SEPA RESPONSE: Public Comments and WDFW responses to public comments received during the public review of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan draft under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) from March 30, 2018 through May 25, 2018 (5:00 pm)

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

This table includes responses made during the full public comment period through SEPA, written comments at the public meeting, emails directly to WDFW staff, and emails to the Snoqualmie Planning Email.

For ease of review, the comments are grouped roughly by topic, starting with the non-dog focused comments. Out of 146 comments received, 94 of them mentioned something about dogs on the wildlife area. One response was written to address these comments. Instead of repeating it for each comment, the text is provided here, and is referred to as "Response #1" throughout the document.

**Response #1:** Thank you for your comment regarding dog training or other dog-related issues on the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area. Because of the strong interest, the comment period was extended an additional 30 days for a total of 60 days in order to allow more time for comments.

The management plan includes an action for the Snoqualmie advisory committee to address dog training and other issues related to the presence of dogs on the wildlife area. Monthly meetings have been scheduled through December, and the WDFW Regional Wildlife Program Manager will facilitate these meetings. Discussion topics will include year-round bird dog training, bird dog training events and trials, and the Cherry Valley farm and maintenance plans. There will also be coordination with bird dog training representatives, other interested and affected stakeholders, and the WDFW Region 4 District Team. The SEPA comments received will be considered through that process.

The current plan supports continued dog training at Cherry Valley and Crescent Lake.

# For the 2018 bird dog training season, the 2017 Pilot Plan is in effect.

<u>Crescent Lake</u>: 11.5 acres year round bird dog training in designated area <u>Cherry Valley</u>: 65 acres year round bird dog training in designated areas except during hunting season. During hunting season: 25-30 acres

## In addition:

- WDFW is committed to working with the bird dog training community to provide opportunities for bird dog training events and trials.
- WDFW worked with the farmer to incorporate bird dog training community concerns related to farming at Cherry Valley.
- The bird dog training maintenance plan for Cherry Valley (6/1/18) is currently being implemented.

WDFW has many things to consider in the management of these units, and dog training opportunities must be balanced with the conservation goals and other recreation opportunities. No lands on the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area were donated or purchased specifically for dog training. However, some funding agreements mention dog training as one of the uses that may occur on the wildlife area. Crescent Lake and Cherry Valley were purchased primarily for hunting, wildlife conservation, and wildlife related recreation.

Imposing a permit fee for dog training as was mentioned in the comments requires Washington State Legislature to grant the authority to WDFW to charge a fee.

Relevant rules and regulations on dogs are provided here for reference.

The hunting regulations in the Washington State Migratory Waterfowl & Upland Game Bird Season, p.17, describe the bird dog training season as August 1 – March 31. It also states that "Dog training may be conducted year-round on designated portions.... [of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area]. The Crescent Lake and Cherry Valley units have designated areas for year-round bird dog training opportunities.

<u>WAC 232-12-041</u> describes the permit required for holding field trials. "(1) Except as authorized by permit issued by the director it is unlawful to hold field trials for hunting dogs during the months of April, May, June, and July. Field trials on department lands or those involving use of live wildlife may not be held without a permit.

(2) Applications for a field trial permit must be filed with the department at least thirty days before the proposed date for holding such trials. The application shall state the time and place the field trials will be held, the names of sponsors and persons who will conduct the trials, and such other information as the director may require."

<u>WAC 232-13-180</u> describes the regulation of pets on the wildlife areas. "(1) The department may prohibit or regulate pets, except for bona fide service animals for persons with disabilities, on department lands. (2) It is unlawful for any person to allow pets to roam unattended on department lands. (3)(a) It is unlawful to cause or allow a pet to roam unleashed on department-owned lands from April 1 through July 31, unless posted otherwise. (b) It is unlawful to cause or allow a pet to roam unleashed on designated access sites or within five hundred feet of a designated campground on department lands".

Public comments and response follows.

	Table comments and response jone vs.	
	PUBLIC COMMENT	WDFW RESPONSE
1	As a resident of Snohomish County I have visited Spencer Island, in particular, many times over the past years. I am a wildlife photographer, birder, and advocate of watchable wildlife policies. I support the new management plan for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Areas. Thank you for inviting the public to participate in the planning process for Snoqualmie Wildlife Areas. Respectfully.  -Joan Poor	Thank you for your support of the plan.
2	I am a birder and a naturalist, and I support the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan in its present form. The plan provides significant preservation and conservation values in areas that well-deserve such treatment and protection. Sincerely, -Reg Reisenbichler	Thank you for your support of the plan, specifically Goals 1 and 2.
3	Having had the pleasure of visiting and/or doing habitat restoration work at four of the six areas in the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area, I support the plan as written. As the population increases in our area, it is essential to have areas like these where one may go for a restorative outdoor experience. I appreciate your work on this management plan and look forward to my next outing. Sincerely, -Kathryn Piland	Thank you for your support of the plan and your restoration work on the wildlife area.
4	We are writing in support of this plan for the management of this WLA over the next 10 years. These areas provide badly needed habitat and protection for many species of wildlife and the focus of management should be based on science and policies that put the needs of wildlife first. Passive recreation, such as hiking, birdwatching, and photography are enjoyed by a wide segment of the population, and these activities do not conflict with the wildlife management goals. We have spent many hours walking	Thank you for your support of the plan, specifically Goals 1 and 2.

	the trails around Spencer Island, near our home, and it is most pleasant to see the wildlife in their habitat, not being bothered by human intrusions.	Rosponso #1
	While there is a place for hunting and the training of dogs in our state, it makes sense to confine those activities to areas where they are not in conflict with other goals. The percentage of the population that engages in these activities is relatively small, even though they may make up a more vocal group in terms of the comments you receive. When hunting and dogs are present wildlife is harder to observe and photograph, and needless to say these activities are stressful for the birds and other animals. We support the plan as written, with an emphasis on conservation and protection of wildlife.  Thanks for the opportunity to comment on this plan,	Response #1
	-Sally and Bill Lider	
5	I am a birder who is active with both Pilchuck and Seattle Audubon Societies. My comments represent many others. Both Audubon groups offer field trips to the Stillwater Unit, and Pilchuck offers field trips with stops at the others with the exception of the Cherry Valley Unit. Pilchuck Audubon even averages a field trip once a year (with prior communication with Lynn Dye) to the Corson Unit. Many of us also individually bird many of the areas with the exception of Cherry Valley and Corson. As Audubon members we are in support of conservation goals. I am pleased to see the inclusion of goals to increase staff support and interpretive efforts toward educating people regarding wildlife and our usage of the wildlife areas. We are in support of the management plan. Thank you -Sherrill Miller, Pilchuck Audubon	Thank you for your support of the plan.
6	Thank you so much for developing a plan for the Snoqualmie wildlife units. I just want to note how important these areas are for our birds, and for so many of us who are part of the birding community and Audubon. These areas are important nesting areas for marsh birds, including American Bittern, Sora, Virginia Rail, Marsh Wren, Common Yellowthroat, Willow Flycatcher, Red-winged Blackbird, Bald Eagles, and other raptors. They also provide important wintering habitat for our ducks and other waterfowl, and migration stopovers for many passerines.  I am a volunteer for the Puget Sound Bird Observatory, which conducts surveys of breeding "secretive marsh birds" to determine the health of their populations in our area wetlands, including areas within your jurisdiction. Many of these birds are threatened by development, climate change and other factors, and they depend on our wildlife units. In addition, as an active birder, I join hundreds of other local people who enjoy watching the birds and other wildlife at your units, while countless other people enjoy walking the trails you provide. Thank you.  -Philip Dickinson	Thank you for your support of the plan and for your volunteer work.
7	I very much support the plan as written as it provides protection for wildlife at a time when habitat loss is ever increasing. I have been birdwatching in the wildlife area and can't imagine using these places for dog training. How disruptive would that be. Surely the dogs could be trained at other areas that would not be so sensitive. It would also be very disruptive for birdwatchers, although that is not the important issue.	Thank you for your support of the plan.
	I do not feel there is an obligation to use a wildlife area for dog training. Please leave the plan as is and protect the wildlife for our children. Thank youJanet Carroll	Response #1

8	It is apparent to any who are paying attention, that more and more disparate needs are vying for less and less available spaces. I wish to add my small voice to the community trying to protect these dwindling sites for the birds and other wildlife using them. Dogs are not wildlife, and are a threat merely by their presence, regardless of their behavior. I cannot count how many times I have been quietly trying to observe birds or other wildlife, only to be interrupted by an overly-exuberant dog running through the scene. Yes, it is clear there are ever-increasing numbers of dogs, but that doesn't mean they belong everywhere their owners believe they do. The humans don't necessarily belong, either, and I will respect any area off-limits to us, too. It seems to me that there are no places left, anywhere, that are dog-free, and those of us seeking wildlife without them are dismissed. We do not tend to be the loudest, or most aggressive, to be advocating for what should be an absolute given - areas protected for wildlife to feed, nest and live without bother and interruption. Please keep the wildlife areas wild.  -Cathy Clark	Thank you for your support of the plan, specifically Goals 1 and 2.  Response #1
9	Increase pheasant release numbers and frequency at Snoqualmie valley sites, and scatter the birds more across the parcel, thus dispersing hunters. Regular scheduled plantings allow for predictability and can allow people to hunt during the week and take the more crowded weekends off.  -Ken Bowman	Supports Goal 3.B to provide pheasant hunting opportunities.
10	I fully support the department's efforts to conserve any and all areas. This, by definition, means fewer or no ATV activity, no motorcycles (on or off road), fewer heman trucks with the 22" wheels with supplemented exhaust, twin whip CB antennas, able to drive over 14" logs, etc.  Let people get out ON FOOT and enjoy what "nature" means without internal combustion engines and pretending that "nature" always blasting something.  I also fully support drastically reduced or eliminated hunting on these lands. If angling is involved, catch and release only with barbless hooks.  Nature should be enjoyed with binoculars.  Fewer "John Wayne 'Sportsmen'" please. If this is not understood, "John Wayne" was not a real entity. He was a pretend entity. Please preserve  Jimlorihughes	Thank you for support of the conservation efforts. Off road vehicle use is not allowed on the wildlife area. Under state law, WDFW is charged with "preserving, protecting, and perpetuating" the state's fish and wildlife species, while also providing sustainable recreational opportunities. Fishing and hunting are recreational opportunities supported on WDFW managed lands.
11	p. 34: Vision should include natural process restoration to improve conditions of fish, wildlife, and recreational opportunities. Proposed actions should include the long-term objective of a levee setback to this end, as was proposed by DD7 through floodplains by design.  -Jamie Glasgow, Wild fish Conservancy	Specific details of the restoration plan will be developed in coordination with the WAAC and other interested stakeholders.
12	p. 34: unit description should include documented presence of two ESA-listed salmonids and state-sensitive Olympic mudminnow. Also extensive use of aquatic habitats by rearing coho salmonJamie Glasgow, Wild fish Conservancy	The presence of Puget Sound Chinook salmon, Puget Sound steelhead, and Olympic mudminnow were added to the text, as was use of habitat by rearing coho salmon.
13	p. 72: (table 7) Add Olympic mudminnow (SS) for Cherry Valley -Jamie Glasgow, Wild fish Conservancy	Olympic mudminnow has been added to Table 7 on species conservation status.

14	p. 72: (potential species) We documented western pearlshell mussels in Cherry Valley	Western pearlshell mussels
	during the de-fishing of laterals B, C, and D.	have been added to the list.
	-Jamie Glasgow, Wild fish Conservancy	
15	p. 72: (invasive species) We documented catfish, LM bass, crappie in Cherry Valley:	Catfish, LM bass, and crappie
	-Jamie Glasgow, Wild fish Conservancy	have been added to the list
		of invasive species.
16	Please address the blackberries at the stillwater unit. The stillwater unit is over run with	Supports the Weed
	blackberries and can result in lost birds when they land in blackberries, they also create	Management Plan, Appendix
	tripping hazards for hunters and can hurt dogs.	В.
47	-Ken Bowman	
17	Please consider these suggestions for incorporation in the Draft Snoqualmie Area	This will language will be
	Wildlife Management Plan.	This will language will be added to the Weed
	Page 35 Proposed Actions Specific to Cherry Valley: Add for Goal 1. Inventory, map, and control annually those noxious weed species required for control by RCW 17.10.	Management Plan under a
	Currently, this is purple loosestrife.	new "a)": "Inventory, track,
	Currently, this is purple loosestiffe.	and control annually those
		noxious weed species
		required for control by RCW
		17.10. In 2018 for Cherry
		Valley, this is purple
		loosestrife and for Stillwater,
		tansy ragwort and purple
	Page 38 Proposed Actions Specific to Stillwater: Add for Goal 1. Inventory, map, and	loosestrife".
	control annually those noxious weed species required for control by RCW 17.10.	
	Currently, this is tansy ragwort and purple loosestrife.	Goal 1.C is to "Implement
		Weed Management Plan
		annually", so it will not be
	Page 52 Goals, Objectives, and Performance Measures: For Goal 1. C. Weed	listed separately as an action
	Management Plan (cannot find where this Weed Management Plan is detailed).	in the unit descriptions.
		The Weed Management Plan
	Add to Performance Measures: Number of acres of noxious weeds inventoried.	is in Appendix B.
	Noxious weed locations mapped annually for monitoring. Number of acres of noxious	_, ., .
	weeds treated. Percent control of noxious weeds. Method of control. List each high	These items have been
	priority county noxious weed species occurring on site.	added to performance
	Add to Tacker Inspect for povious woods approach /dolate "as time a semicita"\	measures or task, to Goal
	Add to Tasks: - Inspect for noxious weeds <b>annually</b> (delete "as time permits"), <b>focusing efforts on high priority noxious weed species</b> Coordinate with partners such as	1.C. Instead of "mapped", noxious weed locations will
	county noxious weed control programs on priority species Note and map new	be "identified".
	infestations for active control efforts.	be identified .
	intestations for delive control efforts.	These tasks have been
	- Identify and apply for funding sources to implement noxious weed control.	added to Goal 1.C.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Page 82 Weed Management:	This task has been added to
	The Weed Management Plan (Appendix B) identifies species, timing and management	Goal 1.C. WDFW
	practices to control <b>noxious</b> and invasive weeds. The goal of weed management on the	acknowledges that other
	Snoqualmie Wildlife Area is to reduce the impacted area of <b>noxious</b> and invasive weeds,	sources of funding are
	improve the habitat for fish and wildlife and meet legal obligations of RCW 17.10.	needed to control noxious
	Species of concern include Himalayan blackberry, reed canary grass, invasive knotweed,	weeds. WDFW also
		appreciates the partnerships

purple loosestrife, tansy ragwort, butterfly bush, Canada thistle, common teasel, and English ivy. Several of these species are required for annual control by RCW 17.10.

Strategies employed to reverse these conditions include use of mechanical **and manual** biomass removal, and herbicide treatments.

In recent years, collaborative weed control efforts have included assistance from the King County Noxious Weed Control Program, habitat restoration partners, and agricultural leaseholders.

Page 93 Appendix B. Weed Management Plan SNOQUALMIE WILDLIFE AREA WEED MANAGEMENT PLAN Weed Control Goals at the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area

The goal of weed control on Department lands at the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area (WLA) that includes the Corson, Spencer Island, Ebey Island, Crescent Lake, Cherry Valley, and Stillwater units, is to reduce the impacted area of invasive and noxious weeds, improve the habitat for fish and wildlife, and meet legal obligations of **RCW 17.10**.

To these ends, WDFW uses integrated pest (i.e., weeds) management (IPM), defined in RCW 17.15.010 as "a coordinated decision-making and action process that uses the most appropriate pest control methods and strategy (check source to see if this reads as strategies) in an environmentally and economically sound manner to meet agency programmatic pest management objectives."

