 19.80\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook salmon sampled includes all retained Chinook salmon inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the 2017 Area 9 selective fishery

Table 4.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 2,931 | 1258 | 1673 | 25 |
|  | AD | 10,510 | 6,407 | 4,103 | 5,574 |
|  | Total | 13,441 | 7,665 | 5,776 | 5,599 |
|  | Marked | 78 | 84 | 71 | 100 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 3529 | 1261 | 2269 | 3 |
|  | Total | 15,630 | 6,050 | 9580 | 5,455 |
|  | \% Marked | 19,160 | 7,311 | 11,849 | 5,458 |

Table 4.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 556 | 6,799 | 7,355 | 645 | 7,451 | 8,096 |
| Released Legal | 196 | 404 | 600 | 189 | 118 | 307 |
| Released Sublegal | 335 | 821 | 1156 | 453 | 1878 | 2331 |
| Landed Only | 25 | 5,574 | 5,599 | 3 | 5,455 | 5,458 |

Table 4.9 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 6,050 | 5,264 | 787 | 118 | 5,382 | 535,703 | 732 | $3,947-6,816$ | 14 |
| Legal UM | 1261 | 0 | 1261 | 189 | 189 | 8,092 | 90 | $13-365$ | 48 |
| Sublegal AD | 9,580 | 191 | 9,388 | 1878 | 2069 | 215,944 | 465 | $1,158-2,980$ | 22 |
| Sublegal UM | 2269 | 3 | 2266 | 453 | 456 | 28913 | 170 | $123-789$ | 37 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 , 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 4 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 7 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 8 , 6 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 5 6 - 9 , 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Table 4.10 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status

| Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Pink | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Coho <br> UK |
| 29 | 16-Jul | 16-Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 62 | 28 |
| 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 335 | 12 | 6 | 130 | 231 | 174 |
| 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 175 | 6 | 22 | 115 | 243 | 198 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 510 | 18 | 28 | 276 | 537 | 399 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): <br> 95\% CI: |  |  | 8037 | 45 | 36 | 1790 | 8141 | 4731 |
|  |  |  | 90 | 7 | 6 | 42 | 90 | 69 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 36 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 17 |
|  |  |  | 335-686 | 5-32 | 16-40 | 193-359 | 360-713 | 264-534 |

Table 4.11 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Bayside Marina/Drystack | 3 | 0.006 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 3 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Bush Point Ramp and Beach | 9 | 0.017 | 7 | 0.014 |
| Camano Island State Park Public Ramp | 6 | 0.011 | 3 | 0.006 |
| Coupeville Public Ramp | 21 | 0.039 | 6 | 0.012 |
| Dagmar's Landing, Forklift Launch | 7 | 0.013 | 7 | 0.014 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Driftwood Key Marina | 19 | 0.035 | 12 | 0.023 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 4 | 0.007 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Edmonds Boat Basin (Public Sling) | 19 | 0.035 | 17 | 0.033 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage | 8 | 0.015 | 11 | 0.021 |
| Edmonds Marina | 58 | 0.107 | 34 | 0.066 |
| Eglon Public Ramp | 4 | 0.007 | 4 | 0.008 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Everett Marina | 28 | 0.051 | 22 | 0.043 |
| Everett Ramp | 115 | 0.211 | 96 | 0.186 |
| Fort Casey Public Ramp and Shore | 28 | 0.051 | 52 | 0.101 |
| Fort Flagler Ramps-Marrowstone Is | 9 | 0.017 | 4 | 0.008 |
| Fort Worden Ramp | 11 | 0.020 | 10 | 0.019 |
| Gardiner Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Hadlock Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.008 |
| John Wayne Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Kingston Marina | 12 | 0.022 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 8 | 0.015 | 24 | 0.046 |
| Lagoon Point Ramp and Beach | 15 | 0.028 | 8 | 0.015 |
| Langley Marina/Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.006 |
| Mukilteo Lighthouse Park | 20 | 0.037 | 31 | 0.060 |
| Mutiny Bay Public Ramp | 6 | 0.011 | 5 | 0.010 |
| New Marysville Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Oak Bay Beach Ramp | 4 | 0.007 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Point Hudson Marina | 2 | 0.004 | 4 | 0.008 |
| Point No Point Beach | 3 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Ludlow Marina/Beach Launch | 9 | 0.017 | 10 | 0.019 |
| Port Madison Marina | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.006 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven | 8 | 0.015 | 4 | 0.008 |
| Port Townsend Boat Haven Ramp | 53 | 0.097 | 59 | 0.114 |
| Possession Waterfront Beach Park | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Private | 7 | 0.013 | 20 | 0.039 |
| Salmon Club Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.008 |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 14 | 0.026 | 18 | 0.035 |
| Sandy Point Marina/Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Shilshole Marina | 12 | 0.022 | 9 | 0.017 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 11 | 0.020 | 9 | 0.017 |
| Unknown | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Useless Bay Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Total Anglers | 544 | 1 | 517 | 1 |



Figure 4.6 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook salmon encountered by test fishers during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 4.12 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Private } \\ & \text { VTR } \end{aligned}$ | 22 1-trip <br> VTRs, 48 <br> Angler Trips | 25 | 3 | 36 | 6 | 70 | 0.87 | 0.89 |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.36 \\ (0.0033) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.51 \\ (0.0036) \end{gathered}$ | $0.09$ |  |  |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were not significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data ( $\mathrm{df}=3$, p -value $=.81$ ). We used only test fishery data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook salmon encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF due to the assumption that test fishing data is more accurate.

Table 4.13 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2017 summer shore Coho MSF in Marine Area 9 . Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat Week | Effort | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Anglers | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Pink | Sockeye | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Cutthroat | Pink | Salmon UNK |
|  |  |  | AD | UM | UD | AD | UM | UD |  |  | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |
| Aug | 34 | 426 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 58 | 51 | 136 | 2 | 61 | 75 |
|  | 35 | 626 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 73 | 45 | 89 | 1 | 2 | 41 |
| Sep | 36 | 512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 76 | 45 | 51 | 1 | 4 | 29 |
|  | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 219 | 8 | 1 | 73 | 1 | 21 | 22 | 12 | 207 | 141 | 276 | 4 | 67 | 145 |

Table 4.14 Composition of test fishery Chinook salmon encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM $=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat <br> Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | Hrs <br> Fished | AD | UM | AD | UM | Total |  |  |
| 30 | 5 | 30.23 | 10 | 4 | 19 | 4 | 37 |  |  |
| 31 | 5 | 32.15 | 14 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 39 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |  |  |
| Size/mark-status composition: | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.15 Season-total estimates of Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 9 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (Angler- } \\ \text { trips) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 31, 2007 | 18,160 | 5,094 | 13 | 146 | 20 | 711 | 1,111 | 1,286 | 317 | 8,697 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 | 20,399 | 4,035 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 597 | 1,608 | 3,212 | 3,826 | 13,290 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 42,219 | 3,090 | 20 | 139 | 0 | 462 | 1,272 | 8,256 | 2,905 | 16,143 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 31,200 | 5,282 | 33 | 10 | 6 | 740 | 2,125 | 750 | 249 | 9,194 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 37,862 | 2,285 | 19 | 78 | 6 | 339 | 1,142 | 2,150 | 1,070 | 7,090 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 24,886 | 6,972 | 12 | 101 | 2 | 1,039 | 2,351 | 5,168 | 4,721 | 20,366 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 4, 2013 | 20,501 | 4,667 | 18 | 39 | 0 | 697 | 1,174 | 1,750 | 397 | 8,742 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2014 | 23,113 | 2,865 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 428 | 668 | 745 | 299 | 5,015 |
| Jul 16 - Jul 26, 2015 | 14,118 | 2,277 | 13 | 35 | 7 | 340 | 1,502 | 1,481 | 131 | 5,786 |
| Jul 16, 2016 - Aug 15, 2016 | 14,911 | 2,861 | 9 | 112 | 0 | 427 | 912 | 4,886 | 2104 | 11,311 |
| Jul 16, 2017 - Jul 30, 2017 | 18,548 | 5,264 | 0 | 191 | 3 | 787 | 1261 | 9,388 | 2266 | 19,160 |

## 5) Marine Area 10 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a tenth summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10 from July 16 through August 15, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 10 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included intensive dockside creel sampling, on-the-water effort surveys, test fishing and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 5.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 5.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 10 summer mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release. | One week | Within weeks, estimates were produced by day-type strata (weekday/weekend). Each week we sampled every Friday, Saturday and Sunday, and we randomly selected $n=2$ out of $N=4$ weekdays (MondayThursday) for sampling. |
| On-thewater Surveys | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 7 boat surveys ( 3 weekday and 4 weekend) were conducted during the 3 week fishery. |
| Test Fishing | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook salmon | Chinook salmon length, age, and DNA-based ${ }^{2}$ stock composition; species composition of non-Chinook salmon encounters | Fish encounter | Season | A sufficient number of fish caught in the test fishery $(\mathrm{n}=161 ; \mathrm{LM}=28 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=9 \%, \mathrm{SM}=50 \%, \mathrm{SU}=13 \%$; Table 5.13) so on test fishing numbers were used. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for nonChinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | The size/mark-status proportions of VTR data ( $\mathrm{LM}=27 \%, \mathrm{LU}=14 \%$, SM $=47 \%, S U=12 \%$; Table 5.12) were similar to those of the test fishery data, but with the large size of the test fishing data set and the assumption that test fishing data was more accurate only test fishing data was used. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

Table 5.2 Method 2 estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Estimated Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Total Est. Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jul | 29 | 16-Jul | 16-Jul | 309 | 683 | 74 | 0 | 148 | 62 | 285 |
|  | 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 1054 | 2,002 | 148 | 0 | 295 | 123 | 565 |
|  | 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 1,160 | 2,330 | 134 | 0 | 267 | 111 | 512 |
| Aug | 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 1,536 | 2,976 | 288 | 0 | 575 | 240 | 1102 |
|  | 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 1,830 | 3,556 | 1070 | 0 | 2137 | 891 | 4097 |
|  | 34 | 14-Aug | 15-Aug | 1031 | 1919 | 512 | 0 | 1022 | 426 | 1960 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 6,920 | 13,466 | 2,226 | 0 | 4,444 | 1853 | 8,522 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: CV (\%): 95\% CI: |  |  |  | 66,570 | 296,720 | 30,163 | 0 | 763,865 | 125,361 | 1,619,442 |
|  |  |  |  | 258 | 545 | 174 | 0 | 874 | 354 | 1273 |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 19 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,415 \\ & 7,426 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12,399- \\ 14,534 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,885- \\ & 2,566 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0- \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2,731- \\ 6,157 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,159- \\ & 2,547 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,028- \\ & 11,016 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 689 | 51 | 740 |
| Unmarked | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ |

Table 5.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River Thompson River (1.6\%) | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
| WA | Hood Canal (7.8\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 4 (6.2\%) | 0 |
|  | N Puget Sound (1.6\%) | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (54.7\%) | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 7 (10.9\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | 2 (3.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Gorst Cr 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pnd | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 7 (10.9\%) | 7 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 3 (4.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 14 (21.9\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (34.4\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 13 (20.3\%) | 12 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 3 (4.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 6 (9.4\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 64 | 23 |



Figure 5.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.4 Length-frequency distribution of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.


Figure 5.5 Comparison of modeled (using FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 5.5 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 2,382 | 2,072 | 310 | 46 | 2,119 | 32,031 | 179 | $1,768-2,469$ | 8 |
| Legal UM | 741 | 0 | 741 | 111 | 111 | 1068 | 33 | $47-175$ | 29 |
| Sublegal AD | 4,287 | 153 | 4,134 | 827 | 980 | 21,427 | 146 | $693-1,267$ | 15 |
| Sublegal UM | 1112 | 0 | 1112 | 222 | 222 | 3,115 | 56 | $113-332$ | 25 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 , 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 6 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 6 2}-\mathbf{3 , 9 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

Table 5.6 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adiposeclipped) and UM = unmarked.

| Data Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 1,322 | 600 | 722 | 12 |
|  | AD | 5,343 | 2,476 | 2,867 | 2,154 |
|  | Total | 6,665 | 3,076 | 3,589 | 2,166 |
|  | \% Marked | 80 | 80 | 80 | 99 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 1853 | 741 | 1112 | 0 |
|  | AD | 6,669 | 2,382 | 4,287 | 2,226 |
|  | Total | 8,522 | 3,123 | 5,399 | 2,226 |

Table 5.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped) and $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
| Total (Landed + Released) | 245 | 2,883 | 3,128 | 333 | 3,099 | 3,432 |
| Released Legal | 89 | 156 | 245 | 111 | 46 | 158 |
| Released Sublegal | 144 | 573 | 717 | 222 | 827 | 1049 |
| Landed Only | 12 | 2,154 | 2,166 | 0 | 2,226 | 2,226 |

Table 5.8 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook salmon sampled ${ }^{1 /}$ Estimated retained Chinook salmon) in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| July | 29-31 | 16 Jul - 31 Jul | 356 | 0 | 356 | 107 | 1 | 108 | 30.40\% |
| August | 32-34 | 1 Aug - 15 Aug | 1870 | 0 | 1870 | 633 | 2 | 635 | 34.00\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 2,226 | 0 | 2,226 | 740 | 3 | 743 | 33.40\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook salmon sampled includes all retained Chinook salmon inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2017 Area 10 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 5.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook salmon kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook salmon due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs | Est.AD | var(Est.AD) | UM DIT <br> Enc | Est.UM | var(Est.UM) | SE(Est.UM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.201 | 0.45 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 11 | 55.4 | 223.97 | 56.2 | 5.6 | 2.301 | 5.03 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.204 | 0.45 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.198 | 0.45 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2014 | 6 | 30.2 | 122.17 | 31.1 | 3.1 | 1.293 | 2.78 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 10.1 | 40.72 | 10.1 | 1 | 0.406 | 0.9 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 0.46 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 2}$ |

Table 5.10 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs), with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 37 1-trip <br> VTRs, 63 <br> Angler Trips | 30 | 15 | 52 | 13 | 110 | 0.75 | 0.67 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ (0.0018) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.14 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.47 \\ (0.0023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |



Figure 5.6 Length-frequency distributions of marked (left panel) and unmarked (right panel) Chinook salmon encountered by test fishers during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. The vertical dashed line in the left panel corresponds to the legal size limit ( 22 in or 56 cm ).

Table 5.11 Composition of test fishery Chinook salmon encounters and associated mark-rate and size/mark-status proportion estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Stat Week | Fishing Effort |  | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Hrs } \\ \text { Fished } \end{gathered}$ | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| 30 | 5 | 32.18 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 23 |
| 31 | 6 | 26.53 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 29 |
| 32 | 7 | 37.05 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 22 |
| 33 | 7 | 43.07 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 48 |
| 34 | 4 | 23.29 | 12 | 5 | 20 | 2 | 39 |
| Total | 29 | 162.12 | 45 | 14 | 81 | 21 | 161 |
| Size/mark-status composition: |  |  | 0.28 | 0.09 | . 50 | . 13 |  |
| Legal size mark rate: |  |  | 0.76 |  |  |  |  |
| Overall mark rate: |  |  | 0.78 |  |  |  |  |

Size and mark-status proportions were not significantly different between private boat VTR and test fishery data $(\mathrm{df}=3$, p -value=0.67). However, based on sufficient sample size and assumed higher data quality, we used only test fishery data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions needed to produce Chinook salmon encounter and mortality estimates for the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 5.12 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, UK = unknown mark-status.

| Week | Start <br> Date | End Date | Retained Salmon |  |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UK } \end{gathered}$ | Pink | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { AD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coho } \\ \text { UM } \end{gathered}$ | Coho UK |
| 29 | 16-Jul | 16-Jul | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 84 |
| 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 166 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 149 | 104 | 106 |
| 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 338 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 326 | 246 | 294 |
| 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 250 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 237 | 135 | 206 |
| 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 232 | 10 | 0 | 58 | 254 | 246 | 182 |
| 34 | 14-Aug | 15-Aug | 76 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 62 | 53 | 173 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 1139 | 23 | 3 | 134 | 1052 | 824 | 1045 |
| Variance: |  |  | 7861 | 24 | 2 | 122 | 11794 | 6813 | 3908 |
| Standard Error: |  |  | 89 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 109 | 83 | 63 |
| CV (\%) : |  |  | 8 | 21 | 52 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 6 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 965- \\ & 1,313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13- \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0- \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112- \\ 155 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 839- \\ & 1,265 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 662- \\ 986 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 923- \\ & 1,168 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 5.13 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday <br> Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 50 | 0.130 | 271 | 0.239 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 8 | 0.021 | 22 | 0.019 |
| Commencement Bay Marina Services (Foss Harbor Marina) | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.003 |
| Dagmar's Landing, Forklift Launch | 2 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 0 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.007 |
| Driftwood Key Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 4 | 0.010 | 17 | 0.015 |
| Edmonds Boat Basin (Public Sling) | 3 | 0.008 | 33 | 0.029 |
| Edmonds Dry Storage (Boat Loft (Priv. fork lift)) | 18 | 0.047 | 34 | 0.030 |
| Edmonds Marina | 65 | 0.168 | 90 | 0.079 |
| Eglon Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 6 | 0.016 | 90 | 0.079 |
| Everett Marina | 10 | 0.026 | 6 | 0.005 |
| Everett Ramp | 3 | 0.008 | 31 | 0.027 |
| First Avenue South Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 25 | 0.022 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.003 |
| Kingston Marina | 15 | 0.039 | 23 | 0.020 |
| Kingston Public Ramp | 28 | 0.073 | 59 | 0.052 |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 6 | 0.016 | 8 | 0.007 |
| Mukilteo Lighthouse Park | 0 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.010 |
| Narrows Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 1 | 0.003 | 11 | 0.010 |
| Port Ludlow Marina/Beach Launch | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Port Madison Marina | 3 | 0.008 | 5 | 0.004 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.007 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 2 | 0.005 | 9 | 0.008 |
| Possession Waterfront Beach Park | 3 | 0.008 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Poulsbo Ramp/Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Private | 25 | 0.065 | 66 | 0.058 |
| Seacrest Boathouse (Lloyds) | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Shilshole Marina | 55 | 0.142 | 82 | 0.072 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 78 | 0.202 | 196 | 0.173 |
| Silverdale Waterfront Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Suquamish Public Ramp | 1 | 0.003 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Winslow City Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.002 |
| Winslow Marina | 0 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.004 |
| Total Anglers | 386 | 1 | 1133 | 1 |

Table 5.14 Season-total estimates of Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 10 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (Angler- } \\ \text { trips) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Retained Chinook |  |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LM | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |
| Jul 16 - Jul 28, 2007 | 8,374 | 1,469 | 30 | 70 | 8 | 209 | 497 | 3,101 | 723 | 6,107 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 15, 2008 | 13,808 | 1,027 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 128 | 510 | 189 | 385 | 2,246 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2009 | 23,179 | 1,505 | 22 | 116 | 0 | 220 | 82 | 2,488 | 1,017 | 5,450 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2010 | 21,636 | 2,950 | 33 | 37 | 9 | 432 | 1,026 | 1,024 | 1,665 | 7,178 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 31, 2011 | 27,753 | 2,548 | 14 | 94 | 14 | 372 | 1,872 | 964 | 694 | 6,573 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 19, 2012 | 17,823 | 2,976 | 17 | 88 | 17 | 443 | 377 | 6,343 | 1,950 | 12,212 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 18, 2013 | 27,317 | 3,434 | 6 | 77 | 17 | 512 | 298 | 2,149 | 1,603 | 8,097 |
| Jul 16 - Aug 7, 2014 | 11,892 | 1,063 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 159 | 322 | 1,629 | 322 | 3,503 |
| Jul 16,- Aug 15, 2016 | 9,314 | 1,032 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 154 | 274 | 1,087 | 593 | 3,192 |
| Jul 16,- Aug 15, 2017 | 13,466 | 2,072 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 310 | 741 | 4,134 | 1112 | 8,522 |

## 6) Marine Area 11 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented its eleventh consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11 from June 1 through September 30, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented an intensive monitoring program in Area 11 throughout the season in order to collect the data needed to estimate key parameters characterizing the fishery and its impacts on unmarked salmon. Sampling activities included dockside creel sampling and collection of voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public. Table 6.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section, we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF.