At the Snoqualmie WLA, the weed management objectives includes:

- a) Wetlands, Riparian Monitor up to 600 acres annually for maintenance needs at all the units. The majority of management effort is the mowing of reed canary grass and blackberry in the floodplain to improve habitat for wildlife and waterfowl, while providing improved conditions for hunting and wildlife viewing. Maintain restoration plantings until they establish to increase plant diversity and to help shade out reed canary grass. Treat other **invasive** weeds **and noxious weeds** found in wetland areas to control spread or reintroduction of wetland invasive and noxious vegetation such as purple loosestrife **and knotweed**. **Comply with RCW 17.10 through annual surveys for noxious weeds, control of noxious weeds found to prevent spread by seed production or vegetatively.**
- b) Agricultural Continue to expand agricultural acres at Stillwater, Ebey Island and Cherry Valley units to historic levels (120-350 acres) in agricultural crops to reduce the levels of **noxious and** invasive weeds, and provide economic benefits to the agricultural community, while providing forage and cover for wildlife, waterfowl and upland birds. Benefits gained from reducing **noxious** and invasive weeds will also improve wildlife related recreational opportunities for the public **as well as being in compliance with RCW 17.10.**
- c) Public Access and Dikes The Snoqualmie WLA lies in a heavily urbanized area and receives a great deal of public use. Treat noxious and invasive weed species such as blackberry, knotweed, purple loosestrife and teasel that impede recreational access at Ebey Island, Spencer Island and Stillwater units, and complies with RCW 17.10.

and collaborative effort needed for weed control.

Text referencing RCW 17.10 has been added to the Weed Management Plan.

"Noxious" has been added to the Weed Management Plan as indicated in these comments.

"Manual" has been added to this sentence.

RCW 17.15.010 was checked, and it does read: ""Integrated pest management" means a coordinated decision-making and action process that uses the most appropriate pest control methods and strategy in an environmentally and economically sound manner to meet agency programmatic pest management objectives.

These addition (in bold text) have been made in the plan.

These addition (in bold text) have been made in the Weed Management Plan.

These addition (in bold text) have been made in the Weed Management Plan.

	d) Compliance with RCW 17.10 – conduct annual surveys for noxious weeds, control all noxious weeds found in a timely manner to prevent spread by seed production or vegetative means.  Page 94 Table 14: add 2016 County Noxious Weed Class. For purple loosestrife Control Objective/Strategy add herbicide. For tansy ragwort Control Objective/Strategy add herbicide, manual.  Remove mowing as a control strategy because mowing does not control this noxious weed it only reduces the height of the plant. Tansy ragwort will flower after mowing. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Snoqualmie Area Wildlife Management Plan.  -Tricia MacLaren, King County Noxious Weed Control Program	This text has been added to the Weed Management Plan, in a new paragraph labeled a) (and the others moved down  These additions (in bold text) have been made in the Weed Management Plan.  Because of lack of funds to control invasive and noxious weeds, WDFW does use mowing to at least try and
18	Page 68 The birds in the photograph are swans, not geese. Revise caption to read:  Swans at Ebey Island  - Tricia MacLaren, King County Noxious Weed Control Program	stop the spread of weeds.  Thank you. The caption has been corrected.
19	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit.  1) Strongly support additional projects removing fish barriers where possible -Curtis Kukal	Supports Goal 2 of the plan.
20	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit. 2) Strongly support wetland enhancements where possible -Curtis Kukal	Supports Goal 1 of the plan.
21	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit. 3) Strongly support replacement of barn with new facilities -Curtis Kukal	Supports Goal 9 of the plan.
22	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit. 4) Please prioritize noxious weed management -Curtis Kukal	Supports Goal 1 of the plan to implement the weed management plan.
23	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit. 5) Please prioritize coordination with WDFD police for off-leash dog contacts (i.e. pets) to maximize usefulness to hunting dog training -Curtis Kukal	Response #1
24	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit. 6) support expanding dog training area -Curtis Kukal	Response #1
25	The following public comments are for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan, specifically for the Cherry Valley Unit. 7) add native habitat features to areas of canary reed grass -Curtis Kukal	This comment will be discussed during implementation of the plan, with review by the WAAC

SEPA RESPONSE: Public Comments and WDFW responses to public comments received during the public review of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan draft under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) from March 30, 2018 through May 25, 2018 (5:00 pm)

- As a concerned citizen, I am submitting the following comments and suggestions for the Draft Management Plan for Snoqualmie Wildlife Area:
  - 1. RCW 77.04.012 states first that "The commission, director, and the department SHALL preserve, protect, perpetuate, and manage the wildlife". It secondarily states that "The commission SHALL ATTEMPT to maximize the public recreational game fishing and hunting opportunities of all citizens". Any measures taken to maximize hunting opportunities need to be given a lower priority than the primary responsibility of preserving, protecting, and perpetuating wildlife.

- 2. In the past, comments by non-hunters (about 95% of the citizens of the state) have been routinely trivialized and dismissed by WDFW. Public comments from all citizens need to be respected and fully addressed.
- 3. WDFW's main focus has been to expand hunting opportunities. Wildlife habitat has decreased due to the increase in human population, development, climate change, fire, drought, etc. The quality of wildlife habitat has also decreased. With no end in sight, it is imprudent and unnecessary to expand hunting opportunities.
- 4. The practice of baiting any animal is cruel and unsporting and should be banned regardless of species.
- 5. Lead shot, although illegal in a few areas, is continuing to poison our wildlife, agricultural fields, and environment. Lead shot should be banned everywhere within the state.
- 6. Bow and arrow hunting should be banned as it is exceptionally cruel and results in increased maiming, suffering and slow death.
- 7. Trophy hunting and predator hunting is an abomination and should be banned.
- 8. High tech weapons and equipment such as scopes, decoys, electronic calls, etc. give the hunter an extremely unfair advantage and should not be allowed.
- 9. Playing distress calls to lure wildlife is highly unethical and should be illegal.
- 10. Raising and releasing over 6000 pheasants a year in the Snoqualmie WLA to be shot by hunters is highly unethical. The birds have no survival skills and killing them is like shooting fish in a barrel.
- 11. Studies have shown that committing acts of violence against animals is a predecessor to committing acts of violence against people. The only difference

Many of the comments address statewide issues, and are outside the scope of the plan. Under state law, WDFW is charged with "preserving, protecting, and perpetuating" the state's fish and wildlife species, while also providing sustainable recreational opportunities. Fishing and hunting are recreational opportunities supported on WDFW managed lands. WDFW does have an obligation to manage the opportunities so they are compatible with agency conservation objectives.

WDFW agrees that respectful dialogue among all citizens is vitally important.

Most of the objectives in Goal 1 of the plan "Maintain or improve the ecological integrity of priority sites and systems address restoring habitat.

## Comments 4-13 and 16-19:

The Washington State
Legislature has directed
WDFW to provide fishing
and hunting opportunities of
all citizens: RCW 77.04.012
Mandate of the Department
and the Commission. For
information about how to
participate in the hunting
rule making process, please
visit:

http://wdfw.wa.gov/about/r egulations/how to participa te.html between maiming and killing a pet and maiming and killing wildlife is that one is sanctioned by the state and the other is not.

- 12. Expand watchable wildlife programs and ban hunting in more areas so that wildlife can actually be seen and enjoyed. It is awfully hard to watch wildlife when they flee in terror at the sight of man. It is so much easier and enjoyable to see wildlife in our National Parks because they are not hunted. Places like the Galapagos put our wildlife practices to shame. The right of the general public to enjoy our natural areas and wildlife should not be sacrificed to the 5% of the population that hunts.
- 13. WDFW trivializes public safety issues. Hunting accidents happen all the time. A friend completed a gun safety class and was told by the teacher to stay out of the woods during hunting season. He was not opposed to hunting, but he stressed that it was not safe to be out there. Public safety should be paramount.
- 14. There needs to be more strict enforcement of laws and regulations in the wildlife areas. Violators should be fined and punished.
- 15. I personally do not feel safe being outside during the ever expanding hunting seasons. Even if I do not get shot at, it is very intimidating to encounter people on a trail carrying weapons. I should not have to live in fear each time I go bird watching or hiking.
- 16. I generally do not travel throughout the state during hunting season to avoid hunters. I don't wish to encounter them and their weapons, hear the sound of guns blasting away, see animals dying or birds falling from the sky, see dead animals in the back of pickup trucks, or dead carcasses left to rot. A former WDFW director once told me that if I did not feel comfortable being out during hunting season, I should just stay home. I am a resident and a taxpayer of this state and this response is totally unacceptable.
- 17. Increased hunting is a detriment to rural businesses when people such as myself stay home rather than venturing out to see fall colors, spring and summer wildflowers, bird watch, hike, view and photograph wildlife, etc. It also, therefore, negatively affects state tax revenues.
- 18. Habitat preservation should be a top priority. Our state lands should be managed for species diversity and especially for the preservation of habitat for endangered and at risk species.
- 19. WDFW's wildlife management policies are cruel, barbaric, and scientifically unsound. It is past time to implement humane policies and programs along with compassionate conservation. At the very minimum, WDFW needs to take a humane step forward and outlaw cruel and unethical hunting practices.

  -Diane Weinstein

Hunter education and safety of hunters and others are priorities for the agency. Please see the Hunter Education Page: https://wdfw.wa.gov/hunting/huntered/

Goals 8.A and 8.B in the plan are about public safety on the wildlife area.

Enforcement of laws and regulations is a priority for the agency. Please see the Enforcement page: https://wdfw.wa.gov/enforcement/

Most of the objectives in Goal 1 of the plan "Maintain or improve the ecological integrity of priority sites and systems address restoring habitat.

27	I support actions to continue efforts for hunting improvements to include pheasant and waterfowl. The Ebey area is the only easy access area for senior hunters and offers opportunity for easy access to introduction to hunting for youth as well. Trail improvements to waterfowl areas should be an action element for new projects. Handicap blinds would be a big improvement to this urban area as well. I, as have many others have supported this area in the past years and have paid the pheasant hunting fee which far exceeds the value of the harvest, but worth the price for the outdoor hunting experience. Brian Boehm has been an asset to improving this area and I support his efforts to enhance this as well as other areas under his guidance. Thanks for the opportunity to respond -Al Bartz	Thank you for your support of the efforts of the Wildlife Area Manager and Goal 3 of the plan.  The legislature directs the commission to attempt to maximize the public recreational game fishing and hunting opportunities of all citizens, including juvenile, disabled, and senior citizens. (RCW 77.04.013). Accessibility for seniors, youth, and people with disabilities, is an issue that requires a statewide focus. WDFW recognizes the importance of ADA access, there is a goal in the plan (Goal 3.H) to identify opportunities to improve it.
28	I live in Gold Bar, so nothing here was relevant to my interests. Not sure why/how I was include on the email – is there possibly a way to analyze addresses for interst?  -Davi Martin	Your name was not on the WDFW mailing list so you received notification another way. WDFW makes effort to include all people who many have an interest in the wildlife areas.
29	Pg. 15- What are the long-term management strategies or goals of WDFW to address white nose syndrome if it is reported in the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area? - David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	White nose syndrome response is coordinated at the national level, and WDFW is an active partner in the white nose syndrome scientific arena. Active surveillance for white nose syndrome is ongoing in King County. The wildlife area will cooperate in these efforts as applicable.
30	Pg. 15- Are there any WDFW or citizen scientist efforts to report ducks species and abundance within Snoqualmie Wildlife Area? - David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	Not at this time. WDFW supports the concept.
31	Consider adding language regarding the potential impacts of hunting and strategies to grow hunting opportunities in areas such as Spencer Island and Cherry Valley.  - David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	WDFW's goal is to support hunting at all wildlife areas.
32	Pg. 16-Have you considered the introduction of beavers as a tool for salmon recovery efforts in these areas? We know there was a call to remove beavers from the Cherry	WDFW does not believe that lack of beavers is a limiting

SEPA RESPONSE: Public Comments and WDFW responses to public comments received during the public review of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan draft under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) from March 30, 2018 through May 25, 2018 (5:00 pm)

ı	N. H	I c
	Valley area, which seems counterintuitive to the wildlife preservation goals of these	factor to salmon recovery at
	areas.	Cherry Valley.
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	_
33	Pg. 32- Proposed Action of field enhancement for grazing opportunities for Elk and Deer	WDFW provides food and
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	cover for all wildlife on the
		wildlife area.
34	Pg. 32- Identify surrounding corridors to work with partners to conserve, improve, etc.	Goal 1.I has been added to
	wildlife access to the site. Pg.67 Expand upon corridor efforts	the plan to explore this.
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	WDFW participates in
		ongoing effort as
		opportunities arise.
35	Pg. 35&43- Can agricultural lands be "saved" and dedicated to enhancement for elk and	It is unclear what the
	deer? Can collaborate with farmers to hay the field.	questions is.
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	·
36	Pg. 35- the ditch network conflicts with fish habitat enhancement goals and projects. It	The ditches belong to and
	also creates several pinch points for high head beaver dams blocking fish passage.	are managed by Drainage
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	District 7. They have a
		representative on the
		wildlife area advisory
		committee. Coordinating
		with the drainage district is
		called out goals, especially
		1.B and 2.A
37	Pg. 35- Specify whether barn replacement with a "shop" will be available to the public	The facility will have limited
37	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	availability to registered
	- David Dalicy, D.S. Tulalip Hises	volunteers working on the
		wildlife area as appropriate.
20	Dg 25 City of Duvall will experience a lot of growth ever the next 10 years to there	Goal 1.I has been added to
38	Pg. 35- City of Duvall will experience a lot of growth over the next 10 years. Is there	
	coordination with "Duvall Wildlife Habitat Protections" (Environmental Science	the plan to explore this.
	Associates study) to address how habitat corridors can reach Cherry Valley and whether	
	local goals line up?	
20	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	Ellinon and a service of
39	Pg. 38- Propose long-term strategies for managing and growing resident elk herd within	Elk management in the
	the Stillwater unit	general area needs to
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	consider negative impacts to
		local farmers and property
		owners.
40	Pg. 40- I believe the "Sky to Sound Water Trail" name is incorrect and the link is not	This has been corrected in
	appropriate	the plan.
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	
41	Pg. 40 & 43- Identify the benefits of leasing land to the public i.e. does money from	It depends, some
	leasing land go directly to benefiting the management and restoration of that specific	agreements are sharecrop
	wildlife area or all Snoqualmie Wildlife Areas or just to WDFW?	only. If there is a rental
	- David Bailey, B.S. Tulalip Tribes	lease agreement, those
		monies are allocated to the
		unit that has the lease.

	I visit the Crescent Lake and Stillwater units regularly and often as a birder, wildlife watcher, photographer, and walker. Here are my concerns:  1. Dogs - though there has been a dramatic decrease in off-leash dogs encountered, it still occurs. The dog owners are well aware of the regulations. Some use the guise of hunting to unleash their dogs. Others think it's okay to let their dogs go free when they are away from other people. At least one who continues this practice has already been fined. Please consider a ban of these individuals after the second violation.  -vickie scales	Goals 8.A and 8.B of the plan focus on improving visitor safety and reducing illegal activities.
43	2. Hunting is seen as THE purpose of these these lands. All other uses are seen as subordinate. As one hunter told me, "These areas were purchased for hunters." I'd like to see attitudes change towards seeing the purpose to be wildlife preservation and all users equally invested and considered.  -vickie scales	Under state law, WDFW is charged with "preserving, protecting, and perpetuating" the state's fish and wildlife species, while also providing sustainable recreational opportunities. Fishing and hunting are recreational opportunities supported on WDFW managed lands.
44	3. Road noise. Arrgh. With development in the valley, road noise is getting worse. It is deafening at Stillwater. I don't know how the Bitterns can hear each other during rush hour. Even walking far out towards the Snoqualmie River, road noise can still be heard. I know that WDFW can't do anything about it, but thought I'd put it out there as a growing issue.  I do not take these lands for granted. Thank you to WDFW staff and volunteers in maintaining and protecting these lands for wildlife.  -vickie scales	This comment is outside the scope of this plan.
45	Sorry, I forgot to include my concerns about setting aside land for dog training. I understand that dog trainers would rather have a free training area rather than pay to use private dog training areas. And I'm sure golfers would like free courses. My feeling is that when you take up an activity, you should be prepared to pay the costs. Dog training in a wildlife area can only have a negative impact, taking away habitat, affecting bird behavior, and diminishing the experience of wildlife watchers.  -vickie scales	Imposing fee for dog training requires Washington State Legislature to grant the authority to WDFW to charge a fee. Response #1
46	All this land needs to stay in Ag production. Farmers are the best stewards of landJim Anderson	Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.
47	As is noted on page 12, this land is nestled in a rural agricultural region. I assume that most, if not all of the 2,784 acres is zoned Agriculture-10 (acres). Therefore, unless modified to some other land use, the land should be managed as agriculture land. This management should be consistent with the needs of the agriculture community in that area (and the needs of the agriculture producers that are leasing the land). Thank youCarole & Monte Marti	Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.