Table 6.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 11 winter mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest <br> Estimation <br> Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Creel Sampling | Fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Two weeks | Creel estimates were produced for twoweek estimation periods and stratified into "weekday" (Mon.-Thurs.) and "weekend" (Fri.-Sun.) day-type strata within weeks. For the weekday stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=8$ available weekdays per two-week period. For the weekend stratum, we sampled $n=2$ days out of $N=3$ available weekend days per week. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { On-the- } \\ & \text { water } \\ & \text { Surveys } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion of total angler effort that uses sample-frame sites (i.e., site "size measures") versus out-of-frame sites. | Total on-water boat and angler counts at assumed peak effort time interval (instantaneous count); spatial distribution of recreational fishing boats in the area. | Boats and anglers | Month | A total of 4 weekday and 4 weekend boat surveys were conducted during the four month fishery. |
| Voluntary Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size <br> (legal/sublegal) and mark-status (marked/unmarked) composition of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | We used VTR data to estimate the size/mark-status proportions ( $\mathrm{LM}=38 \%$, $\mathrm{LU}=11 \%, \mathrm{SM}=33 \%, \mathrm{SU}=18 \%$; <br> Table 6.5) needed to produce encounter and mortality estimates. |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Estimated on a monthly time step but considered at the season-total level. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 6.2 Estimates of total fishing effort and total salmon catch (harvest and releases) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Month | Stat Week | Start Date | End Date | Est. Effort |  | Est. Retained Chinook |  | Est. Released Chinook |  | Est. Total Chinook Encounters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | AD | UM | AD | UM |  |
| Jun | 23 | 1-Jun | 4-Jun | 397 | 677 | 49 | 5 | 47 | 34 | 134 |
|  | 24 | 5-Jun | 11-Jun | 866 | 1,374 | 44 | 0 | 42 | 34 | 120 |
|  | 25 | 12-Jun | 18-Jun | 431 | 712 | 40 | 2 | 39 | 29 | 111 |
|  | 26 | 19-Jun | 25-Jun | 337 | 616 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 20 |
|  | 27 | 26-Jun | 2-Jul | 931 | 1,585 | 123 | 0 | 120 | 97 | 341 |
| Jul | 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 968 | 1,632 | 119 | 0 | 116 | 94 | 328 |
|  | 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 913 | 1,616 | 73 | 9 | 71 | 49 | 202 |
|  | 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 1,149 | 2,109 | 110 | 0 | 107 | 87 | 304 |
|  | 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 1,480 | 2,599 | 317 | 3 | 309 | 247 | 876 |
|  | 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 1,317 | 2,293 | 188 | 0 | 184 | 149 | 521 |
| Aug | 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 1,757 | 3,260 | 355 | 0 | 346 | 280 | 981 |
|  | 34 | 14-Aug | 20-Aug | 2,114 | 4,084 | 566 | 20 | 552 | 428 | 1565 |
|  | 35 | 21-Aug | 27-Aug | 1884 | 3,406 | 598 | 4 | 582 | 468 | 1652 |
|  | 36 | 28-Aug | 3-Sep | 1,852 | 3,188 | 547 | 0 | 533 | 432 | 1511 |
| Sep | 37 | 4-Sep | 10-Sep | 942 | 1,579 | 89 | 4 | 87 | 66 | 245 |
|  | 38 | 11-Sep | 17-Sep | 1,001 | 1,602 | 91 | 4 | 88 | 68 | 251 |
|  | 39 | 18-Sep | 24-Sep | 1,026 | 1,796 | 43 | 4 | 42 | 30 | 119 |
|  | 40 | 25-Sep | 30-Sep | 868 | 1326 | 40 | 0 | 39 | 32 | 111 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 20,235 | 35,453 | 3398 | 56 | 3312 | 2628 | 9394 |
| RCAW Derby |  |  |  | 78 | 98 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 28 |
| PSA 7-UP Derby |  |  |  | 166 | 277 | 72 | 0 | 70 | 57 | 199 |
| Gig Harbor Derby |  |  |  | 32 | 71 | 61 | 0 | 59 | 48 | 169 |
| Season Total: |  |  |  | 20,511 | 35,899 | 3,541 | 56 | 3,451 | 2741 | 9,789 |
| Variance: |  |  |  | 905,222 | 2,187,576 | 161,103 | 256 | 1,367,500 | 377,186 | 2,941,636 |
| SE: |  |  |  | 951 | 1479 | 401 | 16 | 1169 | 614 | 1715 |
| CV (\%): |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 11 | 29 | 34 | 22 | 18 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ CI: |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18,646- \\ 22,375 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 33,000- \\ 38,798 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,754- \\ & 4,328 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24- \\ & 87 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,159- \\ & 5,743 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1,538- \\ & 3,945 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,427- \\ & 13,151 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 6.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal- <br> size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 950 | 77 | 1027 |
| Unmarked | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 4}$ |



Figure 6.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 6.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 6.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.


Figure 6.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

Table 6.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups.

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Fraser River Thompson River (6.6\%) | Chilliwack R | Chilliwack River H | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Harrison R | Chehalis River H | 3 (4.9\%) | 0 |
| WA | Hood Canal (4.9\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 2 (3.3\%) | 0 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { N Puget Sound } \\ (6.6 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | 3 (4.9\%) | 0 |
|  | Skagit River (1.6\%) | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (39.3\%) | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 4 (6.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 4 (6.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | White R 10.0031 | White River Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 13 (21.3\%) | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (37.7\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 10 (16.4\%) | 8 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 4 (6.6\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 5 (8.2\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | 4 (6.6\%) | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Col. } \\ & \text { Riv. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Lower Columbia River (1.6\%) | McKenzie R 1 | McKenzie Hatchery | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
| NA | NA (1.6\%) | NA | NA | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 |
| Total 61 |  |  |  |  | 9 |

Table 6.5 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 47 1-trip <br> VTRs, 79 <br> Angler Trips | 35 | 10 | 30 | 16 | 91 | 0.71 | 0.78 |
| Size/mark-status composition: Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.38 \\ (0.0026) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.11 \\ (0.0011) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.33 \\ (0.0025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.18 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 Summary of season-wide fishery impact estimates for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Release mortality rate $=0.15$ for legal fish and 0.20 for sublegal fish. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Size/mark <br> group | Encounters | Retained | Released | Release <br> Mortality | Total <br> Mortality | Var | SE | 95\% CI | CV <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal AD | 3,765 | 3,276 | 489 | 73 | 3,349 | 157,027 | 396 | $2,572-4,126$ | 12 |
| Legal UM | 1076 | 20 | 1056 | 158 | 178 | 3144 | 56 | $68-288$ | 32 |
| Sublegal AD | 3,227 | 265 | 2,962 | 592 | 858 | 23,653 | 154 | $556-1,159$ | 18 |
| Sublegal UM | 1721 | 36 | 1685 | 337 | 373 | 9773 | 99 | $179-567$ | 27 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 5 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 9 5 - 5 , 6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |

Table 6.7 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adiposeclipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Data <br> Source | Group | Total <br> Encounters | Legal | Sublegal | Landed <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRAM <br> Encounters | UM | 2655 | 1013 | 1642 | 22 |
|  | AD | 10,093 | 6,096 | 3997 | 5,303 |
|  | Total | 12,748 | 7,109 | 5,639 | 5,325 |
|  | \% Marked | 79 | 86 | 71 | 100 |
| Estimated <br> (Creel) <br> Encounters | UM | 2797 | 1076 | 1721 | 56 |
|  | Total | 6,992 | 3,765 | 3,227 | 3,541 |
|  | \% Marked | 9,789 | 4,841 | 4,948 | 3,597 |

Table 6.8 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Values may not add up perfectly due to rounding error. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Mortality Category | FRAM Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  | Estimated Chinook <br> Mortalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UM | AD | Total | UM | AD | Total |
|  | 513 | 6,486 | 6,999 | 551 | 4,207 | 4,758 |
| Released Legal | 163 | 384 | 547 | 158 | 73 | 232 |
| Released Sublegal | 328 | 799 | 1127 | 337 | 592 | 929 |
| Landed Only | 22 | 5,303 | 5,325 | 56 | 3,541 | 3,597 |



Figure 6.5 Comparison of modeled (FRAM model run 2017) and estimated total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Error bars represent approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals for field estimates.

Table 6.9 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook salmon kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook salmon due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs | Est.AD | $\operatorname{var}($ Est.AD $)$ | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc | Est.UM | var(Est.UM) | SE(Est.UM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 8 | 20.7 | 40.53 | 21 | 2.1 | 0.417 | 1.71 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2014 | 1 | 3 | 5.79 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.061 | 0.25 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 6}$ |

Table 6.10 Monthly sample rates (Total retained Chinook salmon sampled ${ }^{1}$ / Estimated retained Chinook salmon) in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked.

| Time period |  |  | Estimated Retained Chinook |  |  | Number of Chinook sampled |  |  | Sample Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Stat Weeks | Dates | AD | UM | Total | AD | UM | Total |  |
| June | 23-27 | 1 Jun - 2 Jul | 263 | 7 | 270 | 100 | 3 | 103 | 38.20\% |
| July | 28-31 | $3 \mathrm{Jul}-30 \mathrm{Jul}$ | 619 | 12 | 631 | 150 | 4 | 154 | 24.40\% |
| August | 32-36 | 31 Jul - 3 Sep | 2254 | 24 | 2278 | 732 | 7 | 739 | 32.40\% |
| September | 37-40 | 4 Sep - 30 Sep | 263 | 12 | 275 | 45 | 3 | 48 | 17.50\% |
| Season Total |  |  | 1,477 | 4 | 1,480 | 445 | 4 | 449 | 30.30\% |

${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook salmon sampled includes all retained Chinook salmon inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the summer 2017 Area 11 Chinook MSF (creel estimates and the fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

Table 6.11 Fishery-total estimates of retained and released salmon (other than Chinook salmon) for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked, $\mathrm{UK}=$ unknown mark-status. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Stat | Start | End | Retained Salmon |  |  | Released Salmon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Date | Date | Coho AD | Coho UM | Pink | Coho AD | Coho UM | Coho UK |
| 23 | 1-Jun | 4-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 24 | 5-Jun | 11-Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 25 | 12-Jun | 18-Jun | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 19-Jun | 25-Jun | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 26-Jun | 2-Jul | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 0 |
| 28 | 3-Jul | 9-Jul | 36 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 3 |
| 29 | 10-Jul | 16-Jul | 33 | 14 | 0 | 69 | 5 | 27 |
| 30 | 17-Jul | 23-Jul | 118 | 50 | 25 | 145 | 44 | 35 |
| 31 | 24-Jul | 30-Jul | 190 | 29 | 56 | 84 | 33 | 133 |
| 32 | 31-Jul | 6-Aug | 177 | 40 | 85 | 76 | 15 | 101 |
| 33 | 7-Aug | 13-Aug | 185 | 45 | 106 | 54 | 8 | 131 |
| 34 | 14-Aug | 20-Aug | 206 | 51 | 64 | 186 | 97 | 449 |
| 35 | 21-Aug | 27-Aug | 236 | 65 | 167 | 49 | 37 | 183 |
| 36 | 28-Aug | 3-Sep | 141 | 80 | 107 | 72 | 36 | 78 |
| 37 | 4-Sep | 10-Sep | 190 | 44 | 18 | 109 | 50 | 128 |
| 38 | 11-Sep | 17-Sep | 321 | 39 | 6 | 66 | 18 | 132 |
| 39 | 18-Sep | 24-Sep | 526 | 53 | 29 | 130 | 102 | 432 |
| 40 | 25-Sep | 30-Sep | 240 | 15 | 0 | 48 | 50 | 289 |
| Season Total: |  |  | 2611 | 546 | 665 | 1120 | 511 | 2130 |
| Variance: <br> Standard Error: <br> CV (\%): |  |  | 57449 | 5171 | 16748 | 31425 | 9454 | 135110 |
|  |  |  | 240 | 72 | 129 | 177 | 97 | 368 |
|  |  |  | 9 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 17 |
| 95\% CI: |  |  | 2,142-3,081 | $\begin{aligned} & 405- \\ & 687 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 412- \\ & 919 \end{aligned}$ | 773-1,468 | 321-702 | 1,409-2,850 |

Table 6.12 Summary of the total number of anglers intercepted during on-the-water surveys conducted for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11. Sites in bold represent those included in the dockside sample frame.

| Site Name | Weekday Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure | Weekend Anglers | Season Total (unadjusted) Size Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alki Point | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Arcadia Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.006 |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 26 | 0.058 | 3 | 0.022 |
| Breakwater Marina (Warters) | 13 | 0.029 | 2 | 0.043 |
| Browns Point Ramp | 1 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.058 |
| Brownsville Marina/Dock/Ramp | 6 | 0.013 | 3 | 0.006 |
| Commencement Bay Marina | 15 | 0.033 | 1 | 0.020 |
| Cromwell Beach | 2 | 0.004 | 2 | 0.000 |
| Dash Point Shore | 2 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.006 |
| Delin Docks | 5 | 0.011 | 18 | 0.000 |
| Des Moines Marina (Moorage) | 56 | 0.124 | 7 | 0.080 |
| Dockton Ramp, Vashon Is | 3 | 0.007 | 1 | 0.020 |
| Eagle Harbor Waterfront Park | 9 | 0.020 | 3 | 0.007 |
| Edmonds Marina | 3 | 0.007 | 10 | 0.000 |
| Elliott Bay Marina | 3 | 0.007 | 13 | 0.000 |
| Evergreen Park Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 5 | 0.000 |
| First Avenue South Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Foss Tug Dock | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.006 |
| Gig Harbor Marina | 8 | 0.018 | 4 | 0.020 |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 29 | 0.064 | 6 | 0.063 |
| Horsehead Bay Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.004 |
| Hylebos Boat Haven | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.015 |
| Manchester Public Ramp | 21 | 0.046 | 1 | 0.022 |
| Narrows Marina | 8 | 0.018 | 43 | 0.026 |
| Olalla Public Ramp | 2 | 0.004 | 52 | 0.004 |
| Point Defiance Beach | 0 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 33 | 0.073 | 2 | 0.078 |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 112 | 0.248 | 2 | 0.205 |
| Port Orchard Marina | 2 | 0.004 | 18 | 0.000 |
| Port Orchard Public Ramp | 3 | 0.007 | 12 | 0.000 |
| Private | 19 | 0.042 | 0 | 0.069 |
| Redondo Ramp | 48 | 0.106 | 2 | 0.121 |
| Shilshole Marina | 14 | 0.031 | 1 | 0.034 |
| Shilshole Public Ramp | 3 | 0.007 | 2 | 0.000 |
| Solo Point | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.004 |
| Tyee Marina/Ramp | 4 | 0.009 | 4 | 0.047 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0.004 |
| Wollochet Bay Public Ramp | 0 | 0.000 | 0 | 0.002 |
| Total Anglers | 452 | 1 | 231 | 1 |

Table 6.13 Season-total estimates of Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark-status and total estimates of angler effort, summarized for all seasons to date of the Area 11 summer Chinook MSF. Values may not add exactly due to rounding error.

| Season Dates | Effort <br> (Angler- <br> trips) | Retained Chinook |  |  | Released Chinook |  |  |  | Total <br> Encounters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LU | SM | SU | LM | LU | SM | SU |  |  |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2007 |  | 10,192 | 74 | 354 | 21 | 1,511 | 3,015 | 8,033 | 2,357 | 25,558 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2008 |  | 7,277 | 18 | 100 | 5 | 1,087 | 1,999 | 1,969 | 248 | 12,703 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2009 | 80,157 | 3,149 | 20 | 117 | 17 | 470 | 1,269 | 3,820 | 3,302 | 12,164 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2010 | 54,594 | 3,883 | 64 | 27 | 0 | 580 | 1,105 | 900 | 405 | 6,965 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2011 | 69,919 | 2,559 | 9 | 77 | 12 | 382 | 2,120 | 1,932 | 1,579 | 8,670 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2012 | 56,065 | 4,894 | 57 | 72 | 14 | 731 | 2,665 | 2,649 | 1,157 | 12,240 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2013 | 64,509 | 3,056 | 35 | 55 | 0 | 457 | 1,289 | 1,214 | 669 | 6,774 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2014 | 39,426 | 2,912 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 435 | 1,585 | 2,142 | 861 | 7,966 |
| Jun 1 - Sept 30, 2015 | 40,858 | 1,447 | 10 | 41 | 3 | 216 | 748 | 2,491 | 1599 | 6,556 |
| Jun 24 - Aug 19, 2016 | 13,766 | 1,437 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 215 | 443 | 1,359 | 298 | 3,794 |
| Jun 1 - Sep 30, 2017 | 35,899 | 3,276 | 20 | 265 | 36 | 489 | 1056 | 2,962 | 1685 | 9,789 |

## 7) Marine Area 12 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a fifth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12 from July 1 through September 30, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). While these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 7.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 12 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the course of the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 7.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 12 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal <br> Parameter(s) | Secondary Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Angler Interviews (Baseline Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimates become available VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook salmon encounters by size $/$ mark group $(\mathrm{LM}=3 \%, \mathrm{LU}=$ $11 \%, \mathrm{SM}=64 \%, \mathrm{SU}=22 \%$; Table 7.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.

Table 7.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  | Pink | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Unk. Salmon | Pink | Steelhead | Cutthroat |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | UNK | AD | UM |  | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |  |
| Jul | 27 | 14 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 28 | 17 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  | 29 | 16 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 54 | 99 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 31 | 37 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 32 | 61 | 108 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 91 | 194 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 34 | 89 | 183 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
|  | 35 | 116 | 237 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 15 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| Sept | 36 | 96 | 188 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 46 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 37 | 69 | 125 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 38 | 101 | 170 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 54 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  | 39 | 36 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
|  | 40 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total |  | 812 | 1550 | 196 | 0 | 1 | 213 | 44 | 3 | 135 | 92 | 306 | 9 | 6 | 63 | 208 | 14 | 2 | 162 |

Table 7.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legal-size | Sublegal- <br> size | Total |
| Marked | 187 | 6 | 193 |
| Unmarked | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ |



Figure 7.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 7.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

Table 7.4 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Location Name | Number of Site Days Sampled Per <br> Month |  |  | Total Site- <br> Days | \% of <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | August | September |  |  |
| Armeni Public Ramp | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | $0.66 \%$ |
| Big Beef Beach | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | $1.97 \%$ |
| Hood Canal Bridge Pier | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.66 \%$ |
| Hoodsport Shore | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | $3.95 \%$ |
| Misery Point Ramp | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | $3.95 \%$ |
| Pleasant Harbor Boat Ramp (WDFW) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $2.63 \%$ |
| Pleasant Harbor Marina | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | $1.97 \%$ |
| Quilcene Bay Ramp | 0 | 9 | 11 | 20 | $13.16 \%$ |
| Salsbury County Park Ramp | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | $3.95 \%$ |
| Saltwater Park Ramp | 21 | 23 | 18 | 62 | $40.79 \%$ |
| Termination Point Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.66 \%$ |
| Twanoh State Park | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | $1.32 \%$ |
| Union Ramp | 6 | 19 | 12 | 37 | $24.34 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Table 7.5 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 12 1-trip <br> VTRs, 24 <br> Angler Trips | 1 | 4 | 23 | 8 | 36 | 0.67 | 0.20 |
| Size/mark-status composition: <br> Variance: |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.03 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \\ (0.0028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ (0.0066) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \\ (0.0049) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 7.6 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of recovered CWTs that belonged to double-index tag

| Release Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC | Georgia Strait (1.5\%) | Cowichan R | Cowichan River H | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 |
| WA | Hood Canal (30.8\%) | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | 12 (18.5\%) | 8 |
|  |  | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | 8 (12.3\%) | 0 |
|  | Northern Puget Sound (6.2\%) | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | 1 (1.5\%) | 1 |
|  |  | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | 3 (4.6\%) | 1 |
|  | Mid Puget Sound (46.2\%) | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | 7 (10.8\%) | 2 |
|  |  | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | 2 (3.1\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | 13 (20\%) | 13 |
|  |  | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | 5 (7.7\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | 3 (4.6\%) | 0 |
|  | Southern Puget Sound (13.8\%) | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | 4 (6.2\%) | 4 |
|  |  | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | 4 (6.2\%) | 0 |
| Col. Riv. | Upper Columbia R (1.5\%) | Similkameen R 490325 | Similkameen Hatchery | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total | 65 | 29 |

Table 7.7 Summary of double-index tagged (DIT) Chinook salmon kept by anglers, and estimated total mortality of unmarked DIT Chinook salmon due to hook-and-release impacts resulting from the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked.

| Hatchery | Brood <br> Year | DITs <br> Obs | Est.AD | var(Est.AD) | UM <br> DIT <br> Enc | Est.UM | var(Est.UM) | SE(Est.UM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bernie Gobin Hatch | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.201 | 0.45 |
| Clear Creek Hatchery | 2014 | 4 | 20.2 | 81.45 | 20.4 | 2 | 0.837 | 1.83 |
| George Adams Hatchery | 2014 | 8 | 40.3 | 162.89 | 40.3 | 4 | 1.631 | 3.61 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2012 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.201 | 0.45 |
| Grovers Cr Hatchery | 2014 | 12 | 60.5 | 244.34 | 62.2 | 6.2 | 2.585 | 5.57 |
| Soos Creek Hatchery | 2013 | 2 | 10.1 | 40.72 | 10.1 | 1 | 0.406 | 0.9 |
| Wallace R Hatchery | 2013 | 1 | 5 | 20.36 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 0.46 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 0 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 7}$ |

## 8) Marine Area 13 Summer Mark-Selective Chinook Fishery

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) implemented a tenth consecutive summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13 from May 1 through September 30, 2017. WDFW's Puget Sound Sampling Unit (PSSU) implemented a "Baseline Sampling" program (see WDFW 2012a for details) consisting of dockside angler interviews with catch sampling along with efforts to distribute and collect voluntary trip reports (VTRs) from the angling public.