48	In response to a Request For Comment for the document "DNS 18-016: SNOQUALMIE WILDLIFE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN," I'm sending my comment by email. I was unable to determine how to comment using the WDFW website.  Regarding the 1,237 acre Ebey Island property, I understand that you are seeking comment on the use of this property, which is currently leased to farming operations for agricultural use.  Please accept this email as my expression of strong support for the continued lease of	Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.
	this land to farming/agricultural operators. I believe that agricultural operations are the best use of this land, and are also the best way of ensuring that the property will be properly preserved and cared for, and that future generations will benefit from its preservation. In addition, leasing this property also provides revenue to the county. I am a Snohomish County farmer. Sincerely,  -John Connolly	
49	Concerning the use of WDFW/State lands in and around Snoqualmie valley:  I believe the current agricultural uses of these lands are key in preserving many of the main objectives set forth in the management plan. The agricultural uses seem to help maintain the access, control of noxious weeds, and provide wildlife habitat and feed during the winter. I believe it is in the community's best interest to keep these lands as viable agricultural ground in consideration to the benefits this use creates for the community regarding access to open spaces for many other recreational activities. Thank you,  -Jared Westerman	Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.
50	To Whom it May Concern, I would like to comment for the support of continued agricultural use in the Snoqualmie Wildlife Management Area.  Agricultural lands should continue to be a priority for use in these areas. They are managed well, provide habitat and cover for wildlife, yet allow for recreational opportunities. They provide for revenue from the lease, and keep open spaces beautiful for the public benefit. Ag lands are something we should prize and maintain every opportunity to keep them functioning as this use. With the increase in development and continued losses to prime agricultural lands around the area, these acres become even more important to all.  Food plots left for cover and feed for wildlife are also an added benefit. Keeping in mind that the public accesses these areas is also something that is important, but should not dictate or overshadow the types crops that are grown, especially if there is a payment to leased the ground.  Please strive to continue the use of these working lands, to maintain the agricultural acres needed to keep our farms sustainable and viable. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.  -Bobbi Lindemulder	Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.
51	The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife have been purchasing agricultural land for public hunting and recreation. Historically, many of these sites have been utilized for forage production and growing various crops. Following the acquisition by WDFW, the sites have remained under cultivation by farmers within the vicinity. The agreement between WfffW and the farmers has been a modified share crop agreement whereas the farmer would leave a percentage of the unharvest crop.	Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.

	The farming activity and planted crops were closely coordinated with WDFW. That	
	includes planting and harvesting schedules along with type of crop and location of	
	planting.	
	Farming these sites has been a "win-win" opportunity for both the local farmers,	
	WDFW, and the general public. The sites are maintained, wildlife food plots are left, and	
	the sites remain in	
	agriculture. This is all accomplished at a minimal cost to the general public while	
	providing	
	enhanced and accessible hunting.	
	The Snohomish County Farm Bureau, which has over 2000 members, supports the	
	continued multi use of these sites and keeping them in agricultural production.	
	Sincerely,	
	-Dan Bartelheimer, President, Snohomish County Farm Bureau	
52	The Snohomish Conservation District Board of Supervisors would like to submit	Supports the goal of
	comments for the WDFW Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan. We would like	agriculture on the wildlife
	to emphasize the importance of these areas to the agricultural community. With more	area managed as part of the
	and more agricultural land being taken out of production, all of our existing agricultural	agency mission (Goal 6) and
	lands become even more important to maintain for that purpose.	the local agricultural
	The loss of agricultural lands to alternative uses emphasizes the importance of	community.
	maintaining existing agricultural ground. The continued ability to lease WDFW ground	,
	to farmers is both a way to maintain agricultural production, as well as manage them	
	for public use and recreational opportunities.	
	Some of this ground leased to the farming community is important acreage for manure	
	management. Whether it is com or grass, acres in production allow them to maintain a	
	balance on their farm to properly manage every nutrient created on that farm in the	
	form of manure. Subsequently, these leased lands benefit from nutrient inputs needed	
	for crop production that often has areas left for food plots. It also allows the farms an	
	avenue for feed production needed for livestock.	
	The WDFW leased ground, is maintained as open space, managed for weed control, and	
	usually coincides well with recreational opportunities such as hunting. While the ground	
	is being farmed during-the growing season, avenues are set forth to leave wildlife cover	
	or food plots for fall/winter recreational hunters. During this summer use, the farmer is	
	managing for weed control, and provides cover and habitat for wildlife.	
	Realizing your areas are managed for the public, we feel that leasing to a farm entity,	
	which is willing to pay to maintain (and crop) the land is beneficial to the public. WDFW	
	receives revenue for management of their production areas while the leasee maintains	
	weeds, mowing, and stewards the parcels while the public can still enjoy birdwatching,	
	walking, or hunting on these lands.	
	The SCD Board of Supervisors appreciates the opportunity to comment and would like	
	to extend an invitation to work with you further on this issue. Maintenance of	WDFW welcomes the
	agricultural lands and minimizing the loss of any lands in production is a priority of this	opportunity to work with the
	district board.	conservation district and to
	We look forward to hearing from you to see where we may be able to discuss this	have your expertise in our
	further. Sincerely,	planning efforts. Staff will be
	-Mark Craven, District Chair, Snohomish Conservation District	in contact with you.
53	Dear Mr. Boehm and others concerned with management of the Snoqualmie Wildlife	Supports the goal of
	Area,	agriculture on the wildlife
		area managed as part of the
	15	

This letter concerns the user comments requested regarding the updating of the management plan for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area.

"To preserve, protect and perpetuate fish, wildlife and ecosystems while providing sustainable

fish and wildlife recreational and commercial opportunities."

-Mission Statement, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

My family has been farming land at the confluence of the Skykomish and Snoqualmie rivers since my grandfather first came here in 1959. As the third generation on our family farm, I am proud to be part of a legacy and community that supports people and values sustainability. Beginning with the generous collateral my grandfather's friends offered to allow him to buy the farm, our family has striven to be equally as generous to the community, principally by the way that we farm. For us that means that core values such as sustainability, also reflected in the mission of the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), are at the heart of what we do. What I hope to emphasize in this letter is the importance that the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area remain partnered with agriculture because it optimizes the ability of the WDFW to meet its stated goals.

WDFW's first goal is to conserve and protect fish and wildlife. After years of planning, my father and the other members of the Qualco Energy board were able to build and begin operation of an anaerobic digester that sits directly across the street from the Crescent Lake Wildlife Area. This operation was born of a partnership between a local Native American tribe, a local environmental group, and local farmers. The founding members are fond of describing the reason for what they do as, "Cows are better than condos." That is, Qualco Energy was a project meant to enhancing the viability of farming on the local watersheds because appropriate farming practices can not only maintain but also enhance water quality and therefore native fish habitat. The partnership with Qualco Energy is a large endeavor for our family but still just one example of the commitment of local farmers to conservation.

The second goal of WDFW is to provide sustainable fishing, hunting, and other wildlife-related recreational and commercial experiences. Werkhoven Dairy includes sustainability in its own mission statement. While this word has become rather cliché, the underlying meanings of renewable and continuous is of great merit. We have a deep rooted commitment to sustainability. We are the farmers who sharecrop WDFW land in the Crescent Lake Area. Through this sharecropping agreement we are able to harvest a crop valuable to our farming operation and important to proper distribution of soil nutrients and water quality. At the same time, working together with Mr. Boehm from the WDFW, Werkhoven Dairy is able to provide a crop that enhances the hunting and recreational experience of other area users by providing valuable wildlife nutrition and open space recreation areas.

A third goal of WDFW is to promote a healthy economy, protect community character, maintain an overall high quality of life, and deliver high-quality customer service. The previous example of sharecropping also wholly achieves this objective of the WDFW. Harvesting a valuable crop helps make our dairy more economically sound. By becoming more economically viable our operation's sustainability is enhanced and we are able to continue to contribute to the local community. While it may sound a bit antiquated, our family believes that the first step to community is simply being around. That is, by being a family living and working in the same place we are able to interact

agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.

with neighbors in a way that is no longer common in increasingly urban environments. Farmers having farmers for neighbors actually enhances their viability. We can help each other during harvests, borrow equipment if something breaks down, learn for each other's practices, and much more. Synergies and communities like this are increasingly rare with in growing urban landscapes.

In short, we at Werkhoven Dairy believe that continuing and even expanding the agricultural use of WDFW land will achieve both the goals of the WDFW and also those of the farmers and communities with which they partner. We look forward to ongoing work at the Crescent Lake Area and welcome conversations to deepen our relationships. While I may not be much of a writer I would welcome you to stop in for coffee or spend some time on the farm and we could happily show you why we believe that it is in the best interest of the WDFW to keep agriculture as a partner in conservation. Sincerely,

-Ben Werkhoven

Dear Mr. Boehm and others concerned with management of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area,

This letter is intended to be submitted as part of the public comment concerning the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) draft management plan of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area.

Farmland and open spaces are quickly diminishing in Western Washington. The rate of urbanization and the extent of its reach is alarming to many people. To those who rely on and cultivate land as a means of making a living the close quarters has very serious effects on the viability of their operations. Specifically, farmers need land in order to make a living. Decreasing the amount of land available for farming by necessity increases competition for the remaining land, thus further pressuring the finances and relationships of farmers that are already under significant strain.

My family and many more like ours have been farming in Snoqualmie and Skykomish river valleys for decades now. Many of us take pride in the generations of know how that has been built in understanding how to sustainably farm land in these valleys. Additionally, for many years farmers have been partnering with WDFW to conceive mutually beneficial solutions for sustainable land management. That is, there is a long history of WDFW leasing land to local farmers and working together achieve the goals of sustainable fish and wildlife habitat and sustainable farming. These goals are not mutually exclusive. In fact, the opposite is true. For instance, my family has been privileged to be a part of a project founded by the Tulalip tribe, Northwest Chinook Recovery, and the Sno-Sky Agriculture Alliance. Their combined vision was to enhance the financial and ecological viability of farming in these river valleys because land used for farming is better for fish and wildlife habitat than land used for urban development. We believe all parties involved would call the project—now named Qualco Energy, a non-profit organization generating electricity for 250 homes via bacterial digestion of cow manure—a huge success. Because of this project farming is both more economically and ecologically sound and maintains farmers as caretakers of land that they share with wildlife. In short, farmers make every effort to be sustainable, even taking on what may look like an unconventional relationship. The historical relationships between farmers and WDFW have been mutually beneficial. Both parties

Supports the goal of agriculture on the wildlife area managed as part of the agency mission (Goal 6) and the local agricultural community.

	are able to work together for common goals and synergies that are too important to let them fall to the wayside.  Farmers also understand that these lands are multi-use areas. In fact, as part of the management of these lands we work side-by-side with local WDFW officials in organizing and planting multiple crop species, planning harvest windows, and providing proper plant nutrition so that we can assist WDFW in their goal of "providing sustainable fish and wildlife recreational and commercial opportunities." Crop species are chosen together, seed placement is done according to the WDFW manager's plans, and every effort is made by farmers to be efficient in their use of the land so as to minimize any interruption to other parties use the space.  In summary, farmers believe strongly that their management of land in conjunction with WDFW greatly enhances the experiences and opportunities for all other users.  Open spaces do not become overgrown. Multiple plant species are maintained for a variety of land user and wildlife preferences. And overall, we as farmers work in partnership with those around us because we understand that it is senseless to work against each other when simply working together creates benefits for all. Our hope is that WDFW will continue to work together with farmers now and in future so these benefits can be recognized by many generations to come.  Sincerely,  -Ben Werkhoven	
55	Farming has also negatively impacted the grounds. Farming left uneven furrows which caused greater erosion than had there been grass maintained by mowing.  Farming also allowed noxious weeds to become established because the farmer does not actively spray. When the farmer did spray, chemicals were used which have been deemed harmful to wildlife.  -Paul Gilmore	Supports Goal 6 to develop and implement farm plans with the conservation districts.
56	The Snoqualmie unit is over run with canary grass and blackberries. I have volunteered to cut canary grass at my own expense with my own equipment. The current manager is making it hard and somewhat impossible for people to volunteer to help control these invasive species.  People have been told they cannot volunteer without the manager being there, supervising with a fire extinguisher.  -Paul Gilmore	Supports the Weed Management Plan for the wildlife area.  WDFW appreciates and relies on volunteers to help manage invasive species, in accordance with agency policies.
57	Hi this is Brandon. I have concern that Snoqualmie wildlife management area is going to be used for a dog park. This area is a great bow hunting and duck hunting area I enjoy spending time with my kids hunting this area. I feel like most people don't understand hunters or hunting. The areas we share with people walking dogs, was purched with funds from the WDFD. I along with a lot of other hunters support these programs with hunting and fishing licenses and parking passes. Please keep these areas available for our kids thanks.  - Brandon	Supports Goal 3. Response #1
58	I would like to express my concern over unleashed dogs on the Crescent Lake Wildlife Management area. When walking the area I often see people with dogs on leashes as they are suppose to be. This is not a concern. On trail cameras I have pictures of dogs off leash, I almost never get a picture of anyone with the dog. Domesticated, well fed dogs, like the one in the picture below in February are no match for big or small	Supports Goal 3. Response #1

game in the winter time. Even if the dog does not kill the wildlife, it will chase and/or Support Goal 3.A of harass it. It should not be an off leash area 100 yards away from the parking lots. improving signage and Goal Maybe some signs indicating the unleashed fine is \$XX and there are trail 8.A to reduce illegal cameras in the area to catch perpetrators, would be effective. Thanks, activities. (pic of unleased dog on trail in email) - Ed Scullywest 59 I have been an active stakeholder in the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area since 1994. My Supports Goal 3.M in the interest in the grounds has been primarily for dog training. I train my dogs for hunt tests plan for dog training. and field trials. My dogs have also been loaned to friends who needed a hunting dog during hunting season. The training on the Snoqualmie Wildlife lands has served us all well as my dogs have developed their hunting instincts and become well controlled hunting companions as well as successful Master Hunters. The sport of dog training has Response #1 brought me into the outdoors where I have enjoyed the fresh air and long walks required for training. The Snoqualmie Wildlife lands have provided me, over the years, with the opportunity to appreciate the land and have provided my dogs with the kind of water and terrain they need to experience in developing their skills both in a test environment and hunting situations. Please include dog training as a use of the land in your 10 year plan. - Terri Sato I am writing to attest the need for preserving Cherry Valley area for dog training and Regulations for dog training trialing. As a member of the Puget Sound Labrador Retriever Association (PSLRA) and a on the wildlife areas are dog owner and trainer, as well as a veterinarian, I have experienced the shortage of specific for hunting dogs or good grounds to do dog tracking. I have obtained 3 tracking titles at Cherry Valley sites bird dogs through the years and found even 10 years ago it was hard to find tracking tests and areas to train in due to a shortage of available land. People frequently have to travel out of state to get into tracking tests that have long waiting/alternates lists for those who did not get into the draw for the few available tracks (few tracks due to limited acreage at the test site). Ten years ago I was the tracking test chairperson for PSLRA test for two years in a row, and the second year we got so restricted in available land that the American Kennel Club supervisor who was present with the judges was threatening Response #1 to pull the site for approval for future tests. That would have been a disaster as there was not an easy alternative site, if any. Right now many tracking tests in Northwest Washington only can happen on some private land in Bow, WA, which is not available readily for training and while planted before harvesting, and if we lost that there would be no tests. I believe for hunt tests there are even less options for sites (Bow, WA is not an option). Historically, 125 and 200 acres were available at Cherry Valley, depending on the trials, for hunt test and tracking test needs. When this went down to 65 acres and was under corn, the site becomes almost useless so I ask that the historical amount be available at least and not all of that being planted in crop. Sharing use with hay fields that are cut yearly is a more ideal acreage for use for both us dog trainers and a farmer. -Michelle Schraeder, DVM, MEd, FAAVA Mountain Veterinary Hospital