Unlike the other survey designs, Baseline Sampling does not provide a means for generating inseason or immediate post-season estimates of fishery total catch and effort. These estimates will be available approximately one year after the close of the fishery through the WDFW Catch Record Card (CRC) program. Once available, CRC-based catch estimates will be used to generate estimates of total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size and mark-status using the methods provided in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). While these descriptors of MSF impacts are not presented in the present document, they will be available at a future time.

Table 8.1 summarizes the parameters estimated and the sampling activities associated with each parameter. Specific procedures used for collecting these data and estimating critical data parameters are presented in detail in our separate Methods Report (WDFW 2012a). In this section we present results from our monitoring activities during the Area 13 summer Chinook MSF, including relative catch and effort patterns over the course of the season based on the assumption that baseline-sampling observations of these parameters are good indicators of associated fishery-wide trends.

Table 8.1 Sampling/estimation details on target parameters associated with the overall Area 13 mark-selective fishery monitoring program.

| Activity | Focal Parameter(s) | Secondary <br> Parameter(s) | Sample Unit(s) | Finest Estimation Time Step | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dockside Angler Interviews (Baseline Sampling) | Observed (insample) fishing effort (boat \& angler trips); kept and released fish. | Catch rates (CPUE); length, age, and CWT composition of harvest ${ }^{1}$; collection of angler fishing methods. | Angler trip; kept fish; reported fish release | Week | Observed catch per angler trip and species composition data obtained from baseline sampling will ultimately be combined with Catch Record Card (CRC) data to produce fishery-total estimates at a later time (approximately one year following the fishery). |
| Voluntary <br> Trip Reports (VTRs) | Size (legal/sublegal) and mark-status composition (marked, unmarked) of encountered Chinook salmon | Encounter data for non-Chinook salmon species (e.g., Coho salmon) that the angler may record on the VTR form | Fish encounter | Season | When CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimates become available VTR data may be used in the estimation of total Chinook salmon encounters by size/mark group (LM $=40 \%$, LU $=7 \%, \mathrm{SM}=53 \%, \mathrm{SU}=0 \%$; Table 8.5), along with associated impacts, using the methods described in WDFW \& NWIFC (2013). |
| Overall <br> Fishery <br> Impacts <br> Estimation | Total Chinook salmon encounters and mortalities by size/mark-status group | Ratios of encounters and mortalities per kept Chinook salmon | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimate, when it becomes available. |
| Coded-wire tag (CWT) Impacts Estimation | Marked/unmarked double-index tag (DIT) encounters and mortalities | N/A | N/A | Season | Will be estimated at a later date using the CRC-based retained Chinook salmon estimate, when it becomes available. The temporal resolution of DIT impacts is constrained by the total number of tags recovered. |

Table 8.2 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark status.

| Month | Stat <br> Week | Effort |  | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boats | Anglers | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Pink | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Cutthroat | Pink | Salmon UNK |
|  |  |  |  | AD | UM | UNK | AD | UM | UD |  | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |
| May | 19 | 32 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 20 | 26 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 21 | 35 | 61 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 22 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 23 | 13 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | 24 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 25 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 26 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 27 | 20 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| July | 28 | 13 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 23 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 34 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 31 | 109 | 188 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 32 | 94 | 164 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Aug | 33 | 88 | 156 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 34 | 100 | 172 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 35 | 199 | 375 | 111 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 36 | 143 | 270 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sept | 37 | 69 | 119 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 38 | 68 | 118 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 39 | 44 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 40 | 22 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Observed: |  | 1153 | 2082 | 351 | 1 | 3 | 42 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 301 | 54 | 106 | 29 | 6 | 23 | 88 | 3 | 11 |



Figure 8.1 Temporal patterns in fishing effort during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 8.2 Temporal patterns in CPUE (landed Chinook salmon per angler trip) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not


Figure 8.3 Temporal patterns in Chinook salmon encounters (retained and released) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates.


Figure 8.4 Length-frequency distributions of retained marked Chinook salmon sampled in dockside angler interviews during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

Table 8.3 Number of total length samples collected from retained Chinook salmon collected during dockside angler interviews in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Mark <br> Type | Number Sampled <br>  <br>  <br> Legal- <br> size |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |
| Marked | 332 | 7 | 339 |
| Unmarked | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ |

Table 8.4 Summary of coded-wire tags recovered from Chinook salmon harvested during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13. The field "Number DITs" corresponds to the number of tags that belonged to double-index tag groups

| Release <br> Domain | Release Region | Release Site | Rearing Location | CWTs <br> Recovered | No. <br> DITs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WA | Mid Puget Sound (40\%) | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | $2(40 \%)$ | 0 |
|  | S Puget Sound (60\%) | Clear Cr <br> 11.0013 C | Clear Creek Hatchery | $3(60 \%)$ | 2 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{y y}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |

Table 8.5 Total Chinook salmon encountered (retained and released) by private-boat anglers logging their trips on voluntary trip reports (VTRs) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13, with estimates of legal-size and overall (legal and sublegal) mark rates. $\mathrm{AD}=$ marked (adipose-clipped), $\mathrm{UM}=$ unmarked. Variances associated with size/mark-status proportions and mark rates are provided in parentheses.

| Data Source | Effort and Sample Size | Legal |  | Sublegal |  | Totals | Mark Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AD | UM | AD | UM |  | Overall | Legal |
| Private VTR | 11 1-trip VTRs, 16 Angler Trips | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0.93 | 0.86 |
| Size/mark | tatus composition: Variance: | $\begin{gathered} 0.40 \\ (0.0171) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \\ (0.0044) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.53 \\ (0.0178) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Table 8.6 List of sites sampled with the number of sampling events (site-days) during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Location Name | Number of Site Days Sampled Per Month |  |  |  | Total <br> Site- <br> Days of <br> Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May | June | July | August |  |  |  |
| Allyn Public Ramp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Arcadia Ramp | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $1.05 \%$ |
| Boston Harbor Ramp/Marina | 1 | 1 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 59 | $20.56 \%$ |
| Concrete Dock | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $0.70 \%$ |
| Day Island Marina | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| East Bay Marina/Ramp (Oly. Isle) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | $1.05 \%$ |
| Fox Island Public Ramp | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Gig Harbor Ramp | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | $2.44 \%$ |
| Hartstene Is. Ramp | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | $1.39 \%$ |
| Home Public Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | $1.05 \%$ |
| Longbranch Public Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Luhr Beach Ramp | 6 | 5 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 51 | $17.77 \%$ |
| Narrows Marina | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 12 | $4.18 \%$ |
| Narrows Park | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | $2.09 \%$ |
| Point Defiance Boathouse | 12 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 22 | $7.67 \%$ |
| Point Defiance Public Ramp | 11 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 42 | $14.63 \%$ |
| Redondo Ramp | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | $1.39 \%$ |
| Saltwater Park Ramp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Solo Point | 5 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 25 | $8.71 \%$ |
| Solo Point Shore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Steamboat Island Bridge | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Steilacoom Public Ramp | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $0.70 \%$ |
| Vaughn Public Ramp | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0.35 \%$ |
| Wauna Shore | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | $1.39 \%$ |
| Zittels Marina | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 30 | $10.45 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## 9) Marine Area 8-2 Summer Mark-Selective Shore Coho Fishery

Table 9.1 Observations of fishing effort, salmon harvest, and reported salmon releases, by week, for the 2017 summer shore Coho MSF in Marine Area 8-2 (Possession Point Shore Fishery). Note: displayed values are sample observations (summed across sampled sites) and not fishery-total estimates. AD = marked (adipose-clipped), UM = unmarked, UK = unknown mark status

| Month | Stat Week | Effort | Retained Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Released Fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Anglers | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Pink | Sockeye | Chinook |  |  | Coho |  |  | Cutthroat | Pink | Steelhead | Salmon UNK |
|  |  |  | AD | UM | UD | AD | UM | UD |  |  | AD | UM | UK | AD | UM | UK |  |  |  |  |
| Aug | 32 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 33 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 34 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 35 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | 36 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 29 | 53 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 15 |
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## APPENDICIES

## 1) SITE WEIGHTS

Appendix 1.1 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site <br> Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/1/2017 | 27 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.2061 | Van Riper's South | 0.1775 |
| 7/2/2017 | 27 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3464 | Van Riper's North | 0.1084 |
| 7/6/2017 | 28 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.2419 | Van Riper's South | 0.2109 |
| 7/8/2017 | 28 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3464 | Van Riper's South | 0.1775 |
| 7/9/2017 | 28 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.2061 | Curley's Resort | 0.0825 |
| 7/12/2017 | 29 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.2419 | Curley's Resort | 0.0892 |
| 7/14/2017 | 29 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3464 | Olson's West Docks (1159) | 0.0792 |
| 7/16/2017 | 29 | Van Riper's North | 0.1084 | Van Riper's South | 0.1775 |
| 7/20/2017 | 30 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.2102 | Van Riper's South | 0.2109 |
| 7/22/2017 | 30 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3464 | Van Riper's South | 0.1775 |
| 7/23/2017 | 30 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3464 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.2061 |
| 7/25/2017 | 31 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.1943 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.2671 |
| 7/29/2017 | 31 | Olson's West Docks (1159) | 0.0792 | Van Riper's South | 0.1775 |
| 7/30/2017 | 31 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3464 | Van Riper's North | 0.1084 |
| 8/2/2017 | 32 | Van Riper's North | 0.1856 | Van Riper's South | 0.1205 |
| 8/4/2017 | 32 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Van Riper's South | 0.1977 |
| 8/6/2017 | 32 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Olson's West Docks (1159) | 0.1065 |
| 8/8/2017 | 33 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.2417 | Van Riper's North | 0.1856 |
| 8/12/2017 | 33 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.1202 | Van Riper's South | 0.1977 |
| 8/13/2017 | 33 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Olson's West Docks (1159) | 0.1065 |
| 8/15/2017 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Olson’s West Docks } \\ & (1159) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.2265 | Van Riper's North | 0.1856 |
| 8/19/2017 | 34 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Curley's Resort | 0.0601 |
| 8/20/2017 | 34 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Van Riper's North | 0.1547 |
| 8/24/2017 | 35 | Olson's West Docks (1159) | 0.2728 | Van Riper's North | 0.201 |
| 8/25/2017 | 35 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.1202 |
| 8/27/2017 | 35 | Olson's Ramp (1159) | 0.3608 | Van Riper's North | 0.1547 |
| 8/30/2017 | 36 | Olson's East Docks (1159) | 0.0887 | Van Riper's South | 0.1238 |

Appendix 1.2 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 16 / 2017$ | 29 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1753 |
| $7 / 18 / 2017$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.3909 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1701 |
| $7 / 20 / 2017$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.3909 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0889 |
| $7 / 21 / 2017$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1753 |
| $7 / 22 / 2017$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Port Townsend Boat <br> Haven Ramp | 0.1717 |
| $7 / 23 / 2017$ | 30 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Port Townsend Boat <br> Haven Ramp | 0.1717 |
| $7 / 25 / 2017$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.3909 | Port Townsend Boat <br> Haven Ramp | 0.1983 |
| $7 / 26 / 2017$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.3909 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1701 |
| $7 / 28 / 2017$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Fort Casey Public Ramp | 0.1753 |
| $7 / 29 / 2017$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.0735 |
| $7 / 30 / 2017$ | 31 | Everett Ramp | 0.3843 | Port Townsend Boat <br> Haven Ramp | 0.1717 |

Appendix 1.3 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2017 summer catch and release and Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Sample Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 16 / 2017$ | 29 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4513 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1536 |
| $7 / 18 / 2017$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4945 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1634 |
| $7 / 20 / 2017$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4945 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1634 |
| $7 / 21 / 2017$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4513 | Brownsville <br> Marina/Dock/Ramp | 0.1014 |
| $7 / 22 / 2017$ | 30 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2184 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1536 |
| $7 / 23 / 2017$ | 30 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4513 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1536 |
| $7 / 25 / 2017$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4945 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.1836 |
| $7 / 26 / 2017$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4945 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1634 |
| $7 / 28 / 2017$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4513 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2184 |
| $7 / 29 / 2017$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4513 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1536 |
| $7 / 30 / 2017$ | 31 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4513 | Brownsville <br> Marina/Dock/Ramp | 0.1014 |
| $8 / 1 / 2017$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.461 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2716 |
| $8 / 2 / 2017$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.461 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2716 |
| $8 / 4 / 2017$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4032 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2836 |
| $8 / 6 / 2017$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4032 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2836 |
| $8 / 5 / 2017$ | 32 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4032 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.157 |
| $8 / 8 / 2017$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.461 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1251 |
| $8 / 11 / 2017$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4032 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2836 |
| $8 / 13 / 2017$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4032 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2836 |
| $8 / 12 / 2017$ | 33 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.4032 | Armeni Public Ramp | 0.2836 |
| $8 / 15 / 2017$ | 34 | Shilshole Public Ramp | 0.461 | Kingston Public Ramp | 0.1251 |

Appendix 1.4 Size measures by sample date, for sites sampled during dockside creel surveys in the 2017 summer catch and release and Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Sample <br> Date | Week | Location \#1 | Site Size | Location \#2 | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/1/2017 | 23 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4066 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1876 |
| 6/4/2017 | 23 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1177 |
| 6/7/2017 | 24 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4066 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1876 |
| 6/9/2017 | 24 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2128 |
| 6/10/2017 | 24 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2128 |
| 6/13/2017 | 25 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.2863 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4066 |
| 6/16/2017 | 25 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1177 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 |
| 6/18/2017 | 25 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2128 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 |
| 6/22/2017 | 26 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1876 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4066 |
| 6/23/2017 | 26 | Redondo Ramp | 0.1502 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 |
| 6/25/2017 | 26 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1177 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 |
| 6/3/2017 | 23 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2128 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.45 |
| 6/27/2017 | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4066 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1876 |
| 7/1/2017 | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 7/2/2017 | 27 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 7/8/2017 | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 7/9/2017 | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 7/6/2017 | 28 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5467 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1084 |
| 7/12/2017 | 29 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5467 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1532 |
| 7/14/2017 | 29 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2252 |
| 7/16/2017 | 29 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2252 |
| 7/22/2017 | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2252 |
| 7/23/2017 | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 7/20/2017 | 30 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5467 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1084 |
| 7/25/2017 | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.5467 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1084 |
| 7/29/2017 | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 7/30/2017 | 31 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4659 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1726 |
| 8/2/2017 | 32 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4125 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2002 |
| 8/4/2017 | 32 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2567 |
| 8/6/2017 | 32 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1089 |
| 8/8/2017 | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4125 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2002 |
| 8/15/2017 | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4125 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1554 |
| 8/12/2017 | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1089 |
| 8/13/2017 | 33 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2567 |
| 8/19/2017 | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2567 |
| 8/20/2017 | 34 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.0887 |
| 8/24/2017 | 35 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4125 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1554 |
| 8/30/2017 | 36 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4125 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.1554 |
| 8/25/2017 | 35 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2567 |
| 8/27/2017 | 35 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4816 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2567 |
| 9/1/2017 | 36 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3077 |
| 9/2/2017 | 36 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.0977 |
| 9/5/2017 | 37 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3514 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2335 |
| 9/11/2017 | 38 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3514 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2335 |
| 9/8/2017 | 37 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1138 |


| $9 / 10 / 2017$ | 37 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3077 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 15 / 2017$ | 38 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3077 |
| $9 / 17 / 2017$ | 38 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.0977 |
| $9 / 21 / 2017$ | 39 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3514 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.2335 |
| $9 / 27 / 2017$ | 40 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.3514 | Redondo Ramp | 0.2766 |
| $9 / 22 / 2017$ | 39 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Redondo Ramp | 0.3077 |
| $9 / 24 / 2017$ | 39 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Gig Harbor Ramp | 0.1138 |
| $9 / 29 / 2017$ | 40 | Point Defiance Public Ramp | 0.4551 | Point Defiance Boathouse | 0.0977 |

## 2) CWT RECOVERIES

Appendix 2.1 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5.

| Area | Recovery Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag } \\ & \text { Cone } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { Year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kerease } \\ & \text { Agency } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DIT Codes | FL (cm) | Label | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recovery } \\ \text { Mark } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 65 | CWT00019902 | AD |
| 5 | 1-Jul-17 | 90697 | 2012 | McKenzie R 1 | McKenzie Hatchery | ODFW |  | 78 | CWT00020002 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-17 | 211123 | 2014 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA | 211122 | 55 | CWT00019906 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 51 | CWT00019907 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-17 | 183285 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 60 | CWT00019908 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-17 | 211102 | 2013 | Sol Duc R 20.0096 | Lonesome Cr Hatchery | QUIL |  | 66 | CWT00019911 | AD |
| 5 | 2-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 53 | CWT00020003 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Jul-17 | 211123 | 2014 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA | 211122 | 66 | CWT00019909 | AD |
| 5 | 8-Jul-17 | 211132 | 2014 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 78 | CWT00011703 | AD |
| 5 | 8-Jul-17 | 636776 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Hupp Springs Rearing | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00020403 | AD |
| 5 | 9-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 61 | CWT00020005 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 51 | CWT00012105 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Jul-17 | 636814 | 2014 | Kendall Cr 01.0406 | Kendall Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00012106 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00011704 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-17 | 636894 | 2014 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 57 | CWT00012107 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-17 | 182574 | 2013 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 85 | CWT00012108 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Jul-17 | 182697 | 2014 | R-Sandy Cv | H-Sandy Cove Seapen | CDFO |  | 66 | CWT00020015 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 51 | CWT00020407 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-17 | 211088 | 2013 | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 94 | CWT00020424 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00020425 | AD |
| 5 | 19-Jul-17 | 636817 | 2014 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 53 | CWT00020427 | AD |
| 5 | 20-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 67 | CWT00012112 | AD |
| 5 | 21-Jul-17 | 636790 | 2014 | Cowlitz R 26.0002 | Cowlitz Salmon Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00012113 | AD |
| 5 | 21-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 69 | CWT00020018 | AD |
| 5 | 21-Jul-17 | 200108 | 2013 | Omak Pond | Chief Joseph Hatchery | CCT |  | 77 | CWT00020428 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 65 | CWT00012114 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 182575 | 2013 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 71 | CWT00020019 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 65 | CWT00020429 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 51 | CWT00020430 | AD |


| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 73 | CWT00020431 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 56 | CWT00020432 | AD |
| 5 | 22-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | CWT00020433 | AD |
| 5 | 23-Jul-17 | 211177 | 2015 | White R 10.0031 | White River Hatchery | MIT |  | 40 | CWT00012116 | UM |
| 5 | 23-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 64 | CWT00020020 | AD |
| 5 | 23-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00020408 | AD |
| 5 | 24-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 50 | CWT00020021 | AD |
| 5 | 24-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00020022 | AD |
| 5 | 26-Jul-17 | 183270 | 2013 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 73 | CWT00012117 | AD |
| 5 | 27-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00012118 | AD |
| 5 | 27-Jul-17 | 183897 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 72 | CWT00012119 | AD |
| 5 | 27-Jul-17 | 636963 | 2015 | Elwha R 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | WDFW |  | 54 | CWT00020014 | AD |
| 5 | 30-Jul-17 | 636669 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636670 | 68 | CWT00020023 | AD |
| 5 | 30-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 55 | CWT00020435 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-17 | 182783 | 2013 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 78 | CWT00014001 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 63 | CWT00020007 | AD |
| 5 | 3-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | CWT00020439 | AD |
| 5 | 4-Aug-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00020028 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | CWT00020030 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Aug-17 | 183897 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 78 | CWT00020440 | AD |
| 5 | 6-Aug-17 | 211088 | 2013 | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 87 | CWT00020441 | AD |
| 5 | 8-Aug-17 | 211092 | 2013 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636493 | 65 | CWT00020033 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Aug-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 65 | CWT00013994 | AD |
| 5 | 10-Aug-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00020409 | AD |
| 5 | 11-Aug-17 | 211088 | 2013 | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 73 | CWT00013993 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Aug-17 | 211088 | 2013 | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00013992 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Aug-17 | 220233 | 2013 | Magrudor Corridor | Nez Perce Tribal Hatchery | NPT |  | 82 | CWT00020034 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Aug-17 | 211131 | 2014 | Hoko R 19.0148 | Hoko Falls Hatchery | MAKAH |  |  | CWT00020445 | AD |
| 5 | 12-Aug-17 | 55730 | 2014 | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr NFH | USFWS |  | 53 | CWT00020446 | AD |
| 5 | 15-Aug-17 | 55683 | 2014 | Tsoo-Yess R 20.0015 | Makah NFH On Tsoo- <br> Yess R | USFWS |  | 58 | CWT00013990 | AD |