Iwriting to comment on the upcoming plan for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area   Management Plan. I'm an avid water fowler. I spend the 107 days of the water fowl season planning, scouting and hunting but during the other 258 says of the year I'm out trying to train my black lab for hunting and testing, its how I continue to enjoy being a water fowler even when I cant hunt.  It is concerning to me that I hunt on mostly public lands but have very little opportunity to train on any public lands. I do appreciate the efforts last year to make Cherry Valley more accessible and manage the lands in a way that are more applicable to retriever training. I used the area to train on multiple times but it was difficult due to the distances to haul gear for training. I'm curious if WDFW knows what is needed to setup a training session for retrievers? There are a lot of steps to training a waterfowl dog. I'd be happy to take some folks out to observe what I do on a regular basis to get ready for the hunting season.  I'm also a little concerned that the retriever community isn't represented on the Advisory Committee. Seems like a fair number of users are from the retriever/waterfowl community and yet we have no representation.  For the coming year I'd love to see a plan that would enable the grounds be maintained in a way that is further applicable to retriever training as well as road access so that gear can be taken fairly close to training areas. Thanks, colin ching  To Whom It May Concern,  I am writing to express my concern about dog training being restricted or removed from the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan. As a avid bird hunter it is very important to have a well trained bird dog. This can not be done at a dog park or on a leash. Over the past 2D+ years a have trained 3 of my dogs and helped train countless other dogs at these areas. Over the past 2D+ years a have trained 3 of my dogs and helped train countless other dogs at these areas. Over the past few years I have watched the available areas shrink steadil			
to train on any public lands. I do appreciate the efforts last year to make Cherry Valley more accessible and manage the lands in a way that are more applicable to retriever training. I used the area to train on multiple times but it was difficult due to the distances to haul gear for training. I'm curious if WDFW knows what is needed to setup a training session for retrievers? There are a lot of steps to training a waterfowl dog. I'd be happy to take some folks out to observe what I do on a regular basis to get ready for the hunting season.  I'm also a little concerned that the retriever community isn't represented on the Advisory Committee. Seems like a fair number of users are from the retriever/waterfowl community and yet we have no representation.  For the coming year I'd love to see a plan that would enable the grounds be maintained in a way that is further applicable to retriever training as well as road access so that gear can be taken fairly close to training areas. Thanks,  -Colin Ching  To Whom It May Concern,  I am writing to express my concern about dog training being restricted or removed from the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan. As a avid bird hunter it is very important to have a well trained bird dog. This can not be done at a dog park or on a leash. Over the past 20+ years a have trained 3 of my dogs and helped train countless other dogs at these areas. Over the past few years I have watched the available areas shrink steadily. These areas are the only place in the Seattle area to train a bird dog. The 65 acres at Cherry Valley are already much too small to train a big running pointer. I would like to see the trains areas at Stillwater, Cherry Valley and Cresent Lake restored to their original size. I understand some of the problems with managing these areas (they became off leash dog parks, etc.). I have suggested solving this by requiring users to have a hunting license instead of a Discovery Pass. I think this would all but eliminate the dog walking crowd. Just a suggestion! Anyway	61	Management Plan. I'm an avid water fowler. I spend the 107 days of the water fowl season planning, scouting and hunting but during the other 258 says of the year I'm out trying to train my black lab for hunting and testing. Its how I continue to enjoy being a	Response #1
Advisory Committee. Seems like a fair number of users are from the retriever/waterfowl community and yet we have no representation. For the coming year I'd love to see a plan that would enable the grounds be maintained in a way that is further applicable to retriever training as well as road access so that gear can be taken fairly close to training areas. Thanks,  -Colin Ching  To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to express my concern about dog training being restricted or removed from the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan. As a avid bird hunter it is very important to have a well trained bird dog. This can not be done at a dog park or on a leash. Over the past 20+ years a have trained 3 of my dogs and helped train countless other dogs at these areas. Over the past few years I have watched the available areas shrink steadily. These areas are the only place in the Seattle area to train a bird dog. The 65 acres at Cherry Valley are already much too small to train a big running pointer. I would like to see the trains areas at Stillwater, Cherry Valley and Cresent Lake restored to their original size. I understand some of the problems with managing these areas (they became off leash dog parks, etc). I have suggested solving this by requiring users to have a hunting license instead of a Discovery Pass. I think this would all but eliminate the dog walking crowd. Just a suggestion! Anyway it's extremely important as a hunter and dog enthusiast to have a place to train. Please consider restoring the original areas and at minimum keep the areas that we now have. This very important to me and my hunting/dog training friends. Sincerely,  -Michael Smith  There are many venues for dogs that need land to perform on. I have used the cherry valley grounds for training my up and coming hunt test puppies. I have also layed tracks to train tracking, both these events take a good part of a day, and a large diverse piece of land. I am in hopes we do not loose this great piece of property that gives many dogs and handlers a		to train on any public lands. I do appreciate the efforts last year to make Cherry Valley more accessible and manage the lands in a way that are more applicable to retriever training. I used the area to train on multiple times but it was difficult due to the distances to haul gear for training. I'm curious if WDFW knows what is needed to setup a training session for retrievers? There are a lot of steps to training a waterfowl dog. I'd be happy to take some folks out to observe what I do on a regular basis to get ready for	
I am writing to express my concern about dog training being restricted or removed from the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan. As a avid bird hunter it is very important to have a well trained bird dog. This can not be done at a dog park or on a leash. Over the past 20+ years a have trained 3 of my dogs and helped train countless other dogs at these areas. Over the past few years I have watched the available areas shrink steadily. These areas are the only place in the Seattle area to train a bird dog. The 65 acres at Cherry Valley are already much too small to train a big running pointer. I would like to see the trains areas at Stillwater, Cherry Valley and Cresent Lake restored to their original size. I understand some of the problems with managing these areas (they became off leash dog parks, etc). I have suggested solving this by requiring users to have a hunting license instead of a Discovery Pass. I think this would all but eliminate the dog walking crowd. Just a suggestion! Anyway it's extremely important as a hunter and dog enthusiast to have a place to train. Please consider restoring the original areas and at minimum keep the areas that we now have. This very important to me and my hunting/dog training friends. Sincerely,  -Michael Smith  I am writing to let you know there is a need for training spaces for dogs.  There are many venues for dogs that need land to perform on. I have used the cherry valley grounds for training my up and coming hunt test puppies. I have also layed tracks to train tracking, both these events take a good part of a day, and a large diverse piece of land. I am in hopes we do not loose this great piece of property that gives many dogs and handlers a wonderful place to train. Thank you		Advisory Committee. Seems like a fair number of users are from the retriever/waterfowl community and yet we have no representation.  For the coming year I'd love to see a plan that would enable the grounds be maintained in a way that is further applicable to retriever training as well as road access so that gear can be taken fairly close to training areas. Thanks,	
There are many venues for dogs that need land to perform on. I have used the cherry valley grounds for training my up and coming hunt test puppies. I have also layed tracks to train tracking, both these events take a good part of a day, and a large diverse piece of land. I am in hopes we do not loose this great piece of property that gives many dogs and handlers a wonderful place to train. Thank you		To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to express my concern about dog training being restricted or removed from the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan. As a avid bird hunter it is very important to have a well trained bird dog. This can not be done at a dog park or on a leash. Over the past 20+ years a have trained 3 of my dogs and helped train countless other dogs at these areas. Over the past few years I have watched the available areas shrink steadily. These areas are the only place in the Seattle area to train a bird dog. The 65 acres at Cherry Valley are already much too small to train a big running pointer. I would like to see the trains areas at Stillwater, Cherry Valley and Cresent Lake restored to their original size. I understand some of the problems with managing these areas (they became off leash dog parks, etc). I have suggested solving this by requiring users to have a hunting license instead of a Discovery Pass. I think this would all but eliminate the dog walking crowd. Just a suggestion! Anyway it's extremely important as a hunter and dog enthusiast to have a place to train. Please consider restoring the original areas and at minimum keep the areas that we now have. This very important to me and my hunting/dog training friends. Sincerely, -Michael Smith	WDFW lands are available to all Washington State public with a Discover Pass. The agency land mission is inclusive of users beyond those with a hunting license.
Julie Germano	63	I am writing to let you know there is a need for training spaces for dogs.  There are many venues for dogs that need land to perform on. I have used the cherry valley grounds for training my up and coming hunt test puppies. I have also layed tracks to train tracking, both these events take a good part of a day, and a large diverse piece of land. I am in hopes we do not loose this great piece of property that gives many	
I would like to share my concerns on the management of the Snoqualmie Valley Wildlife Response #1 area.  The gate is always locked at Cherry Valley so we can't access the grounds.	64		Response #1

	The pond at Cherry Valley that was created for dog training has been excluded from training grounds.  The 386 acre area has been designated off limits to dog training except for 10-11 acres which is not reasonable  The time of year the grounds is available to use is more and more limited  The signage is confusing	
	The manager (Brian) is biased against dog training and, in fact, told me over the phone that 'no one likes off leash dogs'. And he told me in person that he was going to try to find a way to shut down year round dog training. He said he was going to try to find a federal regulation that would override the WA state year round dog training areas. I think he will try anything to keep dog training out of the area.  Stillwater and Cresent Lake Wildlife areas designated for field trials and training are completely blocked from use  We need a manager in the area who is supportive of public use, including dog training,	
	hunting, tracking events, and hunting tests. This is a public land use issue and the current management is overly restrictive and biased against a long-term and active group of users.  -Anne Tyson	
65	The fact that the Fish and Wildlife folks can severely restrict dog training on the Cherry Valley property and turn it over to a farming operation is still unbelievable to me. All three of the properties in the area have been restricted to the point that they are no longer practical for dog training or even just walking your dog off leash.  -Jim Rutter, Bothell	Response #1
66	I plan to attend the meeting regarding this subject on April 24. I am a hunter and a dog trainer. I trained my dogs, participated in hunt tests and field trials, and hunted at Cherry Valley and Stillwater for almost 30 years.	Response #1
	Several years ago Cherry Valley was arbitrarily closed. Many of us were frustrated with the lack of communication as to why this happened. Now, it seems obvious that we, "the dog people," are being pushed out.	
	WDFW currently lists on your website under the "Cherry Valley Unit" - "Unique Features" "This is a popular Unit for pheasant and waterfowl hunting in the fall and winter, and dog training and trials in the spring and summer." That has not been a true statement for several years now due to someone deciding to close the gate and lock everyone out.	WDFW has not documented this information but many others have. There are a number of plans and reports, including the
	Regarding protecting the fish on the Cherry Valley Unit - When has there ever been a censes (creel census/shock study) for Chinook Salmon, Winter Steelhead or Bull Trout in the ponds and sloughs on that property? Where is the documented study to back up that statement? Those fish do not historically frequent those types of water.	Snohomish River Basin Salmon Conservation Plan (listed in the References section of the plan). Goal 6.B is to develop and
	Regarding the policy for enhancing and protecting wildlife - since when is farming and spraying toxic chemicals good for the area? (Last summer for one example)Fran Seagren	implement a farm plan in coordination with conservation districts
67	I am very concerned, upset that the Stillwater & Cresent Lake Wildlife areas designed for field trials, hunt tests and dog training are completely blocked from use.  -Keely Ghirardelli	Response #1

68	As a Master Hunter, Hunter Education Instructor and Bird dog enthusiast, I am hopeful that the Cherry Valley and Stillwater areas will be open for dog training to the clubs and individuals who need these public land resources. We have very few options. Seeing a kid hunt pheasant for the first time is priceless: You cannot teach without a classroom and our public lands are the classroom. The dogs need acreage to train.  Cherry valley and Stillwater flood every year, it's not a place where much more can be developed. We don't have the luxury of other parks or venues to do what we need to do with dog training.  The Snoqualimie area is accessible to many and offers exactly what the dog training community requires: acreage, cover, water, easy parking access, and safety.  Please allow the bird dog training community to have a place to do our work so we can continue the tradition and pass it on to our kids. Sincerely,	Response #1
69	I am responding to your request for public comment regarding the reopening of public lands that historically were used for dog training as well as field events. I first started to use Cherry Valley in the early 80's for training my dog in both tracking and the new hunt tests in AKC. At that time there was little corn and the fields were mowed, making them ideal for both types of training. My obedience club, Washington State Obedience Training Club used the area for tracking tests and it was also used for field trials. I am aware of no problems for WSDFW and it seemed that there was a good relationship between the trainers, the clubs, and WSDFW. At the time, I lived in Seattle and it was well worth the trip across the bridge to use such nice fields for my training. The north gate was open and everyone just drove in and parked at the main area. The ponds were also maintained and we were able to use the ponds for water work, essential for retriever training.  Slowly, over the years, maintenance was not kept up, and little mowing was done. The grass was just too high for either tracking for field training in the summer months, although it was OK in the winter (other than hunting season) when the grass wasn't so high. So, my usage and that of others dramatically dropped off. Finally, the north gate was closed, making that side of the property unusable. We could park in the south lot, though that required that dogs not actively working had to be left in the car, a little less than idea situation since it left not only the cars exposed to vandalism, but a risk to the dogs as well. The training was taking place quite a distance away and the cars were out of view which could be a safety/security issue. Parking outside the north gate is even worse as the cars are even more exposed, and, carrying in the equipment needed for training to the main parking area is really not feasible. For some older folks and handicapped people, it is just not possible.  In 2010 I moved to Duvall, so of course was even more interested in usin	Response #1

	<del></del>	
	"their" land and feel free to restrict it from those who displease them. I pass by Cherry Valley often and can see that it is getting very little public use when hunting season is over. You just have not provided sufficient acreage for dog training.  I think you need to pay more attention to the stakeholders. The lands are public and should be available for the use it was originally intended, which I understand was dog training. I know the valley is considered an agricultural area, but the land at Cherry Valley, for example, is not particularly suited for farming, as we have been told by the farmer who grows corn. This really reduces the amount of land that could be made available for dog training and I think far fewer acres would be needed for the birds that the hunters want for hunting season. In addition, the farming, due to the use of toxic weed and insect killers, is a threat to the health of the wildlife, humans, and dogs who use it.  I know that mowing is expensive, but you should know that our stakeholder community as offered to assist with both finances and labor. If the land were maintained, it would more valuable for field training (to train the dogs that hunt during hunting season) as well as for tests and events which have been held there in the past. This could also be expanded to the sport of tracking, both training and tests, which have even less impact on the land than dog training. We are willing to share the land with others such as the bird watchers and those just walking. It is truly a waste to manage it the way it has been done for the last several years. Both of my dog clubs, WSOTC, as mentioned above, and the Evergreen Golden Retriever Club have many members who would use if for training if maintained and the gates kept open. In addition, it could be used for events, which would provide a source of income to WSDFW.  The WSDFW needs to stop pretending that they care about public input or comments and then demonstrate that they have no intent to keep their word about promises made or about	Maintaining and expanding agriculture is part of the vision for the wildlife area as it provides multiple benefits for wildlife, habitat, and the local economy. Staff negotiate leases with farmers based on available land and wildlife management objectives.  WDFW agrees that respectful dialogue is vitally important.
70	As a hunter and dog trainer, I strongly encourage that Cherry Valley be maintained or enhanced for use as a dog training site. At a minimum this requires that grass fields to mowed periodically during the late spring and summer to make gields usable. Dog training was one if not the original uses designated for Cherry Valley and there is a strong need for more land which can be used for this purpose. Respectfully, -William Woll	Response #1
71	Hello, I have been training dogs on the Cherry Valley area since 1965. Back then cattle were used to keep grass down to make more usable areas. We never used areas that had nesting ducks but the person who lived on the property used to let their dog loose to run. Over the years lass areas are really usable due to high cover. I believe the lady who owned the area donated it to the State of WA to be used as dog training and other things. That information has been "lost" over the years. It has been a good training site and even as a place for competition. I do hope it comes back to that. Thank you, -Mary Hallowell	Response #1
72	Gentle persons, For either upland or waterfowl hunters, the canine counterpart is an important element of the hunt. A well trained dog is almost a requirement. To train, that dog needs work year round, which means off leash, space and a variation of habitats in which to train. These needs are very basic.	Response #1
	12	

Areas that meet the needs are fewer in number, and not well distributed. We have seen the areas in the Snoqualmie unit reduced over the decades, and very reluctantly opened up again after intervention at the higher levels of WDFW. Hours of operation, locked access and a reported increase in enforcement presence hint strongly that those decisions were not taken to heart.

There is a very real effort to bring more people into hunting among hunting and hunting dog organizations. The WDFW has quite a bit of information displayed toward that goal as well. The commitment to a good gun dog is one that does tend to bring hunters to the field year after year. These new people and their dogs truly need to have our support.