Appendix 2.2 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 6.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIT } \\ & \text { Codes } \end{aligned}$ | FL (cm) | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 71 | CWT00012202 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 58 | CWT00011807 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 211067 | 2012 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 83 | CWT00012203 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 636894 | 2014 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 64 | CWT00019904 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 636781 | 2014 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | CWT00019905 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00020202 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 636667 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00020204 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 211104 | 2013 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 65 | CWT00020205 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 636667 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00020206 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 58 | CWT00020207 | AD |
| 6 | 7-Jul-17 | 636669 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636670 | 62 | CWT00011808 | AD |
| 6 | 7-Jul-17 | 211091 | 2013 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636499 | 67 | CWT00012002 | AD |
| 6 | 8-Jul-17 | 55730 | 2014 | Spring Cr 29.0159 | Spring Cr Nfh | USFWS |  | 80 | CWT00012003 | AD |
| 6 | 8-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | CWT00012004 | AD |
| 6 | 8-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 77 | CWT00012005 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 636636 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00011809 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 636824 | 2014 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636825 | 63 | CWT00011810 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00011811 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00012007 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 636503 | 2012 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636502 | 66 | CWT00012008 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 56 | CWT00012009 | AD |
| 6 | 9-Jul-17 | 211104 | 2013 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 73 | CWT00020208 | AD |
| 6 | 12-Jul-17 | 211092 | 2013 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636493 | 65 | CWT00020209 | AD |
| 6 | 13-Jul-17 | 636833 | 2014 | Elwha R 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | WDFW |  | 75 | CWT00012010 | AD |
| 6 | 13-Jul-17 | 211096 | 2013 | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | MIT |  | 77 | CWT00012011 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00020211 | AD |
| 6 | 16-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00020212 | AD |


| 6 | 17-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00012014 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 19-Jul-17 | 636669 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636670 | 80 | CWT00011812 | AD |
| 6 | 22-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 60 | CWT00011813 | AD |
| 6 | 22-Jul-17 | 211088 | 2013 | Co Line Pd2 03.1853B | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 87 | CWT00020213 | AD |
| 6 | 23-Jul-17 | 90653 | 2013 | Elk R | Elk R Hatchery | ODFW |  | 80 | CWT00020214 | AD |
| 6 | 28-Jul-17 | 636958 | 2015 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  |  | 96573 | AD |
| 6 | 28-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 79 | CWT00012015 | AD |
| 6 | 30-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 77 | CWT00012016 | AD |
| 6 | 30-Jul-17 | 60657 | 2014 | Mare Island Net Pen | Feather R Hatchery | CDFW |  | 75 | CWT00012017 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Aug-17 | 636659 | 2013 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 636660 | 74 | CWT00020215 | AD |
| 6 | 2-Aug-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 78 | CWT00020216 | AD |
| 6 | 3-Aug-17 | 636833 | 2014 | Elwha R 18.0272 | Elwha Hatchery | WDFW |  | 76 | CWT00012018 | AD |
| 6 | 4-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | CWT00012019 | AD |
| 6 | 8-Aug-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 61 | CWT00012021 | AD |

Appendix 2.3 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 7.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{FL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1-Jul-17 | 183865 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 64 | CWT00011406 | AD |
| 7 | 1-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 53 | CWT00011407 | AD |
| 7 | 2-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 72 | CWT00011202 | AD |
| 7 | 2-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 68 | CWT00011408 | AD |
| 7 | 2-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 57 | CWT00016604 | AD |
| 7 | 6-Jul-17 | 182781 | 2013 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 80 | CWT00011203 | AD |
| 7 | 6-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | CWT00011409 | AD |
| 7 | 6-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 59 | CWT00011502 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Jul-17 | 183282 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 63 | CWT00011204 | AD |
| 7 | 8-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00011608 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Jul-17 | 183485 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 71 | CWT00011205 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Jul-17 | 636894 | 2014 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 68 | CWT00011206 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Jul-17 | 636669 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636670 | 77 | CWT00016605 | AD |
| 7 | 9-Jul-17 | 183485 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 60 | CWT00016802 | AD |
| 7 | 10-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00016803 | AD |
| 7 | 10-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 53 | CWT00016804 | AD |
| 7 | 11-Jul-17 | 183865 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 77 | CWT00011410 | AD |
| 7 | 11-Jul-17 | 636667 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00011411 | AD |
| 7 | 11-Jul-17 | 183279 | 2014 | R-Shuswap R Low | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 71 | CWT00011412 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00011606 | AD |
| 7 | 12-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00011607 | AD |
| 7 | 14-Jul-17 | 636894 | 2014 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 73 | 84918 | AD |
| 7 | 14-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | 84919 | AD |
| 7 | 14-Jul-17 | 636817 | 2014 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | 84921 | AD |
| 7 | 14-Jul-17 | 637047 | 2015 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 54 | CWT00011207 | AD |
| 7 | 14-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 67 | CWT00011413 | AD |
| 7 | 15-Jul-17 | 180270 | 2014 | R-Shuswap R Middle | H-Shuswap River, Middle, | CDFO |  | 64 | 97951 | AD |


| 7 | 15-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00016606 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 16-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 63 | 62366 | AD |
| 7 | 16-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 67 | 84936 | AD |
| 7 | 16-Jul-17 | 636580 | 2012 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 78 | 84937 | UNK |
| 7 | 16-Jul-17 | 636824 | 2014 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636825 | 61 | 84938 | UNK |
| 7 | 16-Jul-17 | 636819 | 2014 | Friday Cr 03.0017 | Samish Hatchery | WDFW |  | 72 | 84939 | AD |
| 7 | 18-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 74 | CWT00011602 | AD |
| 7 | 18-Jul-17 | 636659 | 2013 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 636660 | 67 | CWT00013302 | AD |
| 7 | 20-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 64 | CWT00011208 | AD |
| 7 | 21-Jul-17 | 636894 | 2014 | East Sound Bay (San) | Glenwood Springs | COOP |  | 56 | CWT00011304 | AD |

Appendix 2.4 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 9.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{F L} \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00012922 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 54 | CWT00012923 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | CWT00013060 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 68 | CWT00013061 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211187 | 2015 | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | PUYA |  | 53 | CWT00013062 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00013063 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00013076 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 63 | CWT00013078 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211051 | 2012 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636286 | 64 | CWT00013103 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 61 | CWT00013105 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 64 | CWT00013304 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 63 | CWT00013305 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211101 | 2013 | Tulalip Cr 07.0001 | Bernie Gobin Hatch | TULA | 211099 | 78 | CWT00013307 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 66 | CWT00013309 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 56 | CWT00013402 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636955 | 2015 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW |  |  | CWT00013406 | NA |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 69 | CWT00013407 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 53 | CWT00013410 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 79 | CWT00020102 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 73 | CWT00020104 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 71 | CWT00020105 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 |  | CWT00020106 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00020123 | AD |
| 9 | 16-Jul-17 | 636669 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636670 | 79 | CWT00020124 | AD |
| 9 | 17-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 63 | CWT00020125 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | CWT00013064 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00013080 | AD |


| 9 | 18-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 56 | CWT00013112 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 18-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 67 | CWT00013403 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-17 | 636659 | 2013 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 636660 | 77 | CWT00020107 | AD |
| 9 | 18-Jul-17 | 211187 | 2015 | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | PUYA |  | 56 | CWT00020108 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 58 | CWT00013037 | AD |
| 9 | 20-Jul-17 | 636636 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00013405 | AD |
| 9 | 21-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 64 | CWT00012928 | AD |
| 9 | 21-Jul-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 67 | CWT00012930 | AD |
| 9 | 21-Jul-17 | 636960 | 2015 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 54 | CWT00013303 | AD |
| 9 | 21-Jul-17 | 183284 | 2014 | R-Cowichan R | H-Cowichan River H | CDFO |  | 67 | CWT00013412 | AD |
| 9 | 21-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00020109 | AD |
| 9 | 21-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 61 | CWT00020127 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00012915 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 68 | CWT00012929 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00013068 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-17 | 636635 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00013069 | AD |
| 9 | 22-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 56 | CWT00013083 | AD |
| 9 | 23-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00012941 | AD |
| 9 | 23-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 58 | CWT00012950 | AD |
| 9 | 23-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 57 | CWT00013087 | AD |
| 9 | 23-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | CWT00020129 | AD |
| 9 | 25-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00020111 | AD |
| 9 | 25-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 |  | CWT00020130 | AD |
| 9 | 25-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 67 | CWT00020132 | AD |
| 9 | 26-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 69 | CWT00013070 | AD |
| 9 | 26-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 62 | CWT00020133 | AD |
| 9 | 28-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00013071 | AD |
| 9 | 28-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00013088 | AD |
| 9 | 28-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 76 | CWT00020134 | AD |
| 9 | 28-Jul-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 68 | CWT00020136 | AD |


| 9 | 29-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 58 | CWT00012925 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 29-Jul-17 | 211104 | 2013 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 61 | CWT00012932 | AD |
| 9 | 29-Jul-17 | 636659 | 2013 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 636660 | 67 | CWT00013089 | AD |
| 9 | 30-Jul-17 | 200118 | 2014 | Similkameen R 490325 | Similkameen Hatchery | WDFW |  | CWT00012919 | AD |  |
| 9 | 30-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | CWT00013090 | AD |
| 9 | 30-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 74 | CWT00013091 | AD |
| 9 | 30-Jul-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | CWT00013416 | AD |
| 9 | 30-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  |  | CWT00020140 | AD |

Appendix 2.5 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 10.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | Brood Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{F L} \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 16-Jul-17 | 211170 | 2015 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ |  | 39 | CWT00013012 | AD |
| 10 | 21-Jul-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00013020 | AD |
| 10 | 22-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | CWT00017274 | AD |
| 10 | 28-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00013026 | AD |
| 10 | 29-Jul-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 67 | CWT00013110 | AD |
| 10 | 30-Jul-17 | 636669 | 2013 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW | 636670 | 68 | CWT00013048 | AD |
| 10 | 30-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00013111 | AD |
| 10 | 30-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00013115 | AD |
| 10 | 2-Aug-17 | 211187 | 2015 | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | PUYA |  | 53 | CWT00013032 | AD |
| 10 | 4-Aug-17 | 183898 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 64 | 62948 | UNK |
| 10 | 5-Aug-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 66 | CWT00012964 | AD |
| 10 | 5-Aug-17 | 636659 | 2013 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 636660 | 73 | CWT00013035 | AD |
| 10 | 5-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00013072 | AD |
| 10 | 5-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | CWT00013446 | AD |
| 10 | 6-Aug-17 | 636635 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 80 | CWT00013053 | AD |
| 10 | 9-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | CWT00013092 | AD |
| 10 | 9-Aug-17 | 211092 | 2013 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636493 | 82 | CWT00013449 | AD |
| 10 | 10-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 58 | CWT00013095 | AD |
| 10 | 10-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 64 | CWT00013096 | AD |
| 10 | 10-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00013097 | AD |
| 10 | 10-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | CWT00013130 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00008910 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 52 | CWT00008912 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 64 | CWT00008925 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00008926 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 54 | CWT00012965 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 79 | CWT00012966 | AD |


| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00013059 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 70 | CWT00013120 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | CWT00013122 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00013131 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 74 | CWT00013420 | AD |
| 10 | 11-Aug-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 73 | CWT00013421 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 61 | CWT00008702 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | CWT00008902 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636917 | 2015 | Gorst Cr 15.0216 | Gorst Cr Rearing Pnd | SUQ |  | 40 | CWT00008904 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 53 | CWT00008905 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00008914 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00008916 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 57 | CWT00012911 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 72 | CWT00013013 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 68 | CWT00013056 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 66 | CWT00013123 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | CWT00013124 | AD |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 636649 | 2013 | Palmer Hatchery | Keta Creek Complex | MIT |  | 72 | CWT00013132 | UM |
| 10 | 12-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 54 | CWT00013203 | AD |
| 10 | 13-Aug-17 | 211187 | 2015 | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | PUYA |  | 50 | CWT00012921 | AD |
| 10 | 13-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | CWT00013011 | AD |
| 10 | 13-Aug-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00013134 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | 81350 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 71 | CWT00009002 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Aug-17 | 636659 | 2013 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW | 636660 | 67 | CWT00009003 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Aug-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 60 | CWT00009004 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Aug-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 57 | CWT00009005 | AD |
| 10 | 14-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 78 | CWT00013207 | AD |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | 87618 | AD |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 63 | CWT00008706 | AD |


| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 211091 | 2013 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636499 | 78 | CWT00008924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 70 | CWT00009007 |
| AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 58 | CWT00009008 |
| AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 21134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 72 | CWT00012968 |
| AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 59 | CWT00012970 |
| 10 | AD-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00013005 |
| AD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 56 | CWT00013208 |

Appendix 2.6 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 11.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{F L} \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1-Jun-17 | 636498 | 2013 | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | WDFW |  | 77 | CWT00017277 | AD |
| 11 | 3-Jun-17 | 211177 | 2015 | White R 10.0031 | White River Hatchery | MIT |  | 34 | CWT00017251 | UM |
| 11 | 4-Jun-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 58 | CWT00017250 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Jun-17 | 636497 | 2013 | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | WDFW |  | 57 | CWT00017287 | AD |
| 11 | 5-Jun-17 | 636497 | 2013 | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | WDFW |  | 76 | CWT00017209 | AD |
| 11 | 9-Jun-17 | 211132 | 2014 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 68 | CWT00017276 | AD |
| 11 | 11-Jun-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  |  | CWT00017210 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Jun-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | CWT00017278 | AD |
| 11 | 2-Jul-17 | 636497 | 2013 | Minter Cr Tr 15.0051 | Hupp Springs Rearing | WDFW |  | 75 | CWT00017286 | AD |
| 11 | 9-Jul-17 | 90940 | 2014 | McKenzie R 1 | McKenzie Hatchery | ODFW |  | 58 | CWT00017212 | AD |
| 11 | 16-Jul-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00017279 | AD |
| 11 | 20-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 72 | CWT00017280 | AD |
| 11 | 23-Jul-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00017270 | AD |
| 11 | 23-Jul-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 72 | CWT00017271 | AD |
| 11 | 23-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 73 | CWT00017281 | AD |
| 11 | 23-Jul-17 | 211162 | 2015 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 54 | CWT00017288 | AD |
| 11 | 28-Jul-17 | 183966 | 2015 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 56 | CWT00013109 | AD |
| 11 | 29-Jul-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 54 | CWT00017214 | AD |
| 11 | 30-Jul-17 | 183391 | 2014 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 79 | CWT00017248 | AD |
| 11 | 2-Aug-17 | 211170 | 2015 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ |  | 54 | CWT00017247 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Aug-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 73 | 62942 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00017103 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Aug-17 | 183965 | 2015 | R-Harrison R | H-Chehalis River H | CDFO |  | 60 | CWT00017254 | AD |
| 11 | 4-Aug-17 | 636958 | 2015 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 46 | CWT00017273 | AD |
| 11 | 5-Aug-17 | 636794 | 2014 | Wallace R 07.0940 | Wallace R Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | 87583 | AD |
| 11 | 7-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 60 | CWT00017269 | AD |
| 11 | 7-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 78 | CWT00017284 | AD |


| 11 | 9-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 71 | CWT00014702 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 10-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 62 | CWT00014703 | AD |
| 11 | 11-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 69 | CWT00014704 | AD |
| 11 | 12-Aug-17 | 183898 | 2014 | R-Chilliwack R | H-Chilliwack River H | CDFO |  | 78 | 70902 | AD |
| 11 | 12-Aug-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 76 | 70903 | AD |
| 11 | 12-Aug-17 | 211134 | 2014 | Grovers Cr 15.0299 | Grovers Cr Hatchery | SUQ | 636815 | 60 | CWT00014715 | AD |
| 11 | 12-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 57 | CWT00017218 | AD |
| 11 | 13-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 76 | CWT00013721 | AD |
| 11 | 13-Aug-17 | 636950 | 2015 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 36 | CWT00017216 | AD |
| 11 | 13-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00017289 | AD |
| 11 | 14-Aug-17 | 211187 | 2015 | Clarks Crk Hatchery | Clarks Crk Hatchery | PUYA |  | 46 | CWT00014707 | AD |
| 11 | 15-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 70 | CWT00014716 | AD |
| 11 | 19-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 58 | CWT00013136 | AD |
| 11 | 19-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 56 | CWT00017242 | NA |
| 11 | 20-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 63 | CWT00013210 | AD |
| 11 | 20-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | CWT00013745 | AD |
| 11 | 20-Aug-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 56 | CWT00014705 | AD |
| 11 | 20-Aug-17 | 636927 |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | CWT00014708 | UM |
| 11 | 20-Aug-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 80 | CWT00017233 | AD |
| 11 | 20-Aug-17 | 636944 | 2015 | Cascade R 03.1411 | Marblemount Hatchery | WDFW |  | 43 | CWT00017262 | UM |
| 11 | 23-Aug-17 | 211162 | 2015 | Whitehorse Springs | Whitehorse Pond | STIL |  | 54 | CWT00014725 | AD |
| 11 | 24-Aug-17 | 211148 | 2014 | Kalama Cr 11.0017 | Kalama Cr Hatchery | NISQ |  | 66 | CWT00013137 | AD |
| 11 | 25-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 |  | CWT00013138 | AD |
| 11 | 25-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 67 | CWT00013730 | AD |
| 11 | 25-Aug-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 64 | CWT00014726 | AD |
| 11 | 26-Aug-17 | 636810 | 2014 | Minter Cr 15.0048 | Minter Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 72 | CWT00017231 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Aug-17 | 636822 | 2014 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00013726 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Aug-17 | 636925 | 2015 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 39 | CWT00013727 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 73 | CWT00014727 | AD |
| 11 | 27-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 70 | CWT00017230 | AD |


| 11 | 28-Aug-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 57 | CWT00013213 | AD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 1-Sep-17 | 636811 | 2014 | Voight Cr 10.0414 | Voights Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 76 | CWT00013144 | AD |
| 11 | 1-Sep-17 | 636958 | 2015 | Big Soos Cr 09.0072 | Soos Creek Hatchery | WDFW |  | 46 | CWT00014729 | AD |
| 11 | 1-Sep-17 | 211170 | 2015 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ |  | 52 | CWT00014730 | AD |

Appendix 2.7 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 12.

| Area | Recovery Date | Tag Code | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Brood } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release Agency | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DIT } \\ \text { Codes } \end{gathered}$ | FL (cm) | Label | Recovery Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 2-Jul-17 | 636960 | 2015 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 49 | CWT00017219 | AD |
| 12 | 23-Jul-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 63 | CWT00017220 | AD |
| 12 | 5-Aug-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 72 | CWT00017223 | AD |
| 12 | 9-Aug-17 | 636635 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 87 | CWT00017221 | AD |
| 12 | 10-Aug-17 | 636667 | 2013 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 80 | CWT00017222 | AD |
| 12 | 12-Aug-17 | 636674 | 2013 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW |  | 76 | CWT00014803 | AD |
| 12 | 13-Aug-17 | 636827 | 2014 | Purdy Cr 16.0005 | George Adams Hatchery | WDFW | 636828 | 70 | CWT00014804 | AD |
| 12 | 24-Aug-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 65 | CWT00017300 | AD |
| 12 | 9-Sep-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 74 | CWT00014808 | AD |
| 12 | 10-Sep-17 | 636813 | 2014 | Finch Cr 16.0222 | Hoodsport Hatchery | WDFW |  | 68 | CWT00014822 | AD |

Appendix 2.8 Coded-wire tag (CWT) recoveries in the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 13.

| Area | Recovery <br> Date | Tag <br> Code | Brood <br> Year | Release Site | Rearing Hatchery | Release <br> Agency | DIT <br> Codes | FL (cm) | Label | Recovery <br> Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 20-May-17 | 211137 | 2014 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636816 | 65 | CWT00017253 | AD |
| 13 | 10-Jun-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 66 | CWT00017235 | AD |
| 13 | 12-Aug-17 | 636789 | 2014 | Icy Cr 09.0125 | Icy Cr Hatchery | WDFW |  | 55 | 62946 | AD |
| 13 | 25-Aug-17 | 211091 | 2013 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ | 636499 | 66 | CWT00017264 | AD |
| 13 | 16-Sep-17 | 211170 | 2015 | Clear Cr 11.0013C | Clear Creek Hatchery | NISQ |  | 43 | CWT00017292 | AD |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The regulations specific to summer mark-selective fisheries in Puget Sound Marine Catch Areas allowed for the retention of up to two legal-sized ( $\geq 22$ inches [ 56 cm ]) marked Chinook salmon per day and required the immediate release of all unmarked or sublegal Chinook salmon. Additionally, anglers were: $i$ ) required to use single-point, barbless hooks while fishing for salmon, $i i$ ) held to a combined (all salmon species) two-fish daily limit, and iii) held to a handling rule that prevented them from bringing unmarked and/or sublegal Chinook aboard their vessels.
    ${ }^{2}$ For information regarding effort, harvest and impacts estimates related to these fisheries, see the references listed at the end of this report, or visit: http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/search.php?Cat=Fishing / Shellfishing\&SubCat=Selective Fishing.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ Number of retained Chinook salmon sampled includes all retained Chinook salmon inspected for CWT's, from all sites sampled during the 2017 summer Chinook MSF in Marine Area 5 (creel estimates and fish sampled as part of baseline sampling).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The length and CWT composition of landed catch was assessed on a season-wide basis for impact estimation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Though samples were collected, DNA-based estimates of stock composition are not yet available for this fishery.