We need water access for training. We need sizable areas to work the dogs in the field, with a variety of cover. We need places available after work during the week as well as on weekends. We really need WDFW to step up to the prior directives, at the very least

-Alan Nickelson, Kent WA Pheasants Forever, Ruffed Grouse Society, NAVHDA, JGV-RM, NA-JGV, DL-GNA, VDD-GNA

73

I hope it is not too late to comment on the "Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan" via eMail. I just found out about it this morning. As I work on swing shift, I am not able to attend the public meeting in Mill Creek. Please consider my comments submitted here in substitute for my comments in person.

The hunting dog enthusiasts appear to enjoy an egregious loophole in the state's definition of usage of state open space. Apparently it is considered a "passive" activity on an equal footing with bird watching and photography. Nothing could be futher from the truth. Obviously it needs to be re-defined to a usage in line with its actual effect on the wildlife akin to hunting itself. Hunting dog training is reasonably seen to be a highly invasive activity posing an existential threat to all manor of wildlife. Birders, hikers, and photographers assume that outside of hunting season, the birds can have some respite from firearms and hunting dogs. On the contrary, after hunting season the waterfowl have migrated away and those species that are resident are subject to constant harassment by the same people and their hunting dogs. It seems as soon as hunting season is over it becomes hunting dog training season.

I understand why the gun owners are aggrieved in this case. At the Leque Island "salmon restoration" new drainage ditches were dug and levies removed. Hunters also used to release pheasants there. The now rapidly changing habitat is of far less use for dog training or pheasant hunting. The hunters feel their voice was not heard at Leque Island. Even worse, OUR perspective WAS heard: the portion of the levy that remained was left specifically for bird watchers and photographers. They view it as a "takeaway." As "corrective action" they have planned mass demonstration at the Mill Creek meeting. It is an alarming and sobering show of force by the NRA/FOX/GOP.

Everything they have said is wrong. Their propagandists lie 90% of the time, and their elected officials lie 70% of the time. Their forthcoming statements must be evaluated by that standard. Their ongoing campaign of disinformation disqualifies them from any inclusive, rational discussion of the issues. We hear their voices every day at CPAC and in the West Wing. Politicians hear them in cash donations and perks. WE DO NOT BUY INTO THEIR ADGENDA.

-Jonathan Blubaugh, Seattle

Response #1

Under state law, WDFW is charge with "preserving, protecting, and perpetuating" the state's fish and wildlife species, while also providing sustainable recreational opportunities. Fishing and hunting are recreational opportunities supported on WDFW managed lands.

Leque Island is not on the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area.

	PUBLIC MEETING (Transcribed from hand-written comments)	
74	Transcribed from hand-written comment  The dog trainer have suffered a significant reduction in land. This land was purchased in large part for the purpose of hunting & dog training. The state has taken an adversarial position for allowing dog training & hunting.  The state is not taking the opportunity to partner with hunters & dog trainers to manage this land.  There are states that have managed a partnership with these groups. Perhaps it's time for you to reach out & learn something.  Dog training permits	Response #1 Goals 8.A. and 8.B support improved enforcement and safety.
75	Email list to distribute notes from committees  Quality of land for dog training.  -Holly Kroh	
75	Transcribed from hand-written comment  Too many gate closures. Lack of communication w/staff – returning phone calls. Better access to lands for hunting & training. Possibly doing a purchase of keys to lock gates using hunting ID to get information to people. Getting information to people about needing volunteer improve access to middle lobe of Ebey Island.  -Candace Sobota	Response #1
76	Transcribed from hand-written comment  Dog training permit to use training areasallows WDFW staff to enforce rules of use - discourages unauthorized use of force Can follow the (?) utilized by OR Dept fw -Katrina Sullivan	Response #1
77	Transcribed from hand-written comment Access to areas More communication with people/clubs who would locked gate – buy a key? Dog training areas not all trainers are professionals Disable access Marty should have been present at meeting -Leslie Douglas	Response #1
78	Transcribed from hand-written comment Access to dog training land Only trash left on land was from farmer If someone want to damaged eqmt he can write (?) -Jim Olsen	Response #1
79	Transcribed from hand-written comment  Comments not addressed specifically on dog training same pilot program for Cherry  Valley to continue? Already it is not addressed on 10 yr plan. We are being written out  of the 10 yr plan. A couple of other things. Almost everyone at this meeting is a hunter and or fisherman. And have used it for training my kids in safe hunting. I would like to have all units opened up for all recreational use include dog training.  -Boyd Uish	Response #1
80	Transcribed from hand-written comment The NAVHDA wants to help.  1. I am interested in sitting on the Advisory Committee for Cherry Valley & Crescent Lake.	Response #1  We have a process governing the Wildlife Area

	2. What are the issues related to increasing land for dog training.	Advisory Committees. The
	Who are the stakeholders above the dog trainers	Wildlife Area Manager will
	How can my club assist with the management of the units	contact you with
	5. Stakeholder email list? PNW-NAVMDA Club	information.
	6. Why are the gates always locked?	
	-Carl Vinkle	
81	Transcribed from hand-written comment	Response #1
	Even though I do appreciate the recent expansion of the Cherry Valley site for dog	
	training it is unfortunate that it is not more acres. Further, I really do believe all the sites	
	should be fully open to dog training. I am in the field of education, I worried about our	
	youth, childhood obesity. These sites provide individuals & family access public lands to	Maintaining and expanding
	engage in activity. My hope is that you continue to increase access to people in	agriculture is part of the
	general, not just dog training. The folks that use these sites are passionate about public	vision for the wildlife area as
	use, as they should be. Again I hope you help to open more lands to access for public	it provides multiple benefits
	use.	for wildlife, habitat, and the
	It is difficult to understand how public lands can be open to farming that has a greater	local economy. Staff
	impact on wildlife than public use. The negative impact from users is far less than the	negotiate leases with
	farming that is allowed.	farmers based on available
	Please continue, not explore, fore open up the to dog trainers.	land and wildlife
	-Darryl Pernat	management objectives.
82	Transcribed from hand-written comment	Response #1
	-Consider time sharing areas for groups on weekend; Sat AM; PM. Sun AM; PM. Where	
	there are several special interest groups.	
	-Have more designated dog training area/acreage. Consider opening west side of Ebey	
	unit for dog training. With Leque Is & Fir Is being shut down the last few years its put	
	more pressure on Sno/King county unitsUtilize volunteers to maintain the land	
	Ebey and other areas are overgrown and need maintenance.	
	-Jack Richbourg jackrichbourg@msn.com	
83	Transcribed from hand-written comment	Response #1
	Other than leaving the gates open I am also questioning why so much corn is planted. It	Nesponse #1
	cuts down dog training surely there are other crops that would not conflict. I am also	
	not convinced that drugs are as big an issue as was stated. I do like the idea of keys.	
	Maybe I had more extensive comments which I submitted previously in your request for	
	comments. It does seem to me that not much progress has been and since we stared &	
	severval DFW staff seem antidog.	
	-Nancy Light Kylahgold@aol.com	
84	Transcribed from hand-written comment	Response #1
	I'm a 77 ½ y/o female & I've been training my dogs for hunting & field events for 50	
	years. We used Cherry Valley for many years for training, field trials & picnic training.	
	The fellow in charge worked closely with us – came out checked parking passes and	
	stayed and chatted – I am not aware of any big conflicts.	
	In 2013 the gates were closed – at my age it's difficult to walk great distances while	
	hauling my equipment &keeping my dogs safe – If the gates can't be open allowing a	
	key to registered handler would be very effective.	
	It seems we've attended so many of these meeting to no avail. I do resent Brian's	
	comment to us that it's "our land & our rules". He is paid with taxpayers money & if he	
	can't deal with the public better than he does he needs to go find a different job.	
	-Sandy Rainaldo herrsm1@comcast.net	

#### 85 Transcribed from hand-written comment WDFW will send you the 1. How do we find the dog training plan? pilot plan that is in effect for 2. How do we work with the dog representative? I have not heard of him or the the 2018 season, and it will be posted on the WLA 3. Go back to what the land was purchased for. The current plan has shrinking website. areas. A). Stillwater was purchased for retrievers and now no dog training allowed (same as Ebey Island). B)Cherry Valley is not useful due to restriction Marty Thenell is the dog club of corn growing in the area or has just dirt/mud where the corn used to be in representative on the WAAC. His contact the training area. 4. Need good field & hunt trial areas information is on the 5. Let volunteers work the land – many organization have liability waivers Snoqualmie Wildlife Area 6. There used to be 2800 acres for dog training, now less than 20 if you count the page on the WDFW web, corn fields. Let's move forward to address & reestablish the god training and under "Advisory Committee"). https://wdfw.wa.gov/about/ 7. Willing to have dog training Permit (free or nominal fee) like Oregon. -Kris Colt advisory/swa-waac/ Response #1 86 Transcribed from hand-written comment Imposing a permit fee for I would be willing to purchase a dog training permit. This would hold people dog training requires WA accountable for their actions while using the land. State Legislature to grant the Allow people to use the land what it was intended for. Bring trials & tests back to the authority to WDFW to area. The more good people there will help push out the drug users. They are there charge a fee. The WDFW system currently because no one uses the area & they can hide. Allow people to purchase a key to gates for use. is not an effective way to Please find a way to get these comment cards out to hunters. Maybe email it to them. mail directly to hunters. -Barbara Rowdon WDFW used news releases, public meetings, the SEPA process, the advisory committee members, and a stakeholder list as primary methods of communicating to all parties interested in the wildlife area. 87 Transcribed from hand-written comment Response #1 I would like to add to the comments I have previously made on the website after hearings some discussion at this meeting. Having used Cherry Valley for dog training from 1994-2013 I can honestly say that I have never seen drugs paraphernalia left on the ground where I trained – all of this while the gate was open to trainers. With gates closed, there is more opportunity for parking in the big lot & just walking in to do drugs – there are fewer people monitoring. 2. When the majority of the 65 acres available for dog training is planted in corn it is not really 65 acres available for dog training. This feels like a 'sleight of hand' by WDFW. 3. I was part of a group of volunteers last summer that worked on the grounds approved by the director. Now I am told I am no longer approved. Why? 4. I don't understand why dog trainers are singled out – many are hunters, hunting dogs need to be trained to conserve (?)

		<u> </u>
	I would gladly buy a hunting license if needs be, but I hope the bird watchers, farmers & beaver trappers would also be "fined" for their use of public lands purchased through a grant for outdoor recreation.	
	-Terrie Sato	
88	Transcribed from hand-written comment	Response #1
	There are a lot of areas that have been preserved completely for bird habitat. Cherry	
	Valley was originally given to the state for dog training.	
	WDFW has not given a legitate reason for closing the gate permanently.	
	We volunteered to work – we worked and yet the gate is closed again.	
	We have been trying to work with SDFW but feel our concerns have not been heard.	
	Dog trainers/hunters are the original wildlife conservationalists (sp?)	
	-Fran Seagren	
89	Transcribed from hand-written comment	Response #1
	Open access to ALL property at Stillwater & Cherry Valley not just a liited area. Dogs	Access at Corson is currently
	need 10 acres of varied terrain & water to be properly trained.	through private property,
	Open access to all units for dog training not just Cherry Valley & Stillwater.	with permission from the
	Corson unit – WDFW Website has no information on how to get there.	landowner. We are working
	-Warren Baylinson	to develop public access
00		(Goal 3.G)
90	We citizens of the state of WA. are pleading that you greatly increase the amount of	Response #1
	land available for dog training. Cherry Valley should be open to dog training and dog	
	activities as it was originally intended	
	Please hear our plea as the amount of land available for dog training and outdoor fun is	
	fast being removed. Thank you,	
-01	-Lennaea Gedsell	D #4
91	Attention: Commissioners	Response #1
	I am writing to support the continued use of Cherry Valley Unit in the Snoqualmie	
	Wildlife Area by those who need the land to train their retrievers. I am an advocate for	
	this cause because I used to train in Cherry Valley before the closure with no problems	
	and understand this is public land. Over the past several months the situation of	
	availability of Cherry Valley for dog training has been in flux. The property has gone	
	from having the area closed to dog training, to having it partially opened with the	
	promise of opening additional land, to the area again being off limits and now, to the	
	possibility that we will again loose access for the foreseeable future. This situation is	
	totally unacceptable and I along with many others in the dog training community are	
	deeply concerned. As you know, members of the Washington Sporting Dog Association (WSDTA) have	
	worked constructively and cooperatively with the Washington State Fish and Wildlife	
	Commission since early 2016 to develop a comprehensive proposal utilizing volunteers	
	to assist in maintaining Cherry Valley as a training area (to reduce demands on Fish and Wildlife employees) and to return the Cherry Valley Unit to historical use by the dog	
	training community. The closure of the Cherry Valley Unit to dog training for salmon	
	habitat restoration was presented as being temporary. With the development of the 10	
	year plan for the Snoqualmie Valley Wildlife Area, there was an opportunity to reinstate	
	the historical use of the grounds for dog training. The Washington Sporting Dog Training	
	Group (representing enthusiasts from all aspects of sporting dog training) worked with	
	the Washington Fish and Game representatives from Unit 4 to develop a plan for using	
	Cherry Valley that was a step in the right direction. This plan provided guidelines for a	
	Cherry valley that was a step in the right unrection. This plan provided guidelines for a	

	working relationship between the Department of Fish and Game and volunteers from the dog community and had 1, 3 and 5-year benchmarks.  It was understood by all involved in the negotiations that the year 2017 represented the initial phase of reopening Cherry Valley with sufficient acreage to accommodate various uses. This included training for several types of dogs (from upland retrievers to water	
	retrievers) as well as protecting the habitat for breeding/nesting waterfowl and salmon. Volunteers spent many hours working at Cherry Valley to mow the canary grass and open-up ground that had been overtaken by this invasive species.  But now the cooperative spirit that allowed for development of joint use plans has	
	deteriorated.  I recently learned that 2017 was considered a "pilot year" and that, once again, Cherry Valley would be closed to dog training. This was not my understanding and is not acceptable. It disrespects the countless hours of time spent working with the Fish and Wildlife Department ampleyees and private valuations to develop a workely plan for	
	Wildlife Department employees and private volunteers to develop a workable plan for Cherry Valley that includes dog training. I urge the Commission to use their oversight to direct Region 4 to leave the 2017 plan in place for the 2018 training season and continue to work with dog training enthusiasts to refine the plan for the continued use	
	of Cherry Valley for dog training.  As the human population grows and urban sprawl continues to erode open space available for dog training in the Puget Sound area, it is critical that Cherry Valley remain available to the public. It is possible to share the property with others who wish to enjoy open space, wildlife and wildlife viewing, and dog training while preserving the	
	natural setting and promoting community interest and the preservation of wildlife.  I will be keenly interested in the outcome of your meeting on the 24th of April. I hope you will understand that there are more than a few people who desire to use Cherry Valley (as well as other nearby units) for training their bird dogs.  Thank you for your time, Sincerely,	
	-Jean Fowler	
92	I would like to submit a comment on the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan.  I live in Duvall and use areas for recreation including dog training and hunting. We are concerned that the use of the area is being more and more limited and signage is confusing.	Response #1
	I am also interested in using Stillwater and Cresent Lake for dog training. I would like to suggest the possibility of rotating the locations used for dog training. If one area is getting too much use, then to preserve it, close it temporarily and open up another area.	
	I hope that you will take my comments and suggestions into consideration. Thank you Amanda McLaughlin	
93	Attn: Commissioners Re: Public Use of Cherry Valley for Dog Training and Events	Response #1
	I am writing to you again as there appears to be an effort to re-write the use of the area that has been traditionally open to multiple uses including dog training. We have been successfully training dogs in the Cherry Valley Unit during 2017 thanks to the cooperative work between the Region 4 leadership and the Washington Sporting Dog Training Association (WSDTA). The WSDTA represents a wide cross section of individuals and groups, including the Rainier Hunting Retriever Club, who use Cherry Valley to train hunting dogs.	
	29	

Members of the WSDTA have worked constructively with the Washington State Fish and Wildlife Commission since early 2016 to develop a comprehensive proposal utilizing volunteers to assist in maintaining Cherry Valley as a training area (to reduce demands on Fish and Wildlife employees) and to return the Cherry Valley Unit to historical use by the dog training community. The closure of the Cherry Valley Unit to dog training in 2012 for salmon habitat restoration was presented as being temporary.

With the development of the 10-year plan for the Snoqualmie Valley Wildlife Area, there was an opportunity to reinstate the historical use of the grounds for dog training. The Washington Sporting Dog Training Group (representing enthusiasts from all aspects of sporting dog training) worked with the Washington Fish and Game representatives from Unit 4 to develop a plan for using Cherry Valley that was satisfactory to all. This plan provided guidelines for a working relationship between the Department of Fish and Game and volunteers from the dog community and had 1, 3 and 5-year benchmarks.

It was understood by all involved in the negotiations that the year 2017 represented the initial phase of reopening Cherry Valley with sufficient acreage to accommodate various uses. This included training for several types of dogs (from upland pointers/flushers to water retrievers) as well as protecting the habitat for breeding/nesting waterfowl and salmon. Volunteers spent many hours working at Cherry Valley to mow the canary grass and open-up ground that had been overtaken by this invasive species.

Now we learn that 2017 is being considered a "pilot year" and that, once again, Cherry Valley will be closed to dog training. This was not our understanding of the process and is not acceptable. It disrespects the countless hours of time spent working with the Fish and Wildlife Department employees and private volunteers to develop a workable plan for Cherry Valley that includes dog training.

We urge the Commission to use their oversight to direct Region 4 to leave the 2017 plan in place for the 2018 training season and to support continued planning with dog training enthusiasts. The 2017 plan for the continued use of Cherry Valley for dog training was developed jointly and worked well in 2017.

As the human population grows and urban sprawl in the Puget Sound area continues to erode open space to support dog training and encourage growth and participation in the sporting dog arena, it is critical that Cherry Valley remain available to the public. It is possible to share the property with others who wish to enjoy open space, wildlife and wildlife viewing, and dog training while preserving the natural setting and promoting community interest and the preservation of wildlife.

We appreciate your consideration of our concern s. On behalf of the Rainier Hunting Retriever Club

-Doug Gallucci, President Rainier Hunting Retriever Club

I am writing to express my concerns over the management of the Cherry Valley unit. The gates are perpetually closed and locked so that there is no access to Cherry Valley. I am a committed bird dog trainer and there is no longer any access to Cherry Valley for dog training. There is also no way to park on the road ( 203 ) and walk onto the property.

Response #1

	Boehm and Chandler have been actively hostile and have put up many barriers to	
	prevent dog trainers from using the property.	
	Even though a new policy was developed to allow dog trainers onto the property with	
	greatly restricted access to the area that policy has not been followed and the gates	
	remain locked.	
	The Cherry Valley unit has for many years been traditionally available to bird dog	
	trainers and also for holding bird dog events such as field trials and now none of those	
	activities are available. Sincerely,	
0.5	-Suzanne Bolwell	
95	WDFW Region 4 - Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan	Response #1
	The attached document was provided by Marty Sweet who gathered signatures form	
	individuals at a hunt test from people that are concerned about the loss of training	
	grounds for amateur dog trainers and hunters. This petition includes 26 signatures in	
	support of reopening the dog training grounds in the SWA's.	
	Cindy Olson	
	at the request of Marty Sweet	
	Tout from the DDE file. The form included 26 cianatures	
	Text from the PDF file: The form included 26 signatures.	
	In regards to the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area and Cherry Valley, we the undersigned urge the State of Washington to reopen the original amount of acreage that was allotted for	
	dog training in the mid 70's, with the same days and hours of access. We are all serious	
	dog training in the find 70 s, with the same days and hours of access. We are all serious dog trainers who realize the best conservation tool is a well trained retriever.	
	Collectively, the dog train ing community contributes millions of dollars each year to	
	Washington State's economy by purchasing hunting licenses, Discover passes, clothing	
	and all of the other necessities for hunting and dog training. The numerous out of state	
	visitors who attend our hunt t sts and	
	field trials also contribute to the stat e's economy.	
	-Marty Sweet	
96	WDFW Region 4 - Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan	Response #1
	The attached document was provided by Chris Braswell who is not able to attend the	
	meeting tonight. She speaks to the need for quality, well trained bird dogs for hunting.	
	She also speaks to the need for available land in order to train these dogs her email	
	says it best	
	Cindy Olson for Chris Braswell	
	Text from the PDF file attached to the email:	
	Cindy, I am not able to attend the meeting tomorrow night, and hope that you get this before	
	then. If you need any further oral support tomorrow night, here is my input:	
	then. If you need any further oral support tomorrow hight, here is my input.	
	As a breeder for field-bred bird dogs, and have been breeding since 1988, my biggest	
	clientele are the avid hunters. These hunters are comprised throughout the	
	•	
	continent, primarily all throughout the United States and the states that comprise	
	•	
	continent, primarily all throughout the United States and the states that comprise the largest hunting areas i.e., the Dakotas, Montana, etc. These hunters have been	
	continent, primarily all throughout the United States and the states that comprise the largest hunting areas i.e., the Dakotas, Montana, etc. These hunters have been buying pups from me for nearly 30 years because the dogs I produce can meet their	
	continent, primarily all throughout the United States and the states that comprise the largest hunting areas i.e., the Dakotas, Montana, etc. These hunters have been buying pups from me for nearly 30 years because the dogs I produce can meet their demand of the hundreds of birds they hunt every year.  Because of that, they are looking for the parents to be proven which means those of us breeders need to be training these dogs in order to earn those titles on these	
	continent, primarily all throughout the United States and the states that comprise the largest hunting areas i.e., the Dakotas, Montana, etc. These hunters have been buying pups from me for nearly 30 years because the dogs I produce can meet their demand of the hundreds of birds they hunt every year.  Because of that, they are looking for the parents to be proven which means those of	

Valley, which is/was a vital piece of property for our purpose. It is crucial for the bird-dog breeders to be able to have access to properties to train these dogs on to ensure that the hunters who buy their pups are getting the dog which meets their needs and demands. These dogs are bred specifically for birds whether it be competitive or for sport hunting.

Between you and me, don't these DFW people hunt themselves? Don't they want/have well-bred bird dogs and if they do, where and how do they find them? Don't they look for proven parents? Or just buy whatever is in the local classifieds? I'm a bit dismayed at their lack of knowledge here, especially when one of them mocked someone for just "throwing a bumper " for his dog. Bumpers are a crucial part of training, even if it is just "throwing" one. That person could have been giving his dog a reward bumper, a happy bumper, but that incident isn't one for someone to just stand buy and pass judgment on something they know nothing about. I wish we had someone with more bird-dog knowledge in this situation with the WDFW and you would think that there would be.

I'm sorry I cannot make the meeting and I will try to post my opinion on the website provided. Work has eaten up my time. Thanks,

#### -Chris Braswell

## 97 To whom it may concern:

Long-term management of wildlife areas is enhanced when input from the members of the hunting sport dog community is welcomed. This community of people are, many times, the first to become aware of changes in the ecosystem. Because of their familiarity with the environment and their sensitivity to change in the environment, they serve as the 'singing frogs' in the environmental pond, so to speak. They are good friends and neighbors to the Department of Fish & Wildlife.

No one is more concerned with sustainable fishing, hunting and other wildlife-related, recreational or commercial experiences than those who regularly abide and partake in the activities that our federal lands provide to us.

The hunting sport dog community network of friends, neighbors and out-of-towners are the people who regularly pump dollars into local economies and care about life quality issues in their local neighborhoods and the rest of the State of Washington which directly affect the community. Many times it is the mere presence of the sportsman in the field or a good citizen in the neighborhood, which may be the first deterrent to crime or other undesirable activities. It is the hunting sport dog community which has been supportive of WDFW and effective at achieving long-term success with the Department at community levels and beyond.

It makes good sense in planning to allow for the participation of and invite the presence of the hunting sport dog community by keeping open the land available historically for dog training as well as planning for increasing land areas for dog training: A particular example is the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area, Cherry Valley Wildlife Area.

The Washington State Department of Fish & Wildlife has a steadfast partner in the hunting sport dog community, a network of friends and neighbors at the local, state and national levels, who have historically supported and continue to support WDFW. Respectfully submitted,

# -Monica Mance

## Response #1

98	As a small game & migratory bird permit license-holder, and a taxpayer of Washington State, I would like to be able to access any WDFW land for the purpose of sporting dog training. I need a place to train my hunting dog.  I hunt on public land during the season. Why can't I be allowed to access the same land during the off-season for dog training purposes?  I don't have large plots of land where I can run my dog & train it to become a better conservation tool. My backyard is less than 150 feet wide and only 80 feet deep. You can't train a dog to do a 400 yard retrieve in this space.  And other public areas (e.g., schools, county open spaces, and city parks) will not allow me to have my dog off-leash, if they allow me to have my dog there at all.  I need access to WDFW lands to train my dog. Please don't take this away. Please don't give me a reason to begrudge your department.  A humble request from a loyal customer of your product & services. Sincerely,  -Jon Pretty	Response #1
99	To whom it may concern, Under your 10 year management plan please allow access to dog training in Cherry Valley, Stillwater, Crescent Lake and Ebey Island. Sincerely, -Andrea D Hanses	Response #1
100	I incurred a head injury 10 months ago and am on a limited budget of \$40 per day to pay mortgage, groceries and all my household bills.  Retriever dog training has been wonderful therapy allowing me to work on memory and processing speed. Unfortunately having to pay to play (dog train) easily costs 25% of my daily budget. It's a shame that the State would deny access to those with a limited budget the opportunity to use the land and water for dog training.  I am confused why the Master Hunter training material states that a trained retriever is a resource tool and then fails to provide an area where we can train our dogs. Possibly those making the decision on access to training grounds are unaware that these dogs don't just train themselves. The pointing dogs do what comes naturally (point/ wait before they pounce on their prey) the trainer is tasked with enhancing those skills. A retriever trainer must teach the dog to do tasks it is not naturally inclined to do (take direction to a bird it has not seen). In short training a retriever to the level necessary to be a good resource management tool takes time and grounds including water.  The ponds at Cherry Vally are a good example of how dog training has benefitted wildlife. Those ponds are man made for the purpose of training retrievers efficiently. The fact that wildlife benefits from those same ponds is an added bonus.  I recently trained at Cherry Valley. One of the first things I did when getting out of my car was pick up trash. While my actions were to protect my dog those same actions protected wildlife from ingesting something potentially harmful. Ask any dog trainer and they'll tell you about picking up trash, broken bottles, fishing line, etc. While sometimes it's for purely selfish reasons (we don't want our dogs getting injured) wildlife still benefits from our actions. Over the years I have reported illegal trash dumping, poaching, squatting and pesticide use that was against the land use agreement the State had with the farmer. The State has an Eye	Response #1

As a licensed hunter and dog trainer I request the State provide us with dog training access to Cherry Valley, Stillwater, Crescent Lake and Ebey Island in their 10 year management plan. What the State stands to gain far outweighs what the State has to loose. Sincerely,

-Matthew J Nugent

Response #1

I am particularly interested in ensuring that there is adequate dog training property at Cherry Valley. I think dog training is very compatible with multiple uses of this unit and there is no reason to limit the dog training area to a very small (15-20 acres) portion of the property. I believe the WDFW staff when they say they are supportive of continuing to provide dog training areas at Cherry Valley, however, I am very concerned that they don't understand the needs of the retriever training community and don't understand the type of grounds needed for adequate retriever training. The designated area must be suitable for retriever training. We cannot train in wooded areas, nor can we use corn fields, which can cut dog's feet and legs. Fields with natural cover and water access are essential. Serious dog trainers do not allow their dogs to run around without supervision. We leave our dogs in our cars and trucks until it is our turn to run a training set up. The dogs are under the trainers control at all times. Each dog/handler team runs the training scenario and then the dogs are put back in their vehicles. This activity is not a free for all that would interfere with wildlife, hikers, or even bird watchers. In addition, access to a larger amount of acreage for dog training will ensure areas won't get overcrowded or damaged by heavy use. The Draft Management Plan uses extremely vague language and doesn't commit to any specifics, such as the number of acres that would be available for dog training or the location of those acres. The plan merely states that WDFW will "continue to manage designated bird dog training areas " and to "explore the potential to expand." I would like to see more of a commitment in this plan to accommodate dog training needs and provide assurance to the dog training community that the department is committed to working out a viable solution.

I have never used the Crescent Lake Unit and just saw where it was located when I attended the public meeting. My understanding is that there is only a very small area designated for dog training. That doesn't make any sense. Further evaluation needs to be done to open up more adequate acreage for dog training at this location, in coordination with decisions being made at Cherry Valley.

I would be willing to buy a yearly dog training license to use at any and all of the units covered in this plan . License fees could help support maintenance of these lands, much the same way that hunting licenses provide revenue.

A number of people at the recent public meeting mentioned concerns about opening the gates and what might happen if that were to happen. There seemed to be a lot of support to set up a system where the gates would be locked and users could purchase keys. It seems that this suggestion is worth pursuing. I guarantee that every dog trainer would be willing to purchase a key if a reliable system could be installed.

There are a number of dog training clubs throughout the region who can contact their members and organize volunteer help. I understand that funding is limited and the WDFW has budget constraints and competing priorities. Tapping into dog training clubs

	and their volunteers is a great solution to help maintain the areas we need to train. In addition, when these properties are used by law abiding citizens, when there are people around and using the areas, it is more likely that the undesirable elements will not take over.  Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I would like to be put on your mailing list and receive any information relating to the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Draft Management Plan. Thanks.  -Nancy Harney	Thank you for your interest. Your name has been added to the mailing list.
102	I'm writing to voice my support for keeping dog training access a priority for sites within the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area. Maintaining access to public dog training grounds is an important part of wildlife conservation for two primary reasons: effective game recovery and new hunter recruitment.  Well-trained hunting dogs are an essential tool for conservation. Properly trained dogs can find and recover crippled game birds that may otherwise elude the hunter. The recovery of these crippled birds ensures that the species does not suffer the unnecessary loss of unrecoverable and often fatally injured birds. In order for hunters to responsibly use dogs in the field, we need opportunities to practice and train throughout the off-season.  Additionally, dog training events can be effective outreach for potential future hunters. Many new hunters come to the sport (and stay in the sport) through an interest in working dogs. In my personal experience with the NAVHDA and JGHV organizations, I	Response #1
	know several people who started hunting simply because they owned a gun dog. In an era of alarming decline in the hunting population (and subsequently an alarming decline in hunter-funded conservation efforts), we should be looking at any and all opportunities for new hunter recruitment. Convenient access to dog training events and facilities is essential for attracting and retaining new bird hunters via the dog community.  The Snogualmie Wildlife Area is unique in that it serves an urban and suburban	
	population that does not otherwise have good access to open public spaces. It's time for outdoor enthusiasts, conservationists, hunters, and dog handlers to join the same side of the fight for wildlife conservation, which starts with fair access to public lands. Thank you for the consideration of public comment. I look forward to the inclusion of the hunting dog community in the ongoing plans for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area. Kind regards, Jennifer Wapenski	
103	I love that our state and country has so much public that for us to use and that a lot of this land supports multiple use recreation. Please keep this in mind when you decide how to manage this wildlife area and all others lands you manage.	Response #1
	I believe that this wildlife area and others should have an area where dog training is allowed. As our population grows in the northwest it is harder to find good areas to train. Please support hunting dog owners with keeping training grounds open just as we passionately support wildlife and wildlife management. Thanks,  -Owen Bacon	
104	I am writing in concern of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan for 2018.	Response #1

	I wanted to express my wish that access be maintained for dogs and dog training in the existing areas, and expanded where possible - pertinent particularly to Goal #3, Draft Objectives M and N. I support these objectives.	Thank you for your support of Goals 3.M and 3.N.
	In the Seattle area there are significantly more people opting to own pets, particularly dogs, over having children. This quickly growing population of dog owners want and need places where they can take their canine family members.	
	In addition, a growing number of young people are entering the hunting world alongside/because of their dogs (which is the case for me), and the contributions hunters make through license and gun purchases toward conservation cannot be overlooked. If these up and coming outdoorsmen and women have nowhere to work with and train their hunting companions, then they could lose their passion for this new hobby. Access is already a struggle, let alone being unable to work with your hunting dog. Thank you for your time,	
	-Adrienne Barber	
105	I have used all of the wildlife areas between Monroe and Carnation all of my life. I would enjoy nothing more than to continue to use these areas with my kids who are now of age to hunt and train with me. The use of these wildlife areas is extremely important to my life and the surrounding communities.  -Ryan Dill	Response #1
106	I attended the 4/24/2018 public meeting to discuss the draft management plan for Snoqualmie Wildlife Area. To be honest, I'm a land owner in the nearby Cherry Valley area and was hoping to learn more about WDFW's management plan for the large predators that live in the area: wolves and bear.  My comments specific to the Snoqualmie Wildlife areas:  1) I support the public's use of these lands for bird hunting and dog training, and I encourage the WDFW to open as much land for these uses as possible. I understand the lands were given or purchased specifically for this use, and it is important that the land not be diverted to other uses such as farming.  2) Many citizens expressed concern that these wildlife areas have been gated off/closed for most of the last two years. I am not a favor of public land management via gates and locks. Gates and locks stop recreation, not management it. Further, when you deny the public access to their land(s), law abiding citizens will obey leaving the land wide open for meth labs and homeless camps. We need the public to be in and using these lands – eyes in the woods – in order to report violators to the authorities.  a. One member attending last night's meeting suggested WDFW selling gate keys to dog trainers and bird hunters so they could have access while keeping the meth labs and homeless out, and this would be a good option. Respectfully submitted, -Linda Driscoll	Response #1
107	How dare you reneg on the original commitment to dog owners and trainers. Access and open area has been restricted to the point where the original promise made to this community is merely a suggestion in your eyes.  Both Cherry Valley and Stillwater were given to the state with the express purpose of serving dog owners and their dogs. Your blatant land grab is shameful and dishonest. You can restore faith in the agency and state government: Live up to the original commitment and restore off-leash and dog training/exercise as the primary purpose of these areas, increase the area where training is allowed. Open Cherry Valley completely to honor its original intent. Sincerely,	Response #1
	26	

	-Scott Linden	
108	In the two years that followed the public scoping meeting in April 2016, the ten year planning process resulting in the current draft does not appear to have taken into consideration any of the comments from this meeting as it relates to dog training. Please consider these comments from 2016 in the final plan.	Response #1
	The attachments to this comment which contain notes from the April 26 public meeting are in the Appendix -Cindy Olson, WSDTA	
109	Here are some of my suggestions. I primarily use the Ebey Island area for pheasant hunting, and I am always seeking areas to dog train.	Response #1
	It would be great if dog training were allowed on the unfarmed portions of Ebey. I think the west side is not used, except for hunting. It is overgrown with thistles which makes hunting (especially with pointing dogs) difficult to impossible. If it were open to dog training, there would be more traffic on it which would make it easier to hunt. I believe the dog training community would also volunteer to help maintain the growth, by mowing, planting,,, or whatever is needed to improve the land not just for dog training but for habitat and others to be able to have clear access and enjoy.	
	I would also like to see other areas in the unit opened to dog training, and dog events (hunt tests, field trials,). There is simply too little access for dog training. The current direction for SVWA combined with the recent closures in Skagit, have pretty much shut down dog training and reduced pheasant hunting in WA North of Seattle. Fort Lewis used to be a great alternative but access and the ability to plan events there has gotten stricter over the years, making it virtually impossible. So, the only viable alternative in western Washington is scatter creek.  Considering the overall picture, I hope that you can open up hundreds or thousands of acres of property to dog training. Other interests interest groups, like bird watchers, duck hunters, have alternative lands/areas to go in the region, but dog	
	trainers/pheasant hunters do not. The wildlife areas are our only venue, so please consider this in your plan, and take action to allow more dog training. In return, you'll have a volunteer network that will close the budget gap on the labor needed for maintenance. regards,  -Jack Richbourg	
110	Hello, I attended the meeting at Mill Creek on April 24th. I appreciate that Staff altered your agenda to take comments from the public. There are a couple misconceptions about dog trainers that your staff seems to use as fact. One misconception that is to class "dog trainers" as rich folks making money and using dog trucks with more than 5 dogs. If anyone in your office knew the "dog training community" you would realize 95% of us make 0\$ on this hobby. The other 5% can barely make ends meet and use other private grounds for training opportunities. These other grounds cost \$ to use and most beginning dog trainers can not afford or find these properties.  Perhaps a dog training permit to use public lands should be proposed. Then WDFW could use the money to address the concerns WDFW Region 4 office seems to have to	Response #1
	"dog training" on public lands. Other regions seem too have a very good relationship with the dog training community and use volunteers from our many dog clubs for	

	WDFW projects. There were volunteers out at Cherry valley last year and invasive species were controlled so every user of Cherry valley could benefit.  One other misconception I have heard with my own ears is the statement "our land our rules" told to us at a meeting by a biologist from your mill creek office. Her biology concerns trumped anything ever being done to land for "recreational" purpose including access to properties.  WDFW seems to have a negative attitude to dogs disturbing wildlife. Personally I think that is a tiny footprint that is blown out of proportion by "biologists". The dog training community only wants to train on a fraction of the acreage on each unit but 10 acres is a tiny are not useful for us to use to train our dogs for hunting. We need more acreage at every unit to train properly.  Sorry I am going over some of the points made at the meeting on the 24th.  The dog clubs in the dog training community are willing to volunteer to help with WDFW projects. If you use them and not block access or limit the properties to 10 acres there will be much less pressure on the region 4 office. Thank you,  -Boyd Ulsh	
111	How many acres will be available for dog training at Cherry Valley. At the meeting I heard 65. If I read the report under goals it looks like 35.  As this property was originally given to the state as training grounds, it should be available to everyone in its entirety. Planting pen raised pheasants and allowing hunters to tromp through the area can't be any more disruptive to the ecosystem than dog training. Open the gates  -Carl Vinke Manager, Fabrication Division	Response #1
112	Please consider this email regarding dog training and a meeting to discuss the closure of the SWA's to dog training a part of my public comments.  Cindy Olson  WSDTA  Fenner  Please block out May 16th from 2:00 to 4:00 PM for our meeting. I would like to suggest we meet at the Duvall public library which is near half way between Auburn and Mill Creek, I can see about reserving a room.	Response #1
	The primary discussion will be the problems caused by the dog trainers that necessitated the closure of all but a fraction of the land available prior to the closure. In order to pitch our case for reopening the land we need to understand why it was closed in the first place. I have attached an additional PDF file with all of the emails that appear to support our contention that this was a direct result of Kim Chandlers dislike of dog trainers, using his interpretation of what his authority allowed him to do and Brian's following Kim's lead to close the lands. You can see by the emails attached that they would have liked to close the entire SWA to all dogs but they ran into a few road blocks. These road blocks allowed hunting dogs during hunting season, dog training in "designated areas" (hence the 10 to 15 acre plots) per the hunting regs and a sane person that did not think closing the SWA's to all dogs was right so they settled on dogs on leash only.	
	You have a copy of my email to Martha asking her to shed light on this issue. At the meeting last night she asked if we can't let go of the past and just move forward from here. I told her no. To move forward we NEED to know why we were shut down - the reasons, the issues, the concerns in order to address them to everyone's satisfaction.	

	Where was the transparency in 2013 when this was happening? Where is the documentation to support these reasons? If the reasons were not valid (valid to be determined) we want the historical land reopened immediately and we can then work on the dog training program for future years.  The purpose of this meeting will not be expanding the dog training acreage for 2018 or the dog training and volunteer plans. To your point about transparency, please invite Martha or any of the WAAC members if you feel it is appropriate. Martha was perhaps the only other CAG member that was on the board in 2013 that can shed light on why the dog trainers were shut out.  We are looking forward to this meeting and getting some answers to this very critical matter.  Thanks  -Cindy	
	See Appendix for attachments  1. April 12, 2018 notes from dog training association  2. Collection of notes and emails	
113	Please keep Cherry Valley open for what it was intended. My 14 YO son trains our dog and is working towards more AKC hunt test certs. He will be utilizing this land for many years to come! Thank you for your consideration.  -Leah Pernat	Response #1
114	Good Morning, I breed and compete in field trials and hunt tests with German Shorthaired Pointers, I hunt big game and birds, I am a WDFW Hunter education instructor and Master Hunter. I am begging you to please allow year round dog training at Cherry Valley and also Stillwater. These areas are in a flood plain, there isn't much else to do there. We need to use this property as it was originally intended for dog events and training.	Response #1
	I love going there and working with my dogs. The percentage of public lands for the public to use OTHER THAN FOR DOG TRAINING is tens of thousands of acres more compared to what we are asking for.  So let us please have this spot to call our dog training area year round, it really isn't much. Have a great day! Sincerely,  -AnnaMaria Marchionne	
115	Please keep as much desirable area open to dog training in the off-seasons of hunting as possible. Regards, -Spencer H. B. Kunath	Response #1
116	I would like to see the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area open to dog training and hunt tests like it was originally set up.  Brian mentioned improvements to Ebey Island. Access to the middle area, possible bridge, mowing more of the east side of unit i think are positive ideas.  Can we also look into dog training at some point at Ebey. Thank You -Dirk Sobota	Response #1
117	I would like to see a more dog training friendly environment, and from other recreation groups that I am in, there is a culture (or perception of such) resistance to recreation that land management deems "unfavorable", like dog training for hunting, hunting, dog	Response #1

	trials and even horse/stock use. I would like to see that change, and that can be done with a directional change encompassed in the 10 year plan.  Year round training, maintaining dog training on grounds that were purchased for that	
	purpose, and even expanding these areas should be considered in the plan.	
	Also, as these groups are loosely connected, an extension of the comment period is helpful to hear everyone's views	
	-Kita Morris	The comment period was extended 30 additional days for a total of 60 days.
118	As a bird dog enthusiast, I urge you to keep open and increase the amount of wildlife area for dog training, testing and competition.	Response #1
	With over two decades of experience hosting, assisting, and competing in these events I have found that the bird dog community is the most careful, respectful, conscientious and conservation-minded group you could ever ask to have on land. For long years in our training and competition activities, we have honed the discipline of "leave it better than you found it". There is ample opportunity for this; at every event I have myself, and observed others to, pick up trash, fill holes, and correct other infractions by prior users of a site when we arrive to set up for an event.	
	To alienate/ostracize/shut out the bird dog community is a tragic mistake that I hope will not be made. The bird dog community is the strongest ally you could have in keeping the land clean and safe for all inhabitants and users. Best regards, -Julia Pond	
119	I am reaching out requesting that our state leaves access to Cherry Valley to off leash dog training, which is what it was originally given to our state for. Thank you, -William Josie	Response #1
120	I am writing in regards to Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management, especially as it pertains to dog training. The Cherry Creek and Stillwater areas where originally set up for dog training as well as field trials and hunt tests. I think it is a gross overreach by your department to change this use or restrict it in any way. I hope common sense will prevail in this matter and the original intended uses of these areas will be honored. Thanks you  -Jess Spradley	Response #1
121	I am concerned that there are not enough acres of public land, open to dog training year round. I have been an avid hunter for most of my life. I buy hunting and fishing licenses every year, and also spend money on hunt and fishing trips, which goes to help the Washington economy. I hope that you will allow continued access to places like Still water and Cherry Valley areas, and even add to the available acres, in the coming years. I also live in the area, in Carnation.  -Jason Astuto	Response #1
122	Hi, I'm a retriever trainer who has been training at Cherry Valley for decades, when it has been available to dog trainers. The areas most valuable to us are the two big ponds north of the gravel road at the second (north) entrance. In recent years when the gate for that entrance has been closed, the property has been useless to retriever trainers. The "designated area" for dog training was far too small, dangerously close to a 60mph highway, and had no water, which we need both for training duck dogs and for safety	Response #1

(cooling dogs off when they get hot). Signs were also posted saying we couldn't have our dogs off leash, which was preposterous--how can a dog retrieve game or training bumpers while on leash?

This property was purchased specifically for hunting-related recreation, and I don't know why it would be closed to that purpose.

While it was closed to dog trainers, this once-beautiful property, natural and full of wildlife, was torn up and turned into a corn monoculture. After the corn is harvested, what's left is bare earth and corn stubble. I don't understand how this serves any public purpose. Growing corn produces income (for the state? for an individual?). But this land is supposed to be for public recreation! I'm enclosing two photos of what it looks like right now (I took these photos today). There is no public benefit, nor any conservation benefit, to land destroyed like this. Can you imagine if your beloved local park and sports fields were turned into corn stubble, for some entity's financial benefit, and nobody could play baseball, football, or soccer anymore? You and your neighbors would be up in arms! Let Cherry Valley grow wild again, for the wildlife and for the public to enjoy. We need the land areas for training, as well as the water areas.

The property does need maintenance in the form of mowing, because the grass, left to its own devices, gets incredibly high (over my head!). The dog community is organized and willing to perform this mowing.





-Amy Raby

This comment is directed toward your department's management of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area, and more specifically Cherry Valley, Crescent Lake and Stillwater. In the past Cherry Valley and Stillwater were heavily used by dog trainers. I believe all, or the most part of these properties were given to the state specifically for the continuation of the traditional use of dog training, field trials and hunt tests. Over the years we have seen a significant reduction in land open for dog training within Region 4 and this trend needs to stop. I strongly urge you to develop plans toward returning acerage lost to the hunting dog community back to its originally intended purpose. Thank you for your consideration.

Response #1

- -Lawrence Moores
- PS: Again the smaller dog training designated area's are fine for shoot and retrieve / live fire training birds. 90% of pointing dog trainers are fine with no live fire i.e. blank pistol's. Homing pigeons or tethered release birds to limit flight distance are utilized; dog finds and points the bird / birds planted, the handler flushes the bird "if the dog holds" a blank is fired and the dog is lead away and released to find another. Nobody gets hurt and its good fun / training and fairly benign to other folks who may be around utilizing the wildlife area. We could help draft no life fire training area language that makes sense for trainers, WDFW The public advisory committee and finally legal review upon request.

Thanks again for taking the time to read and consider pubic comments!

SEPA RESPONSE: Public Comments and WDFW responses to public comments received during the public review of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan draft under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) from March 30, 2018 through May 25, 2018 (5:00 pm)

From: Tony and Kris Thompson

Sent: Thursday, April 26, 2018 8:05 PM

To: SEPADesk2@dfw.wa.gov

Subject: Comment on SEPA No. 18016

HI Folks,

The current bird dog training area at Stillwater appears non-existent, it's the best and safest option even split in half. We realize there are pet elk and etcetera their.

Cherry Valley is entirely to close to a major highway and to small for most any pointing breed to really be useful.

Crescent lake, the postage stamp clear grass designated bird dog training area is ok for shoot and retrieve only.

The reference to local off leash dog parks is necessary for the general public but, ridiculous as a pointing dog trainer. We don't want to take our prized bird dogs to run free with the average untrained mix breed or aggressive dog anymore than you folks want the same dogs running on Stillwater chasing elk and other wildlife! We can only dream of a Sauvies Island or a Scatter Creek in the north end, please try to look kindly on the folks who really could and would appreciate one real bird dog training area.

I can speak for the all three Washington Brittany Clubs when I say, we are willing and able to help the WDFW with any effort to improve the dog training areas. Thanks for the effort on the public land management and the draft management plan.

Sincerely,
-Tony Thompson

125 First, my summary: It is requested that the originally designated public lands that were set aside for dog training be re-opened for public access and specifically for dog training

as originally intended.

## Relevant discussion:

A public meeting was held at the North Puget Sound-Region 4 Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife earlier this week to discuss the plan for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area, which includes Cherry Valley, Crescent Lake and Stillwater. At the meeting it was shared, that both Cherry Valley and Stillwater were originally given over to the state specifically for dog training, field trials and hunt test. In the past these sites were used heavily by dog trainers as was the original intended use for this land. Now the use is significantly less due to restricted access by the WADFW. It was shared that within the last 10 years the amount of land open for dog training has decreased from 2,080 acres to now just 10 acres, and seasonally 65 acres. The meager amount of land currently available for its originally intended use amounts to less than 2% annually. This is greatly concerning to people like me. Public lands should be open to the public for use. The Cherry Valley Unit should be a place where we are free to bring our families and our dogs and enjoy the outdoors. I personally spend a considerable amount of my "free time" engaged in training dogs and handlers both for obedience on and off lead, and for useful field work, including searching for and tracking specific scents, while responding to directive handler commands.

I encourage the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife to restore a significant portion of the large amount of land originally available to the public, specifically for dog training. Cherry Valley should be fully open to dog training and dog events as it was originally intended. Since the originally designated public lands have decreased so substantially, there should now at least be designated sites solely for the purpose of

Response #1

	public use for dog training, including on and off leash dog training and dog and handler skill tests. These skill tests are required and attended by several National and International Hunting Dog Organizations. These tests include dog breed conformance and skill demonstration to standards & requirements and are often attended by judges and other trainers from outside our beautiful area.  Summary: It is requested that the originally designated public lands that were set aside for dog training be re-opened for public access and specifically for dog training as originally intended. Thank you for your consideration,	
126	-Jim Halwachs , Member, PNW NAVHDA  The Cherry Valley and Stillwater properties were specifically gifted to the State for	Response #1
	public space to train bird dogs and over the last 22 years I have used the property to train 5 of my own dogs as well as helped at least a dozen other people with their dogs. The reduction in access (unmaintained/overgrown habitat, dog training restriction, and randomly closed gates with no notice) are not in keeping with the terms of the gift. When a land donor gives property to the State in good faith for a specific purpose and the State accepts such a gift, they should be required to abide by the terms of the gift unless extraordinary circumstances exist.  Unfortunately I have seen several properties, gifted to the State, that are no longer available for their gifted purpose. This trend of the State accepting the gift, saying they will abide by the intent of the gift in order to get the property and then reneging on the terms is extremely disheartening and ethically concerning. Please stop this trend and live up to the agreements. No land owner will gift the State property if is obvious that the State will eventually stop abiding by the terms and intended purpose(s) of that gift. Thanks you for your consideration,  -Ken Reid	WDFW takes land management and legal property obligations very seriously and abides by all legal agreements.  No acreage on the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area was gifted or purchased specifically for dog training.
127	In the recent past WDFW has decreased the amount of land available for hunting dog training, field trials, field tests and so forth. The Cherry Valley area which, I believe, was	Response #1
	originally intended to provide a venue for sportsmen to conduct this training has been virtually made off limits. There are a number of us who look to your leadership to continue to provide land and opportunities for us to train, test and otherwise exercise our hunting dogs. I encourage you to make the Cherry Valley area open to all such use and training and to not continue to further limit opportunities for those of us who choose to use trained and tested dogs and are also a primary source of conservation funds through the purchase of hunting and fishing licenses. Thank you, -Philip F. Swain	
128	As a dog trainer, it concerns me that both Cherry Valley and Stillwater were originally given over to the state specifically for dog training, field trials and hunt test. In the past these sites were used heavily by dog trainers. Now the use is significantly less due to restricted access by the WDFW. It was shared that within the last 10 years the amount of land open for dog training has decreased from 2,080 acres to now 10 acres, and seasonally 65 acres. This is of great concern to people like me. Public lands should be open to the public for use. The Cherry Valley & Stillwater units should be a place where we are free to bring our families and our dogs and enjoy the outdoors. Please listen to us and do the right thing.  Thank you for your time  -Keely Ghirardelli	Response #1
129	I'm very concerned by the arbitrary closure of the dog training area at Cherry Valley.  This area was historically an area that was utilized and enjoyed by dog owners who	Response #1
-		

	aniou the enert of hunt training. This is a habbu for many of us. We may just assess in	
130	enjoy the sport of hunt training. This is a hobby for many of us. We may just compete in the sport or we may hunt with our dogs, either way it is one of the ways we choose to enjoy outdoor recreation. Most of us are outdoor enthusiasts who enjoy and respect the land. Washington State has considered the needs of people who ride horse and recreate by the use of ATV's, both which are much more impactful on the land than dog training. The multiple references by WDFW representatives to the land as "Ours" is appalling! Please remember that dog trainers are tax payers and we have a right to proper representation in the planning of State land use. Not only should this committee be restoring the Cherry Valley training area to its original configuration, the State should look at supporting and opening additional areas for dog trainers.  -Davie Kindell, President, Newaukum River Retriever Club  Is this communistChina? Who is this Wilkerson lady. Since when is this her land? Is this	Cynthia Wilkerson is the
130	land no longer public land? I've hunted and trained dogs on public land most of my life. Like many other true sportsmen I've never left public land without picking up other people's garbage. I'm so disappointed in the selfish idiots that are running our supposed public land it's hard to contain my real feelings. These people making these selfish decisions need to be removed and maybe go to China where they will be appreciated. True Washingtonians and Americans will not put up with this. Thank you for giving me my American opportunity to give my thoughts.  -Jon Beernink	Lands Division Manager, Wildlife Program, WDFW. She is responsible for the acquisition and management of DFW's land and water access sites around the state.  Response #1
131	I have used this area for dog training for years. The acreage has been significantly reduced over the years. The original acreage needs to be restoredBurt and Marty Sweet	Response #1
132	I've enjoyed the use of Snoqualmie Valley wildlife management areas (Cherry Valley, Crescent Lake and Stillwater) for a number of years for pheasant hunting in the fall. I've also been an active dog trainer on the Cherry Valley and Crescent Lake sites when accessible (not flooded or mowed). In both the Cherry Valley and Crescent Lake sites, the allotted off leash training areas are quite small for pointing dogs. I'd like to see these training areas available for use year round and the area expanded as there are few other sites on the Eastside that can accommodate dog training with shotguns. I recognize that there are other non hunting / dog training users desiring access, but there appears to be sufficient public land to accommodate numerous users. Finally, my fellow dog trainers and I have been good stewards of the area and leave the area cleaned up after use.	Response #1
	I understand there has been some concern that dog trainers don't buy hunting licenses. I can attest to the fact that at least six dog trainers I've trained with on those sites purchase hunting licenses and pheasant tags as well as Discover Passes and are thus are providing some financial support for the maintenance of these sites. This contrasts with other users like bird watchers, dog walkers, hikers, that don't buy hunting licenses. It should also be noted that the vast majority of attendees at your April 24 meeting were dog trainers and/or hunters. I hope you'll consider that large number of dog trainer / hunter users in deciding on the allocation and use of these wildlife management areas in your management plan.  Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, -Ron Behrens	
133	We appeal to you to help stop the disappearing dog training properties. The Snoqualmie Valley was once a go to place for both upland game dogs and retrievers.	Response #1

134	Various dog clubs had many fun events there for both young and old . As a kid a local club "The Washington Retriever club" held "picnic trials" throughout each year that offered family fun with their dogs, firearm safety and provided work parties to improve the grounds. My family participated at many events.  Few of these areas are now available. Please do not continue this trend. Sincerely, -Jackie & Jim Gonia  My name is Christina Evans. My husband Taylor and I are new to field dog training. We	Response #1
	travel from Whidbey Island to Monroe, Rainier, sometimes even Eastern Washington in order to attend training for our one year old Labrador Retriever. Unfortunately, finding land to train on is becoming increasingly difficult. I can say as far as my husband and myself we are willing to volunteer to clean up the training grounds, and keep eyes out for potential illicit people. We value the knowledge we are able to learn by attending these trainings and our Labrador loves the work as well! As I'm sure you know, dogs love to work! They especially love to work towards something they were bred to do. I hope you take the information from the dog community to heart. We are a growing sport with dwindling land to practice on. We would love for the opportunity to prove ourselves and halp maintain the land, and use it for practice.  Thank you.  Respectfully,  -Taylor & Christina Evans	nesponse #1
135	I attended the June 24th WDFW meeting in which the Sporting dog community requested that specific issues be addressed pertaining to the proposed 10 year plan for Cherry Valley, Crescent Lake and the overall reduction of available acreage everywhere as well as consideration of the needs of dog enthusiast pertaining our loss of rights and use. I appreciated the fact that WDFW representatives were willing to adjust their meeting criteria to hear our voices. It was not however apparent that much had been accomplished. The meeting itself should have provided the WDFW planning and advisory committees numerous public comment without also requiring those individuals submit the same comments again in writing if they want to be considered. Still in an attempt to comply here are a few points of importance.  1. Accept and solicit the Sporting dog community to volunteer to improve grounds for events and training.  2. Utilize this group to be your "Eyes on the ground" through expanded use to help reduce illicit activities.  3. Recognize and acknowledge that these lands were an endowment for dog training activities by restoring and opening them up for their original purpose and intent YEAR ROUND.	Response #1
	<ol> <li>Do not shut us out! Consider opening the gates and increase revenue through selling keys for dog events and training to interested individuals.</li> <li>Allow additional time to submit comments on the proposed plan.</li> <li>Notify in advance through email or some other means individual hunting license holders thereby reaching out directly to interested and impacted parties of important proposals, changes, meetings and comment limitations.</li> <li>Nancy Noble</li> </ol>	The comment period was extended an additional 30 days for a total of 60 days. The WDFW system currently is not an effective way to mail directly to hunters. WDFW used news releases, public meetings, the SEPA process, the advisory committee members, and a stakeholder list as primary methods of communicating

		to all parties interested in the wildlife area.
136	First and foremost we need the public comment period extended because many concerned citizens did not have any idea that today was the last day.  Second, the dog training community stands ready to volunteer for up keep, repairs, mowing, etc. Please allow us to help maintain the areas and keep illicit activities under control.  Third, these areas are the only training areas in the Seattle area. People with hunting dogs need a place train and Stillwater, Crescent Lake and Cherry Valley are where I have trained for the last 25 years and I drive an hour each way to get there.  Finally, we need access to the pond area at Cherry Valley ( the gate has been closed most of the time for the last few years) and the ability to train more of the year. Thank you for the chance to comment. Sincerely,  -Michael S. Smith	Response #1
137	I recently attended the public meeting in Mill Creek and have decided to add a few comments to the ones I have already submitted in writing. I appreciated your flexibility in changing the format of the meeting to better meet the needs of those in attendance. My interest is in keeping the areas of Cherry Valley and Crescent Lake available for dog training. I have been a user of these properties since 1994 and have been dismayed by the closures of the properties for the past few years.	Response #1
	While I understood the need for closures due to salmon habitat restoration, that was to be time limitedsomething that has not been the case.	
	For the past 2 years, several dedicated volunteers have worked with WDFW employees to find an equitable middle ground that meets the needs of wildlife and people. I thought that a plan had been developed but the continued animosity between some staff and citizens regarding using Cherry Valley (specifically) for dog training has been discouraging. Some of the emails that have come to light reveal a bias against dogs and dog training in Cherry Valley.	
	I know specifically that volunteers spent many hours last year to help prepare a portion of Cherry Valley for dog training (mowing the invasive canary grass) and that there were plans to return the ponds to their previous condition by removing invasive plants along the edge - opening up salmon habitat in the process.	
	I am pleased that the department has decided to continue to allow the use of Cherry Valley by the hunting sport dog community for the next year - continuing the pilot project for 1 more year - but I am deeply concerned that the 10 year plan will not include the use of these areas for dog training. I urge the commissioners to work more closely with the citizen advisory committee to understand what the needs of the hunting sport dog community are, what plans had already been developed in conjunction with WFWD staff, and how the 1, 3 and 5 year proposals (by the dog community) were beneficial to both wildlife and the public. You will find that representatives of the dog community had worked closely with the farmer who farms Cherry Valley to problem solve and meet both his needs and dog trainer needs, that volunteers were trained last year on equipment use and were ready to work again this	
	year, that additional land had been proposed for dog training and that closures for nesting and hunting had been agreed on.	

	One thing that was mentioned at the meeting on the 24th was a concern about drugs and crime occurring on the properties if the gates were unlocked. I would like to propose that the dog training community can provide some additional security in Cherry Valley just by our mere presence. Illicit activity is less likely to occur when there are honest, law-abiding folks on the property. Additionally, it benefits the WDFW to build partnerships with the community - the goodwill it engenders is paramount to the positive perspective of "government".  Thank you again for taking the time to read and reflect on my comments, -Jean Fowler	
138	Keep our land open, and open to all. The above two areas were for the purpose of conservation, hunting, fuel trials / hunt test, and dog training. There is over 2000 care that were set aside and we either have no use of them or 10 to 65 acres for a training are. This is not enough and is going against there intended purpose. These areas must remain open for there orginal use and the purpose. Our taxes and fees not your land or your rules and we get to pay.  You know the public out cry and this will be just the start if this situation is not solved.  -Larry Schwerdt	Response #1
139	Wanted to drop a note that we are only in favor of keeping Cherry Valley open to Dog Training. Personally I've never used the place. If you close it, your going to push all of those people training there on to another site. Making conflicts that you are going to have to deal with yourself.  Typically, someone in your department, doesn't want to manage the area, so it is way easier to just close it. Not the right thing to do.  -Dan Hoke	Response #1
140	I am writing in support of state land use for dog training, especially in the Cherry Valley	Response #1
	I have lived in Woodinville for the past 28 years and purchase a state and federal hunting license, Discover Pass and Western Washington Pheasant permit pretty much every year. While I don't hunt pheasants in Western WA, I believe that my fees are going to a good cause.	Your contact information has been updated.
	In the 1980s and 1990s the Cherry Valley site was frequently used by small groups of dog trainers, generally amateurs. The combination of open grasslands, ponds and variable cover were a unique environment with lots of options and space for groups to	
	not be on top of each other. I mainly trained by myself or in a small group using bumpers, but have also had the experience there of official AKC events [both hunting retriever tests and field trials] that were possible due to the grounds themselves and the access. In addition, AKC Tracking events [sort of a search and rescue type event] were held there through the 1990s. In addition to the dog training, these were also lands that one could just walk around on and enjoy the wildlife [including early on some of the errant cows from the dairy].	

	the main gate, which in the 1990s seemed to be open from at least March to pheasant season.	
	If the purpose of these grounds is to provide access for residents for multiple uses, the current mono-culture of corn agricultural slant seems a bit restrictive, and if the grounds are being sprayed with herbicides that also seems a bit contrary to the habitat concept. There are very few lands left in King County [or Snohomish either] that allow dog training. Dog parks are not a substitute to the acres needed for either tracking or field training. There is a belief that the initial deeding/purchase of the land included dog training as a desired use, but that appears to have been deleted from the current plans.  I spent one day last Spring with a group of volunteers mowing and weed-whacking	
	trying to make these grounds useful for dog training. By the time we were there however, corn had been planted in such a way as to make the grounds useless. Didn't seem worthwhile spending more time especially given the communication from the regional authority about future uses.	
	I could not make the meeting last night, but hope that the attendees were able to get some opportunity to dialog about future plans. It will be a shame if these lands are lost for multiple citizen uses and devolve instead into off-limits agricultural land. [please use this email address in the future] -Fred Drennan	
141	We were unable to attend the meeting on the 24th but want to weigh in on this issue. Our dogs are family pets but they are also our hunting PARTNERS! A well trained dog enables us to collect all downed birds. A well trained dog is an excellent conservation tool. We also contribute significantly to Washington's economy with purchases of hunting licenses, Discover passes and all hunting and training necessities. We need areas to train our dogs. We have wonderful relationships with the managers of other wildlife areas in Washington state, so we don't understand the animosity towards us in the SWA and Cherry Valley.  When we realized Brian Boehm and other state employees had no knowledge of what our training entails, we offered to set up an entire training scenario for them to view at their convenience. Unfortunately, our offer was ignored. We find it appalling that State employees are creating policies for issues they know nothing about! We are concerned that Brian has lost his objectivity and turned this into a personal issue.  We urge the State to expand the dog training acreage and hours and days of accessibility to what they were in the mid 70's.  We are willing to donate time and equipment to help maintain these areas as we have done in the past.  Remember, the best way to prevent crime and vandalism in an area is to allow good access to responsible, law abiding citizens.  -Burt and Marteal Sweet	Response #1
142	Comment on SEPA No. 18016 - Snoqualmie Valley Wildlife Areas  The text from email from April 25, 2018 contains information about dog training and	Response #1
	clubs and is provided in the Appendix - Sam Pace	
143	Greetings:	Response #1

SEPA RESPONSE: Public Comments and WDFW responses to public comments received during the public review of the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan draft under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) from March 30, 2018 through May 25, 2018 (5:00 pm)

	Attached are additional comments I'm submitting for the record in connection with Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife SEPA #18016 for the Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan.  These comments are submitted on behalf of me personally, and not on behalf of any other organization, or any other person. Sincerely,  - Sam Pace	Comments about budget are outside the scope of the plan.
144	Text from PDF contains dog training and clubs and the budget is provide in Appendix  Major - But Avoidable - Opposition to WDFW 2019-2021 Budget Is Forming	Response #1
144	- Sam Pace  Text from PDF contains dog training and clubs and the budget is provide in Appendix	Comments about budget are outside the scope of the plan.
145	RE: SEPA # 18016 - Snoqualmie Wildlife Area Management Plan - Sam Pace Text from email provided in the Appendix	Correction of typo noted.
146	RE: Major - But Avoidable - Opposition to WDFW 2019-2021 Budget Is Forming - Sam Pace  Text from email provided in the Appendix	Response #1 Comments about budget are outside the scope of the plan.